

Notes on Sphaerosporella (Pezizales), with Reference to British Records

Y.-J. Yao; B. M. Spooner

Kew Bulletin, Vol. 51, No. 2. (1996), pp. 385-391.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0075-5974%281996%2951%3A2%3C385%3ANOS%28WR%3E2.0.CO%3B2-R

Kew Bulletin is currently published by Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/Kew.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Notes on *Sphaerosporella* (*Pezizales*), with reference to British records

Y.-J. YAO^{1,2} & B.M. SPOONER¹

Summary. Notes on the taxonomy and nomenclature of the genus Sphaerosporella are presented. Only one species, S. brunnea, is recognised. Sphaerosporella hinnulea is reduced to synonymy with S. brunnea. The habitat of S. brunnea is considered to be burnt sites of various age. Peziza schizospora, here lectotypified, and P. confusa are confirmed to be synonymous with S. brunnea. Sphaerosporella phillipsii is considered to be a nomen dubium.

Introduction

The distinction between Sphaerosporella (Svrček) Svrček & Kubička and Trichophaea Boud. has been maintained by some authors largely on the basis of artificial characters, notably spore shape. The type species S. brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Svrček & Kubička, was combined in Trichophaea by Batra & Batra (1963), a conclusion which was followed by, amongst others, Hennebert (1973) and Korf (1973). This may be an appropriate solution, especially when the similarity of anamorphic states, which in the present species and in others referred to Trichophaea belong to Dichobotrys Hennebert (Hennebert 1973), is considered. However, the further problem of the relationship between Trichophaea and Humaria Fuckel, as discussed by Eckblad (1968), also requires consideration if these genera are accepted as synonymous, as does the generic position of T. saccata (H. C. Evans) Korf, a curious species with closed apothecia and saccate asci. In the present paper the genus Sphaerosporella is maintained for convenience until the other problems have been more fully considered.

Svrček (1948) recognised Sphaerospora (Sacc.) Sacc. as a genus, including two new subgenera, Eusphaerospora Svrček and Sphaerosporella Svrček. Under subgen. Sphaerosporella he segregated smooth-spored species from those with ornamented ascospores. Subsequently, those with ornamented ascospores were included in Scutellinia (Cooke) Lambotte (see Schumacher 1990, Yao & Spooner 1995b), and Sphaerospora is currently considered a synonym of the latter. The subgenus Sphaerosporella, however, was raised to generic rank by Svrček & Kubička (1961).

When first described, subgen. Sphaerosporella included two species, Sphaerospora brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Massee, with a form S. brunnea f. sordida (Velen.) Svrček, and S. ochracea (Rehm) Velen., but no type was indicated (Svrček 1948). When

Accepted for publication November 1995.

¹Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 3AE, U.K.

²School of Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK.

raising this subgenus to generic rank, Svrček & Kubička (1961) designated Peziza brunnea Alb. & Schwein. as the type species and combined it as Sphaerosporella brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Svrček & Kubička. Typification of the generic name Sphaerosporella is dependent on that of Sphaerospora subgen. Sphaerosporella. As Peziza brunnea is one of the original species included in the subgenus, Svrček & Kubička (1961) may, in fact, be regarded as having selected a lectotype species for Sphaerospora subgen. Sphaerosporella (see Art. 9.8, ICBN). Subsequent publications often simply referred to it as the type species (e.g. Index of Fungi, vol. 3: 93, 1962; Rifai, 1968), and, furthermore, no reference to the lectotypification was given in Index Nominum Genericorum (Farr et al. 1979).

Investigation of British species referred to *Sphaerosporella*, and examination of the types, has led to the conclusion that *S. hinnulea* is synonymous with *S. brunnea*. This is discussed below, together with other relevant names which have appeared in the British literature as well as some European names. Material and methods are those outlined in Yao & Spooner (1995a).

TAXONOMY AND NOMENCLATURE

Distinction between Sphaerosporella brunnea and S. hinnulea was maintained by Seaver (1928), as Sphaerospora brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Massee and S. hinnulea (Berk. & Broome) Massee, based on apothecial diameter, growth habit and habitat, i.e. apothecia 1 - 6 mm diam., forming congested masses on burnt places for the former and apothecia reaching 1 cm, scattered on sandy soil in woods for the latter species. Additionally, 'more obvious reddish coloration of the discs of Sphaerosporella hinnulea and the presence of some shades of purple in its young apothecia' were emphasised as distinguishing characters by Rifai (1968). However, doubt as to the distinction between these two taxa was expressed by Massee (1895) when he made the combinations in Sphaerospora. Massee stated that S. hinnulea is 'Very closely allied to S. brunnea, if indeed truly distinct', although he added, 'differing mainly in the reddish tone of the ascophore [= apothecium], shorter marginal hairs, and hyaline paraphyses'. Apothecial colour is evidently a variable character in this species and is very subjective, as a range of colour terms has been employed by various authors; hymenium colour was described as 'pale- to dark-brown' for Sphaerospora brunnea and 'reddish-brown' for S. hinnulea by Seaver (1928), whilst in his key to species 'reddish-brown' was used in the couplet for both taxa. Similarly, 'fawn-colour to reddish-brown' was given for S. hinnulea and 'pale yellow' for S. brunnea by Massee (1895). Sphaerosporella brunnea was also described as having a pale brown to brown or reddish brown disc by Rifai (1968). A further example is that the colour of Peziza confusa Cooke was given as 'fusca' [= sombre brown] by Cooke (1876b) himself, whilst the name has been cited as a synonym under both Sphaerospora brunnea (Seaver 1928) and Sphaerosporella hinnulea (Rifai 1968).

Although S. hinnulea was described in the protologue as 'on soil amongst grass', examination of the type material reveals small fragments of charcoal in the substrate. The fungus evidently grew on a previously burnt site, the usual habitat of S. brunnea. There is, therefore, no clear difference with regard to colour or habitat between S. hinnulea and S. brunnea. Recently, S. brunnea was maintained as a distinct

species by Häffner (1987). From the description of *S. brunnea* and of *S. hinnulea* which he provided, no significant morphological differences can be determined, although the loosening perispore described for *S. hinnulea* was a novel observation. The roadside habitat which Häffner reported for *S. hinnulea* does not necessarily exclude the possibility of burning.

A description of *S. brunnea* based on examination of types, and a list of synonyms, mainly of names which appear in British literature, and of basionyms are provided below.

Sphaerosporella brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.: Fr.) Svrček & Kubička in Česká Mykol. 15: 65 (1961). Neotype (Rifai 1968): in Carboneum, Herb. Schweinitz (K!).

Peziza brunnea Alb. & Schwein., Consp. Fung. Lusat. 317, Tab. IX, Fig. 8 (1805): Fr., Syst. Myc. 2: 83 (1822).

Lachnea brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Gillet, Champ. France Discomyc.: 72 (1879).

Sphaerospora brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Massee, Brit. Fung. Fl. 4: 295 (1895).

Ciliaria brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) Boud., Hist. Classific. Discomyc. Europe: 62 (1907).

Trichophaea brunnea (Alb. & Schwein.) L. R. Batra, in Batra & Batra in Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 44: 167 (1963).

Peziza hinnulea Berk. & Broome in Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. Ser. 4, 7: 433 (1871). Type: Powerscourt, 27 Sept. 1867, ex Herb. Berkeley and Herb. Broome (K!).

Ciliaria hinnulea (Berk. & Broome) Boud., Hist. Classific. Discomyc. Europe: 62 (1907).

Scutellinia hinnulea (Berk. & Broome) Dennis, Brit. Cup Fungi: 26 (1960).

Sphaerosporella hinnulea (Berk. & Broome) Rifai in Verh. Kon. Ned. Akad. van Wetensch., Afd. Natuurk, Tweede Sect. 57 (3): 100 (1968).

Peziza schizospora W. Phillips in Cooke in Grevillea 3: 31, pl. 30, Fig. 59 (1874). Lectotype (here selected): on charcoal bed, Arkole (=Arcoll), 23 Sept. 1872, ex Herb. W. Phillips (K!).

Barlaea schizospora (W. Phillips) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 8: 116 (1889).

Ciliaria schizospora (W. Phillips) Boud., Hist. Classific. Discomyc. Europe: 62 (1907).

Peziza confusa Cooke in Bull. Buffalo Soc. Nat. Sci. 2: 291 (1875). Type: USA, New York, Poughkeepsie, on clay in loamy soil, summer, Gerard (ex Herb. Cooke, K!) Sphaerospora confusa (Cooke) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 8: 190 (1889).

Lachnea confusa (Cooke) W. Phillips in Grevillea 18: 83 (1890), [not listed by Sarccado].

Ciliaria confusa (Cooke) Boud., Icon. Mycol. Liste Prél.: (3) [without pagination] (1904).

Apothecia gregarious to sometimes scattered, often densely crowded, 2-6(-10) mm diam. Disc concave to flat, pale brown or yellowish-brown to reddish-brown. Receptacle shallowly cupulate, sessile, bearing coloured hairs, externally slightly darker or concolorous. Hairs superficial, reddish brown to pale brown, sometimes almost colourless, $50-130(-200)\times 8.0-13.0(-22.0)$ µm, cylindric or slightly tapering to an obtuse or pointed apex, flexuous and adpressed, 1-5-septate, bunched near the

margin. Ectal excipulum of textura angularis, cells pale brown. Medullary excipulum of textura intricata, thin, with cells often inflated or irregularly lobed. Asci cylindric to clavately cylindric, operculate, I-, thin-walled, $180-210\times16.0-19.0$ µm, uniseriately 8-spored. Ascospores globose, unicellular, colourless, 14.0-18.5(-20.0) µm diam., smooth, guttulate, with a de Bary bubble in some mountants. Paraphyses filiform, septate, simple, enlarged at the apex to 6.0-8.5 µm diam.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED. NORTH AMERICA: s. loc., In Carboneum, Herb. Schweinitz (neotype of Sphaerosporella brunnea, K); USA, New York, Poughkeepsie, 'on clay in loamy soil', summer, Gerard (type of Peziza confusa, ex Herb. Cooke, K); New York, Poughkeepsie, 'on clay bank', Gerard (apparently an isotype of Peziza confusa, ex Herb. W. Phillips, K).

FINLAND: Merimasku, 17 June 1860, Karsten F.F. Exs. No. 528 (authentic material of *Peziza confusa*, two parts in K).

ENGLAND: Powerscourt, 27 Sept. 1867, ex Herb. Berkeley and Herb. Broome (type of *Peziza hinnulea*, two packets, K); Arkole [N.B. = Arcoll, in Wrekin, about seven miles south of Shrewsbury], on charcoal bed, 23 Sept. 1872, ex Herb. W. Phillips (lectotype of *Peziza schizospora*, K); Arkole, charcoal bed, Sept. 1872, ex Herb. W. Phillips (possibly part of the original material of *Peziza schizospora*, K); Shrewsbury on charcoal bed, Sept. 1872, s. *leg.*, ex Herb. Berkeley (possibly part of the original material of *Peziza schizospora*, K); Warwickshire, near Sutton Coldfield, Sutton Park, on burnt ground, 18 Sept. 1960, *James* (named as *Ciliaria confusa*, K); Surrey, Esher Common, near Black Pond, on burnt ground, 4 Aug. 1991. *Læssøe & Spooner* (K).

DISTRIBUTION. Europe, Asia, North America and Australasia.

HABITAT. On new or old burnt ground and charcoal heaps, sometimes amongst mosses.

The protologue of Peziza schizospora includes only a name and drawings of an ascus and ascospores. The taxon was later fully described in Cooke (1875b) and in Phillips (1887). It was distinguished from *P. hinnulea* based on the 'external cells of the cup' (Cooke 1875b) and, in addition, lacking 'any short hairs' (Phillips 1887). It was also subsequently described as hairless (e.g. Massee 1895, Rifai 1968). Examination of what is clearly the original material from Arkole, collected in 1872, preserved in Herb. W. Phillips and in Herb. Berkeley, now in K, reveals that there are poorly developed hairs on the apothecium, usually 1 - 2-septate but often pale and colourless, with one brown hair noted as measuring 65 × 8.0 µm. The name was listed as a synonym of Sphaerospora brunnea with a question mark by Seaver (1928) and the synonymy is confirmed here. As no specific collection is cited in the protologue of Peziza schizospora, there is no holotype for this name although a specimen 'England. Shrewsbury, on charcoal bed /9.1872 (K – ex Phill. – holotype)' was cited by Schumacher (1988). This citation may be regarded as effective lectotypification. However, examination of material preserved under this name in K reveals no specimen so labelled. The original material was apparently separated into several parts. One, labelled 'Peziza schizospora, W. Phillips' in Herb. Cooke, was examined by Dr R. W. G. Dennis and his annotation on the packet states 'smooth, elliptical spores $22 \times 9 \mu$ '; thus it cannot serve as the lectotype. It cannot be determined whether the two

specimens from Arkole are in fact parts of the same collection, but they were at least collected from the same area. The one with an exact date is formally designated above as the lectotype. The specimen ex Herb. Berkeley exhibits the same characters as that from Herb. W. Phillips. There is a further specimen labelled 'Peziza, Shrewsbury, W. Phillips', which lacks precise information and was therefore not taken into account in the selection of a lectotype.

The British records of *Peziza confusa* (as *Lachnea confusa* and *Sphaerospora confusa*, were from burnt soil (Phillips 1890, Ramsbottom & Balfour-Browne 1951) whereas the type of this species was given as on 'clay in loamy soil'. There is no doubt that the British records under this name should be referred to *Sphaerosporella brunnea*.

Peziza confusa was synonymised with Sphaerosporella hinnulea by Rifai (1968), largely based on the habitat, although it was regarded as a synonym of Sphaerospora brunnea by Seaver (1928), possibly based on the diameter of the apothecia. Only a small amount of substrate remains in the type of P. confusa in Herb. Cooke, and careful examination of this material also reveals a few fragments of charcoal to be present. The isotype in Herb. W. Phillips is in better condition, and amongst this small charcoal fragments can also be found. The substrate of Karsten F. F. Exs. No. 528 is obviously a burnt site because much charcoal is present. Thus, it is clear that the material Cooke examined was somehow linked with burning. In fact, P. confusa was proposed because Cooke (1875a, 1876a) was uncertain as to the identity of Albertini & Schweinitz's species, P. brunnea. The neotype, designated from authentic material by Rifai (1968), has fixed the name Peziza brunnea (syn. Sphaerosporella brunnea), and P. confusa should be regarded as a synonym of that species. The substrate of S. brunnea has been much stressed in the past, and it seems that this species is able to grow on burnt sites of various age.

A comprehensive list of synonyms *S. brunnea*, apart from those for *Peziza hinnulea*, was provided by Rifai (1968). Amongst these is *Peziza sphaeroplea* Berk. & M. A. Curtis. However, neither the lectotype nor the additional specimen for this name examined by Rifai (1968) can now be located in K. A specimen labelled '1991, *Peziza sphaeroplea* B. & C., S. Carolina, ex herb. KJB' is probably a part separated from the lectotype. Original pencil drawings of that specimen show smooth, globose ascospores.

Sphaerospora phillipsii Massee (Brit. Fung. Fl. 4: 295, 1895; syn.: Ciliaria phillipsii (Massee) Boud. in Ramsb. in Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 4: 367, 1913) was also tentatively listed as a synonym of S. brunnea by Rifai (1968). Massee (1895) proposed the new species without citing any specimen and stated that the species was unknown to him. Massee's description of the new species was based on that of Lachnea brunnea by Phillips (1887), which was itself based on observations made by C. E. Broome. Phillips (1887) cited the specimen as 'on the ground, November, near Hereford, leg. Mr. C. E. Broome', whilst the only existing British collection named as Peziza brunnea in Herb. W. Phillips is from Attingham Park, 6 Nov. 1874, s. leg. (K). Examination of this specimen reveals ellipsoid ascospores rather than globose spores as given in Phillips' (1887) description. Since Massee (1895) did not cite a specimen for his new species and the specimen of Phillips (1887) cannot be located, Sphaerospora phillipsii should be considered as a nomen dubium.

Sphaerospora confusa var. ochracea Rehm (Ann. Mycol. 5: 467, 1907) and S. sordida Velen. (Mon. Disc. Bohem.: 301, 1934) were described as distinct from Sphaerosporella

brunnea in colour. The former was raised to species level by Velenovsky (1934) and the latter was reduced to a forma of Sphaerospora brunnea by Svrček (1948). From the descriptions of these taxa, and in view of the above discussion, they are likely to prove synonymous with Sphaerosporella brunnea.

At least two other names are relevant to the present discussion, viz., Peziza porphyra Berk. & M. A. Curtis and Peziza scutelloides Ellis (syn. Sphaerospora scutelloides (Ellis) Sacc.). These require revision although the latter has been regarded as a synonym of Sphaerosporella hinnulea by Schumacher (1988). Peziza porphyra as illustrated by Cooke (1876b) is reminiscent of S. brunnea, but we have seen no specimen of this name.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr R. K. Brummitt and Mr N. P. Taylor for stimulating nomenclatural discussion. This study was supported by a grant from the Natural Environment Research Council (No. GR3/8284) for the project 'The Ascomycetes of Great Britain and Ireland', which is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Batra, L. R. & Batra, S. W. T. (1963). Indian discomycetes. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 44(6): 109 256.
- Cooke, M. C. (1875a). Synopsis of the Discomycetous fungi of the United States. I. Bull. Buffalo Soc. Nat. Sci. 2: 285 300.
- —— (1875b). Mycographia, seu Icones Fungorum. Vol. 1, pp. 1 44. Williams and Norgate, London.
- —— (1876a). On *Peziza brunnea*, A. & S. Grevillea 4: 125 126.
- —— (1876b). Mycographia, seu Icones Fungorum, Vol. 1, pp. 45 136. Williams and Norgate, London.
- Eckblad, F. -E. (1968). The genera of the operculate *Discomycetes*. Nytt. Mag. Bot. 15(1-2): 1-191.
- Farr, E. R., Leussink, J. A. & Stafleu, F. A. (1979). Index Nominum Genericorum (Plantarum). Vol. III. The Hague, Bohn.
- Häffner, J. (1987). Rezente Ascomycetenfunde IV. Die Gattungen *Miladina* und *Sphaerosporella, Trichophaea paludosa (Pezizales, Pyronemataceae*). Beitr. Kenntn. Pilze Mitteleuropas 3: 413 425.
- Hennebert, G. L. (1973). Botrytis and Botrytis-like genera. Persoonia 7: 183 204.
- Korf, R. P. (1973). Discomycetes and Tuberales. In: G. C. Ainsworth, F. K. Sparrow, & A.
 S. Sussman (eds.), The Fungi, An Advanced Treatise. IVA, pp. 249 319.
 Academic Press, London.
- Massee, G. (1895). British Fungus Flora. A Classified Text-book of Mycology, Vol. 4. George Bell & Sons, London.
- Phillips, W. (1887). A Manual of the British *Discomycetes*. Kegan Paul, Trench & Co., London.
- —— (1890). British *Discomycetes*. Grevillea 18: 82 86.

- Ramsbottom, J. & Balfour-Browne, F. L. (1951). List of *Discomycetes* recorded from the British Isles. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 34: 38 137.
- Rifai, M. A. (1968). The Australasian *Pezizales* in the Herbarium of the Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. Verh. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch., Afd. Natuurk, Tweede Sect. 57(3): 1 295.
- Schumacher, T. (1988). The *Scutellinia* battle; the lost, missing and dead. Mycotaxon 33: 149 189.
- —— (1990). The genus *Scutellinia*. Opera Bot. 101: 1 107.
- Seaver, F. J. (1928). The North American Cup-fungi (Operculates). Seaver, New York.
- Svrček, M. (1948). Bohemian species of *Pezizaceae* subsp. *Lachneoideae*. Sborn. Nár. Mus. v Praze, Rada B, Přír. Vedy. 4(6): 1 95.
- & Kubička, J. (1961). Operkulátní diskomycety od rybníka Dvoriste v jizjích Cechách. Česká Mykol. 15: 61 77.
- Velenovsky, J. (1934). Monographia Discomycetum Bohemiae. Velenovsky, Pragae, Czechoslovakia.
- Yao, Y.-J. & Spooner, B. M. (1995a). New combinations in *Melastiza* and *Scutellinia* (*Pezizales*). Mycotaxon 53: 467 477.
- —— (1995b). Notes on British species of Scutellinia. Mycol. Res. 100: in press.