XXIX. The History and Description of a Minute Epiphyllous Lycoperdon, growing on the Leaves of the Anemone nemorosa. By Richard Pulteney, M. D. F. R. S. S. Lond. and Edin. and F. L. S.

Read June 5, 1792.

It is many years fince I was first acquainted with the production, of which I now beg to lay an account before the Linnean Society. But, although it had frequently occurred to me, I had neglected to give it an accurate examination by means of glasses; having rested in the opinion which I had met with in several modern authors, that those Tubercula, or Puncta, as they have been most commonly styled, on the leaves of the Anemone nemorosa, were the eggs of an insect.

An opportunity of feeing fome of these plants early this Spring, put it in my power to give these appearances a more exact scrutiny; the result of which convinced me, that these tubercles were themselves a vegetable production of a parasitical kind, and of the order of Fungi: of which, it may be remarked, that very sew species are known to vegetate on the perfect and living foliage, although many inhabit the dead and putrid leaves, of plants.

Before I describe more minutely the Fungus in question, I will briefly recite what I collect concerning the plant on which it is Vol. II.

R r found;

found; which, on account of these tubercles, has, by some of those botanical authors who wrote soon after the restoration of botany, been considered as a distinct species. Hence some account of the plant becomes necessary to illustrate the subsequent observations; since, if I mistake not, some errors relating to it have remained undetected for upwards of two centuries.

After confulting all the older authors which I have it in my power to refer to, I can find no one who notices the fingularity observable in the leaves of the Anemone, prior to Thalius, a physician of Northausen in Germany; who appears to have been no inconsiderable botanist, at the period when he wrote. He with great diligence made a catalogue of the plants of the Hartz, or Black Forest, which was undertaken at the request of CAMERARIUS, and published by him after the death of the author, under the title of Sylva Hercynia, in 1588. In this work the author describes what he calls Ranunculus Martii tertium Genus Cordi & Tragi. This genus he divides into five kinds or species, among which are included the Anemone nemorosa, and ranunculoides of LINNÆUS, and the moderns, I have only to notice what he remarks of his Quintum Genus, of which, however, it is unnecessary to detail his description at large. It is fufficient to observe, that he describes it as being always a sterile plant, and concludes with the following characteristic observation, which I give in his own words-" Hoc autem præ reliquis hujus " ordinis generibus folia hæc peculiare obtinent, quod in dorsc "frequentibus veluti stigmatibus, seu punctulis protuberantibus " sint picturata exasperataque." Sylv. Hercyn. p. 98.

Caspar Bauhine, in his Phytopinax, p. 320. (which with respect to many of the plants is a more correct work than the Pinax itself) comprehends this variety under the synonyms of the Anemone nemorosa, adding, "Est et qui in dorso frequentibus punctulis protube"rosa, adding, "Est et qui in dorso frequentibus punctulis protube"rantibus

"rantibus exasperatur:" which observation John Bauhine, his laborious brother, repeats in the Historia Plantarum, tom. iii. p. 413. Caspar Bauhine, again, in the Pinax itself, makes it his seventh species of the Anemones sylvestres, under the name of Anemone nemorosa sterilis, foliis punctatis, p. 177.

I find other authors also characterising this plant, as a variety of the Anemone nemorosa, by the epithets or trivial adjuncts stigmatoides, insectorum vitium, &c. Such are Maurice Hoffmann, in his Flora Altdorfina in 1662, and BROMELIUS, in his Chloris Gothica in 1694. But not having an opportunity of referring to these authors, I am unable to fay how far their observations extend. It seems, however, that Hoffmann was the first who ascribed these appearances to the work of infects: but he does not fay they were the eggs, but seems rather to consider them as the effect of punctures only. Mentzel, in his Index Nominum Plantarum multilinguis, printed in 1682, records it under the name of Ranunculus nemorosus stigmatoides, p. 258. But, in his Pugillus rariorum Plantarum, he goes much farther, and caught the idea of its resemblance to a Fern. "Hic absque flore crescit, et folia subtus tamque rubigine adspersa, "habet quasi in capillarem plantam degener." By this description it evidently appears, that MENTZEL had examined the plant in the mature state of these Fungilli, when indeed it bears a notable resemblance to a small Fern. The root of the Anemone nemorosa is known to creep in a horizontal direction; and MENTZEL observes, that, unlike to the flowering species, which puts forth the leaf from the middle of the root, this sterile plant always sends up the stalk from one of the extremities. I mention this, since a few obfervations of my own, made by digging up the roots, tend to confirm the remark of this author. He notices further the length of the footstalk or petiole, which, with a paleness of the leaves, Rr2 distinguish

distinguish these plants, at first sight, from the flowering plants of this kind.

Among the writers of our own country, Mr. Ray first records the plant as a variety under Caspar Bauhine's name from the Pinax as above quoted; to which he adds, "Anemones sylvestris species "degener esse videtur." Hist. Plant. i. p. 624. Thus stood the matter until the publication of the third edition of Ray's Synopsis, by Dillenius; when a leaf of this Anemone, laden with these tubercles, which had been found by Dillenius, in Bobart's Hortus Siccus, had so far imposed upon the Professor, that he judged it to be a new species of Fern*, and introduced it into the Synopsis under the name of Filix lobata globulis pulverulentis undique aspersa, p. 125. tab. 3. sig. I.

Whether Dr. HILL himself detected this error of Dillenius I am not informed; but as far as I know he was the first who revealed it, in his British Herbal, published in 1756, p. 12. and this with a slippancy of remark every where too conspicuous throughout that work, and which, in this instance, does less credit to his own candour and ingenuousness, than it detracts from the accuracy of Dillenius, whom he tacitly endeavours to ridicule, under the appearance of rescuing the memory of Ray from the imputation of this error, although he must have known that no botanist could place it to Ray's account. I make this observation, because, in reality, it is as little wonderful that the plant, without the help of glasses, should, from these tubercles, have been mistaken for a Fern, if viewed when the Fungi were in their last period, verging to decay, as that, in their younger state, they should be mistaken for the eggs of an insect. Dr. Hill himself probably might have seen the

plant

^{*} Since the above was written I am enabled to add, by information from the present learned Professor of Botany at Oxford, that he has seen among Dillenius's papers a correction of the mistake by Dillenius himself. June 1793.

plant in the latter state, since his detection of the error (if it was his own) was but partial; he having, after all, considered the puncta as being effected by insects. He actually says, that "a small winged "insect is apt to deposit its eggs on the under part of the leaves "of this species," (speaking of the Anemone nemorosa) "and they "somewhat resemble the round dots in which the seeds of sern "are lodged." What degree of credit is due to this account, will be manifest from the subsequent history of the plant.

Although after this time neither Hudson, Lightfoot, Martyn, Lyons, Relhan*, nor any other author takes notice of this Filix lobata, yet some foreign writers of the most respectable note continued to advance the old opinion relating to these appearances on the leaves of the Anemone. "Foliis stigmatibus ex insectorum ictu notatis," are the words of Haller, Hist. Plant. Helv. tom. ii. p. 64; and the accurate Pollich, in his Historia Plantarum Palatinatus electoralis, adds, when speaking of this plant—"Variat quoque ubi folia minora ac latiora erant, lobata, subtus punctis nigris conspersa, quæ ab insectorum ictu nascuntur."

After having thus traced the history of this production down to the present time, I must observe, that, although it would be unwarrantable in me to affert that no insect ever deposits its eggs on the under side of the leaves of the Anemone nemorosa, yet I suspect that the want of a precise examination of these puncta has been the sole reason of perpetuating an error, and that these puncta, whenever found, have been in reality, not of animal, but of vegetable origin: and I cannot help presuming that the description I shall give, and the reasons hereafter alleged, but above all a view of the plant itself, which I herewith submit to the inspection of the Gentlemen of the Society, will sufficiently establish this opinion.

Before I had examined these appearances more minutely, and with glasses, I had indeed doubted whether they were owing to the operation of, or were indeed the eggs of infects, from the circumstance, among others, of their being always found sparfedly placed on the leaf, and not in the aggregated mode, as insects usually deposit their eggs. A favourable opportunity, this Spring, of seeing some of these leaves loaded with tubercles, confirmed my suspicions that they were not the eggs, the punctures, or even the work of insects, in any way whatever. Upon examining them with one of Mr. Adams's pocket lenses of three glasses united, I observed, that these tubercles were not merely placed on the outer coat, but that they originated beneath the cuticle or external film of the leaf; and that the young white Fungus might be discerned through this thin green coat. Others were seen just emerging with the coat of the leaf lacerated, and spread on the side of the Fungus. On each leaf they are very often seen in different stages of growth; some just appearing, others out, and with a puncture, or pore, just discernible on the top, which is the beginning of the aperture, that by and by enlarges, and the whole assumes a globular cup-like form, with lacerated edges, the cavity being lined with white dust, among which minute fibres or filaments may be discerned. When the Fungus fades, it becomes, from being perfectly white, first yellowish, then brown, and finally, each Fungus is resolved into a farinose particle resembling the fructification of a Polypody. This minute Fungus is somewhat allied in its habit to the Lycoperdon epiphyllum LINNÆI, as found on the leaves of Colts-foot; but differs in not being aggregate, nor of an orange colour. Some of them, at a certain stage of growth, bear some resemblance to the figures of the Carpobolus E. F. tab. 101. in the Genera Plantarum nova of MICHELI; but the edges are lacerated in our Fungus, and not in any instance divided into smoothedged,

edged, regularly shaped segments, like those of the above-mentioned sigures; neither have I, as yet, observed the appearance of a Volva. To this may be added, that, during all its state of growth, and at maturity, it preserves uniformly a white colour, changing when dead into a yellowish brown.

As far as my observations extend, I judge, that this Fungus is seldom found on full grown, vigorous, and perfect, or slowering plants; but on the leaves of seedling plants, or of the first year's growth. The plants on which it is found are usually smaller than the others, the leaves of a paler colour, and the footstalk more lengthened, the whole giving the idea of a weakened or morbid state: but whether these Fungilli render the plant always sterile, an epithet which Caspar Bauhine and other ancient authors have applied to it, or whether they occupy it in consequence of its having become morbid, I do not decide *.

Having made my earliest observations on this Fungus, when in its younger state of growth, and cup-like form, I hesitated whether it should be ranked with the Peziza or Lycoperdon genus: but in marking its progress to its old and decaying state, there remained no longer any doubt in which genus it ought to be classed. I judge it may not unaptly be named and described as follows:

NOMEN.

Lycoperdon (Anemones) parasiticum sphæricum sessile discretum album; ore multisido lacero; pulvere albo.

DESCRIPTIO.

Tubercula viridescentia, discreta, magnitudine inter se nec multum discrepantes, intra folii cuticulam primum discernuntur: mox erumpunt sungilli albi, mammiformes, poro in summitate notati:

^{*} Mr. Relhan observed the contrary.

fensim dehiscunt in cyathos urceolatos sive orbiculatos albissimi coloris; marginibus subæqualibus in lacinias numerosas sectis. Cavitas dum maturescunt fungilli, pulpa, sive lanugine silamentosa, pulverem album fundente, repletur. Vacuo per maturitatem demum cyatho, pulvis per totum folii discum dispergitur. Senescens, slavescentem, et per ætatem extremam subsuscum, induit colorem: totus demum fungillus in cæspitem quasi vel globulum farinosum, nigrescentem, filicum, vel specialiter polypodii, fructisicationem æmulantem, contabescit.

Lycoperdo epiphyllo Linnæi, in pagina inferiore Tussilaginis Farfaræ solii, crescenti, assine, sed non idem; dissert enim quod discretum semper nec aggregatum. Color albus, nec aurantius; quod cyathi margines nec in octo tantum vel novem lacinias secti, sed plurimas et irregulares.

Locus.

Habitat in dorso Anemones nemorosæ foliorum virentium.

TEMPUS.

Verno viget tempore, dum planta cui insidet, virescit. Juniora folia plantarum fortè annotinarum, potissimum videtur occupare hic fungus; et plantam, ut suspicor, sterilem esse reddit. Sparsim et sine ullo ordine, dorso folii solum, innascitur, inter omnes ejus-dem generis, minimus hic fungulus; neque consluens neque aggregatus, rarius enim duo contigui videntur. Decem, quindecim, vel viginti, immo etiam triginta sæpè plura, ad centum rarius, in uno foliolo numerantur.

a sustandan ni inop atameka pemaidie illigani inopina