

Ambrosia tenuifolia, instead of A. psilostachya, in Romania

# KARRER Gerhard<sup>1\*</sup>, SÎRBU Culiță<sup>2</sup>, OPREA Adrian<sup>3</sup>, DOROFTEI Mihai<sup>4</sup>, COVALIOV Silviu<sup>4</sup>, GEORGESCU Mihaela Ioana<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Botany, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria; e-mail: <u>gerhard.karrer@boku.ac.at</u>

<sup>2</sup>"Ion Ionescu de la Brad" University of Life Sciences, Iaşi, Romania; e-mail: <u>csirbu@uaiasi.ro</u>; <sup>3</sup>Botanical Garden "Anastasie Fătu", University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza", Iaşi, Romania; <u>a\_aoprea@yahoo.co.uk</u>

<sup>4</sup> "Danube Delta" National Institute for Research and Development, Tulcea, Romania; <u>mihaidoro@gmail.com</u>; <u>silviudesign@gmail.com</u>

<sup>5</sup>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "Nicolae Bălcescu", Bucharest, Romania; <u>mihaelaigeorgescu@yahoo.com</u>

E-mail for correspondence: gerhard.karrer@boku.ac.at

**bstract**: In this paper, *Ambrosia tenuifolia* (Asteraceae) is reported for the first time in the alien flora of Romania. Data resulting from the revision of herbarium specimens of the perennial ragweed previously collected from the locality of C. A. Rosetti (Danube Delta), as well as the results of our recent field work, revealed that *A. psilostachya* (a native species from North America) has been erroneously reported from Romania, and the correct identity of this plant is actually *A. tenuifolia* (which originates from South America). The two species are similar in some respects (e.g. by habitus, morphology of roots and inflorescences etc.), but they are easily distinguishable from each other mainly by leaf morphology. Both species are currently naturalized worldwide, and considered harmful weeds, especially because of their high production of allergenic pollen.

Keywords: Ambrosiinae, identification key, neophytes, ragweed, vascular flora

#### INTRODUCTION

*Ambrosia* L. (ragweed) is a genus of the subtribe *Ambrosiinae* Less. (tribe *Heliantheae* Cass., family *Asteraceae* Mart.) (Robinson, 1981; Strother, 2006). It consists of about 45 species (León de la Luz & Rebman, 2010; Rojas-Sandoval, 2018) representing annual or perennial herbs and shrubs, native (with one exception) to the New World, showing highest species diversity in arid and semi-arid habitats of North America (Payne, 1964; Strother, 2006; Tomasello *et al.*, 2019).

Eleven taxa of *Ambrosia* have been reported so far in Europe (see partial lists to Hansen, 1976; Greuter, 2006; Rich, 1994; DAISIE, 2009; Amor Morales *et al.*, 2012; Karrer *et al.*, 2016). Among them, *A. maritima* L. is probably indigenous species (native to the Mediterranean region and tropical Africa) (Hansen, 1976; Orsenigo *et al.*, 2017). *A. peruviana* Willd. and *A. tenuifolia* Spreng. are native to South America (Arechavalleta, 1906; Thellung, 1912; Payne, 1966), and the other seven species (i.e. *A. acanthicarpa* Hook., *A. ambrosioides* (Delp.) Payne, *A. artemisiifolia* L., *A. confertiflora* DC., *A. psilostachya* DC., *A. tomentosa* Nutt., and *A. trifida* L. (including *A. aptera* DC.)) - originate to North America (Strother, 2006).

Of the nine neophyte taxa of *Ambrosia* accepted at the species level and listed so far in Europe, five are very rare and not established, *i.e. A. acanthicarpa, A. peruviana, A. ambrosioides* - in Britain (Rich, 1994), *A. tomentosa* - in Spain (Amor Morales *et al.*, 2012) and *A. confertiflora* - without precise location and possibly erroneous (DAISIE, 2009). The remaining four introduced species are naturalized, being widespread, either rather regionally (*A. psilostachya, A. tenuifolia* and *A. trifida*), or throughout the continent (*A. artemisiifolia*) (Greuter, 2006; Karrer *et al.*, 2016).

Three of the alien species of *Ambrosia* from Europe have also been reported in Romania so far. Two of these (*A. artemisiifolia* L. and *A. trifida* L.) are annuals and one (*A. psilostachya* DC.) is perennial.

*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L., which was first collected between the years 1907 and 1912, in the Banat province (Orșova and Băile Herculane) (Jávorka, 1925; Csontos *et al.*, 2010), is now widespread throughout the Romania, being considered one of the most invasive neophytes in the country (Anastasiu & Negrean, 2009; Sîrbu & Oprea, 2011; Sârbu *et al.*, 2013; Șușnia *et al.*, 2020).

*Ambrosia trifida* L. was first recorded in Romania, as a neophyte, in 1976, in the city of Constanța (Dobrogea) (Vițălariu et al., 1977), and its distribution is currently limited to the south-eastern provinces (Dobrogea and Muntenia) (Sîrbu & Oprea, 2011). *Ambrosia trifida* L. was first recorded in Romania, as a neophyte, in 1976, in the city of Constanța (Dobrogea) (Vițălariu et al., 1977), and its distribution is currently limited to the south-eastern provinces (Dobrogea) (Vițălariu et al., 2011).

The perennial *A. psilostachya* DC. has been reported in Romania (as *A. coronopifolia* Torrey et A. Gray) by Ciocârlan & Constantin (1992), from the Danube Delta. Seven voucher specimens (no. 20961 to 20967) collected by Prof. V. Ciocârlan from the village C. A. Rosetti have been deposited at the Herbarium of the "Nicolae Bălcescu" University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine (UASVM), from Bucharest: "roadsides, ruderalised sandy places", alt. ca. 2 m a.s.l.; leg. et det. V. Ciocârlan, 13.IX.1991.

Subsequently, in the *Flora of Danube Delta*, Ciocârlan (1994) changed the locality C. A. Rosetti, with a neighbouring village, Sfistofca, while Oprea (2005) added two more localities (Cardon and Letea) to the distribution of this species in the Danube Delta. Since the above-mentioned specimens, collected in 1991 from the locality of C. A. Rosetti, are the only ones deposited in a herbarium from Romania, the chorological data added after 1992 must be considered as uncertain. As a result, the only place in the Danube Delta where a perennial species of *Ambrosia* has been certainly documented is C. A. Rosetti, as mentioned by Ciocârlan & Constantin (1992), and the location was confirmed by more recent botanic references (Ciocârlan, 2009).

However, data resulting from the revision carried out by the first author of the present paper on the specimens deposited by Prof. V. Ciocârlan at the Herbarium of the UASVM Bucharest, as well as the results of our recent field work on the perennial ragweed from the locality of C. A. Rosetti, revealed that *A. psilostachya* DC. has been erroneously reported from Romania, and the correct identity of this plant is actually *A. tenuifolia* Spreng.

Therefore, the aim of this paper is to argue the presence of *A. tenuifolia*, instead of *A. psilostachya*, in the Danube Delta, Romania.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The paper is a result of revision carried out by the first author, on perennial ragweed specimens held by the Herbarium of the University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Bucharest, as well as of our recent field works (2020), in the Danube Delta, Romania.

The geographic coordinates were recorded in the field using the offline navigation application OsmAnd, available at https://osmand.net/.

Voucher specimens collected during our field work were deposited at the Herbarium of the University of Life Sciences Iaşi (IASI) and the Institute of Botany, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (WHB).

For species identification we used various keys, descriptions and iconography published by Cosson & Kralik (1849-1850), Godron (1852), Baker (1882), Arechavaleta (1906), Pignatti (1982), Aizpuru *et al.* (1999), Strother (2006), Amor Morales *et al.* (2012), Orchard (2015), Karrer *et al.* (2016), Montagnani *et al.* (2017), Karrer (2018, 2019) and many other references as indicate below. The plant nomenclature follows Strother (2006).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

*Ambrosia tenuifolia* Spreng., *Syst. Veg.* 3: 851. 1826 (silver ragweed), unknown in the flora of Romania, until now, has been identified in the locality of C. A. Rosetti, the Danube Delta.

Herbarium specimens: UASVM Bucharest Herbarium, no. 20961 to 20967 (C. A. Rosetti, Tulcea County, roadsides, ruderalised sandy places; ca. 2 m a.s.l.; leg. et det. V. Ciocârlan, 13.IX.1991, as A.

*coronopifolia* Torrey et A. Gray; reviewed by G. Karrer, Vienna, 2019, as *A. tenuifolia* Spreng.); IASI (Herbarium of the University of Life Sciences Iaşi), no. 17983 to 17988 (C. A. Rosetti, Tulcea County, ruderalized grassland and abandoned garden, on sandy soil; ca. 2 m a.s.l., 45,295127°N, 29,568970°E; leg. C. Sîrbu, A. Oprea, M. Doroftei & S. Covaliov, 07.VIII.2020; det. C. Sîrbu & A. Oprea; confirmed by G. Karrer, Vienna, 2020); WHB, no. 78842 (duplum in the private Herbarium Gerhard Karrer).

**Description of the examined specimens** (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). Perennial herb, with bud-bearing roots. **Stems** erect, branched, up to 90 cm tall,  $\pm$  sulcate,  $\pm$  greyish-green, with multicellular hairs (appressed or curved upwards), mixed with sparse short glands.

**Leaves** compound, 2(3)-pinnate (only the uppermost ones 1-pinnate), opposite to alternate (the uppermost), with  $\pm$  dense multicellular appressed stiff hairs (strigose) and sparse short glands, somewhat greyish-green, especially beneath; petiole up to 3 cm long, thin, wingless; blade  $\pm$  deltoid, 5-7 x 4-6 cm, with about 4 pairs of primary segments; ultimate segments linear, one-ribbed, 1-1.3 mm wide.

**Staminate heads** nodding, with pedicel up to 3 mm long, bractless, in long (15-20 cm) racemiform arrays; involucre 3-4 mm diameter, wide-cup shaped, with uniseriate bracts, united for most of their length (margins sub-entire), with  $\pm$  dense multicellular appressed stiff hairs; receptacular paleae narrowly linear, membranous, glandular, up to 2 mm long.

**Male florets**: 15-20 per head; corolla pale yellow, funnelform, 2-2.3 mm long, glandular; anthers yellowish-whitish, coherent, with a triangular and mucronulate appendage, bent inward (a rudimentary, filiform gynoecium is also present).

**Pistillate heads** solitary or few in the axils of the upper leaves, just beneath male inflorescence, sessile, each with 1 floret; involucre in flower 2 mm long, slightly higher at fruiting (ca. 3.5 mm long), obpyramidal, gamophyllous, sparsely pilose, eglandular or with sparse glands, with a central thick rostrum up to 1 mm long, and 4-6 lateral conical teeth, of 0,5-1 mm long.

**Pistillate florets** without corolla or pappus; receptacle obovoid, ca. 1 mm long; style and stigma lobes filiform, the latter longer.

**Cypsela** (= achene) ± obovoid, enclosed within the hardened fruiting involucre.

These features of plants examined by us fully correspond to the descriptions and iconography published for *A. tenuifolia* by Arechavaleta (1906), in *Flora Uruguaya*, vol. 3, pp. 304-306, Estampa 60. Similar descriptions of *A. tenuifolia* has been published, in Europe, by Cosson & Kralik (1849-1850), Godron (1852), Pignatti (1982), Gallego & Valdés (1984), Amor Morales *et al.* (2012), Karrer *et al.* (2016), Montagnani *et al.* (2017), as well as, in Australia, by Orchard (2015).

The distinguishing traits of this species indicated in the short original diagnosis of Sprenger (1826) are also identifiable at our plants. Unfortunately, Sprenger (see also De Candolle, 1836) did not refer to the underground system of *A. tenuifolia*.

Baker (1882) published a very good picture of *A. tenuifolia* (*Flora Brasiliensis*, vol. 6(3), Tab. 49), which very well corresponds to our plants. However, this picture contains only the above-ground parts of the plant, and, on the other hand, the cited author described this species as annual. *A. tenuifolia* has also been described erroneously as an annual plant in other botanical references (Lawalree, 1947; Hansen, 1976; Behçet, 2004).

According to the recent literature (Aizpuru *et al.*, 1999; Verloove, 2005; Insausti & Grimoldi, 2006; Fuentes *et al.*, 2010; Amor Morales *et al.*, 2012; Orchard, 2015; Karrer *et al.*, 2016; Montagnani *et al.*, 2017; Luebert & García, 2020; Yair *et al.*, 2020), but see also Cosson & Kralik (1849-1850) or Godron (1852) - which published the earliest detailed diagnoses of this species - *A. tenuifolia* is, no doubt, a perennial species.

**Identification key**. In the literature, there is no identification keys including all species of the genus *Ambrosia*. However, there are publications that contain such useful keys, as well as detailed descriptions for species identified in different regions of the world, or synthetic tables that compare species with one another, such as: **North America**: Payne (1970), Basset & Crompton (1975), Strother (2006); **Central America**: León de la Luz & Rebman (2010); **South America**: Baker (1882), Payne (1966), Luebert & García (2020); **Europe**: Lawalree (1947), Hansen (1976) (but see comments elsewhere), Pignatti (1982), Aizpuru *et al.* (1999), Amor Morales *et al.* (2012), Karrer *et al.* (2016), Montagnani *et al.* (2017), Karrer (2018, 2019); **Eurasia**: Smoljaninova (1999/1959); **Asia**: Chen & Hind (2011); **Australia**: Orchard (2015).



Fig. 1. Ambrosia tenuifolia. C. A. Rosetti (Danube Delta, Romania)

The key below, based on the literature published by the authors cited above, can be used to distinguish only the four species that have been published from Romania, so far:

1a.	Leaf	blade	palmately	3(-5)-lobed,	sometime	entire.	All	leaves	opposite. <i>A.</i>	Annual <i>trifida</i> L.
1b.	Leaf bla opposite	de pinna . Annual	atipartite or 1 or perennial	-3 pinnate co	mpound. At l	east the d	listal le	eaves alt	ernate, the	proximal <b>2</b>
2a.	Annual,	with tap	root. Leaves	sparsely hai	ry (subglabro	us), greer	ייייי ר		A. artemi	siifolia L.
2b.	Perenni greyish-g	ial, with green	bud-bearing	creeping roo	ots (stolon-lik	e). Leave	es mo	re dense	ely hairy, s	omewhat <b>3</b>
3a.	3a. Leaves 2(3)-pinnate compound (only the uppermost ones 1-pinnate), with long and wingless petioles; ultimate segments linear, c. 1 mm wide. Pistillate heads solitary or few in the axils of the									
	upper	leaves	; lateral	teeth	of the	fruiting	iı	nvolucre <i>A</i>	4-6, <b>. tenuifolia</b>	conical <b>a</b> Spreng.
3b.	<ul> <li>3b. Leaves 1-pinnate compound or pinnatipartite, subsessile or occasionally on short-winged petioles; ultimate segments wider (2-3 mm). Pistillate heads clustered in the axils of the upper leaves; lateral teeth of the fruiting involucre 1-6, sometimes lacking, blunt</li></ul>									



**Fig. 2**. *Ambrosia tenuifolia*: foliar leaf, (a), leaf lacinia (b), staminate heads (c), rudimentary gynoecium of male flower (d), female heads (e, f) and pistil (g). Scale bar: a - 10 mm; b, e f, g - 1 mm; c - 5 mm; d - 0.3 mm

Useful iconography for distinguishing between the last two species within the key is available in literature as follows: *A. tenuifolia* - Baker (1882), Arechavaleta (1906), Fuentes *et al.* (2010), Campagna (2014); Verloove & Aymerich (2020); *A. psilostachya* - Stinchfield Ferris (1960), Payne (1970), Reed & Hughes (1970), Basset & Crompton (1975), Montagnani *et al.* (2017); both species - Pignatti (1982), Aizpuru *et al.* (1999), Amor Morales *et al.* (2012), Karrer *et al.* (2016), Karrer (2018, 2019), Yair *et al.* (2019).

**Possible reason of misidentify**. As shown above, the perennial ragweed from Romania has previously been misidentified as *A. coronopifolia* (today a synonym of *A. psilostachya*). This is not a singular case. As Payne (1966) pointed out, "specimens of *A. tenuifolia* are occasionally misidentified as *A. psilostachya* DC., probably because of similarity of fruiting involucre morphology, or as *A. confertiflora* DC., which may have similar leaves".

In the paper published by Ciocârlan & Constantin (1992) the morphological description and taxonomic treatment of *A. psilostachya* (in the paper: *Ambrosia coronopifolia* Torrey et A. Gray, syn. *A. psilostachya* auct., non DC.) are in line with the *Flora Europaea*, vol. 4, pp. 143 (Hansen, 1976), while the iconography was taken over from the book *Selected weeds of the United States*, pp. 367, Fig. 180, published by Reed & Hughes (1970). In the figure published by Reed & Hughes (1970), the morphological features of *A. psilostachya* are indeed expressed very accurately. But, while some features in this figure are also found in plants collected from the Danube Delta (e.g. the habitus, the morphology of roots and inflorescences), concerning the leaves morphology (*i.e.* pinnatipartite, subsessile or on short-winged petioles, with wide laciniae) and, to some extent, the fruiting involucre (*i.e.* with very short, blunt teeth), the differences are quite obvious. However, in the *Flora Europaea*, the species *A. tenuifolia* has been described (Hansen, 1976) as an annual plant, the only perennial ragweed species there indicated being *A. coronopifolia*. This can explain the identification of the perennial ragweed from Romania as *A. coronopifolia*, by Ciocârlan & Constantin (1992), the authors following in their paper this standard *Flora*, as a main reference.

**Origin and general distribution**. Unlike the other *Ambrosia* species reported so far in Romania, which are native to North America (Strother, 2006), *A. tenuifolia* is of South American origin (Baker, 1882; Arechavalleta, 1906; Thellung, 1912; Montagnani *et al.*, 2017). In Europe, it was first identified in France, in 1839, on sea sands, possibly introduced with ships ballast (Planchon, 1864; Thellung, 1912), and subsequently it spread to other regions of the continent, being up to now reported from France (Cosson & Kralik, 1849-1850; Godron, 1852; Planchon, 1864; Thellung, 1912), Germany (Thellung, 1912), Spain (Montserrat, 1954), and Italy (Vignolo-Lutati, 1935). According to Montagnani *et al.* (2017), excepting Germany (casual), in other European countries this neophyte is fully naturalized, however, only locally invasive. This is also the case of the populations from the Danube Delta, Romania.

It has also been introduced in other regions of the world, as: North America (Nelsson 1917); South Africa (Lalla, 2015); West Asia: Israel (Greuter & Raus, 1995; Yair *et al.*, 2019); Australia (Orchard, 2015). Global distribution of *A. tenuifolia* has been mapped by Montagnani *et al.* (2017) and Rojas-Sandoval (2018). A map of the European distribution of this species is also provided by Greuter (2006). The global distribution data published by Randal (2017) must be taken with some caution, as the cited author accepts the name *Franseria tenuifolia* Harv. & Gray as a synonym for *Ambrosia tenuifolia* Spreng., this synonymy being erroneous, as shown by Thellung (1912) (see also Montserrat, 1954, and Payne, 1964).

**Biology, ecology and habitat preferences.** The biology and ecology of *A. tenuifolia* were studied by many authors, and data from the literature has been synthesized by Montagnani *et al.* (2017) and Rojas-Sandoval (2018). Summarily, according to the literature, *A. tenuifolia* is a perennial herb (as already shown), monoecious, wind pollinated (Payne, 1970), with flowering time in February-March, in South America (Arechavalleta, 1906) or July-September, in the Northern Hemisphere.

It produces a great number of seeds, with long viability (Insausti & Grimoldi, 2006); however, outside the native area (in Israel), according to Yair *et al.* (2020), the number of viable seeds under field conditions was very low.

The 1-seeded fruits (cypselae=achenes), enclosed in lignified involucres, are not preadapted for being dispersed by a specific vector. Natural dispersion is done by wind, water and animals, starting at the end of summer (Insausti *et al.*, 1995); they can also be accidentally dispersed by man over long-distances, as contaminants of ship ballast (Planchon, 1864; Nelson, 1917) or of agricultural goods, machinery, etc. (Montagnani *et al.*, 2017).

Seed germination occurs mainly in spring, after the needed vernalisation (Insausti *et al.*, 1995), in light, on the soil surface or no more than 2 cm depth (Yair et al. 2020).

*Ambrosia tenuifolia* (just like *A. psilostachya*) has a great capacity to propagate clonally through ramets that sprout from adventitious root buds (Insausti & Grimoldi, 2006; Karrer *et al.* 2016).

It is a heliophilous species from warm (rather subtropical than temperate) climate, being drought tolerant, somewhat salt tolerant, and preferring well-aerated,  $\pm$  neutral soils, sometimes affected by floods (Insausti & Grimoldi, 2006).

Ambrosia tenuifolia is a pioneer species, typical of open habitats, which successfully colonizes vegetation gaps; however, taking advantage of clonal propagation (Insausti & Grimoldi, 2006), and allelopathic compounds (Mongelli *et al.* 1997), it also can persist in more evolved (yet disturbed) environments, where it can become co-dominant.

It prefers sand dunes, disturbed grasslands and ruderal habitats, on sandy grounds (Arechavalleta, 1906; Amor Morales *et al.*, 2012; Montagnani *et al.*, 2017; Rojas-Sandoval, 2018; Karrer, 2019), but has also been reported as a weed of cultivated fields (Campagna, 2014; Rojas-Sandoval, 2018).

**Current status in Romania.** In the locality of C. A. Rosetti (Danube Delta), the population of *A. tenuifolia* has conquered an area of approx. 100 sq.m, on sandy soil, near the crossroads of DC3 & DC4 (communal roads), just behind a local shop, north of the church (45,295127°N, 29,568970°E; ca. 2 m a.s.l.). Scattered individuals grew near the road and on the nearby ruderalized xerophilous grassland (which was dominated by *Cynodon dactylon*), but the population also expanded into a derelict neighbouring garden, where it was much denser, consisting of hundreds of shoots. From our preliminary data, it seems that the plants propagate locally slowly, only clonally, by root sprouting. Although it

produces morphologically normal fruits and seeds, to date there is no evidences that the seeds germinate in that place or in the surroundings. However, we consider that the species is naturalized in the Danube Delta, where it persists and flourishes for over three decades.

**Potential impact** Like other species of *Ambrosia* that are wide-spread outside their native range, *A. tenuifolia* is considered a harmful weed, mainly because it has a high production of allergenic pollen, that causes hay fever. It is also supposed (Montagnani *et al.*, 2017; Rojas-Sandoval, 2018) to potentially disrupt and outcompete native plant communities, especially during early successional stages.

#### CONCLUSIONS

*Ambrosia tenuifolia* Spreng. (Asteraceae), a neophyte native to the South America, is reported in this paper for the first time in the spontaneous flora of Romania. This species has been first found in Romania, in the village of C. A. Rosetti, from the Danube Delta, three decades ago, but up to now it has been known erroneously as *A. psilostachya* (*A. coronopifolia*).

Based on current data, although it seems to spread only locally by root sprouting, *A. tenuifolia* can be considered a naturalized neophyte in Romania.

### REFERENCES

- Aizpuru I., Aseginolaza C., Uribe-Echebarría P. M., Urrutia P. & Zorrakin I. 1999. *Clave ilustrada de la flora del País Vasco y territorios limitrofes*. Vitoria-Gasteiz, 841 pp.
- Amor Morales A., Navarro Andrés F., Sánchez Anta M.A. 2012. Datos corológicos y morfológicos de las especies del género Ambrosia L. (Compositae) presentes en la Península Ibérica. Bot. Complut., 36: 85-96.
- Anastasiu P., Negrean G. 2009. '*Neophytes in Romania*', in Rákosy L., Momeu L. (eds.), *Neobiota din România*, Cluj-Napoca: Edit. Presa Univ. Clujeană, pp. 66-97.
- Arechavaleta J. 1906. Flora Uruguaya, Vol. 3, Caprifoliáceas, Rubiácess, Caliceráceas y Compuestas. Anales del Museo Nacional de Montevideo, 6: 1-502.
- Baker J.G. 1882. '*Ambrosia* L.', in Martius C.F.P. von & Eichler A.W. (eds.), *Flora Brasiliensis*, vol. 6(3). Monachii, Lipsiae, pp. 148-151, tab. 48-49.
- Basset I.J., Crompton C.W. 1975. The biology of Canadian weeds. 11. Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. and A. psilostachya DC. Can. J. Plant Sci., 55: 463-476.
- Behçet L. 2004. A new record for the flora of Turkey: *Ambrosia tenuifolia* Spreng. (Compositae) *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 28: 201-203.
- Campagna P. 2014. Ambrosia tenuifolia Spreng. {ID 627 0} +PUG. https://www.floraitaliae.actaplantarum.org/viewtopic.php?t=65363.
- Chen Y.S., Hind D.J.N. 2011. 'Heliantheae' in Wu Z.Y., Raven P.H., Hong D. Y. (eds.), *Flora of China*. Vol. 20–21 (Asteraceae). Science Press (Beijing) & Missouri Botanical Garden Press (St. Louis), pp. 852–878.
- Ciocârlan V. 1994. Flora Deltei Dunării. București: Edit. Ceres, 175 pp.
- Ciocârlan V. 2009. Flora ilustrată a României. Pteridophyta et Spermatophyta (ed. 3). Bucureşti: Edit. Ceres, 1141 pp.
- Ciocârlan V., Constantin P. 1992. A new adventive species in Romanian flora: *Ambrosia coronopifolia* Torrey et A. Gray. *Analele Şti. Inst. Delta Dunării*: 49-50.
- Cosson E., Kralik M. 1849-1850. 'Ambrosia tenuifolia Spreng.' in Cosson E. (ed.), Notes sur quelques plantes, critiques, rares ou nouvelles, f. II. Paris, pp. 60.
- Csontos P., Vitalos M., Barina Z., Kiss L. 2010. Early distribution and spread of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in Central and Eastern Europe. *Botanica Helvetica*, 120: 75-78.
- DAISIE 2009. Handbook of alien species in Europe. Dordrecht: Springer, 399 pp.

De Candolle A.P. 1836. Prodromus Systematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis, Paris: Pars Quinta.

Fuentes E., Carreras M.E., Loyola M.J., Martinat J.E., Jewsbury G. 2010. Asteraceae en el banco de semillas del suelo de ambientes afectados por incendios en las Sierras Chicas de Córdoba, Argentina. Arnaldoa, 17(2): 173 - 192.

Gallego M. J., Valdés B. 1984. Notas breves: Ambrosia tenuifolia Spreng. Lagascalia, 12(2): 270.

- Godron M. 1852. 'Ambrosiacées' in: Grenier M., Godron M. (eds.), *Flore de France*, Vol. 2(2). Paris, Besançon, pp. 393-395.
- Greuter W., Raus Th. 1995. Med-Checklist Notulae, 16. Wildenowia, 25: 171-176.

- Greuter W. 2006. 'Compositae (pro parte majore)'. in: Greuter W. & Raab-Straube E. von (ed.), Compositae. Euro+Med Plantbase - the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity. http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/
- Hansen A. 1976. 'Ambrosia L.' in: Tutin T. G., Heywood V. H., Burges N. A., Moore D.M., Valentine D.H., Walters S. M. & Webb D. A. (eds.), Flora Europaea, Vol. 4, Plantaginaceae to Compositae (and Rubiaceae), 1<sup>st</sup> paperback printing 2010, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 142-143.
- Insausti P., Grimoldi A.A. 2006. Gap disturbance triggers the recolonization of the clonal plant *Ambrosia tenuifolia* in a flooding grassland of Argentina. *Austral Ecology*, 31: 828-836.
- Insausti P., Soriano A., Sanchez R.A. 1995 Effects of flood-influenced factors on seed germination of *Ambrosia tenuifolia. Oecologia*, 103: 27-132.
- Jávorka S. 1925. Magyar flora (Flora Hungarica) (I, II). Budapest, 1307 pp.
- Karrer G. 2018. *Ambrosia*. In: Guarino R. & La Rosa M. (eds.), Flora d'Italia, seconda edizione, Vol. 3: 808-810.
- Karrer G. 2019. 51. AMBROSIA L. Ambrosia. In: Guarino R. & La Rosa M. (eds.), Flora d'Italia, seconda edizione, Vol. 4: p. 861.
- Karrer G., Chauvel B., von Valkenburg J., Fried G., Leitsch-Vitalos M., Kropf M., Citterio S. 2016. *Ambrosia* in Europe; habitus, leaves, seeds. EU-COST-Action FA-1203 'Sustainable management of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in Europe', 2 pp. http://internationalragweedsociety.org/smarter/identification-leaflet-on-all-6-ragweed-species-ineurope/. [accessed 18 Aug. 2020].
- Lawalree A. 1947. Les Ambrosia adventices en Europe Occidentale. Bulletin du Jardin botanique de l'État a Bruxelles,18(3/4): 305-315.
- León de la Luz J.L., Rebman J.P. 2010. A new *Ambrosia* (Asteraceae) from the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico. *Bol. Soc. Bot. Méx.* 86: 65-70.
- Luebert F., García N. 2020. Notas sobre el género *Ambrosia* (Asteraceae: Ambrosiinae) en Chile. *Chloris Chilensis*, 23(1): 77-83.
- Montagnani C., Gentili R., Smith M., Guarino M. F., Citterio S. 2017. The Worldwide spread, success, and impact of ragweed (*Ambrosia* spp.). *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 36(3): 139-178.
- Montserrat P. 1954. La Ambrosia tenuifolia Spreng. en España. Collect. Bot. (Barcelona). 4: 311-313.
- Nelson J. C. 1917. The introduction of foreign weeds in ballast as illustrated by ballast-plants at Linnton, Oregon. *Torreya*,17(9): 151-160.
- Oprea A. 2005. *Lista critică a plantelor vasculare din România*. Iași: Edit. Univ. "Alexandru Ioan Cuza", 668 pp.
- Orchard A.E. 2015. 'Ambrosia'. in Wilson A. (ed), Flora of Australia, Vol. 37, Asteraceae 1. Melbourne: ABRS/CSIRO Australia, pp. 559-562.
- Orsenigo S., Astuti G., Bartolucci F., Citterio S., Conti F., Garrido-Becerra J.A., Gentili R., Giusso del Galdo G., Jiménez-Martínez J.F., Karrer G., Lahora A., Martínez-Hernández F., Mendoza-Fernández A.J., Merlo M.E., Montagnani C., Mota J., Nicolella G., Pérez-García F.J., Peruzzi L., Robles J., Roma-Marzio F., Salmerón-Sánchez E., Sánchez-Gómez P., Serra L., Stinca A., Fenu G. 2017. Global and Regional IUCN Red List Assessments: 3. *Italian Botanist*, 3: 83-98.
- Payne W.W. 1964. A re-evaluation of the genus Ambrosia (Compositae). Journal of Arnold Arboretum, 45(4): 401-438.
- Payne W.W. 1966. Notes on the ragweeds of South America with the description of two new species: *Ambrosia pannosa* and *A. parvifolia* (Compositae). *Brittonia*, 18: 28-37.
- Payne W.W. 1970. Preliminary reports on flora of Wisconsin, no. 62. Compositae VI. Compositae family VI. The genus Ambrosia – the ragweeds. Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts and Letters, 58: 353-371.
- Pignatti, S. 1982. Flora d'Italia, vol. 3, Bologna.
- Planchon G. 1864. Des modifications de la Flore de Montpellier depuis le XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle jusqu'a nos jours. Paris. 57 pp.
- Randall R.P. 2017. A global compendium of weeds. 3rd Edition. Perth, Western Australia, 3654 pp.
- Reed C.F., Hughes R.O. 1970. Selected weeds of the United States. Washington D.C: Agriculture Handbook No. 366, Agricultural Research Service, 463 pp.
- Rich T.C.G. 1994. Ragweeds (Ambrosia L.) in Britain. Grana, 33(1): 38-43.

- Robinson H. 1981. A revision of the tribal and subtribal limits of the Heliantheae (Asteraceae). Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press. 102 pp.
- Rojas-Sandoval J. 2018. Datasheet. *Ambrosia tenuifolia* (lacy ragweed), last modified 2020. CABI, Invasive Species Compendium. <u>https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/109862#toreferences</u>
- Sârbu I., Ştefan N., Oprea A. 2013. *Plante vasculare din România. Determinator ilustrat de teren.* București: Edit. Victor B Victor.
- Sîrbu C., Oprea A. 2011. *Plante adventive în Flora României*. Iași: Edit. "Ion Ionescu de la Brad", 733 pp.
- Smoljaninova L.A. 1999. 'Ambrosia L.' in Schischkin B.K. (ed), *Flora of the USSR*, Vol. 25 (Translated from Russian: *Flora SSSR*, Vol. 25. Moscow-Leningrad: Akademiya Nauk SSSR, 1959). Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Libraries, pp. 483-486.

Sprengel C. 1826. Systema Vegetabilium, ed. decima sexta, Vol. 3. Gottingae.

- Stinchfield Ferris R. 1960. Compositae, in Abrams L., Stinchfield Ferris R. (eds), Illustrated Flora of the Pacific States Washington, Oregon, and California, Vol. 4, Bignoniaceae to Compositae. Washington, Oregon, and California: Stanford University Press.
- Strother J.L. 2006. 'Ambrosia' in Flora of North America Editorial Committee (eds.), Flora of North America, North of Mexico, vol. 21 Magnoliophyta: Asteridae, Part 8: Asteraceae, Part 3, pp. 10-18. Oxford University Press.
- Şuşnia I., Oprea A., Samuil C., Huţanu M., Sîrbu C. 2020. Invasion of Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. in the lower course of the Siret River. Romanian Journal of Grassland and Forage Crops, 22: 33-54.
- Thellung, A. 1912. La flore adventice de Montpellier. *Mémoires de la Société nationale des Sciences naturelles et mathématiques de Cherbourg*, 38: 57-728.
- Tomasello S., Stuessy T.F., Oberprieler Ch., Heubl G. 2019. Ragweeds and relatives: Molecular phylogenetics of *Ambrosiinae* (*Asteraceae*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 130: 104-114.

Verloove F. 2005. New records of interesting xenophytes in Spain. Lazaroa, 26: 141-148.

Verloove F., Aymerich P. 2020. Chorological novelties for the alien flora of northeastern Catalonia (Iberian Peninsula). *Bulleti de la Institució Catalana d'Història Natural*, 84: 137-153.

Vignolo-Lutati F. 1935. Il genere "Ambrosia" in Italia. Nuovo Giornale Botanico Italiano, 42(2): 364–378.

- Viţălariu Gh., Zanoschi V., Turenschi E. 1977. Contribuții fitocorologice din Moldova și Dobrogea. Analele Şti. Univ. "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" Iași, sect. II, a. Biol., 23: 25-27.
- Yair Y., Goldwasser Y., Sibony M., Eizenberg H., Rubin B. 2020. Differential germination and growth response to temperature of three *Ambrosia* weed species-implications for future spread. *Front. Agron.*, 2: 1-10.
- Yair Y., Sibony M., Goldberg A., Confino-Cohen R., Rubin B., Shahar E. 2019. Ragweed species (*Ambrosia* spp.) in Israel: distribution and allergenicity. *Aerobiologia*, 35: 85-95.