Host		Diagnosis			dence enus)	
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive

		Time Period Report for September3 rd through September 9 th , 2013				
Aesculus sp./spp.	Horsechestnut	Anthracnose (Glomerella (Colletotrichum) cingulata (gloeosporioides))	1	0	0	0
Aesculus sp./spp.	Horsechestnut	Leaf Blotch (Guignardia (Phyllosticta) aesculi (aesculicola))	1	0	0	0
Apium graveolens dulce	Celery	Early Blight (Cercospora apii)	1	0	0	0
Apium graveolens var. rapaceum	Celeriac	Early Blight (Cercospora apii)	1	0	0	0
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Blight; Leaf and Stem Blight (Calonectria (ana. Cylindrocladium) pseudonaviculata (pseudonaviculatum))	1	1	0	0
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Boxwood Volutella Blight; Canker (Volutella buxi)	1	0	0	0
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Macrophoma Blight; Dieback (<i>Macrophoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Buxus sempervirens	Common Boxwood	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Carpinus betulus	European Hornbeam	Anthracnose (Monostichella (Gloeosporium) robergei)	1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

Но	Host Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)					
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	e one or more diagnosis or identification;	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	
Carpinus betulus	European Hornbeam	Drainage Problem (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Carpinus betulus	European Hornbeam	Salt Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Squash	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))		0	8	0	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Squash	Papaya Ringspot (Papaya Ringspot Virus (PRSV)		0	8	0	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Squash	Squash Mosaic (Squash Mosaic Virus (SQMV))		0	8	0	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Squash	Watermelon Mosaic (Watermelon Mosaic Virus (WMV))		8	0	0	0	
Cucurbita sp./spp.	Squash	Zucchini Yellow Mosaic (Zucchini Yellow Mosaic Virus (ZYMV))		0	8	0	0	
Glycine max	Soybean	Soybean Downy Mildew (Peronospora manshurica)		1	0	0	0	
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Alfalfa Mosaic (Alfalfa Mosaic Virus (AMV))		0	1	0	0	
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Beet Western Yellows (Beet Western Yellows Virus (BWYV))		0	1	0	0	
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Broadbean Wilt (Broadbean Wilt Virus (BBWV))		0	1	0	0	
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Cauliflower Mosaic (Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CAMV))		0	1	0	0	

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Diagnostic Review Report

Ho	Host Diagnosis		Diagnosis		Confide (to gen				
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	e one or more diagnosis or identification;	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Cucumber Mosaic (Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV))		0	1	0	0		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Lettuce Mosaic (Lettuce Mosaic Virus (LMV))		0	1	0	0		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Potyvirus Group (Potyvirus Group)		0	1	0	0		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Tobacco Ringspot (Tobacco Ringspot Virus (TRSV))		0	1	0	0		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Tomato Spotted Wilt (Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (TSWV))		0	1	0	0		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	Turnip Mosaic (Turnip Mosaic Virus (TuMV))		0	1	0	0		
Lactuca sp./spp.	lettuce	White Mold (<i>Sclerotinia</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0		
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	2	0		
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Septoria Leaf Blight (Septoria lycopersici)		1	0	0	0		
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Verticillium Wilt (<i>Verticillium</i> sp./spp.)		0	2	0	0		
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Septoria Leaf Spot (Septoria lycopersici)		2	0	0	0		

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

- Suspected Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.
- Inconclusive Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Но		Diagnosis	Diagnostic Neview Report		Confi (to g		
Scientific Name	Common Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	e one or more diagnosis or identification;	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Alternaria Fruit Rot and Spot (Alternaria sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Bacterial Speck (Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato)		0	1	0	0
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Late Blight (<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>)		2	2	0	0
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Mechanical Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomato	Unknown Abiotic Disorder (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	2	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Insufficient Light (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Spruce Bud Scale (<i>Physokermes</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Picea abies	Norway Spruce	Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)		1	0	0	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Cytospora Canker; Dieback (Cytospora sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0
Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	Stigmina Needle Blight (<i>Stigmina lautii</i>)		1	0	0	0
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Moisture Stress (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Diagnostic Review Report

Scientific NameCommon Namehence this section does not represePicea sp./spp.SpruceNot Pathogen; Saprophyte (SecondPicea sp./spp.SpruceNutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disordePinus sylvestrisScotch PineDieback; Canker (Diplodia sp./spp.)Pinus sylvestrisScotch PineRoot Damage (Abiotic disorder)		Host Diagnosis		Confidence (to genus)			
		This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	e one or more diagnosis or identification;	Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif	f.)	1	0	0	0
Picea sp./spp.	Spruce	Nutrient Imbalance (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Pinus sylvestris	Scotch Pine	Dieback; Canker (<i>Diplodia</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Pinus sylvestris	Scotch Pine	Root Damage (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Quercus sp./spp.	Red Oaks	Herbicide Injury; Exposure (Abiotic disorder)		0	0	1	0
Quercus sp./spp.	Red Oaks	Leaf Spot (<i>Tubakia</i> sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0
Quercus sp./spp.	Red Oaks	Oedema; Edema (Abiotic disorder)		1	0	0	0
Rudbeckia hirta	Blackeyed Susan	Powdery Mildew (<i>Oidium</i> sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Anthracnose; Colletotrichum Leaf Spot (Colletotrichum sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Cranefly (<i>Tipula</i> sp./spp.)		0	0	1	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Dagger Nematodes (Xiphinema sp./spp.)		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Но	st	Diagnosis				
Scientific Name	he	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples	Confirmed	1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	Inconclusive	
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Lesion Nematodes (<i>Pratylenchus</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Turfgrass mixed species	Turfgrass	Spiral Nematodes (Helicotylenchus sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Ulmus americana	American Elm	Dutch Elm Disease (<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp./spp.)	1	0	0	0
Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	Additional Sample Requested (Identification Analysis)	1	0	0	0
Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0
Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese Elm	Not Pathogen; Saprophyte (Secondary Agents; Saprophytes; Unspecif.)	0	0	1	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Arabis Mosaic (Arabis Mosaic Virus (ARMV))	0	1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Blueberry Leaf Mottle (Blueberry Leaf Mottle Virus (BLMV))	0	1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Blueberry Necrotic Shock (Blueberry Necrotic Shock Virus)	0	1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Blueberry Scorch (Blueberry Scorch Virus)	0	1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Blueberry Shoestring (Blueberry Shoestring Virus (BSSV))	0	1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	High Soil Moisture (Abiotic disorder)	0	0	1	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.

Host		Diagnosis			denc enus		
Scientific Name	This section reports samples from all statuses. Each sample may have one or more diagnosis or identification; hence this section does not represent the total number of samples		Confirmed	Not Detected	Suspected	Inconclusive	
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Strawberry Latent Ringspot (Strawberry Latent Ringspot Virus (SLRSV)	ingspot Virus (SLRSV))		1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Tobacco Ringspot (Tobacco Ringspot Virus (TRSV))	pacco Ringspot (Tobacco Ringspot Virus (TRSV)) 0		1	0	0
Vaccinium sp./spp.	Blueberry	Tomato Ringspot (Tomato Ringspot Virus (ToRSV))		0	1	0	0
Vitis sp./spp.	Grape	Macrophoma Rot (<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>)		1	0	0	0
Zea mays	Corn	Corn Gray Leaf Spot (Cercospora zeae-maydis)	y Leaf Spot (<i>Cercospora zeae-maydis</i>) 1		0	0	0
Zea mays	Corn	Northern Corn Leaf Spot (Cochliobolus (Bipolaris) carbonum (zeicola))		1	0	0	0

Confirmed - The diagnosis was derived using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations which allowed for the confirmation of the organism to Genus, species and/or race or pathovar level.

Not Detected -The sample was submitted as a suspect sample or as part of survey project. The pathogen was not detected on this sample at this time using approved molecular technologies, serological testing and/or morphological observations.

Suspected - Diagnostic symptoms of the pathogen were present but evidence of the pathogen could not be confirmed at this time. This term may also be used at the species level if confirmations cannot be made. This term may also be used with abiotic entries.

Inconclusive - Although a suitable sample was received, a reliable result could not be achieved. For example, the test kit may have not worked correctly and there was no sample material remaining to perform the test again. Or, no DNA was detected in a PCR analysis. Inhibitors may have been present in the sample. A second attempt may have been made with the same results. The only conclusion is to label the sample as inconclusive.