# How to understand cryptogams? The development of research methods and their impact on the knowledge of cryptogams

## A tribute to Josef POELT

#### Franz OBERWINKLER\*

**Abstract:** This article intends to shed light on increasing knowledge of cryptogam biology over 400 years of research. The progress in biological disciplines involved, is tightly bound to new research methods. Starting with recognizing algae, mosses, ferns and fungi in the field, collecting and identifying them was a consequence. It required classifications for handling the rapidly growing number of species. Soon, it became apparent that lightmicroscopy was an indispensable method of all kinds of cryptogam studies, especially for elucidating their cellular constructions, an essential basis for studying their functions. Electron microscopy broke down the limitations of magnification and resolution in light microscopy. The detection and understanding of subcellular structures revolutionized biology as such, and that of cryptogams in particular. Now, physiological studies with chemical and physicochemical methods of earlier days could be coupled more and more with structural characters of cell organelles. Increasing knowledge on metabolisms and applicable products strengthened efforts in biotechnology and led to new industrial disciplines. Surprisingly, sequencing techniques of nucleic acids were essentially developed in biology and not in chemistry. Their rapidly spreading application to all kinds of organisms, including cryptogams, is unique and marks a most revolutionary period in biology. It covers now developmental, metabolic, ecological and evolutionary studies, and dominates biological research to a very high percentage. The mass of data produced since then, increasing continously, requires bioinformatics as an additional discipline in biology. Publications are an essential part of research communication. Methods of publishing scientific results have



changed drastically in recent times. Multi-author papers in high impact journals seem to be the clue for young scientists to survive under extreme conditions of competition and to succeed in a scientific career. Even marketing strategies for quickly recruiting new data or refreshing cryptic ones are heavily practised and are made attractive by evecatcher titles of papers.

Fig. 1: Josef POELT collecting lichens in the Páramo de Piñango, Venezuela, surrounded by *Espeletia schultzii* and *E. lutescens*, 4200 m. March 16, 1969. (Photo: F. OBERWINKLER)

<sup>\*</sup> submitted September 2015

## **1. Introduction**

Why to review the factors determining the progress in cryptogam research in a historical context?

First, cryptogams were and are an integral part of plant concepts of various kinds. Second, over a long time, competent individual researchers have covered the whole field of plant biology, including cryptogams. Finally, this is a tribute to Josef POELT (1924–1995), one of the great experts in plant and cryptogam research of the last generation (Fig. 1). His broad and profound knowledge of plant diversity, ecology and systematics was a challenge to many of his students to study cryptogams. Therefore, this article aims to examine causes and reactions for research progress in cryptogamic disciplines under historic viewpoints (Fig. 2).

## What are cryptogams?

Traditionally, cryptogams comprise algae, bryophytes, ferns and fungi, an obviously heterogeneous assembly of plants and plant-like organisms. They have in common cryptic reproductive organs, at least in comparison with angiosperms. However, even those, sometimes have hidden sexual structures, as for example *Ficus*, and were therefore originally grouped within cryptogams (LINNÉ 1735).

In general, cryptogams are much smaller than phanerogams, and many of them are easily to be overlooked. Others, like fungi and small algae, usually are rather ephemeral and therefore require intensive and time consuming fieldwork for sufficient observation and sampling. All together, with certain exceptions, cryptogams do not play a prominent role in the appearance of land vegetation types, however they do very much in terms of functional aspects. Several of these will be discussed in this paper. Light is shed on the fact that integrative biology is not only the application of different methods in a single research object, but is a challenge for considering habitat conditions of different magnitude as biospheres for organismic interactions under the influence of abiotic parameters.

# 2. The beginning: collecting and classifying cryptogams

In exploring and cataloguing plants in pre-LINNÉan times, cryptogams were only occasionally considered, except of those of medical use in folk medicine (e.g. FUCHS 1542, 1543, LOBELIUS 1581). In contrast to seed plants, general and regional studies of lower plants tardily came into progress.

The first who tried a classification of fungi was Pietro Andrea MATTIOLI (1501–1577). His fellow countryman Gianbattista DELLA PORTA (1539–1615)

considered fungal spores as seeds (1588). The Dutch botanist Carolus CLUSIUS (Charles DE L'ÉCLUSE, or L'ESCLUSE, 1526–1609) described hungarian mushrooms (AUMÜLLER 1983), based on watercolours, probably painted by his nephew, Esaye LE GILLON (AUMÜLLER 1983). The Swiss brothers, physicians and botanists JOHANN (1541–1612) and Caspar BAUHIN (1560–1624) were eminent early contributors for plant and fungal diversity with 5,226 species in "Historia plantarum universalis" (BAUHIN 1650–1651) and approximately 6,000 species in "Pinax theatri botanici" (BAUHIN 1623).



Fig. 2: Simplified scheme of major steps in investigations on fungi, lichens and algae, depending on the availability of research methods over a period of 300 years. Lichens were identified as organisms composed of fungi and algae, and both partners of diverse origins. Different flagellum types in algae indicated different relationships, later verfied by electron microscopy, biochemical and molecular data. False mildews, Oomycota (Oomycetes) could be matched with heterokontic algae, again subsequently confirmed by ultrastrutural and chemical features. Life cycle characteristics of slime molds were already early indicative of their amoeboid relationship. Infection experiments confirmed the host alternations in many rust fungi. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

A system of fungi as an independent organismic group, proposed by Joseph Pitton DE TOURNEFORT (1656–1708) in 1700 (TOURNEFORT 1700) was adopted by Johann Jacob DILLEN (DILLENIUS 1684–1747) and Carl von LINNÉ (1707–1778). DILLEN also studied the reproduction of ferns and bryophytes (1741). In his flora of Switzerland (1742), Albrecht von HALLER (1708–1777) included

also cryptogams. A correspondent of LINNÉ, the Bavarian priest and naturalist Jacob Christian Schaeffer (1718–1790) published four remarkable volumes on fungi of Bavaria, including the Palatinate of those days (SCHÄFFER 1761, 1774). One of LINNÉ's students, Eric ACHARIUS (1757–1819) studied lichens intensively for the first time (ACHARIUS 1789, 1803, 1810, 1814), thus, he is generally known as the father of lichenology. - Christian Hendrik PERSOON (1761–1836), of Dutch and German origin, was born in the Cape Province, worked in Germany and France, and provided a classification of fungi (PER-SOON 1794, 1801), recognizing the rusts, smuts and gasteromycetes as separate groups. He introduced the term "mycology", and adopted the generic name Puccinia from MICHELI, but used it differently, and proposed Puccinia graminis PERS., the scientific name for the black rust. PERSOON'S contemporary, the British allround botanist Samuel Frederick GRAY (1766-1828) published a natural arrangement of British plants, including the fungi (GRAY 1821) and the German universal botanist Christian Gottfried NEES von ESENBECK (1776-1858) treated fresh water algae (NEES 1814), fungal systematics (NEES 1816– 1817) and European Hepaticae (NEES 1833–1838).

The habit of mushrooms, often aesthetically appealing, their ephemeral but mostly habitat predictable appearance, and the curious mixture of edible and poisonous species, always made them rather attractive. Mushroom illustrations were early produced as coloured woodblock prints in herbal books and watercolour plates as mentioned above (CLUSIUS 1601). The volumes with coloured copperplate prints, "Icones plantarum et analysis partium..." (SCHMIDEL 1747–1797) of the physician and botanist Casimir Christoph Schmidel (1718– 1792) initiated attempts for similar books, e.g. by Schäffer (1762, 1774), Bulliard (1780–1798), Batsch (1783–1789), Bolton (1788–1790), Sower-BY (1795–1815), GREVILLE (1823–1828), KROMBHOLZ (1831–1846) and COR-DA (1837–1854). Many other regional overviews or monographic treatments with colour illustrations of various quality were published until recently, for instance in Central Europe by Bresadola (1929–1930), Schaeffer (Russula 1942–1943), NEUHOFF (Lactarius 1956), MOSER (Phlegmacium 1960), Po-ELT & JAHN (1963), SINGER (Boletaceae 1965, 1967), EINHELLINGER (Russula 1985), STANGL (Inocybe 1989) and MARXMÜLLER (Russula 2014). - Worldwide, numerous regional field guide books for mushrooms and other fungi, illustrated by colour photos, were produced and fill the market, especially since they became comparatively cheap through digital photography. Meanwhile, this modern trend covers nearly all organismic groups whose species can be distinguished, at least to some degree, macroscopically.

One of the most influential mycologists of the macroscopic era of mushroom research was Elias Magnus FRIES (1794–1878) with his "Observationes mycologicae" (1815–1818), "Systema mycologicum" (1821–1832), "Epicrisis systematis mycologici" (1836–1838) and "Hymenomycetes Europaei" (1874). In addition, he also summarized the knowledge of lichens of his time (1831). The

founder of the French mycological society, Société mycologique de France, Lucien QUÉLET (1832–1899), studied mushrooms of his home country and described several new species. The protestant priest and teacher Paul KUMMER (1834–1912) published books for the identification of mushrooms, mosses and ferns, and Petter Adolf KARSTEN (1834–1917) explored the mycoflora of Finland (KARSTEN 1871–1879). Lewis David von SCHWEINITZ (1780–1834) first explored the fungi of the Oberlausitz together with Johann Baptista von ALBERTINI (1769–1831) as first author (1805), then those of North Carolina (1822) and middle Northern America (1832). "Mr. Mushroom", William Alphonso MURRILL (1869–1957), collected more than 75,000 specimens of agarics, hydnums and polypores, preferably in North America, and described around 1,700 new species.

The registration and documentation of biodiversity is an everlasting and meritorious task with more than 300,000 listed publications (Web of Science, June 2015). Several articles in this volume are dealing with these topics: fungi of Bavaria by Andreas BRESINSKY (2018), and of the Tropics by Meike PIEPENBRING et al. (2018); lichens of Germany by Volkmar WIRTH et al. (2018), lichens of the Alps by Peter O. BILOVITZ & Helmut MAYRHOFER (2018) and lichens of Tibet and the Himalajas by Walter OBERMAYER (2018).

First activities to recognize cryptogams:

- Observation in the field of small plants and plant-like organisms: cryptogams
- Classification in algae, mosses, ferns and fungi
- Documentation of species diversities in regional floras
- Habit and macrostructure illustrations
- Regional and general taxonomic treatments
- Global biodiversity registration

## 3. A first research boom: light microscopy

A gradual turn from macroscopic recognition to microscopic characters for the distinction of cryptogams marks the general transition from the older collectors to the younger research generations. This chapter intends to articulate the new dimension for understanding organisms by revealing their cellular body plans. No other method than light microscopy has the allround potential for this purpose. Therefore, this method never lost its importance in structural studies of organisms. The examples mentioned here are intended to show this fact, however, they should not at all be considered as a comprehensive historical outline.

The Dutch spectacle-makers Hans JANSEN and his son Zacharias (1585pre1632) are said to have invented a compound microscope, and Marcello MALPIGHI (1620–1694), Robert HOOKE (1635–1703) and Anton VAN LEEUWEN-HOEK (1632–1723) were the first to use it for studying biological samples. Pier Antonio MICHELI's (1679–1737) "Nova genera plantarum iuxta TOURNEFORTII methodum disposita" (MICHELI 1729) was a major step in the knowledge of cryptogams, including fungi with microscopic structures like spores. It was the merit of Johannes Hedwig (1730-1799) to study cryptogams with the light microscope intensively (1799–1803), and to succeed in identifying antheridia and archegonia as sexual organs of mosses (1798, 1801). To differentiate fungi from seed plants, HEDWIG introduced the term "spore" for propagules of fungi which are produced in "sporangia" (HEDWIG 1798). - There were eminent fieldworkers and fungal taxonomists at the end of the 18. and the 19. century in Central Europe who also used the light microscope for improving their investigations. The French physician and microscopist Joseph Henri Léveillé (1796–1870) discovered asci with ascospores and basidia producing basidiospores (Léveil-LÉ 1837), and Louis René TULASNE (1815–1885) studied the developmental stages of the rusts (TULASNE 1853, 1854a), improved their classification and underlined the differences to smuts (TULASNE 1853, 1854b). Many of his contributions (TULASNE & TULASNE 1847) were illustrated by excellent microscopic drawings of his brother Charles TULASNE (1816–1884). The golden period of Italian lichenology, 1830–1861, is treated in this volume by Pier Luigi NIMIS.

The Swiss Karl Wilhelm Nägeli and Simon Schwendener were prominent microscopists, at first collaborating in Munich, and attracting various talented students continuing light microscopic investigations on cryptogams (Fig. 3). NÄGELI discovered the spermatozoids of ferns, and Schwendener was heavily attacked when he published his light microscopic discovery of the dual nature of lichens. Paul LORENTZ and Hubert LEITGEB studied the anatomy and ontogeny of bryophytes, while Karl Eduard CRAMER focused on algae, and Carl PRANTL on ferns. Cell division studies were the objectives of Maximilian WESTERMAIER, and Carl CORRENS became a well-known geneticist and one of the rediscoverers of MENDEL's laws. The different plant cell and tissue structures, elaborated by the eminent microscopists, Heinrich SCHENCK and Ernst KÜSTER, were indicative for their functional specialisations. Thus, research in plant anatomy gradually switched into physiological and metabolic studies. Pioneers for applying new chemical and physico-chemical methods were Gottlieb Haberlandt, Georg Volkens, Wilhelm Ruhland and Kurt Noack. Other fields were applied disciplines, like agronomic botany, in which Otto WARBURG succeeded, and water ecology, including algae as bioindicators, an objective of Richard KOLKWITZ.

The genealogies of prominent researchers firmly document their broad academic education that allowed them to cover several groups of organisms effectively and to be capable of developing new and progressive methods.

How to understand cryptogams



Fig. 3: The academic schools of Karl Wilhelm NÄGELI and Simon SCHWENDENER. This simplified overview illustrates the basic role of microscopic studies in diverse cryptogams. The knowledge of cellular ground plans is essential for all groups of organisms and for all kinds of research methods. It underlines the historical importance of structural biology for the development, the progress and further understanding of other disciplines, like anatomy, histology, developmental and metabolic studies, physiology, and genetics. – Another important context is documented in this scheme: two centres of leading researchers and their schools with far reaching influence. – Finally, the approximate timespan from 1850–1950 is covered here. It is intended to show the dependency of research progress from newly invented technical methods, and the rapid dominance of objectives dealing with functional aspects. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

Anton DE BARY (1831–1888) was a successful academic teacher whose students and their pupils continued effectively in different fields of cryptogamic research (Fig. 4).

In all disciplines of cryptogamic research, the use of the light microscope expanded the understanding of these organisms on the level of their cellular constructions with major implications on functional aspects, including the cellular interaction with their substrates. Mycologically, this early period culminated in DE BARY's "Morphologie und Physiologie der Pilze, Flechten und Myxomyceten" (1866), and "Vergleichende Morphologie und Biologie der Pilze, Mycetozoen und Bacterien" (1884), books widely distributed and estimated through the early English translation "Comparative morphology and biology of the fungi, Mycetozoa and bacteria" (1887).



Fig. 4: The academic schools of Anton DE BARY and Friedrich OLTMANNS. A timespan of 150 years of botanical research is covered here, indicating the impact of strongly influential scientists. The keywords for the research fields of individual scientists have to be taken as cut-outs of their activities. However, they clearly indicate the broad spectrum of research with new techniques approached by them, including the transitions to higher plants. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

A high standard of correct cellular illustrations of fungi was already reached in the times of DE BARY (l.c.), TULASNE and TULASNE (1847), BREFELD (1881, 1883, 1888, 1895a, 1895b, 1912), SAPPIN-TROUFFY (1896), THAXTER (1896– 1931) and others. In "Les hyménomycètes d'Europe" (PATOUILLARD 1887), Narcisse Théophile PATOUILLARD (1854–1926) put particularly emphasis on microscopic characters of higher basidomycetes. John H. CORNER (1906–1996) published monographs of difficult and species-rich plants (*Ficus*) and fungal genera (*Clavaria*, CORNER 1950 and others). He was one of the first studying and illustrating the cellular morphology of higher basidiomycetes (e.g. COR-NER 1932, 1935). In addition, he was a pioneer of exploring and documenting Southeast Asian fungal flora over many years. In a multi-annual project John ERIKSSON (1921–1995) and coauthors, published the "The Corticiaceae of North Europe" (1973 following) with excellent line drawings by the senior author.



Fig. 5: The academic school of Josef POELT and its ancestors, originating from Anton DE BARY (compare Fig. 4), and leading through Karl VON GOEBEL to Karl SUESSENGUTH, POELT's doctorate supervisor. POELT himself considered Ferdinand ARNOLD as his most important scientific advisor through his collections and publications. Five of POELT's students became university teachers. They are listed here chronologically by the year of their doctoral examination. (Graphic: F. OBER-WINKLER)

Josef POELT founded his world-wide studies of lichens on macro- and microscopic characteristics. His impact on research in cryptogams and his academic school (Fig. 5) are separately dealt with in this volume by Hannes HERTEL (2018). Nevertheless, his three most influential publications on European lichens, "Bestimmungsschlüssel europäischer Flechten" (POELT 1969, POELT & VĚZDA 1977, 1981) shall be mentioned here, too. Some special monographic works are those on foliicolous lichens by SANTESSON (1952) and LÜCKING (2008). In addition, other selected regional lichen floras are listed chronologically: CLAUZADE and ROUX flora (1985) of Western Europe, written in Esperanto, lichens of North America by BRODO et al. (2001), of the Greater Sonoran Desert region by NASH et al. vols. 1–3 (2002, 2004, 2007), flora of New Zealand lichens by GALLOWAY (2007) and of Great Britain and Ireland by SMITH et al. (2009).

Karl von GOEBEL's "Organographie der Pflanzen", his magnum opus of comparative morphology of plants, was published in three editions with three volumes (1928–1933), 2885 pages and 2608 illustrations, most of them origi-

nal drawings of von GOEBEL (see Fig. 4) from microscopic preparations. The second volume contains bryophytes and pteridophytes (von GOEBEL 1930).

Original illustrations are of essential value because they directly document the quality of the author's investigations. In addition, GÄUMANN (1959, p.11) quoted "that every illustration somehow reflects the personality of the author", a statement that should be kept in mind by textbook writers and students.

From "Rabenhorst – Kryptogamenflora von Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz", only "Die Lebermoose Europas", part I and II by Müller (1954, 1957), with excellent microscopic illustrations, are cited. Another long lasting great work is "The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of North America" (SCHUSTER 1966, 1969, 1980 and 1992, cited only with four volumes). The illustrated Moss Flora of Antarctica (OCHYRA et al. 2008) is a recent and outstanding study.

For algae, the basic work of OLTMANNS (Fig. 4) in three volumes (OLTMANNS 1922, 1923) has to be mentioned. "Die Süsswasser-Flora Deutschlands, Österreichs und der Schweiz", a multi-author series, was founded by PASCHER in 1914 and continued until volume 15 with pteridophytes and phanerogams by GLÜCK (PASCHER 1936).

Oscar Brefeld, Ernst Stahl, Franz von Höhnel and Julius Wortmann, students of Anton DE BARY (Fig. 4), continued mycological research, focussing on different topics, inclusive of physiological disciplines. Karl von GOEBEL covered a broad spectrum of botanical research, including different cryptogamic fields, and he was the founder of the botanical garden in München-Nymphenburg. Friedrich OLTMANNS became a famous phycologist and another successful academic teacher. His student Hans BURGEFF was a pioneer in mycorrhiza research, especially of orchids on a world wide scope. Kurt NOACK was a student of OLTMANNS and SCHWENDENER and became a plant physiologist. Ecophysiology was developed to the main research field of Otto STOCKER. Algae, smuts and vegetation types were the main objectives of Felix RAWITSCHER. Friedrich OEHLKERS focused on cytogenetics and Walter ZIMMERMANN on paleobotany. Plant sociology was the main research field of Erich OBERDORFER, while Hermann Otto SLEUMER primarily studied the sexuality of Ustilago maydis, and then became a well known plant taxonomist, revising especially tropical families.

The availability of the new techniques had an high impact on the progress of cryptogamic research for which some examples are given (Figs. 6, 27, 28; Tab. 2). – Before the period of 1590, when JANSEN and his son constructed the first light microscope, handlenses were used. The first to observe biological objects with self-made, compound microscopes were GALILEI, HOOKE and LEUWENHOEK. Nearly 100 years later, 1768, a newly designed microscope by d'Albert D'AILLY (1714–1769) had no impact on further development of light

microscopy. Genius inventions were immersion objectives, first with water by the American Robert Tolles, 1858, then with glycerin and canada balsam. The German Ernst ABBE (1840–1905) introduced the oil immersion objectives (ABBE 1873) that were produced commercially by Carl ZEISS (1816–1888) already 1877. When studying tuberculosis, Robert Koch (1843–1910) used these oil objectives and ABBE's condenser and detected the tubercle bacillus. – Since high-quality ZEISS light microscopes were on the market and were successfully used for basic and applied studies, they also became integral instruments in biological investigations, including research in cryptogams. Indispensable for technically perfect light microscopy is the optimization of resolution by evenly illuminating the field of view, known as Köhler illumination (Köhler 1893). August Köhler (1866–1948) pioneered also light microscopy with ultraviolet light, thus initiating fluorescence microscopy in 1904.



Fig. 6: Time table for the invention of microscopes and their constructors. Some of the instrument's qualities and main study objects are listed. Further details in the text. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

Already from 1930–1960 light microscopic tools had been expanded by phase- and differential interference contrast techniques, both often successfully applied in thin preparations, preferably one cell layer thick. For his invention of phase contrast microscopy, Frits ZERNIKE (1888–1966) was awarded

the Nobel Prize for Physics in 1953. Living, unstained biological preparations are often studied with NOMARSKI interference contrast microscopy, introduced 1957 by the Polish-French physicist Georges NOMARSKI (1919–1997), providing pictures of three dimensional appearance. Finally, confocal microscopy uses point illumination and a pinhole in front of the detector to eliminate out-of-focus light, a principle for the development of a scanning microscope in 1955, patented by Marvin MINSKY in 1957. The American researchers Paul DAVIDOVITS and David EGGER (1969, 1971) collaborated with the Czechoslovak Mojmír PETRÁŇ in constructing the confocal laser scanning microscope. By developing the stimulated emission depletion (STED), HeLL and WICHMANN (1994) succeeded to break the diffraction barrier, thus reaching a super-resolving fluorescence microscopy with nano dimension resolutions.

## A renaissance of light microscopy by new techniques and their impact on cryptogam research

The compilation of data in figure 6 intends to show the influence of newly developed microscopic techniques on biological research. In the first part of chapter 3, the immense impact of light microscopic studies in cryptogams until the 1950s was discussed. Then, in addition, electron microcopic investigations were tardily carried out in various lower plants, later on more intensively. This will be briefly dealt with in chapter 5.

Within a certain period of "modern research", light microscopy was often considered as out of date. However, this was shortsighted regarding the basic implications of structural biology on the cellular level, especially when living organisms have to be examined. Therefore, experts put emphasis on overcoming the physical constraints of classical light microscopy. These attempts were very successful in fluorescence microscopy, a method that allows non-invasive imaging of organelles in living cells, specifically labeled by biological markers. When it was established for daily research, confocal scanning microscopy became widely used, and its successful application is documented by nearly 287.600 publications, listed in Web of Science, end of June 2015. The resolutions of earlier confocal laser-scanning microscopes are strongly overcome by 4Pi-confocal microscopy, invented by HELL (1990).

The 4Pi laser scanning fluorescence microscope has an improved resolution up to 100–150 nm. – *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, "the yeast" and "the model organism of eukaryotes" has served for all kinds of basic research, and, as well known, for applied ones especially (see chapter 6). The yeast was used for live cell confocal microscopic studies (Egner et al. 2002, JACOBS 2006, Fig. 7). It was found "that mitochondria are highly dynamic organelles that are frequently dividing and fusing, changing size and shape and travelling long distances throughout the life of a cell". – The analysis of protein distributions in cell organelles reached new qualities by super-resolution microscopy, nanoscopy, which ultimately led to diffraction-unlimited optical resolution. In October 8, 2014, the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences has decided to award the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for 2014 to Eric BETZIG, Stefan W. HELL and William E. MOERNER for their ground-breaking work that optical microscopy has brought into the nanodimension. – Now, correlative microscopy appears to be of actual interest (BIANCHINI et al. 2015), because it combines the observation of the cells and their organelles under life conditions with fixed material for electron microscopy.



Fig. 7 to the left: Mitochondrial network of a live budding *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell recorded with a beam-scanning multifocal multiphoton 4Pi-confocal microscope, MMM-4Pi, at an equilateral resolution of ~100 nm. The mitochondrial matrix was labeled with the green fluorescent protein, GFP, and is displayed as a surface-rendered 3D-data stack. The cell wall has been stained with calcofluor white and displayed after interactive contour tracing. Each arrow length = 1  $\mu$ m. From Egner et al. (2002), modified in JACOBS (2006).

Fig. 8 to the right: *Jola hookeriarum* MÖLLER nov. gen. et nov. sp., original illustration of MÖLLER (1895b), drawings from living fungus. **a** habitus of *Jola h*. growing on moss seta (to the left), and seta and spore capsule (to the right), natural size; **b**–**g** light microscopy, bar = 10  $\mu$ m. **b**–**d** different stages of basidial development. Note details in cytoplasm distribution: nearly empty generative hyphae after probasidial development; nearly empty probasidia after metabasidial development; during basidiospore development the cytoplasma moves through the sterigmata (d) into the basidiospores (c). **e** tips of sterigmata, emerging from the slimy basidiospore is monokaryotic. **f** ejected basidiospores with initial stages of germination. **g** formation of secondary spores. Note transport of cytoplasm into secondary spores.

Observation of living cells is a traditional and frequently practised method in light microscopy. Without any stain, developing stages of cells or cell compartments can be seen, e.g. swimming flagellates, moving cytoplasma, enlarging vacuoles, or occasionally stages of dividing nuclei. Such studies can also be applied to cryptogams (Figs. 8, 16). However, mobile particles smaller than 0.5 µm cannot be resolved with normal light microscopy. To observe subcellular structures and their migration, fluorescent microscopy is particularly suitable. In super-resolution light microscopy the green fluorescent protein, GFP, is frequently used as a report of expression. The GFP gene can be introduced in bacterial and eukaryotic host cells, including fungi (Fig. 7) through various procedures. For the discovery and development of GFP, Osamu SHIMOMURA, Martin CHALFIE, and Roger Y. TSIEN were honoured by the Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2008.

Substantial progress in knowledge of cryptogams through light microscopy:

- Observation of living cells, cell complexes and organs
- Different cellular construction of algae, bryophytes, ferns and fungi: single cell → filament, single cell → tissue → organ differentiation
- Detection of taxon-specific microscopic features
- Life cycle elucidation including mitotic and meiotic nuclear division, propagation and sexual reproduction
- Organismic interactions, especially in parasitic and symbiotic systems
- Live observation of subcellular organelles
- Detection of proteins

Further potential applications:

• Observation of organismic interactions through living cells and cell complexes

# 4. The model group rust fungi

After a period of 70 years, recognizing rust fungi as plant parasites in the field, the combination of microscopic studies and infection experiments were path-breaking to understand host alternation in the black rust's ontogeny. Basic and applied research were developing quickly and led to regional floras on the one hand and to infection and physiological experiments on the other. The latter were coupled with ultrastructural investigations for elucidating cellular interacting processes in the subcellular and the physiological context. Expe-

rimental results clearly showed that rusts had a strong potential in mutations, thus quickly infecting genetically changed hosts again. When *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* Ug99 was detected, a global initiative was established to explore the microevolution of this strain and others with molecular methods.

The rusts, Pucciniales, are taken here as a model group to document developing research methods and their consequent application over 200 years in a strongly condensed timeline overview (Fig. 9). Three additional papers in this volume are dealing with rust fungi: Peter ZWETKO and Paul BLANZ (2018) interprete the morphology of aeciospores, Reinhard BERNDT (2018) discusses the Pucciniosiraceae, and BLANZ and ZWETKO (2018) present remarks on species concepts in European florae of rust fungi.



Fig. 9: Overview of major steps in research on rust fungi, showing the development and application of new methods. Arrow-headed lines indicate continuous application of older methods throughout subsequent generations. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

- Taxonomic recognition of the black rust, *Puccinia graminis* by PERSOON (1794); germ pores in urediniospores and teliospores and germination of the latter ones (TULASNE and TULASNE 1847); rusts are basidiomycetes (TULASNE 1853); major classification of rust fungi (TULASNE 1854b).
- Clarification of the black rust life cycle (Fig. 10) in combining infection experiments with light microscopic examinations (DE BARY 1865, 1867, PE-TERSEN 1974).



Fig. 10: Life cycle of the black rust, *Puccinia graminis*, based on the original discoveries of Anton DE BARY: The hosts for the dikaryophase are grasses, including cereals, while the haplophase can infect and grow only on *Berberis-Mahonia* species. The developmental sequence of spores is fixed, however, urediniospores (II) are capable for repetition, resulting in a vegetative propagation of the dikaryophase with potentials for epidemic spread outs on cultivated, useful grasses. Teliospores (III) function as resting spores and finally as diploid probasidia, producing meiosporangia with meiospores, i.e. basidia with basidiospores (IV). In sexual reproduction, pycniospores (0) are involved as providers of compatible haploid nuclei. Dikaryotization occurs in primordial hyphae of aecia which finally produce dikaryotic aeciospores (I). These are unable to infect the haplophase host, and they rely exclusively on species of the Poaceae. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

- 3) The compilation of data to get information about the geographical distribution of rusts started with PLOWRIGHT and his monograph of the British Uredineae and Ustilagineae (PLOWRIGHT 1889), followed by MCALPINE with rusts of Australia (1906) and the British rust fungi of GROVE (1913). Compare subparagraph 13.
- 4) Rust host ranges and taxon separation were based on host specificity by proposing "formae speciales" (ERIKSSON 1894).
- 5) Cereal rusts play the most important role as parasites in agricultural ecosystems and their management. Because of their economical importance they were already comprehensively treated by ERIKSSON and HENNING (1896). The exploration of these rusts is one of the most fascinating chapters in microscopy, functional and experimental mycology and biology in general. It also reflects precisely the availability and consequent progress of elucidating the origin of interacting partners, infection processes, resistance mechanisms, geographical distribution, continuous microevolution of hosts and parasites, and global scientific and commercial initiatives to conquer the spread out of new cereal rust epidemics.

- 6) Nuclear behaviour of rusts with karyogamy in teliospores and meiosis in basidia were studied by SAPPIN-TROUFFY (1896).
- The abbreviation of macrocyclic heteroecious rust cycles to microcyclic autoecious ones by infection of basidiospores only of the previous aecial host led to propose TRANZSCHEL'S law (TRANZSCHEL 1904, SHATTOCK & PREECE 2000).
- 8) Cytological studies of infection by urediniospores were carried out by the former DE BARY student Harry Marshall WARD (1904).
- 9) Rust fungi with alternating hosts and their biological relations were summarized by KLEBAHN (1904).
- 10) The complexity of rust life cycles required a specific terminology: pycnium (spermogonium), aecium, uredinium, telium with corresponding spore forms (ARTHUR 1905, CUNNINGHAM 1930, HUGHES 1970, HIRATSUKA 1973). Spermogonia were classified and used for taxonomy (HIRATSUKA & HIRATSUKA 1980, HIRATUSKA & SATO 1982).
- 11) Physiological races within "formae speciales" of *Puccinia graminis*, based on infection types (STAKMAN 1914) led to differential host sets for the wheat black rust (STAKMAN & LEVINE 1922, STAKMAN et al. 1962).
- 12) Basidio- and aecio-spore discharge in *Puccinia graminis*, described by BULLER (1924), recognizing a droplet at the base of the basidiospore prior to its discharge. Meiosporangial "germination" is strongly influenced by external conditions, like air, water, or solid support and varies accordingly with ballistospores, hyphae and hyphae with appressoria (BAUER & OBERWINKLER 1986a, 1986b).
- 13) An extensive taxonomic paper of the Uredinales was first published by DIETEL (1928), followed by the manual of the rusts in United States and Canada by ARTHUR (1934) and the conspectus of the rust fungi of the USSR by TRANZSCHEL (1939). The most comprehensive regional treatment of rust fungi was GÄUMANN'S "Die Rostpilze Mitteleuropas mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Schweiz" (1959). The Rust Fungi of cereals, grasses and bamboos were dealt with by CUMMINS (1971) and MAJEWSKI (1977) compiled the rusts of Poland and neighbouring countries. In the second edition of "Die Rostpilze Österreichs" (POELT & ZWETKO 1997), 496 species were treated. MCKENZIE (1998) listed 234 rust species for the flora of New Zealand and BERNDT (2013) 68 species with 57 new reports for French Guiana. Compare subparagraph 3.
- 14) CRAIGIE (1931) found that rust fungi are heterothallic with sexual reproduction.
- 15) The genetics of pathogenicity in *Melampsora lini* was studied by FLOR (1946), who introduced the gene-for-gene theory (1971).



Fig. 11: Haustoria from melampsoraceous rusts (BERNDT & OBERWINKLER 1995, 1997). **a** and **b** light microscopic illustrations of *Melampsorella* spp., N nuclei of the haustorium, bar = 5  $\mu$ m. **a** *Melampsorella symphyti* with unlobed haustorium, **b** *Melampsorella caryophyllacearum* with lobed haustorium. **c** Schematic illustration of the velopedunculat haustorial type, bar 1 =  $\mu$ m. **d**-**g** transmission electron micrographs, d-f bar = 1  $\mu$ m. **d** *Calyptospora goeppertiana*, penetration peg, haustorial neckband body; the neck is sheathed by a fold of the extra-haustorial matrix which reveals a characteristically bent rim (arrow), H haustorial body. **e** *Thecopsora areolata*, overview of D-haustorium and penetration site. Haustorial neck covered by a thin fold of the extrahaustorial matrix (arrowheads). **f** *Thecopsora galii*, overview of haustorial mother cell (HMC) and haustorium (H); the wall of the mother cell is thickened around the penetration site; the thickening of the HMC wall (arrowheads) is located near the mother cell septum. **g** *Melampsorella symphyti*, haustorial neck, penetration site, and proximal part of haustorial body (H); neck naked, not wrapped into a fold of the haustorial membrane; bar 1 =  $\mu$ m.

- 16) In a first edition, the genera of rust fungi were treated by CUMMINS (1959), a second edition was published in 1983 by CUMMINS and HIRATSUKA, and a third one by the same authors in 2003.
- 17) Ultrastructural studies in rust fungi were undertaken when the technical prerequisites were sufficiently developed. Surprisingly, simple septal pores were found in rusts (JONES 1973, HARDWICK et al. 1975, MARKHAM 1994, compare OBERWINKLER & BAUER 2018). Haustoria (Fig. 11) of rust fungi were already observed light microscopically by DE BARY (1884) and illustrated by SAPPIN-TROUFFY (1896), with remarkable structural details, especially when preparations from living hosts and parasites were studied. Detailed developmental cytological studies, combined light microscopy with SEM (QUILLIAM & SHATTOCK 2003), and finally with confocal microscopy, were used for 3-D imaging (SØRENSEN et al. 2012). Electron microscopically, the cellular interaction of rust haustoria with host cells was studied intensively. Besides general structural features, as penetration

channel, neckband, extrahaustorial matrix (EHRLICH & EHRLICH 1962, EHR-LICH et al. 1966, HARDWICK et al. 1971, EHRLICH & EHRLICH 1971, HEATH 1971, HEATH & HEATH 1971), also special, taxonomically relevant structures, as gymnopedunculate and velopedunculate haustoria were detected (BERNDT et al. 1994, BERNDT & OBERWINKLER 1995, 1997). The structural aspects of the dikaryotic haustorium together with metabolic functions were treated by MENDGEN and DEISING (1993), VOEGELE et al. (2009) and VOEGELE and MENDGEN (2011). – Also ultrastructural details of nuclear divisions in mitosis (HARDER 1976a, 1976b) and meiosis could only be studied adequately by transmission electron microscopy (O'DONNELL & MCLAUGHLIN 1981a, 1981b, 1981c).



Fig. 12: An expanded version of the gene-for-gene model showing interaction of interorganismal genetics representing corresponding gene pairs typical of cereal rusts. *H*, *h*, *P*, *p* = alleles for reaction of the host and pathogenicity of the pathogen, numbers indicate loci (after LOEGERING 1969, 1984).

18) The result of coevolution is a functional structure of host and pathogen together, named aegricorpus by LOEGERING (1969, 1984, Fig. 12). However, the genotypes are of the symbionts. This implies that a cultivar/culture may carry genes for resistance and avirulence, and likewise may be

susceptible or virulent. Pathogen and host are organisms, and both together, as a functional unit, are considered as a third organismal entity, the aegricorpus. Characters are defined as variations in phenotypes, genetically characterized by more than one allele at a locus. Nine possible combinations constitute the aegricorpus with homo- and heterozygous genotypes of the pathogen and host. The infection type is aequivalent to the intraorganismal phenotype of the aegricorpus and neither of the host nor the pathogen. Neither reactions of the pathogen in axenic culture nor those of the host itself can therefore be representative for the interaction physiology. BROWDER (1985) summarized this theoretical concept that "a definitive parasite genotype interacting with a definitive host genotype in a definitive environment results in a definitive phenotype."

- 19) The worldwide distribution of *Puccinia graminis* is a result of agricultural attempts to grow wheat in all regions with favourable abiotic conditions. In many of these areas the parasite has become independent of the primary host *Berberis* (ANIKSTER & WAHL 1979).
- Lytic enzymes in early dikaryotic infections include primarily chitin deacetylase, proteases and acidic cellulases, then pectin methylesterases, neutral cellulases, and polygalacturonate lyases (MENDGEN & DEISING 1993).
- 21) During the ontogeny of the infection process, signals of the plant direct the fungal growth outside and inside the host, and the parasite was assumed to interfere with the signalling systeme of the host (HEATH 1997).
- 22) Fluorescence microscopy was applied for studying endocytosis of germ tubes of *Uromyces fabae* urediniospores (HOFFMANN & MENDGEN 1998), using the dye FM4-64. The pathway of FM4-64 internalisation by endocytosis was also demonstrated in *Aspergillus nidulans, Botrytis cinerea, Magnaporthe grisea, Neurospora crassa, Phycomyces blakesleanus, Puccinia graminis, Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Trichoderma viride* by FISCHER-PARTON et al. (2000). These results indicated a generally distributed mechanism in fungal hyphae.
- 23) A first representative molecular phylogeny (Fig. 13) of rust fungi was mainly based on north temperate species (MAIER et al. 2003). Nevertheless, this study confirmed several taxonomic groupings, used in traditional classifications, e.g. Melampsoraceae, Cronartiaceae, Coleosporiaceae, Phragmidiaceae and the genus *Gymnosporangium*. On the other hand, Pucciniastraceae were split in two groups, fern inhabiting rusts were not monophyletic, and the genera *Puccinia* and *Uromyces* were mixed in one cluster. In addition, coevolutionary trends could be deduced from the phylogenetic tree: (a) A general line passes from coniferes to angiosperms. (b) Rust fungi with Pinaceae-alternation have a basal position. (c) Rosaceae are hosts for two groups of rusts, heteroecious and autoecious ones.

(d) Dicot-Monocot host alternations are frequent in advanced groups, as well as autoecious species. (e) In addition, host jumps may have obscured the coevolution with higher taxonomic host groups (VAN DER MERWE et al. 2008). (f) Apparently, fern rusts are not placed in a basal position. – This phylogenetic hypothesis sheds also light on habitat conditions: (a) Coniferous forests, including fern vegetations represent geologically old biomes. (b) Deciduous forests constitute younger habitats, and (c) vegetations with dominating herbaceous plants are ecologically marginal or secondary under natural situations. Often, the latter ones have short vegetation periods with a quick growth of plants until seed production. Also the percentage of annuals is mostly high. Such host conditions certainly had a selective pressure on rust pathogens, favoring those with autoecious life cycles.



Fig. 13: Phylogenetic hypothesis for the rust fungi, Pucciniales, with main host dependencies and major vegetation units (tree after MAIER et al. 2003). (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

24) In 1998, a new race of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Pgt*), Ug99 (American nomenclature: TTKSK), was detected in Uganda (PRETORIUS et al. 2000). This race was virulent for most of the commercial wheat cultivars grown in Eastern Africa, South Africa, Yemen and Iran. Consequently, the

fungus spread out in the following years over this geographical region (Fig. 14). It is the first race of the black rust that had virulence to resistance genes Sr31 together with other virulence genes of *Triticum aestivum* origin, and Sr38, originating from *T. ventricosum* (JIN et al. 2008, Tab. 1).



Fig. 14: *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* Ug99 locations in 2009; from HODSON et al. (2009). Ug99 originated in Uganda and was characterized in 1999. It was detected in Kenya 2001, in Ethiopia 2003, in Sudan and Yemen 2006, and already 2007 in Iran.

25) The threat of Ug99 for world wheat production led to the establishement of a global rust initiative in 2005, later named Borlaug Global Rust Initiative (BGRI). A broad range of research activities is involved, comprising not only resistance breeding, but also pathogen virulence studies, hostpathogen genetics, breeding, and finally agronomic and economic parameters play a most important practical role (MCINTOSH 2009, MCINTOSH & PRETORIUS 2011). Within the BGRI, the global cereal rust monitoring system, GCRMS, focused on Ug99, in two countries in 2007, 15 in 2009, and over 20 in 2011 (SINGH et al. 2011). – Population diversity of *Puccinia graminis* is assumed to be sustained through sexual cycle on alternate hosts. A *Pgt* population decline east of the Rocky Mountains of North

America, resulted in a single race remaining in the last decade (JIN et al. 2014). BABIKER et al. (2015) detected a new gene on the long arm of chromosome 7A in the spring wheat landrace PI 374670 with resistance for Ug99.

Tab. 1: Summary of the known status of the Ug99 race group in 2010, twelve years after its appearance in Uganda. The North American nomenclature system is used for race acronyms. Often Ug99 races named by the addition of their key virulence (+) or avirulence (-) characters. In addition, the first detection of the strains in the countries in question is listed (after HODSON et al. 2012).

Race <sup>1</sup>	Common alias	Key virulence (+) or avirulence (-)	Country (year of 1 <sup>st</sup> detection)
TTKSK	Ug99	+Sr31	Uganda (1998), Kenya (2001), Ethiopia (2003), Sudan (2006), Yemen (2006), Iran (2007), Tanzania (2009)
TTKSF		-Sr31	South Africa (2000), Zimbabwe (2009)
TTKST	Ug99 + Sr24	+Sr31, +Sr24	Kenya (2006), Tanzania (2009), Eritrea (2010)
TTTSK	Ug99 + Sr36	+Sr31, +Sr36	Kenya (2007), Tanzania (2009)
TTKSP		-Sr31, +Sr24	South Africa (2007)
PTKSK		+Sr31, -Sr21	Ethiopia (2007), Kenya (2009)
PTKST	Ug99 + Sr24	+Sr31, +Sr24, -Sr21	Ethiopia (2007), Kenya (2008), South Africa (2009), Eritrea (2010), Mozambique (2010), Zimbabwe (2010)
TTKSF+		-Sr31	South Africa (2010), Zimbabwe (2010)

- 26) The floral scent production in the pseudoflowers of Brassiceae species, induced through infections of Puccinia monoica is considered as a combination of attractives for pollinators that effect sexual outcross of the parasite (RAGUSO & ROY 1998). A gene expression profiling, undertaken by CANO et al. (2013) revealed down-regulated genes in the plant for transcription, reproduction, floral organ development, anthocyanin and terpenoid biosyntheses, and up-regulated ones for vegetative organ development, carbohydrate transport, wax biosynthesis and L-phenylalanine metabolism. In this floral mimicry, 31 differentially regulated plant genes were detected that obviously were induced by the rust pathogen. – An insect-mediated reproduction of Puccinia arrhenatheri was already reported in 2002 by NAEF et al., and insect-transmitted *Puccinia punctiformis* urediniospores were studied by WANDELER and BACHER (2006). The latter fungus has an intensive scent in its pycnidial stage, hence its former name P. suaveolens. Surprisingly, this developmental stage has not been considered in potential transmitter activities though there is often a considerable overlap in the appearance of pycniospores and urediniospores in close by host communities.
- 27) It was logical to assume that nutrient flow from the autotrophic host to the heterotrophic parasite is mediated by haustoria, but VOEGELE et al. (2001) were the first to demonstrate experimentally that hexose uptake occurs

in these interactive compartments in *Uromyces fabae*. Plant sucrose is cleaved by fungal invertase and the monosaccharides are taken up by the hexose transporter, hexose is metabolised in gycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway, while D-fructose is converted into mannitol (VOEGELE & MENDGEN 2011). Also amino acid uptake takes place through haustoria (STRUCK 2015).

- 28) First results in the genomic era of rust fungi were derived from *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* (CANTU et al. 2011, ZENG et al. 2013), *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* and *Melampsora larici-populina* (DUPLESSIS et al. 2011), *Melampsora lini* (NEMRI et al. 2014) and *Hemileia vastatrix* (TALHINHAS et al. 2014). These rusts lack genes encoding essential assimilatory enzymes, suggesting that they obtain the reduced versions of these nutrients from the host (GARNICA et al. 2014).
- 29) The limitations of culturing rust fungi led to a reappraisal of fieldwork in *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* (PST) with a "field pathogenomics approach by transcriptome sequencing infected wheat leaves collected from the field" in the United Kingdom (HUBBARD et al. 2015). A dramatic shift in the PST population of the country has been detected, suggesting that exotic pathogen lineages were introduced recently.
- 30) For economical calculations about the costs of black rust research, PARDEY et al. (2013) developed an ecological-niche model with a probabilistic risk assessment. They estimated "that a sustained investment of \$51.1 million per year (2010 prices) in stem-rust research could be justified economically". This annual research and development "expenditure is equivalent to investing \$0.23 per hectare of wheat in 2009; by comparison, U.S. wheat farmers spent \$34.56 per hectare on seed in 2009."
- 31) A future scenario to answer key questions in plant pathology, including research on rust fungi, is considered as a multi-dimensional approach (SAUNDERS 2015). These theoretically promising considerations are, for-tunately, and at least partly, realised already.

# How to understand cryptogams

Tab. 2: An overview of research methods, applied to cryptogams incl. of cyanobacteria, and a selection of results, suited for a discrimination of the organismic groups. No attempt was made to compile a comprehensive list. (Orig.)

	light microscopy	electron microscopy	chemical methods	molecular methods		
forme	oogamy spormato	chloroplaste gametee	chlorophyll a h starch	neurous		
lenis	ooganiy, spermato-	fortilization operado	chlorophyll a, b, starch,	LKNOV and alass		
	zolus, cellular inter-	nesis	secondary metabolites			
hornworts	cellular morpholo-	isokont chloroplasts	chlorophyll a b starch	plastid genome		
normonta	av chloroplasts	pyrenoid and spore	cell wall polysaccharides	phylogeny		
	with pyrenoids	ultrastructure	ben man peryeasen andes	phylogeny		
mosses	cellular morpholo-	isokont, chloroplast	chlorophyll a. b. starch.	phylogenies targe-		
	gies, interactions	ultrastructure	cell wall polysaccharides	ted mutageneses		
liverworts	cellular morphology	isokont, chloroplast	chlorophyll a. b. starch.	phylogenies		
	interactions	ultrastructure	cell wall polysaccharides			
green algae	uni-, multicellular	isokont, 2membranous	chlorophyll a, b, starch,	phylogenies, xan-		
	morphology, iso-	plastids, mitochondira,	carotene, xanthophylls,	tophyll-dependent		
	oogamy, fungal	various thylakoid	cell wall with sulfated	photoprotection,		
	symbioses	arrangement	polysaccharides, sex	lipid metabolism,		
			pheromones	carotenoid biosyn.		
heterokonts	uni-, multicellular	heterokont, mitochon-	chlorophyll a, c, sterol	phylogenies, func-		
	morphology	drial cristae, chromo-	synthesis, mannitol meta-	tional gene anno-		
falas mildaus	maathuumiaallulan	plast	bolism	tations		
taise mildews	mostly unicellular,	neterokont, gameto-	cellulose, glucans, nydro-	toing motobolio		
	ching gametee	genesis, naustona	xyproint	nathways and		
	snorangionhores			compounds phy-		
	haustoria			logenies		
red algae	uni-, multicellular	thylakoids unstacked,	chlorophyll a, d, phycobili-	toxins, genome		
	morphology, akont	phycobilisomes	proteins, floridean poly-	projects, phyloge-		
	1 071		saccharides	nies		
cyanobacteria	single cells, tricho-	plastids with 2 mem-	chlorophyll a, b, phycobi-	biogenesis of cell		
	mes, colonies, hor-	branes, thylakoids,	lins, cyanophycin, peptido-	organelles, nitro-		
	mogonia, hetero-	polyhedral bodies,	glucans, polyphosphate,	gen assimilation,		
	cysts, prokaryotic,	phycobilisomes	nitrogen fixing, cyanoto-	carbon acquisition,		
	centro- and chro-		xins, bioactive compounds	genomics, circa-		
	fundal symbiosos			dian clock		
lichens	dual nature cyano-	asco- basidiomycet-	chlorophyll a b phycocya-	algae cyano-		
lionens	bacteria algae	ous mycobionts	nin specific secondary	bacteria, fungi		
	mycosymbionts	cellular interactions	metabolites	paraphyletic		
fungi	opisthokont, akont,	Spitzenkörper, septal	heterotrophy, chitin, exo-	endocytosis, HEX		
	yeasts, filaments	types, nuclear divi-	enzymes, diverse secon-	self-assembly,		
	with apical growth,	sions, cell organelles,	dary metabolites, antibio-	phylogenies		
	fruitbody construc-	interactive structures	tics			
	tions, ontogenies					
silme molds	plasmodial, acellu-	pnagocytosis	diverse secondary meta-	phylogenies, popu-		
cell walls	nresent or not	present or not orna-	cellulose xylan lignin chi-	interconnections of		
Voli Wallo	ornamentation	mentation	tin proteins	polysaccharides		
nuclei	nuclear divisions	mitosis, meiosis,	nucleic acids, chromatin	genes, genomes		
		SPBs, microtubules	regulation	genee, geneenee		
cytoplasm	movement	ER, membranes	metabolic activities	actin, microtubule,		
	The contract of the contract o			cytoskeleton		
vacuoles,	changing size and	membranes, contents	metabolic reactions	genomic regula-		
vesicles	position			tions		
plastids	structure and	membrane and thyla-	photosynthesis	plastid genomes		
	position	kold constructions	antia an and O tubuli	and the second second		
eukaryotic	structure, position	axonemes of 9 pairs +	actin, α- and β-tubulin,	multineaded motor		
Tiagellae	and orientation	2 central single micro-	dynein arms	proteins, dynein		
additional cell		membranes mito-	lipid lavers diverse protein	mitochondrial		
organelles		chondria, ribosomes	aranules, etc	energetics etc.		
	1					

Model group rust fungi:

- Obligate parasites of higher plants
- Host alternation with complex life cycles  $\rightarrow$  microcylic abbreviations
- Coevolution with hosts and host jumps
- Dependencies on geological vegetation changes
- Particularly suitable for tracking the historical sequence of research methods
- Successful interdisciplinary research efforts
- Ug99 and its global agricultural and economic impacts

Further challenges:

- Elucidation of rust's evolutionary origin
- Studies in macro- and micro-coevolutionary systems
- Multi-dimensional plant pathology

## 5. Electron microscopy for cell interior structures

Two technical developments revolutionized approaches in structural biology in the middle of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, electron microscopy and the unexpected developments in light microscopy to overcome the physical limitations of light resolution. After the construction of the first transmission electron microscope, TEM, 1931 (KNOLL & RUSKA 1932, RUSKA 1934) by Ernst RUSKA (1906–1988), it was not until 1945 that it was applied in cell biology. This required additional technical innovations, i.e. appropriate chemical fixation, at first with potassium permanganate, then osmium tetroxide, and embedding protocols for biological samples, and the development of ultramicrotomes, 1948–1953 and later on, for cutting the material. Primarily, studies in animal and human samples were carried out, but plants and fungi followed quickly. More than 50 years after his pioneer works in transmission electron microscopy, RUSKA was awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1986.

After first applications of TEM in bacteriological research (MARTON 1941), crucial technical problems in studying eukaryotes were the fixation of living samples, embedding and ultrathin sectioning with microtomes (PORTER et al. 1945, PALADE 1952). By mastering these techniques and their successful application, Albert CLAUDE (1899–1983), George PALADE (1912–2008) and Christian DE DUVE (1917–2013) were honoured by the Nobel Prize in Physiology and Medicine 1974 for their discoveries concerning "the structural and functional organization of the cell".

The scanning electron microscope (SEM), was invented by Manfred von ARDENNE (1907–1997) already 1937 (ARDENNE 1940), but its general application in biology had to wait until the Cambridge Scientific Instrument Company produced the Stereoscan 1965. Since then, surface structures of biological samples are preferably studied by SEM, a high percentage being recruited from spores of crytogams, mostly decorated by specific spore wall ornamentations.

Because there was no other research technique available for reaching magnifications and resolutions of this dimension, a plethora of electron microscopic studies were carried out since the 1950s. Most cell organelles of many organismic groups were investigated electron microscopically, thus leading to a unique boom in structural biology (Fig. 27) with a countless number of detailed information. For the purpose to compare methods' impacts on research applications and results in cryptogams see table 2.



Fig. 15: *Cryptomycocolax abnormis*: **a-h** diagram of spindle pole body, SPB, development during meiosis. **a** middle prophase I, **b** late prophase I, **c** metaphase I, **d** late telophase I, **e**–g successive stages at interphase I, **h** interphase II or mitotic interphase. Bar = 1  $\mu$ m. **i** longitudinal section of prophase I SPB, the discs multilayered and connected by a middle piece. Discs appear separated from the nuclear envelope by an electron-transparent zone. A ribosome free zone surrounds the cytoplasmic side of the SPB (N = nucleus). Bar = 0.1  $\mu$ m. **j** late prophase I SPB. The discoidal elements are in an upright position with respect to the nucleus (N). Bar = 0.2  $\mu$ m. From OBERWINKLER & BAUER (1990).

Only few examples for transmission electron microscopy in fungi are mentioned here, haustoria of rusts (Fig. 11) and spindle pole bodies (SPBs, Fig. 15) in the basidiomycetous mycoparasite *Cryptomycocolax abnormis*. SPBs are nuclear associated organelles, involved in spindle organization (Fig. 15b– d), and therefore essential for nuclear division. The SPB cycle includes its splitting as a precondition in nuclear division. For further information about the ultrastructure in Basidiomycota, I refer to OBERWINKLER and BAUER (2018) in this volume.

Micro-computed tomography, micro-CT, has the potential of 3-D nondestructive imaging and is widely applied in medical disciplines. In a combined approach with SEM and light microscopic studies, PALLUA et al. (2015) tried to elucidate hyphal textures in *Hericium coralloides*. The authors believe that they provided "an approximation of the evolutionary effictiveness of this bizarrely formed basidioma type in terms of the investment of tissue biomass and its reproductive output (production of basidiospores)".

Substantial progress in knowledge of cryptogams through electron microscopy:

- Observation of cells and cell organelles
- Different subcellular construction of plants and fungi
- Detection of taxon-specific submicroscopic features in cell organelles
- Elucidation of cell organelle cycles, including mitotic and meiotic nuclear division
- Ultrastructural features of organismic interactions, especially in parasitic and symbiotic systems
- Three dimensional photographing of cell surfaces and cell complexes

Further potential applications:

Combined application with fluorescent microscopy

# 6. Experimental approaches: from cultures to biotechnology, sexuality and genetics

Though Joseph Gottlieb Kölreuter's (1733–1806) experimental studies focused on pollination of flowering plants, he also studied fungi and suggested to have clarified the secret of cryptogamy (Kölreuter 1777). Together with Karl Friedrich Gärtner (1772–1850), he definitely confirmed the sexuality of plants as detected first by Rudolf Jacob CAMERARIUS (1665–1721). – When studying Zygomycetes, Christian Gottfried Ehrenberg (1795–1876) recognized fusion of terminal cells, zygogamy, as sexual reproduction (EHRENBERG 1819).



Fig. 16: Basidial development in *Armillaria* illustrates the sexual reproduction from the dikaryotic (1–5) to the diploid stage (6) with immediately following meiosis (7) in one cell, the meiosporangium basidium, typical for holobasidiomycetes. The microscopic details clearly prove the nuclear behaviour during karyogamy and meiosis and cytoplasmic changes with an accumulation of vacuoles in the basal part. This is a prerequisite for having cytoplasm available during basidiospore production on top of the meiosporangium. The example demonstrates the power of light microscopy when living cells are observed with high magnification. Bar = 5  $\mu$ m. From KNIEP (1928).

Tab. 3, 4: Results of mating experiments of basidiomycetes. The letters are used for genetic factors, in the case of Aa etc. localized on homologous chromosomes. **3**: In the case of several *Coprinus* species and other agarics, two individuals of the same species and from different localities were used for mating experiments of haploid mycelia. Four haploid mycelia, 1, 2, 3, 4, resulted from hybrid basidiocarps which were crossed with four parental haplotypes. **4**: In the mating experiment with haploid mycelia from one single *Schizophyllum commune* basidiocarp, only mycelium 10 reacts with all mycelia AB and all aB, indicating that it carries factor b, but neither A nor a. An explanation is that A or a mutated what is expressed by A<sub>1</sub>b. From KNIEP (1928).

	AB	AD	CB	CD	
	1	2	3	4	
AB	-	-	-	+	
ab	+	+	+	+	
Ab		-	+	+	
aB	-	+	-	+	
CD	+	-	-	-	
cd	+	+	+	+	
Cd	+	+	-	-	
cD	+	-	+	-	

		AB	A <sub>1</sub> b								
	s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
AB	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
AB	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
Ab	3	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
aB	4	-	-	+	-	-	+	-		+	+
AB	5		-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
Ab	6	-	-	-	+		-	+	-	-	-
aB	7	-		+		-	+	-		+	+
ab	8	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Ab	9	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
A <sub>1</sub> b	10	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

3

4

One of the most impressive results of DE BARY's investigations was the elucidation of the life cycle of the black rust, *Puccinia graminis* (Fig. 10). This milestone marks the begin of experimental mycology through artificial infections of plants, necessary for deciphering the host spectrum of each rust species (compare chapter 4). – Experiments with culturable fungi were intensivley conducted by BREFELD (1881–1912) to elucidate life cycles. His advice encouraged Alfred Möller (1860–1922) to collect, to study intensively by microscopy and to cultivate South Brazilian fungi. His richly illustrated books (Möller 1893, 1895a, 1895b) are pioneer works in mycology. – Karyological studies to elucidate sexuality were carried out by several microscopists (Fig. 16), and fungal cultures were heavily used for mating experiments by KNIEP (1881–1930) to study sexual reproduction of diverse groups (Tabs. 3, 4).

Despite KNIEP's outstanding merits as experimental pioneer in sexuality of lower plants, with an enormous amount of published data, there are only few or even no citations of his publications in later times. However, a laudable comment can be found in Mägdefrau's "Geschichte der Botanik" (1992), p. 215: "Die allmähliche Enthüllung der Basidiomyceten-Entwicklung ist ein treffendes Beispiel dafür, wie ein schwieriges Problem mit Sorgfalt, wohlüberlegter Methodik und zähem Fleiß allen Irrwegen zum Trotz schließlich doch zur Lösung geführt werden kann." - The American mycologist John ,Red' RAPER (1911-1974) first studied the mating behaviour in the aquatic "fungus" Achlva, a genus of the fungi imitating Oophyta, then continued with KNIEP's model organism Schizophyllum commune for further elucidating the genetic control of sexual reproduction in mushrooms. Reproductive isolation was considered the final step in speciation by TAYLOR et al. (2006), and that genetic isolation may precede morphological changes. The genome sequence of S. commune revealed that one-third of the 471 genes predicted to encode transcription factors are differentially expressed during sexual development. In reviewing contributions about the evolution of fungal sexal reproduction, HEITMAN et al. (2013) stressed general principles of the origins of mating-type loci, sex chromosomes and sexual reproduction involving tetrapolar, bipolar and unipolar cycles. The study of MAIA et al. (2015) reinforced tetrapolarity as the ancestral state of all basidiomycetes. - For volvocine algae, GENG et al. (2014) demonstrated genetic continuity between mating-type specification and sex determination pathways, and predicted that "these findings will enable a deeper understanding of how a master regulator of mating-type determination in an ancestral unicellular species was reprogrammed to control sexually dimorphic gamete development in a multicellular descendant."

## **Biochemistry and physiology**

A brief selection of chemical methods applied in cryptogam research is listed in table 2. These comprise only the cell wall macromolecules cellulose, lignin and chitin, different pigments in photosynthesis and its products, few

How to understand cryptogams

chemical components of cell organelles and major secondary metabolisms and metabolites. As an example for the latter, lichens shall serve here because of their abundant secondary metabolites (Fig. 17), for which more than 700 have been recorded so far. One of the first were vulpinic acid, detected by the French chemist and pharmacologist Antoine BéBERT in the 1830s and usnic acid by the German W. KNOP (1844). A first milestone in lichen chemistry was the book of Wilhelm ZOPF (1846–1909) "Die Flechtenstoffe in chemischer, botanischer, pharmakologischer und technischer Beziehung" (ZOPF 1907), followed by comprehensive treatments of HESSE (1911), ASAHINA (1934), Asahina and Shibata (1954), Culberson (1969, 1970), Culberson et al. (1977), HUNECK and YOSHIMURA (1996), HUNECK (2001) and many others. An overview on the methods applied for the identification of lichen substances was given by LEUCKERT (1984). – Surprisingly, the metabolism of an early Devonian terrestrial macrofossil, Spongiophyton minutissimum, could be identified as belonging to a lichen and not to another organism (JAHREN et al. 2003). This finding may indicate the importance of lichens in Paleozoic terrestrial ecosystems.

The diversity of natural metabolites in fungi is treated by Dirk HOFFMEISTER (2018).

Industrial *Tremella fuciformis* development in Taiwan is presented by Jee-Chen CHEN (2018).



Fig. 17: Simplified scheme of metabolisms and groups of secondary metabolites in lichens. Compiled from literature cited in the text. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

Most secondary metabolites in lichens are the derivatives of three main biosynthetic pathways (Fig. 17). The following is a selection of compounds which were also studied under pharmaceutical aspects (GÓMEZ-SERANILLOS et al. 2014):

Shikimic acid pathway: Pulvinic acids: Norstictic acid from *Sticta*; Vulpinic acid from *Letharia* (BURLANDO et al. 2009).

Acetate polymalonate pathway (polyketide pathway): Xanthones: Lichexanthone from *Parmotrema* (BRANDÃO et al. 2013); Dibenzofurans: Usnic acid from *Alectoria* (GOLLAPUDI et al.1994), *Parmelia* (KUMAR & MÜLLER 1999), *Usnea* (SULTANA & AFOLAYAN 2011), *Xanthoparmelia*, and other species (INGÓLFSDÓTTIR 2002). Depsides: Alectoronic acid from *Parmotrema*; Atranorin from various *Parmelia* (KUMAR & MÜLLER 1999) and *Parmotrema* (HONDA et al. 2010) species and from *Pseudevernia furfuracea*; Evernic acid from *Evernia prunastri* (BURLANDO et al. 2009); Lecanoric acid from *Parmelia* and *Parmotrema* (LOPES et al. 2008) species; Depsidones: Hypostictic acid from *Usnea* (SULTANA & AFOLAYAN 2011); Aliphatic acids: Protolichesterinic acid from *Cetraria* (TÜRK et al. 2003) and *Parmelia* (GOEL et al. 2011) species.

Mevalonic acid pathway: Terpenes: Glutinol from *Usnea* (CHOUDHARY et al. 2005).

## Single-cell cryptogams and their biotechnological potential

Yeasts were characterized light microscopically as single-cell fungi, and Theodor SCHWANN (1810–1882) found that fermentation of "the yeast", *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is its anaerobic metabolism (SCHWANN 1837), producing ethanol and carbondioxide. Sugar was identified as the substrate for this process (1838) by Charles CAGNIARD-LATOUR (1777–1859), a revolutionary result, 1860 verified by Louis PASTEUR (1822–1895) (PASTEUR 1860) and further investigated by Julius WORTMANN (Fig. 4) who founded the first yeast pure culture laboratory in Geisenheim (1895).

The search term "yeast research" delivered 57,600 articles in Web of Science in June 2015, and clearly underlines that it is an important discipline in basic and applied research. There is a big yeast community of researchers worldwide realising multi-author compendia, like "The Yeasts" in three volumes, comprising 2080 pages (KURTZMAN et al. 2011).

Various research disciplines are involved in yeast biotechnology, as biochemical and molecular methods, biotransformations and pathway engineering including fermentation approaches (WALKER 1998). A simplified overview is given in figure 18.

How to understand cryptogams



Fig. 18: Diagrammatic overview of bioprocesses in yeast biotechnology. Explanation in the text. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

To grow yeast in quantities required for technological production, mostly plant sugar, lignocellulose and glycerol are used. Ethanol production has a long history and still dominates yeast biotechnology. Also the production of lactic and succinic acids reached industrial standards (MATTANOVICH et al. 2014). Similar attempts are going on in bioprocessing for butanol, isobutanol, flavonoids and stilbenoids. A commercially profitable production of Artemisinin was reported by PADDON et al. (2013). This was a first success in synthetic biology for a pharmaceutical agent, demonstrating the incredible potential of available technologies (PADDON & KEASLING 2014). The future yeast cell factories will be assembled into genetic modules for fast transfer between strains which will benefit from progress in bio-computational methods (KAVŠČEK et al. 2015).

Characters of yeasts and substantial progress in yeast research:

- Yeasts are easily culturable single-celled fungi
- The capability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to switch from aerobic metabolism to anaerobic fermentation led to the oldest and most efficient biotechnological application
- Bioprocessing of various commercially important products is already practised

Further potential developments:

Developing an optimal yeast for a given production process

The use of microalgae as production systems are considered helpful to solve the problems of feed, food and fuel supply (Fig. 19). For that purpose strain selection and genetic engineering, process and reactor design and integration into environmental mass and energy fluxes is required (FRESEWINKEL et al. 2014).



Fig. 19: Diagrammatic overview of bioprocesses in microalgae biotechnology. Explanation in the text. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

Sources for the cultivation of microalgae are water,  $CO_2$ , nutrients and solar energy. As photoautotrophic organisms they produce  $O_2$ . Future food production is estimated of highest social and economic priority. Concurrently, also animal farming, especially fish dietetics (Roy & PAL 2015), appears to be similarly important. Metabolic capacities of microalgae shall be used to produce pigments, fatty acids, edible oils (KLOK et al. 2014) and other metabolites as well as bioplastics and biolubricants. Finally, microalgae may play a considerable role to provide energy as H<sub>2</sub>, biogas and biodiesel.

Well known phytohormones in higher plants, as abscisic acid, auxin, cytokinin, ethylene and gibberellins, have been found also in microalgae. Assuming from genome-based metabolic reconstruction, Lu and Xu (2015) suggest that phytohormone biosynthesis pathways originate from those in ancient microalgae.

Macroalgae play an important role as food, especially in East Asian countries. Culture techniques for them have long, historically based tradition.

*Physcomitrella patens*, described already by HEDWIG (1801) as *Phascum patens*, is the only moss species with a prospective use for biotechnology. The species is molecularly excellently characterized and considered as a genetics' milestone (STROTBEK et al. 2013). It has been employed for glyco-engineering in bioreactors and already targeted for therapeutic proteins, like monoclonal antibodies (DECKER et al. 2014).

Green micro-cryptogams and their biotechnological importance:

- Providers for additional feed and food
- Producers of useful metabolites in bioreactors
- Renewable energy supplier

Further potential developments:

• Synthetising targeted products

# 7. The molecular revolution in biology

### Gene based phylogenies

After traditional methods of comparative character analyses and cladistics, phylogenetics were revolutionized when molecular techniques were well established and applied for all organismic groups. In July 2015, Web of Science listed for algae 7,800, for bryophytes 600, for ferns 500, for lichens 500 and for fungi 15,200 articles upon the query "molecular phylogeny", published within the timespan of 25 years. Major steps in molecularly based phylogenies of plants and fungi were comparative analyses, primarily of 5S rRNA, then of 18S rRNA and 26S rRNA, followed by multi-gene analyses and finally by genome comparisons.

Fox and WOESE elucidated the architecture of 5S rRNA and its relation to function (1975), HORI et al. sequenced it from the cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum* (1980), and OLSEN et al. (1983) clarified its secondary structure. The phylogenetic position of the dinoflagellate *Crypthecodinium cohnii* was based on 5S rRNA characterization by HINNEBUSCH et al. (1981), containing a computer simulation of its evolution. On the basis of 5S rRNA sequences, WALKER and DOOLITTLE (1982) "redivided" basidiomycetes, and sequenced eight basidiomycetes and fungi imperfecti (WALKER & DOOLITTLE 1983). GOTTSCHALK and BLANZ (1984) found highly conserved 5S ribosomal

RNA sequences in four rust fungi and an atypical secondary structure in *Microstroma juglandis*, a specialised parasite on *Juglans* that later was found to belong to the smut fungi. Their continuing studies were contributions for better understanding systematics and phylogeny in basidiomycetes (GOTTSCHALK & BLANZ 1985). By then, the unique power of molecularly based phylogenies became evident, however the technical difficulties to shorten sequencing times were still not overcome. – In 1986, HORI and OSAWA discussed the evolutionary changes in 5S rRNA secondary structure and a phylogenetic tree of 352 species (HORI & OSAWA 1986). The evolution of eukaryotes and their relation to archaebacteria was analysed by WOLTERS and ERDMANN (1986) through cladistic analyses of 5S rRNA and 16S rRNA secondary and primary structures, and further enlarged by comparative computer and biochemical analyses (ERDMANN et al. 1987). Comparing ribosomal RNA sequences, VOSSBRINCK et al. (1987) suggested that microsporidia are extremely ancient eukaryotes.

After the first period of sequencing 5S rRNAs, an explosive development followed with expanded protocols as mentioned above. This era will not be further traced here for fungi, but few examples are given for Viridiplantae below. Meanwhile, phylogenetic hypotheses are derived from comparisons of genomes. The sampling in figure 20 is representative in parts for Basidiomycota (SHARMA et al. 2015). Actually, this tree widely confirms earlier basidiomycetous phylogenies based on multi-gene, and even most of single gene analyses. – More details can be found in phylogenetic treatments of basidiomycetes of Dominik BEGEROW and Martin KEMLER (2018) for smut fungi, of Jee-Chen CHEN (2018) for *Tremella* and of Zhu-Liang YANG et al. (2018) for Boletaceae and Amanitaceae.

The diversity and evolutionary lines in green plants, Viridiplantae, are manifold, including aquatic algae, terrestrial cryptogams and higher plants. The algal phylogeny with the example of *Chlamydomonas*, and based on nuclear ribosomal RNA genes, was studied by JUPE et al. (1988). Molecular studies in colonial green flagellates (BUCHHEIM & CHAPMAN 1991), and the Chlamydomonadales (BUCHHEIM et al. 1996), followed, the latter based on comparison of ribosomal RNA sequences from the nucleus and the chloroplast.

PRÖSCHOLD et al. (2001) used nuclear-encoded SSU rRNA sequences of 32 strains of *Chlamydomonas*, *Chloromonas* and *Chlorogonium* for a taxonomic revision, together with 132 strains of Chlorophyceae, a well-supported molecular phylogeny of the group. Exhaustive 18S rRNA phylogenetic analyses revealed 21 strongly supported clades within phylogenetically redefined Volvocales (NAKADA et al. 2008). An integrative approach with multigene analyses and comparative light and electron microscopy was applied by MATSUZAKI et al. (2012) to elucidate the phylogeny of *Chloromonas*, Volvocales. – The phylogeny and molecular evolution of the green algae was critically reviewed by LELIAERT et al. (2012). The Streptophytes were characterised by the synapo-
How to understand cryptogams

morphic lateral flagella, the glycolate oxidase, flagellar peroxidase and a GaA/B gene duplication. Green land plants and their algal relatives Charophyceae, Zygnematophyceae and *Coleochaete* share phragmoplasts, plasmodesmata, branching, apical cell growth, sexual reproduction, dessication resistant zygospores, cellulose synthesising rosettes, and the absence of functional plastid tufA.



Fig. 20: Fungal phylogeny based on 48 genomes. Numbers on branches indicate support from 1000 bootstrap replicates. From SHARMA et al. (2015).



Fig. 21: Section for cryptogams of a tree of Viridiplantae inferred from the RY-coded (RY) analysis. Data set derived from 78 protein-coding genes of the plastid genome. Terminals with a triangle represent collapsed clades with > 2 taxa. From Ruhfel et al. (2014).

Protein-coding sequence data for 78 genes from 360 diverse green plant taxa with complete or nearly complete plastid genome sequences were assembled by RUHFEL et al. (2014). They found i.a. that Zygnematophyceae are sister to Embryophyta, and that Equisetales and Psilotales are sister of Marattiales and the leptosporangiate ferns (Fig. 21).

Tab. 5: Genomes of cryptogam species (selection). The arrangement is in a time sequence from below upwards. Note that corresponding contributions over more than 10 years were exclusively published in Science and Nature. Compare text. Orig.

year	species	higher taxon	authors	journal
2015	Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous	Basidiomycota	SHARMA et al.	BMC Genomics
2013	Chondrus crispus	Rhodophyta	COLLÉN et al.	Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
2012	Wallemia sebi	Basidiomycota	PADAMSE et al.	Fungal Genetics and Biology
2011	Puccinia graminis f.sp. tritici	Basidiomycota	DUPLESSIS et al.	Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
	Selaginella moellendorffi	Pteridophyta	BANKS et al.	Science
2010	Schizophyllum commune	Basidiomycota	OHM et al.	Nature Biotechnology
2008	Laccaria bicolor	Basidiomycota	MARTIN et al.	Nature
	Physcomitrella patens	Bryophyta	RENSING et al.	Science
2007	Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	Chlorophyta	MERCHANT et al.	Science
2006	Ustilago maydis	Basidiomycota	KÄMPER et al.	Science
2005	Cryptococcus neoformans	Basidiomycota	LOFTUS et al.	Science
2004	Yarrowia lipolytica	Ascomycota	DUJON et al.	Nature
2003	Neurospora crassa	Ascomycota	GALAGAN et al.	Nature
2001	Encephalitozoon cuniculi	Microsporidia	KATINKA et al.	Nature
1996	Sacharomyces cerevisiae	Ascomycota	GOFFEAU et al.	Science

The genome sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, "The Yeast", was released 1996 as the first of eukaryotes, the largest completely sequenced at that time (GOFFEAU et al. 1996), a revolutionary breakthrough in which more than 600 scientists from over 100 laboratories were collaborating (Tab. 5). "The complete, ordered and non-redundant sequence provides an invaluable resource for the detailed analysis of cellular gene function and genome architecture" (Mewes et al. 1997). – The genome of the eukaryotic, microsporidian parasite of uncertain phylogenetic position, Encephalitozoon cuniculi, was sequenced by KATINKA et al. (2001). – GALAGAN et al. (2003) sequenced the genome of the filamentous ascomycete, the genetic model fungus Neurospora crassa. - "Genome evolution in yeasts" was announced when Yarrowia lipolytica was fully sequenced (DUJON et al. 2004). - The genome of the human pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans, an unusual basidiomycetous yeast, was released in 2005 (LOFTUS et al.). – One of the best studied fungal plant parasites, Ustilago maydis, was completely sequenced by KÄMPER et al. (2006). - Key functions in animal and plant evolution were elucidated through the genome of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, (MERCHANT et al. 2007). - Insights into the conquest of land by plants were made possible through the genome of the moss *Physcomitrella patens* (RENSING et al. 2008). - Symbiosis characteristics were gained from the genome of the mycorrhizal basidiomycete Laccaria bicolor (MARTIN et al. 2008). - The world-wide distributed wood decomposer and model mushroom Schizophyllum commune was sequenced by OHM et al. (2010). - The Selaginella moellendorffi genome identified genetic changes associated with the evolution of vascular plants (BANKS et al. 2011). – Obligate biotrophy features were unraveled by the genomic analysis of the most serious cereal parasite, Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici (see chapter 4), by DUPLESSIS et al. (2011). – Wallemia sebi is adapted to osmotic stress, and sexual reproduction is not known, but may be cryptic, characteristics waiting for elucidation through genomic features (PADAMSE et al. 2012). – Genome structure and metabolic features in the red seaweed Chondrus crispus shed light on evolution of the Archaeplastida (Collén et al. 2013). – The genome of Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous gave insights in acetyl-CoA pathways, and the evolution in Agaricomycotina (Sharma et al. 2015).

### Biodiversity, barcoding and cryptotaxa

Exploring a regional flora and/or fauna is a long runner, now true not only for local aspects, but also for global ones. Floras and monographs with different scopes are convincing proofs. The latest approach to explore biodiversity is barcoding. The universal applicability of biodiversity detection with ITS sequencing is spectacular, just making clear that in many cases even not the tip of the iceberg is explored. There are some 20,000 articles published already, dealing with fungi and their diversities.

The question is, what is the detected organism? Or, even more provocative, what is a species? Biologists, seriously interested in this topic, know about the dilemma involved. This dilemma was nicely unrolled by John TAYLOR (2014) in his plenary talk at IMC X in Bangkok about *Neurospora*. Here is an extract: "What's a species? It depends: 2 phenotypic species, 5 biological species, 35 phylogenetic species. – Species breadth is limited by reproductive isolation; the narrowest species is an interbreeding population; and a SNP fixation index might measure divergence. – Genome wide association? You need the narrowest species. – Ecology? You must live with a broad species that may include fungi with different ecological functions."

In the case, "what is a species", I am quoting our own research in Sebacinales: a hitherto overlooked cosm of heterobasidiomycetes with a broad mycorrhizal potential (WEISS et al. 2004). More than ten years ago, the discovery of a surprisingly high number of new environmetal sequences/species of this relationship appeared to be unique, however, the eyecatcher titel "Sebacinales everywhere" (WEISS et al. 2011) could have been applied at that time already to many other genera/species, studied in a broader geographical and/or population range. For environmental sequences, their detection is the end of the story, in other words, new organisms have not been made available (OBERWINKLER et al. 2013). – In addition, three laborious studies were carried out to elucidate the phylogenetic diversity and structure of these fungi associated with plant communities along an altitudinal gradient (GARNICA et al. 2013), to understand the high genetic diversity at the regional scale and possible speciation in *Sebacina epigaea* and *S. incrustans* (RIESS et al. 2013), and to detect unknown morphospecies that correspond phyloclades (OBERWINKLER et al. 2014).

KHAUND & JOSHI (2014) intended to identify wild edible mushrooms of India by barcoding. In a study by Põldmaa et al. (2014), DNA barcoding aided the identification of fungus gnat species, allowing the conclusion that host diversity and trophic status determined gnat community composition. Finally, with improved techniques, older herbarium species can be barcoded, as was proven by molecular annotations of MURRILL'S *Russula* species (LOONEY 2015).

These examples may shed light on the concepts for applying barcoding for biodiversity estimations in plants and fungi (CHASE & FAY 2009, BEGEROW et al. 2010, SCHOCH et al. 2012).

In ferns, MASUYAMA et al. (2002) and LIAO et al. (2011) detected cryptic species in *Ceratopteris thalictroides*, YATABE et al. (2009) in *Asplenium nidus*, FAYLE et al. (2011) in epiphytes, METZGAR et al. (2013) in circumboreal reticulated *Cryptogramma* and DAUPHIN et al. (2014) in *Botrychium*.

Similar findings were reported for bryophytes in *Rhynchostegium riparioides* by HUTSEMÉKERS et al. (2011), by CARTER (2012) in *Scleropodium* cryptic diversity, by Yu et al. (2013) in *Cololejeunea lanciloba*, by RENNER et al. (2013) in *Lejeunea*, by BEIKE et al. (2014) in the *Physcomitrium-Physcomitriella* species complex, and by LI et al. (2015) in cryptic species of Plagiotheciaceae. LANG et al. (2014) concluded that DNA barcoding improves species identification of arctic *Dicranum*, but the combination of several markers, ITS1, trnL -F and rps4 –trnT, appeared necessary for reliable identifications.

In the algae, CHONG et al. (2014) reported for glaucophytes a previously unrecognized cryptic diversity within *Cyanophora* and *Glaucocystis*. Metabarcoding was carried out by NANJAPPA et al. (2014) in diatoms, SISSINI et al. (2014) studied the diversity of the rhodophyte *Mesophyllum erubescens*, and ROBUCHON et al. (2015) the brown algal genus *Laminaria*. – In contrast, SCHNEIDER et al. (2015) found fewer taxa in *Chara* by barcoding ITS2 and matK than by morphological studies. – Also in trentepohlian lichen photobionts (HAMETNER et al. 2014) and in *Trebouxia* (SADOWSKA-DÉS et al. 2014), species delimitations were investigated.

Finally, the successful application of barcoding for taxonomic and biodiversity applications implicitly made clear its forthcoming high potential for biosystems approaches. A high-throughput DNA barcoding for ecological network studies was shown by TOJU (2015).

Which ITS is "better"? BLAALID et al. (2013) resumed that their results indicate that ITS1 and ITS2 to a large extent yield similar results when used as DNA metabarcodes for fungi. However, WANG et al. (2015) found that ITS1 is better than ITS2 in eukaryotes. Additionally, "shorter length of amplification product and lower GC content was discovered to be two other advantages of ITS1 for sequencing. In summary, ITS1 represents a better DNA barcode than ITS2 for eukaryotic species".

The impact of the molecular revolution in understanding cryptogams:

- Gene and genome based phylogenies have reached a high degree of conformity
- Fossil dating helps to calibrate molecularly based phylogenies
- Genome sequences allow insights in metabolic functions and ecological adaptations
- Barcoding touches biodiversity
- Molecular approaches relativise species concepts

Further potential developments:

- Genomically based backgrounds for organismic interactions
- Population genomics for understanding microevolution
- Biome genomics as a future challenge

# 8. Cryptogamic fossils, ecological changes and challenges

## Cryptogams over 500 million years

Few remarks on fossil records of cryptogams are mentioned here because of their importance in understanding the historical context and global changes over very long geological times.

In a stratigraphic arrangement of major plant fossils (Fig. 22), MÄGDEFRAU (1968) positioned representative taxa: Algae known from the Cambrian. Earlier records refer to stromatolites of cyanobacteria, the "bluegreen algae", in



Fig. 22: Fossil records of most important plant groups, known until 1967. From MägdefRAU (1968). For details compare text.

former times included in cryptogams. Many fossils of pteridophytes are well preserved and suitable at best for phylogenetic reconstructions. Psilophytes are known from the Late Silurian but are extinguished already in the Upper Devonian when the bulk of other plant groups tardily began to develop. Several of them radiated strongly in the following Carbonian, as *Lepidodendron*, Sigillariaceae, Sphenophyllaceae, Calamitaceae, seed ferns Pteridospermophyta and *Cordaites. Lepidodendron* became extinct at the end of the Devonian.

Calamitaceae, Sphenophyllaceae and *Cordaites* disappeared in Middle Permian as parts of the Permian-Triassic extinction event. Few fungal fossils and the oldest surviving vascular plants, the lycopods, Lycopodiophyta, were known from the Devonian. Selaginellaceae, Equisetaceae, Leptosporangiates and the non-cryptogamic Cycads, Ginkgoaceae and Conifers were first recognized from the Middle to the Upper Carboniferous, and persisted over the times. Another non-cryptogamic group, the Bennettitales appeared in the Triassic and became extinct in the middle of the Cretaceous.

Ernst Friedrich Freiherr von SCHLOTHEIM (1765–1832) was the founder of scientific Palaeontology. He also introduced LINNÉan binary nomenclature for fossils, thus making them "comparable" to extant species. The major results of palaeobotanical research, including cryptogams, until the middle of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, were compiled in textbooks in German by Walter ZIMMERMANN (1892–1980) in two editions, last one in 1959 (ZIMMERMANN 1959) and Karl MÄGDEFRAU (1907–1999) in four editions, last one in 1968.

For comparison with the state of fossil knowledge in 1967 (Fig. 22), newest data are compiled in figure 23. In the Ordovician of Wisconsin, Redecker et al. (2000) found fossilized hyphae and spores, strongly resembling extant glomeralean arbuscular mycorrhizae. - Globally widespread cryptogamic covers from mid-Ordovician times are assigned to Nematothallus (Nematophytes), suggesting affinities with lichenized fungi (Edwards et al. 2013). – Wellpreserved dasycladalean algal fossils from the Silurian Kalana Lagerstätte in Estonia, described as *Palaeocymopolia silurica* by MASTIK & TINN (2015), show similarity to the extant species *Cymopolia barbata*, however, with lack of a calcium carbonate skeleton. – The early evolution of land plants from fossils from the Downtonian of England and Wales, first explored already by LANG (1937), was reconsidered by Elbert et al. (2012) and Edwards & Ken-RICK (2015), who understand the cryptogamic covers as small bryophyte-like organisms and lichens. - Lower Devonian lichens, Cyanolichenomycites devonicus with cyanobacterial photobionts, and Chlorolichenomycites salopensis with unicellular, presumably green algal symbionts, were described by HONEGGER et al. (2013). Fungal fruiting bodies could not be detected, however spores in a pycnidium were found in C. devonicus. For further reading, see Rosemarie HONEGGER (2018) in this volume, dealing with fossil lichens and their bacterial epi- and endobionts. – Since the early Devonian sedimentary

deposit of Rhynie chert was discovered in 1910 west of Aberdeen, a bulk of important fossils of diverse organismic groups was detected, i.a. the early land plant genera *Aglaophyton, Asteroxylon, Horneophyton* and *Rhynia*. Detailed microscopic studies of the last years unraveled *Zwergimyces vestitus* (KRINGS & TAYLOR 2012), and the fungal sporocarp *Mycocarpon* from the Rhynie chert (KRINGS et al. 2014). Already in 1993, SIMON et al. used SSU sequences for a molecular clock to infer dates of divergences in the phylogenetic tree of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, and an estimated origin of AMF 353-462 Ma ago.



Fig. 23: Fossils of cryptogams. Geological periods at bottom, Downtonian and Rhynie chert included as vertical columns. Fossils indicated by dashed lines, extinct taxa by dark boxes, and still extant groups by unfilled frames. *Palaeoglomus, Winfrenatia, Palaeopyrenomycites, Mycocarpon, Zwergimyces*, and Peronosporomycetes cannot be associated with plants. The phylogenetic tree is adopted from KENRICK and STRULLU-DERRIEN (2014), and strongly modified by compilations from various authors, cited in the text. Orig.

There is no agreement about the Devonian fossil logs of *Prototaxites*. RE-TALLACK & LANDNING (2014) suggest that the genus and its extinct order Nematophytales may belong to the Mucoromycotina or Glomeromycota, and reject interpretations of giant aquatic algae, carpets of liverworts, unproportionally huge fungal fruiting bodies or inflated lichens. – Apparently sapro-

How to understand cryptogams

bic Peronosporomycetes from Middle Permian in Antarctica were reported from SLATER et al. (2013). - Dinoflagellate cysts from the Upper Cretaceous in the Norwegian Sea were reported by RADMACHER et al. (2015). - The oldest known epiphyllous moss, Bryiidites, from the North American middle Cretaceous provides fossil evidence for a tropical maritime climate in central North America during the middle Cretaceous (BARCLAY et al. 2013). - The mycorrhizal fungus, *Glomites vertebrariae*, in the Permian seedfern *Glossopteris* of Antarctica was considered as of AMF by HARPER et al. (2013) because of its strikingly similar vesicles and arbuscules. However, the authors reported also sparsely septate hyphae in the host roots, a feature unlike Glomeromycota. Therefore, dark septate endophytes were also discussed, and interpreted as additional fungi in *Glossopteris*. – Using high-throughput metabarcoding of ancient DNA from arctic permafrost sediment samples in Siberia, dated 16,000–32,000 radiocarbon years, Bellemain et al. (2013) detected 75 fungal OTUs from 21 orders, representing three phyla, most likely part of a fungal community as in similar, present-day soils.

### Interactive systems I: symbiotic cryptogams conquering terrestrial biomes and influential abiotic factors

BEERLING & BERNER (2005) carried out a system's analysis of the physiological and geochemical processes involved in the coupled evolution of land plants and CO<sub>2</sub>. During the evolution and diversification of terrestrial ecosystems in the Paleozoic, CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were falling, implying that first plants cooled the Ordovician (LENTON et al. 2012). The approximate 90 % CO<sub>2</sub> fall, 480–360 Ma ago, coincides with glomeromycotan mycorrhization (AMF) of thallophytes, primarily conquering terrestrial habitats. Using standardized dual isotopic tracers (<sup>14</sup>C and <sup>33</sup>P), FIELD et al. (2012) could demonstrate "that AM symbiosis efficiency (defined as plant P gain per unit of C invested into fungi) of liverwort gametophytes declines, but increases in the sporophytes of vascular plants (ferns and angiosperms), at 440 p.p.m. compared with 1,500 p.p.m. [CO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>a</sub>". The interference of early cryptogams with geochemical carbon cycle development is a future research challenge.

### Interactive systems II: extant cryptogams and their organismic partners

Extrapolated from extant symbiotic associations, Mucoromycotina can be assumed as primary symbionts of terrestrial thallophytes (BIDARTONDO et al. 2011), replaced by allround successive Glomeromycota (Fig. 24). Later, losses of symbioses occurred several times, but also secondary gains by species of basidiomycetous Tulasnellales and Sebacinales and Ascomycota took place in liverworts (KOTTKE & NEBEL 2005, PREUSSING et al. 2010a, 2010b).



Fig. 24: Basal extant liverworts and their fungal associations may reflect historical mycothallus events. + gain, x = loss of fungal symbionts. Numbers in boxes refer to known species in 2011 (pers. comm. Martin NEBEL 2012). Compare following text. (Based on KOTTKE & NEBEL (2005), strongly modified and complemented)

Earliest terrestrial mycothalli had to cope with limited water and minerals, and had to be protected against extreme temperature fluctuations and heavy insolation. Crucial genes for the establishment of arbuscular mycothalli were studied by GENRE et al. (2005) and DELAUX et al. (2012). Strigolactone genes are involved in the pre-symbiotic stages and arbuscule formation, the latter together with subtilase and vapyrin, and a phosphate transporter delivers phosphorous into the host plant. – Recently, there are several reviews available dealing with the fascinating topic of the ascending life, marked with key events, and, surprisingly neglecting the adventures of interacting organisms. – By applying model selection theory to molecular phylogenies, THEOBALD (2010) tested universal common ancestry and found a strong support for the monophyly of all known life.



Fig. 25: Basidiomycota and their interactive systems representing principal nutritional modes. The geological appearance of Pinaceae and Angiosperms as most recent substrate suppliers is indicated by background colours. Numbers in the time scale and for approximate origins of taxa are in million years. Numbers in corresponding triangles are species estimations. The phylogenetic tree incorporates principal clades of Fig. 20, and includes additional taxa. Divergence dates are adopted from TAYLOR & BERBEE'S (2006) second scenario, applying fungal, animal and plant calibrations. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

The diversity of nutritional modes in extant basidiomycetes can be projected in molecularly based phylogenies to their plausible origins (Fig. 25). There is strong evidence that mycoparasitism is a primary interactive strategy in basidiomycetes. However, various plant parasites and mycorrhizal partners quickly dominated in evolution, and continued to play most important ecological roles in plant communities. In addition, basidiomycetes are essential components in saprobic decay of various substrates, especially of wood. Even when common in certain vegetation types, basidiolichens are quantitatively limited in comparsion with ascomycetous lichens. They will be treated in the contribution on ultrastructure in basidiomycetes by OBERWINKLER and BAUER (2018) in this volume. Livestyles, representative for basidiomycetes, have evolved indepently in various other fungal relationships and partly in Oomycetes. Coevolutionary trends of obligate parasites were already discussed in rust fungi (chapter 4). For them and others, organismal diversification needs to be accompanied by understanding host and biome interaction parameters, especially effector functions and targets (KEMEN et al. 2015).

## Interactive systems III: cryptogams and habitats

Historically, organismic studies started with fieldwork (chapter 2). As discussed for the black rust (chapter 4), understanding of host associations were mandatory to clarify the life history. Then, when agricultural needs changed the habitats drastically, fieldwork became another challenge to keep up with newly evolving, virulent strains.



root connections through fungal networks

Fig. 26: Simple scheme of fungal plant interactions in spruce, *Picea abies*. Decidedly, this is a model of organismic interactions reduced to one plant species and an unknown number fungal participants. All other partners, like additional plants, including cryptogams, animals and prokaryotes, are excluded. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

Since the early 1970<sup>th</sup>, "novel forest decline" was first recognized in Germany, successively affecting conifers and deciduous trees. Intensive basic research was initiated to elucidate causes for the symptoms. The interdisciplinary research approaches very much contributed to a better understanding of the organismic complexity of forest ecosystems. Spruce is taken here to refer to fungal partners (Fig. 26) for the purpose to discriminate between abiotic and

How to understand cryptogams

biotic, as well as parasitic, saprobic, and symbiotic interactions. Ectomycorrhizal diversity plays a crucial role for development and proper functions of spruce, including connections between tree individuals through hyphal networks. For further details compare the contribution of Ingeborg HAUG (2018) on mycorrhizal diversification in South Ecuador in this volume. – The quality of soil and humus is markedly influenced by wood and litter decay, realised by a plethora of different species, including a high number of fungi. From habit appearance in late stages, brown and white rot have been distinguished for a long time. Comparative analyses of 31 fungal genomes led to the result that the white rot decomposer Auriculariales, ancestor of the Agaricomycetes, suggest the origin of lignin degradation, coinciding with the end of the Carboniferous period (FLOUDAS et al. 2012). However, the distinction of the two decay modes, white and brown rot, based on the presence or absence of lignolytic class II peroxidases, was strongly relativised by RILEY et al. (2014), with most of the authors of the FLOUDAS et al. paper, comparing a broad range of gene families encoding plant biomass degrading enzymes of 33 basidiomycetes. In conclusion, these authors suggested now a continuum of rot types rather than a dichotomy between the two decay modes which are traditionally accepted. Comparison of genome sequences of the white-rot fungus Cylindrobasidium torrendii and the brown-rot fungus Fistulina hepatica reinforced the idea that wood decay mechanisms are phylogenetically intergrading (FLOUDAS et al. 2015). – A detailed molecular study on fungal communities decomposing *Pi*cea abies logs was carried out in Sweden by OTTOSSON et al. (2015). 1910 fungal operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were detected from which 35 % were assigned to ecological roles, for the remaining bulk information is lacking.

Unfortunately, many other ecological and community networks must be skipped here. But there are several additional and relevant contributions in this volume on forest pathology by Gitta LANGER (2018), aphyllophoralean fungi as habitat builder by Ewald LANGER (2018), dependencies of fungi from plants by Roland KIRSCHNER (2018), hyperepiphyllic ascomycetes by Peter Döbbeler (2018), lichen thallus as a microbial habitat by Martin GRUBE (2018), lichenicolous fungi by Josef HAFELLNER (2018) and bryophytes by Martin NEBEL and Anna K. BEIKE (2018).

After half a millennium, global ecological challenges are culminating in integrative approaches of various disciplines to ensure viability and efficiency of natural, partly natural and secondary biospheres. Apparently, the progression from studies of relatively simple model systems to complex field conditions is the most important task in biology nowadays and in future because the processes involved "represent the combined effects of interactions among multiple species, environmental variation, and complex feedback mechanisms" (WHITHAM et al. 2008). It requires network approaches to obtain data about interactions and responses of organismic assemblages (BASCOMPTE 2009) in which cryptogams play essential roles. According to PROSSER (2012) the re-

levance of modern techniques, as high-throughput sequencing, to important ecological questions is often unclear. Therefore, it is claimed for a "greater focus on ecological questions, more critical analysis of accepted concepts and consideration of the fundamental mechanisms controlling microbial processes and interactions in situ". Convincing demands, similar to those by SALEEM and MOE (2014) for multitrophic microbial interactions for eco- and agrobiotechnological processes. A forthcoming conference, "Functional genomics and systems biology: from model organisms to human health", in October 2015, announces its goals anthropocentrically: "to improve our understanding of complex biological systems relevant to health and disease, these data, generated from disparate sources, need to be integrated in a biologically meaningful way".

### Cryptogamic fossils and the impact of cryptogams on ecosystems:

- Cryptogams as fossil records
- Fossil dating helps to calibrate molecularly based phylogenies
- Mycothalli as first land plants
- Mycorrhiza dominated vegetation types
- Fungal parasites on land plants
- Decomposition of organic substrates

Future urgent research:

• Integrative analyses of global ecosystems

## 9. Data-driven research and bioinformatics

Exceptionally, but deliberately, I briefly mention some personal experiences over the last 40 years that quite emphatically had a direct and an ongoing impact on my own research in cryptogams.

I saw the first computer, actually an electronic typewriter with a screen, in Robert BANDONI's lab at UBC, Vancouver, in 1978. Three years later, we had, as one of the first ones, an external terminal in our institute, connected to the data processing centre of Tübingen University, down town in the city, where the printer was installed. – These days, internet did not exist. The quickest oversea's manuscript exchange by airmail, there and return, took at least three weeks. – Sequencing of 120 base pairs of 5S rRNA of *Puccinia poarum* in Paul BLANZ's lab in my former institute required one week intensive work in 1982. Meanwhile, sequencers are nearly automated machines, so that the researcher's working place is no more in the wet lab, but alongside the computer. – Since internet is available reliably for global data search, researchers

became evaluated without their own permissions. Huge data banks, as those of Web of Science, allow each scientist, affiliated to a paying institution, to screen not only him- or herself about scientific output, implicitly identified with qualification, but anybody in the scientific community around the globe, unknowingly captured from that system.

Computers were the prerequisite for data management that quickly developed into an own discipline (for example TRIEBEL 2009). Three primary nucleotide sequence databases, DNA Data Bank of Japan, European Informatics Institute and GenBank, are interconnected and exchange new and updated data on a daily basis. The new webbased sequence management system UNI-TE (KõLJALG et al. 2013) is a database for molecular identification of fungi through ITS, generally applied in barcoding. That these technical achievements were revolutionary for scientific research is evident, and actually they need not to be reflected anymore.

Since genomes are being sequenced, data exploded exponentially (chapter 11, Fig. 28). In most cases, traditional biologists are unable to cope with this newly generated mass of sequences. The winged word appeared, "Life out of sequence" (STEVENS 2013), meaning in other words, bioinformatics had become the dominating discipline. There are top papers in which not only the first author but also the senior one is taken by a bioinformatician. This new development is usually meekly commented that biological questions were still set up by the biologists, resulting in an efficient interdisciplinary cooperation. Now, finally and definitely, biological research is data-driven, and its generally expected and mostly realised results are superbly designed graphs of various kinds, nearly always including phylogenetic trees with 100 % bootstrap values. Actually, these are the summaries of the papers. It is perhaps a philosophical question whether such kind of work is theoretical or not, even when it is clear that it is derived from experimental data. However, such data can also be predicted, as in the case of the basidiolichen Dictyonema glabratum (= Cora pavonia) for which 126 species have been reported recently (LÜCKING et al. 2014) and for which more than 400 species are suggested in a predictive model, nicely filled in a grid map of Central and South America. Maybe that this is an example for "data will be rich and reliable enough that doing a digital experiment by manipulating data will be considered the same thing as doing an experiment with cells and molecules" (STEVENS 2013). This example brings us to "Big Data and its epistemology" (FRICKÉ 2015). Here, the question arises "whether Big Data, in the form of data-driven science, will enable the discovery, or appraisal, of universal scientific theories, instrumentalist tools, or inductive inferences". Apparently, "data-driven science is a chimera". BELCAID and TOONEN (2015) consider it necessary to demystify computer science and "to help biologists understand some of the most important mainstream computational concepts to better appreciate bioinformatics methods and trade-offs that

are not obvious to the uninitiated". Finally, Big Data biology is seen between eliminative inferences and exploratory experiments by RATTI (2015).

Cryptogams and the data morass:

- Data management
- Data-driven research

Futuristic dreams:

• Synthesis of ideas, experiments and selective data use

## 10. Publication strategies and problems

Increasing complexity of research processes and financing modalities were highly influential on publication strategies on a global scale during the last three decades. The shift from single-author to multi-author papers simply shows the facts. This is also true for research on cryptogams. As a rule, the first author has carried out most of the work, and the senior author provided the facilities, sometimes also the ideas and the money. Qualifying authorship credit is still mostly quantifying by dividing one credit equally among all coautors, not counting their actual contribution. Already KATZ and MARTIN (1997) noticed that collaboration is very difficult to define, partly because it is largely a matter of social convention among scientists. Coauthorship is also no more than a partial indicator of collaboration. According to HAGEN (2013) a harmonic formula provides a straightforward quantification and a parsimonious solution of the byline hierarchy. Independent of these implications appears the simple statement of SERVIA-RODRÍGUEZ et al. (2015) that success lies at the centre of your co-authorship network. However, success in terms of citation and h-index depends very much on the research topics, and it heavily disadvantages younger researchers. To avoid blaming anybody, I have chosen two examples where I am involved as coauthor: "A higher-level phylogenetic classification of fungi" (HIBBETT et al. 2007) with 67 authors, was cited 815 times in June 2015 according to Web of Science. A publication on "Phylogeny of Hyaloperonospora based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences" (GÖKER et al. 2004) with four coauthors has not been cited at that time in a refereed journal.

In the 1960s THOMSON REUTERS invented the journal impact factor, JIF, that influenced publication strategies heavily. In fact, young researchers are forced to publish in journals with an impact factor as high as possible and accessible in their specific discipline. However, it is no more a secret, that nonscientific criteria and even calculated manipulations effect the impact factor considerably. Consequently, "senior staff at societies and leading journals want to end inappropriate use of the measure" (CALLAWAY 2016).

How to understand cryptogams

More than others, high impact journals favour eyecatcher titles for their articles. As an arbitrary example, News from Science, weekly headlines, 08 May 2015, are cited: "Ebola persisted in doctor's eye for months", "Traffic noise blocks fish sex", "Podcast: A plant that finds diamonds, the evolution of pop music, and why Americans smile more than Russians and Chinese", "Graphene spray makes spider spin stronger silk....or die". Is this reflecting what is considered as essential today for mass consumers in the scientific community? - In April 2015, The Royal Society held the conference on "The future of scholarly scientific communication" (THE ROYAL SOCIETY 2015). The Chair of the first session, Geoffrey BOULTON, emphasized "that it was important to be radical in our thinking", and several contributors followed his advice, e.g. the JIF is "responsible for distorting journal editorial policies and author behaviour", and "peer review is faith-based (not evidence-based) slow, wasteful, ineffective, largely a lottery, easily abused, prone to bias, doesn't detect fraud and irrelevant. In the age of the internet, we no longer need it. We should publish everything and let the world decide. Peer review in journals only persists because of huge vested interests", and "open access is only really tinkering with the existing model". In fact, a free-thinking scientific community is active and successful, as is visible by ScienceOpen that was launched in May 2014 (GROSSMANN 2015a), and which actually (August 2015) offers already 1.5 million open access articles (GROSSMAN 2015b).

Publish or perish:

- Join multi-author papers
- Follow scientific advertisement from paper title to journal

Hopeful future regulations:

Harmonic research and open access publication networks

## 11. Conclusions and outlook



Fig. 27: Approximate application percentages of successive main research methods in biology over the last 300 years, with special reference to cryptogams, except lichens. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

The brief outline and comments on changing research in cryptogams tries to analyse conditions and prerequisites for this development. Few collectors of "new organisms" were followed by taxonomists of various kinds, and finally by specialized systematists. Regional and global monographs of selected taxa accumulated over the time, mostly usable only for the taxonomic experts. - Quickly, so-called general research methods were applied also for cryptogams. In a historical sequence of techniques available (Figs. 2, 6, 9, 27), these were light microscopic studies of cellular constructions, thus providing the grounds for forthcoming developments, than followed by physiological and ecological approaches, finally by molecular and partial to full genome analyses. However, none of the previous methods were completed. In contrast, they were used simultaneously, and quite often excessively, and facilitated by digitalizing equipments. - The result of this development is an exponentially increasing amount of data (Fig. 28). None of the experts themselves is able to overlook the data mass and the corresponding literature in his own field anymore. The selective interpretations of bioinformatics are consequently required, being the integral part of biological research in future. How the balance between biological questions and the essential data management will be handled now and after exploding in future, will be the most urgent challenge.

How to understand cryptogams



Fig. 28: Methods and fields in biological research over an approximate time of 300 years. This diagram is fully applicable to cryptogam research over that period, too. The diagram integrates and simplifies the data of Figs. 26 and 29. The data curve illustrates the asymptotic increase of research output. Arrow-headed lines shall indicate that former research methods were applied over the whole timespan of cryptogamic research. – In June 2015, nearly 416,000 publications for "biodiversity", 240,000 for "Molecular phylogeny" and more than 14,000 for the search term "environmental genomics" were listed in Web of Science. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

Recognition of organisms by their habit appearance is as old as mankind. However, scientifically motivated distinctions of species is not much older than 500 years, except of folk medicinal purposes. When "macro-organisms" became interesting for former biologists, comparative macromorphology developed rapidly and culminated quickly (Fig. 27). It was the time of the great collectors and the beginning of intensive classifications. - Light microscopy required a long technical development and a pioneer attitude for researchers to apply it. Nevertheless, when well-equipped microscopes were available, light microscopy passed a track record. Its renaissance is similarly remarkable, and well understandable. The unique capabilities came through fluorescence and confocal techniques, and reached the possibilities to observe living cell organelles and even protein molecules. - Chemico-physical methods were then the winners in plant physiology, and biotechnology developed step by step. Both appeard to be unsurpassable. - Around the 1950s electron microscopes were technically adequately developed for practical work in biology. Electron microscopy's breakthrough was surprising, but also its decrease when mole-

cular techniques became available. These are nearly universally applicable and claim for first priorities in most fields, including research grants. – The coexistence and de facto co-applicability of all methods and their specific variants is an attribute of present times, and a challenge for coming joint applications.



Fig. 29: Holistic view of individual and combined research capacities, the emerging, actual and prospective environmental challenge in biology in general, and with a special focus on cryptogams. Explanation in the text. (Graphic: F. OBERWINKLER)

At times of the great herbalists, plants were recognized by habit as distinctive species. One experienced physician or pharmacist was covering all plants, including few cryptogams for medicinal applications. When scientific research developed (Fig. 29), also individual experts were capable to deal with the whole extent of known species. To master the quickly growing number of species, regional floras and taxonomic treatments were following, again, mostly the works of individual researchers. This "habitus-species" approach was inherently accompanied by ecosystem characteristics of each species' habitat. So, even unconsciously, ecosystem parameters were in the mind of each field worker, and had some impact on species descriptions. – Increasing light microscopic work was accompanied by a first and significant reorientation in research fields. Careful microscopic studies were time consuming and confronted with huge amounts of new data. Researchers with a restricted field of expertise, mostly in organismic groups, like algae or bryophytes, were the consequence. However, there were exceptions of still broadly expertised biologists.

When chemical methods became part of biological studies, the splits in research competence were much more drastic. At least as a rule, physiological and biochemical experiments in various fields required consequent laboratory presence. In addition, the generally important results in these fields appeared to justify the recommendation of more qualified research. A remarkable divergence resulted in progressively important and conventional disciplines. However, the limits of organismic groups appeared unimportant in general studies, but meaningful again, for example in chemosystematics. Exceptionally, there were and are biochemists with great expertise in field work and in systematics. - Similar attributes are also true for some electron microscopists, genetists, and molecular biologists. All of them, however, depend on the availability of the correct organisms for their special purposes. What the correct organism is, was traditionally defined by the expertised taxonomist, then by a voucher or culture name that correctly should include who has identified the sample. Genetics, physiology and molecular disciplines are overfilled with technical terminologies, certainly simplifying communication between specialists, but very much impeding the understanding of non-experts. - All together, molecular techniques dominated biological disciplines in the very short time of a few decades. Their integrative power lies in the affiliation of nearly all other biological disciplines.

## 12. Acknowledgement

The effort of Paul BLANZ, Graz, to organize the KERNER VON MAURILAUN-Symposium in memory of Josef POELT on the occasion of the 20<sup>th</sup> anniversary of his death, is very much appreciated. I am also grateful for Paul's suggestion to contribute an historical outline on research in cryptogams that were the main field of Josef's investigations. Hannes HERTEL was so kind to comment critically on a first version of the manuscript. Careful proof-reading of my wife Barbara OBERWINKLER is highly acknowledged. I thank editors and authors who kindly permitted copyrights of their illustrations reproduced in this article.

## 13. References

ABBE, E. 1873: Beträge zur Theorie des Mikroskops und der mikroskopischen Wahrnehmung. — Archiv mikrosk. Anatomie 9: 413–468.

ACHARIUS, E. 1789: Lichenographiae Suecia Prodromus. — Lincopiae: D.G. Björn.

- ACHARIUS, E. 1803: Methodus qua omnes detectos lichenes secundum organa carpomorpha ad genera, species et varietates. — Stockholmiae: F.D.D. Ulrich.
- ACHARIUS, E. 1810: Lichenographia universalis. Gottingae: Apud Iust. Frid. Danckwerts.
- ACHARIUS, E. 1814: Synopsis methodica lichenum. Lund: Svanborg et Soc.
- ALBERTINI, J.B. von & SCHWEINITZ, L.D. von 1805: Conspectus fungorum in Lusatiae superioris agro Nisikiense crescentium e methodo Persooniana. — Lipsiae: Sumtibus Kummerianis.
- ANIKSTER, Y. & WAHL, I. 1979: Coevolution of the rust fungi on Graminae and Liliaceae and their hosts. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 17: 367–403.
- ARDENNE, M., von 1940: Elektronen-Übermikroskopie, Physik, Technik, Ergebnisse. Berlin: J. Springer Verl.
- ARTHUR, J.C. 1905: Terminology of the spore structures in the Uredinales. Bot. Gaz. **39**: 219–222.
- ARTHUR, J.C. 1934: "Manual of the Rusts in United States and Canada". Lafayette, Indiana: Purdure Research Foundation. — Reprinted with a supplement by G.B. CUMMINS (1962), New York: Hafner.
- ASAHINA, Y. 1934: Flechtenstoffe. Fortschr. Chem. Organ. Naturst. 2: 27-60.
- ASAHINA, Y. & SHIBATA, S. 1954: Chemistry of lichen substances. Tokyo: Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.
- AUMÜLLER, S.A. 1983: Motherland, descent and study period (of CAROLUS CLUSIUS). In AUMÜLLER, A., JEANPLONG, J. (eds.): Carolus CLUSIUS Fungorum in Pannoniis observatum brevis historia et Codex Clusii. — Graz: Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, Akad. Druck- u. Verlagsanstalt.
- BABIKER, E.M., GORDON, T.C., CHAO, S., NEWCOMB, M., ROUSE, M.N., JIN, Y., WANYERA, R., ACEVEDO, M., BROWN-GUEDIRA, G., WILLIAMSON, S. & BONMAN, J.M. 2015: Mapping resistance to the Ug99 race group of the black rust pathogen in a spring wheat landrace. — Theor. Appl. Genet. 128: 605–612.
- BANKS, J.A, NISHIYAMA, T., HASEBE, M., BOWMAN, J.L., GRIBSKOV, M., DE PAMPHILIS, C, Albert, V.A., Aono, N., Aoyama, T., Ambrose, B.A., Ashton, N.W., Axtell, M.J., BARKER, E., BARKER, M.S., BENNETZEN, J.L., BONAWITZ, N.D., CHAPPLE, C., CHENG, C., Correa, L.G., Dacre, M., De Barry, J., Dreyer, I., Elias, M., Engstrom, E.M., Estelle, M., Feng, L., Finet, C., Floyd, S.K., Frommer, W.B., Fujita, T., Gramzow, L., GUTENSOHN, M., HARHOLT, J., HATTORI, M., HEYL, A., HIRAI, T., HIWATASHI, Y., ISHIKAWA, M., IWATA, M., KAROL, K.G., KOEHLER, B., KOLUKISAOGLU, U., KUBO, M., Kurata, T., Lalonde, S., Li, K., Li, Y., Litt, A., Lyons, E., Manning, G., Maruyama, T., MICHAEL, T.P., MIKAMI, K., MIYAZAKI, S., MORINAGA, S., MURATA, T., MUELLER-ROEBER, B., NELSON, D.R., OBARA, M., OGURI, Y., OLMSTEAD, R.G., ONODERA, N., PETERSEN, B.L., PILS, B., PRIGGE, M., RENSING, S.A., RIAÑO-PACHÓN, D.M., ROBERTS, A.W., SATO, Y., SCHELLER, H.V., SCHULZ, B., SCHULZ, C., SHAKIROV, E.V., SHIBAGAKI, N., Shinohara, N., Shippen, D.E., Sørensen, I., Sotooka, R., Sugimoto, N., Su-GITA, M., SUMIKAWA, N., TANURDZIC, M., THEISSEN, G., ULVSKOV, P., WAKAZUKI, S., WENG, J.K., WILLATS, W.W., WIPF, D., WOLF, P.G., YANG, L., ZIMMER, A.D., ZHU, Q., MITROS, T., HELLSTEN, U., LOQUÉ, D., OTILLAR, R., SALAMOV, A., SCHMUTZ, J.,

SHAPIRO, H., LINDQUIST, E., LUCAS, S., ROKHSAR, D. & GRIGORIEV, I.V. 2011: The *Selaginella* genome identifies genetic changes associated with the evolution of vascular plants. — Science **332**: 960–963.

- BARCLAY, R.S., MCELWAIN, J.C., DUCKETT, J.G., VAN ES, M.H., MOSTAERT, A.S., PRESSEL, S. & SAGEMAN, B.B. 2013: New methods reveal oldest known fossil epiphyllous moss: *Bryiidites utahensis* gen. et sp. nov. (Bryidae). — Am. J. Bot. 100: 2450–2457.
- BASCOMPTE, J. 2009: Disentangling the web of life. Science 325: 416–419.
- BATSCH, A.J.G. 1783–1789: Elenchus fungorum. Halae Magdeburgicae: Apud Joannem J. Gebauer.
- BAUER, R. & OBERWINKLER, F. 1986a: Experimentell-ontogenetische Untersuchungen an Phragmobasidien. Z. Mykol. **52**: 259–264.
- BAUER, R. & OBERWINKLER, F. 1986b: Experimentell-ontogenetische und karyologische Untersuchungen an *Ochropsora ariae* (FUCK.) Ramsb. Z. Mykol. **52**: 271–275.
- BAUHIN, C. 1623: Pinax theatri botanici Caspari BAUHINI sive index in THEOPHRASTI, DIO-SCORIDIS, PLINI et botanicorum. — Basilae Helvet: Sumptibus & typis Ludovici Regis.
- BAUHIN, J. 1650–51: Historia plantarum universalis. 3 vol. Ebroduni: Publisher unknown.
- BEERLING, D.J. & BERNER, R.A. 2005: Feedbacks and the coevolution of plants and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. — Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **102**: 1302–1305. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.0408724102)
- BEGEROW, D. & KEMLER, M. 2018: Phylogeny, biogeography and host specificity of smut fungi. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 311–329. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- BEGEROW, D., NILSSON, H., UNTERSEHER, M. & MAIER, W. 2010: Current state and perspectives of fungal DNA barcoding and rapid identification procedures. — Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 87: 99–108. (doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2585-4)
- BEIKE, A.K., von STACKELBER, M., SCHALLENBERG-RÜDINGERE, M., HANKE, S.T., FOLLO, M., QUANDT, D., MCDANIEL, S.F., RESKI, R., TAN, B.C. & RENSING, S.A. 2014: Molecular evidence for convergent evolution and allopolyploid speciation within the *Physcomitrium-Physcomitrella* species complex. — BMC Evol. Biol. 2014, 14: 158. (http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/14/158)
- BELCAID, M. & TOONEN, R.J. 2015: Demystifying computer science for molecular ecologists. — Molec. Ecol. 24: 2619–2640.
- BELLEMAIN, E., DAVEY, M.L., KAUSERUD, H., EPP, L.S., BOESSENKOOL, S., COLSSAC, E., GEML, J., EDWARDS, M., WILLERSLEV, E., GUSSAROVA, G., TABERLET, P. & BROCHMANN, C. 2013: Fungal palaeodiversity revealed using high-throughput metabarcoding of ancient DNA from arctic permafrost. — Env. Microbiol. 15: 1176–1189.
- BERNDT, R. 2013: First catalogue of the rust fungi of French Guiana, northern South America. Mycol. Progress 12: 193–211.
- BERNDT, R. 2018: The Pucciniosiraceae: Taxonomy of a polyphyletic family of the rust fungi (Uredinales). Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 245–269. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.

- BERNDT, R. & OBERWINKLER, F. 1995: Ultrastructure of the parasitic interface of *Puc-ciniastrum, Thekopsora, Naohidemyces*, and *Calyptospora* (Uredinales, Puccinias-traceae). Mycoscience **36**: 51–59.
- BERNDT, R. & OBERWINKLER, F. 1997: Haustorial ultrastructure and morphology of *Melampsorella* and *Thekopsora areolata*. Mycologia **89**: 698–705.
- BERNDT, R., BAUER, R. & OBERWINKLER, F. 1994: Ultrastructure of the host-parasite interface in the fern rusts *Milesia*, *Uredinopsis*, and *Hyalopsora* (Uredinales, Pucciniastraceae. — Canad. J. Bot. **72**: 1084–1094.
- BIANCHINI, P., PERES, C., ONETO, M., GALIANI, S., VICIDOMINI, G. & DIASPRO, A. 2015: STED nanoscopy: a glimpse in the future. — Cell Tissue Res. **360**: 143–150.
- BIDARTONDO, M.I., READ, D.J., TRAPPE, J., MERCKX, V., LIGRONE, R. & DUCKETT, J.G. 2011: The dawn of symbiosis between plants and fungi. — Biol. Lett. 7: 574–577.
- BILOVITZ, P.O. & MAYRHOFER, H. 2018: Towards a checklist of the lichens of the Alps. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 589–596. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- BLANZ, P. & ZWEKTO, P. 2018: Remarks on species concepts in European florae of rust fungi. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 289–310. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- BOLTON, J. 1788–1790: An history of fungusses growing about Halifax. 3 vols. Halifax: Huddersfield.
- BRANDÃO, L.F., ALCANTARA, G.B., MATOS MDE, F., BOGO, D., FREITAS DDOS, S., OYAMA, N.M. & HONDA, N.K. 2013: Cytotoxic evaluation of phenolic compounds from lichens against melanoma cells. — Chem. Pharm. Bull. 61: 176–183.
- BREFELD, O. 1881: Botanische Untersuchungen über Schimmelpilze. Untersuchungen aus dem Gesammtgebiete der Mykologie. IV. Heft. Leipzig: Verl. A. Felix.
- BREFELD, O. 1883: Botanische Untersuchungen über Hefepilze, Fortsetzung der Schimmelpilze. Untersuchungen aus dem Gesammtgebiete der Mykologie. V. Heft. — Leipzig: Verl. A. Felix.
- BREFELD, O. 1888: Untersuchungen aus dem Gesammtgebiete der Mykologie. Fortsetzung der Schimmel- und Hefepilze. VII. Heft: Basidiomyceten II. Protobasidiomyceten. — Leipzig: Verl. A. Felix.
- BREFELD, O. 1895a: Untersuchungen aus dem Gesammtgebiete der Mykologie. Fortsetzung der Schimmel- und Hefepilze. IX. Heft: Die Brandpilze II. — Münster i. W.: Comm.-Verl. H. Schöningh.
- BREFELD, O. 1895b: Untersuchungen aus dem Gesammtgebiete der Mykologie. Fortsetzung der Schimmel- und Hefepilze. XII. Heft: Hemibasidii. Brandpilze II. — Münster i. W.: Comm.-Verl. H. Schöningh.
- BREFELD, O. 1912: Untersuchungen aus dem Gesammtgebiete der Mykologie. XV. Band: Die Brandpilze und die Brandkrankheiten. V. — Münster i. W.: Comm.-Verl. H. Schöningh.
- BRESINSKY, A. 2018: Heading towards a survey of fungi in Bavaria The contribution of Josef Poelt in achieving substantial progress. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 515–527. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- BRESADOLA, G. 1929–1930: Iconografia Mycologica. Milano: Pizzi & Pizio.

- BRODO, I.M., SHARNOFF, S.D. & SHARNOFF, S. 2001: Lichens of North America. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- BROWDER, L.E. 1985: Parasite:Host:Evironment, specificity in the cereal rusts. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 23: 201–222.
- BUCHHEIM, M.A. & CHAPMAN, R.L. 1991: Phylogeny of the colonial green flagellates: a study of 18S and 26S rRNA sequence data. BioSystems 25: 85–100.
- BUCHHEIM, M.A., LEMIEUX, C., OTIS, C., GUTELL, R.R., CHAPMAN, R.L. & TURMEL, M. 1996: Phylogeny of the Chlamydomonadales (Chlorophyceae): a comparison of ribosomal RNA sequences from the nucleus and the chloroplast. Molec. Phyl. Evo. **5**: 391–402.
- BULLER, A.H.R. 1924: Researches on Fungi, Vol. III. New York: Longmans, Green and Co.
- BULLIARD, J.B.F. 1780–1798: Herbier de la France. Paris: P.F. Didot.
- BURLANDO, B., RANZATO, E., VOLANTE, A., APPENDINO, G., POLLASTRO, F. & VEROTTA, L. 2009: Antiproliferative effects on tumour cells and promotion of keratinocyte wound healing by different lichen compounds. — Planta Med. 75: 607–613.
- CAGNIARD-LATOUR, C. 1838: Mémoire sur la fermentation vineuse. Ann. Chimie et Physique 1838: 206–222.
- CALLAWAY, E. 2016: Publishing elite turns against impact factor. Nature 535: 210-211.
- CANO, L.M., RAFFAELE, S., HAUGEN, R.H., SAUNDERS, D.G.O., LEONELLI, L., MACLEAN, D., HOGENHOUT, S.A. & KAMOUN, S. 2013: Major transcriptome reprogramming underlies florals mimicry induced by the rust fungus *Puccinia monoica* in *Boechera stricta*. — PLOS ONE 8 (9): e75293. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075293)
- CANTU, D., GOVINDARAJULU, M., KOZIK, A., WANG, M., CHEN, X., KOJIMA K.K., JURKA, J., MICHELMORE, R.W. & DUBCOVSKY, J. 2011: Next generation sequencing provides access to the genome of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, the causal agent of wheat stripe rust. — PLOS ONE 6 (8): e24230. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024230)
- CARTER, B.E. 2012: Species delimitation and cryptic diversity in the moss genus Scleropodium (Brachytheciaceae). — Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 63: 891–903.
- CHASE, M.W. & FAY, M.F. 2009: Barcoding of plants and fungi. Science 325: 682-683.
- CHEN, J.-C. 2018: Phylogeny of *Tremella* and cultiviation of *T. fuciformis* in Taiwan. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 331–357. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- CHONG, J., JACKSON, C., KIM, J.I., YOON, H.S. & REYES-PRIETO, A. 2014: Molecular markers from different genomic compartments reveal cryptic diversity within glaucophyte species. — Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 76: 181–188.
- CHOUDHARY, M.I., AZIZUDDIN, S.J. & RAHMAN, A.-U. 2005: Bioactive phenolic compounds from a medicinal lichen, *Usnea longissima*. Phytochemistry. **66**: 2346–2350.
- CLAUZADE, G. & ROUX, C. 1985: Likenoj de Okcidenta Europo. Ilustrita Determinlibro. — Bulletin de la Société Botanique du Centre-Ouest 7: 1–893.

- COLLÉN, J., PORCEL, B., CARRÉ, W., BALL, S.G., CHAPARRO, C., TONON, T. & BOYEN, C. 2013: Genome structure and metabolic features in the red seaweed *Chondrus crispus* shed light on evolution of the Archaeplastida. — PNAS 110: 5247–5252.
- CORDA, A.J. 1837–1854: Icones fungorum hucusque cognitorum. Pragae: Apud J.G. Calve.
- CORNER, E.J.H. 1932: A *Fomes* with two systems of hyphae. —Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc. **17**: 51–81.
- CORNER, E.J.H. 1935: The fruit-body of *Polystictus xanthopus* Fr. Trans. Brit. Myc. Soc. 46: 71–111.
- CORNER, E.J.H. 1950: Monograph of *Clavaria* and allied genera. London: Oxford Univ. Press.
- CRAIGIE, E.H. 1931: An experimental investigation of sex in the rust fungi. Phytopath **21**: 1001–1040.
- CULBERSON, C.F. 1969: Chemical and Botanical guide to Lichen Products. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- CULBERSON, C.F. 1970: Supplement to "Chemical and Botanical guide to Lichen Products". — Bryologist 73: 177–377.
- CULBERSON, C.F., Culberson, W.L. & Johnson, A. 1977: Second Supplement to "Chemical and Botanical Guide to Lichen Products". — St. Louis, Mo.: American Bryological and Lichenologial Society, Missouri Botanical Garden.
- CUMMINS, G.B. 1959: Illustrated Genera of Rust Fungi. Minneapolis: Burgess Publishing Company.
- CUMMINS, G.B. 1971: The Rust Fungi of Cereals, Grasses and Bamboos. Berlin and New York: Springer-Verlag.
- CUMMINS, G.B. & HIRATSUKA, Y. 1983: Illustrated Genera of Rust Fungi. Rev. ed. St. Paul, Minnesota: APS Press.
- CUMMINS, G.B. & HIRATSUKA, Y. 2003: Illustrated Genera of Rust Fungi. Third ed. St. Paul, Minnesota: APS Press.
- CUNNINGHAM, G.H. 1930: Terminology of the spore forms and associated structures of the rust fungi. New Zealand 1. Sci. Technol. **12**: 123–128.
- DAUPHIN, B., VIEU, J. & GRANT, J.R. 2014: Molecular phylogenetics supports widespread cryptic species in moonworts (*Botrychium* s.s., Ophioglossaceae). — Am. J. Bot. 101: 128–140.
- DAVIDOVITS, P. & EGGER, M.D. 1969: Scanning laser microscope. Nature 223: 831.
- DAVIDOVITS, P. & EGGER, M.D. 1971: Scanning laser microscope for biological investigations. — Appl. Optics 10: 1615–1619.
- DE BARY, A. 1865: Neue Untersuchungen über die Uredineen, insbesondere die Entwicklung der Puccinia graminis und den Zusammenhang derselben mit Aecidium Berberidis. — Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, pp. 15–50.

- DE BARY, A. 1866: Morphologie und Physiologie der Pilze, Mycetozoen und Bacterien. — In HOFMEISTER W. (ed.): Handbuch der physiologischen Botanik, Bd. 2. — Leipzig: Engelmann.
- DE BARY, A. 1867: Neue Untersuchungen über Uredineen. Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, pp. 205–215.
- DE BARY, A. 1884: Vergleichende Morphologie und Biologie der Pilze, Mycetozoen und Bacterien. Leipzig: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann.
- DE BARY, A. 1887: Comparative Morphology and Biology of the Fungi, Mycetozoa and Bacteria. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- DECKER, E.L., PARSONS, J. & RESKI, R. 2014: Glyco-engineering for biopharmaceutical production in moss bioreactors. — Front. Plant Sci. 5: 346. (doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2014.00346)
- DELAUX, P.-M., NANDA, A.K., MATHÉ, C., SEJALON-DELMAS, N. & DUNAND, C. 2012: Molecular and biochemical aspects of plant terrestialization. — Persp. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 14: 49–59.
- DIETEL, P. 1928: Uredinales. In ENGLER, A. & PRANTL, K. (eds.): Natürliche Pflanzenfamilien II. — Leipzig: Verlag Wilhelm Engelmann.
- DILLEN, J.J. [DILLENIUS] 1741: Historia muscorum. Oxford: E Theatro Sheldoniano.
- Döbbeler, P. 2018: Hypocrealean hyperepiphyllous ascomycetes. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 187–225. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- DUJON, B., SHERMAN, D., FISCHER, G., DURRENS, P., CASAREGOLA, S., LAFONTAINE, I., DE MONTIGNY, J., MARCK, C., NEUVÉGLISE, C., TALLA, E., GOFFARD, N., FRANGEUL, L., AIGLE, M., ANTHOUARD, V., BABOUR, A., BARBE, V., BARNAY, S., BLANCHIN, S., BECK-ERICH, J.M., BEYNE, E., BLEYKASTEN, C., BOISRAMÉ, A., BOYER, J., CATTOLICO, L., CONFANIOLERI, F., DE DARUVAR, A., DESPONS, L., FABRE, E., FAIRHEAD, C., FERRY-DUMAZET, H., GROPPI, A., HANTRAYE, F., HENNEQUIN, C., JAUNIAUX, N., JOYET, P., KACHOURI, R., KERREST, A., KOSZUL, R., LEMAIRE, M., LESUR, I., MA, L., MULLER, H., NICAUD, J.M., NIKOLSKI, M., OZTAS, S., OZIER-KALOGEROPOULOS, O., PELLENZ, S., POTIER, S., RICHARD, G.F., STRAUB, M.L., SULEAU, A., SWENNEN, D., TEKAIA, F., WÉSOLOWSKI-LOUVEL, M., WESTHOF, E., WIRTH, B., ZENIOU-MEYER, M., ZIVANOVIC, I., BOLOTIN-FUKUHARA, M., THIERRY, A., BOUCHIER, C., CAUDRON, B., SCARPELLI, C., GAILLARDIN, C., WEISSENBACH, J., WINCKER, P. & SOUCIET, J.L. 2004: Genome evolution in yeasts. — Nature 430: 35–44.
- DUPLESSIS, S., CUOMO, C.A., LIN, Y.-C., AERTS, A., TISSERANT, E., VENEAULT-FOURREY, C., JOLY, D.L.J., HACQUARD, S., AMSELEM, J., CANTAREL, B.L., CHIU, R., COUTINHO, P.M., FEAU, N., FIELD, M. FREY, P., GELHAYE, E., GOLDBERG, J., GRABHERR, M.G., KODIRA, C.D, KOHLER, A., KÜES, U., LINDQUIST, E.A., LUCAS, S.M., MAGO, R., MAUCELI, E., MORIN, E., MURAT, C., PANGINILAN, J.L., PARK, R., PEARSON, M., QUESNEVILLE, H., ROUHIER, N., SAKTHIKUMAR, S., SALAMOV, A.A., SCHMUTZ, J., SELLES, B., SHAPIRO, H., TANGUAY, P., TUSKAN, G.A., HENRISSAT, B., VAN DE PEER, Y., ROUZÉ, P., ELLIS, J.G., DODDS, P.N., SCHEIN, J.E., ZHONG, S., HAMELIN, R.C., GRIGORIEV, I.V., SZABO, L.J. & MARTIN, F. 2011: Obligat biotrophy features unraveled by the genomic analysis of rust fungi. — PNAS 108 (22): 9166–9171.

- EDWARDS, D., LINDSEY, A. & HONEGGER, R. 2013: Contributions to the diversity in cryptogamic covers in the mid-Paleozoic: *Nematothallus* revisited. — Bot. J. Linn. Soc. **173**: 505–534.
- EDWARDS, D. & KENRICK, P. 2015: The early evolution of land plants, from fossils to genomics: a commentary on LANG (1937) "On the plant-remains from the Downtonian of England and Wales". — Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B **370**: 20140343.
- EGNER, A., JAKOBS, S. & HELL, S.W. 2002: Fast 100-nm resolution three-dimensional microscope reveals structural plasticity of mitochondria in live yeast. — Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **99**: 3370–3375.
- ELBERT, W., WEBER, B., BURROWS, S., STEINKAMP, J., BÜDEL, B., ANDREAE, M. O. & POSCHL, U. 2012: Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. — Nat. Geosci. 5: 459–462. (doi:10.1038/ngeo1486)
- ERDMANN, V.A., WOLTERS, J., PIELER, T., DIGWEED, M., SPECHT, T. & ULBRICH, N. 1987: Evolution of organisms and organelles as studied by comparative computer and biochemical analyses of ribosomal 5S RNA structure. — Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 503: 103–124.
- EHRENBERG, C.G. 1819: *Syzygites*, eine neue Schimmelgattung, nebst Beobachtungen über sichtbare Bewegung in Schimmeln. Verh. Ges. naturf. Freunde Berlin 1: 98–109.
- EHRLICH, H.G. & EHRLICH, M.A. 1962: Fine structure of *Puccinia graminis tritici* in resistant and susceptible host varietis. Am. J. Bot. **49**: 665 (Abstr.).
- EHRLICH, M.A. & EHRLICH, H.G. 1971: Fine structure of the host-parasite interfaces in mycoparasitism. — Ann. Rev. Phytopath. 9:155–184.
- EHRLICH, M.A., SCHASFFER, J.F. & EHRLICH, H.G. 1966: Association of host endoplasmatic reticulum with haustoria of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. — Phytopath. 56: 876 (Abstr.).
- EINHELLINGER, A. 1985: Die Gattung *Russula* in Bayern. Hoppea, Denkschr. Regensb. Bot. Ges. **43**: 5–286.
- ERIKSSON, J. 1894: Über die Specialisierung des Parasitismus bei den Getreiderostpilzen. — Ber. Dtsch. Bot. Ges. **12**: 292–331.
- ERIKSSON, J. & HENNING, E. 1896: Die Getreideroste. Ihre Geschichte und Natur sowie Massregeln gegen dieselben. — Stockholm: Verlag von P.A. Norstedt & Soner.
- ERIKSSON, J. & RYVARDEN, L. 1973: The Corticiaceae of North Europe, Vol. 2, pp. 60–287. — Norway: Fungiflora, Oslo.
- FAYLE, T.M., DUMBRELL, A.J., TURNER, E.C. & FOSTER, W.A. 2011: Distributional patterns of epiphytic ferns are explained by the presence of cryptic species. — Biotropica 43: 6–7.
- FIELD, K.J., CAMERON, D.D., LEAKE, J.R., TILLE, S., BIDARTONDO, M.I. & BEERLING, D.J. 2012: Contrasting arbuscular mycorrhizal responses of vascular and non-vascular plants to a simulated Palaeozoic CO<sub>2</sub> decline. — Nat. Commun. **3**: 835. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms1831)

- FISCHER-PARTON, S., PARTON, R.M., HICKEY, P.C., DIJKSTERHUIS, J., ATKINSON, H.A. & READ, N.D. 2000: Confocal microscopy of FM4-64 as a tool for analysing endocytosis and vesicle trafficking in living fungal hyphae. — J. Microscopy 198: 246–259.
- FLOR, H.H. 1946: Genetics of pathogenicity in *Melampsora lini.* J. Agric. Res. 73: 335–357.
- FLOR, H.H. 1971: Current status of the gene-for-gene concept. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 9: 275–296.
- FLOUDAS, D., BINDER, M., RILEY, R., BARRY, K., BLANCHETTE, R.A., HENRISSAT, B., MARTÍNEZ, A.T., OTILLAR, R., SPATAFORA, J.W., YADAV, J.S., AERTS, A., BENOIT, I., BOYD, A., CARLSON, A., COPELAND, A., COUTINHO, P.M., DE VRIES, R., FERREIRA, P., FINDLEY, K., FOSTER, B., GASKELL, J., GLOTZER, D., GÓRECKI, P., HEITMAN, J., HESSE, C., HORI, C., IGARASHI, K., JURGENS, J.A., KALLEN, N., KERSTEN, P., KOHLER, A., KÜES, U., KUMAR, T.K.A., KUO, A., LABUTTI, K., KARRONDO, L.F., LINDQUIST, E., LING, A., LOMBARD, V., LUCAS, S., LUNDELL, T., MARTIN, R., MCLAUGHLIN, D.J., MORGENSTERN, I., MORIN, E., MURAT, C., NAGY, L.G., NOLAN, M., OHM, R.A., PATYSHAKULIYEVA, A., ROKAS, A., RUIZ-DUEÑAS, F.J., SABAT, G., SALAMOV, A., SAMEJIMA, M., SCHMUTZ, J., SLOT, J.C., JOHN, F.S., STENLID, J., SUN, H., SUN, S., SYED, K., TSANG, A., WIEBENGA, A., YOUNG, D., PISABARRO, A., EASTWOOD, D.C., MARTIN, F., CULLEN, D., GRIGORIEV, I.V. & HIBBETT, D.S. 2012: The paleozoic origin of enzymatic lignin decomposition reconstructed from 31 fungal genomes. Science 336: 1715–1719.
- FLOUDAS, D. A., HELD, B.W., RILEY, R., NAGY, L.G., KOEHLER, G., RANSDELL, A.S., YOU-NUS, H. CHOW, J., CHINIQUY, J., LIPZEN, A., TRITT, A., SUN, H., HARIDAS, S., LABUTTI, K., OHM, R.A., KÜES, U., BLANCHETTE, R.A., GRIGORIEV, I.V., MINTO, R.E. & HIB-BETT, D.S. 2015: Evolution of novel wood decay mechanisms in Agaricales revealed by the genome sequences of *Fistulina hepatica* and *Cylindrobasidium torrendii*. — Fungal Gen. Biol. **78**: 78–92.
- Fox, G.E & WOESE, C.R. 1975: The architecture of 5S rRNA and its relation to function. — J. Mol. Evol. 6: 61–76.
- FRESEWINKEL, M., ROSELLO, R., WILHELM, C., KRUSE, O., HANKAMER, B. & POSTEN, C. 2014: Integration in microalgal bioprocess development: design of efficient, sustainable, and economic processes. — Eng. Life Sci. 14: 560–573.
- FRICKÉ, M. 2015: Big data and its epistemology. J. Assoc. Inform. Sci. Technol. 66: 651–661.
- FRIES, E.M. 1815–1818: Observationes mycologicae. Kjøbenhavn: Hauniae, Sumptibus Gerhardi Bonnier.
- FRIES, E.M. 1821–1832: Systema mycologicum, sistens fungorum ordines, genera et species, hucusque cognitas, quas ad normam methodii naturalis determinavit, disposuit atque descripsit Elias FRIES. 3 vol. — Lund, Berlin, Greifswald: Ex Officina Berlingiana.
- FRIES, E.M. 1831: Lichenographia Europaea reformata. Lundae: Typis Berlingianis.
- FRIES, E.M. 1836–38: Epicrisis systematis mycologici, seu synopsis Hymenomycetum. — Uppsala: Regiae Academiae Typographia.
- FRIES, E.M. 1874: Hymenomycetes Europaei epicriseos systematis mycologici editio altera. — Uppsala: E. Berling.

- FUCHS, L. 1542: De historia stirpium commentarii insignes. Basiliae: M. Isingrin.
- FUCHS, L. 1543: New Kreüterbuch. Basiliae: M. Isingrin.
- GÄUMANN, E. 1959: Die Rostpilze Mitteleuropas mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Schweiz. Bern: Büchler & Co.
- GÄUMANN, E. 1964: Die Pilze. Basel & Stuttgart: Birkhäuser Verl.
- GALAGAN, J.E., CALVO, S.E., BORKOVICH, K.A., SELKER, E.U., READ, N.D., JAFFE, D., FITZHUGH W., MA L.-J. SMIRNOV, S., PURCELL, S., REHMAN, B., ELKINS, T., EGNELS, R., WAND, S., NIESLEN, C.B., BUTLER, J., ENDRIZZI, M., QUI, D., IANAKIEV, P., BELL-PEDERSEN, D., NELSON, M.A., WERNER-WASHBURNE, M., SELITRENNIKOFF, C.P., KIN-SEY, J.A., BRAUN, E.L., ZELTER, A., SCHULTE, U., KOTHE, G.O., JEDD, G., MEWES, W., STABEN, C., MARCOTE, E., GREENBERG, D., ROY, A., FOLEY, K., NAYLOR, J., STANGE-THOMANN, N., BARRET, R., GNERRE, S., KAMAL, M., KAMYVSSELIS, M., MAUCELI, E., BIELKE, C., RUDD, S., FRISHMAN, D., KRYSTOFOVA, S., RASMUSSEN, C., METZENBERG, R.L., PERKINS, D.D., KROKEN, S., COGONI, C., MACINO, G., CATCHESIDE, D., LI, W., PRATT, R.J., OSMANI, S.A., DESOUZA, C.P.C., GLASS,L., ORBACH, M.J., BERGLUND, J.A., VOELKER, R., YARDEN, O., PLAMANN, M., SEILER, S., DUNLAP, J., RADFORD, A., ARAMAYO, R., NATVID, D.O., ALEX, L.A., MANNHAUPT, G., EBBOLE, D.J., FREITAG, M., PAULSEN, I., SACHS, M.S., LANDER, E.S., NUSBAUM, C. & BIRREN, B. 2003: The genome sequence of the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*. — Nature **422**: 859–868.
- GALLOWAY, D.D. 2007: Flora of New Zealand Lichens. Revised Second Edition Including Lichen-Forming and Lichenicolous Fungi. Volumes 1 and 2. — Manaaki Whenua Press, Lincoln, New Zealand. i–cxxx and 2261 pp.
- GARNICA, S., RIESS, K., BAUER, R., OBERWINKLER, F. & WEISS, M. 2013: Phylogenetic diversity and structure of sebacinoid fungi associated with plant communities along an altitudinal gradient. — FEMS Microbiol Ecol 83: 265–278.
- GARNICA, D.P., NEMRI, A., UPADHYAYA, N.M., RATHJEN, J.P. & DODDS, P.N. 2014: The ins and outs of rust haustoria. — PLOS Pathog Sep 11 (doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004329).
- GENG, S., DE HOFF, P. & UMEN, J.G. 2014: Evolution of sexes from an ancestral matingtype specification pathway. — PLOS Biol **12** (7): e1001904. (doi:10.1371/journal. pbio.1001904)
- GENRE, A., CHABAUD, M., TIMMERS, T., BONFANTE, P. & BARKER, D.G. 2005: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi elicit a novel intracellular apparatus in *Medicago truncatula* root epidermal cells before infection. — Plant Cell 17: 3489–3499.
- GOEBEL, K., von 1898–1901: Organographie der Pflanzen, 1. ed. Jena: Fischer.
- GOEBEL, K., von 1928–1933: Organographie der Pflanzen, 3. ed. Jena: Fischer.
- GOEBEL, K., von 1930: Organographie der Pflanzen. Zweiter Teil: Bryophyten Pteridophyten. — Jena: Fischer.
- GÖKER, M., RIETHMÜLLER, A., VOGLMAYR, H., WEISS, M. & OBERWINKLER, F. 2004: Phylogeny of *Hyaloperonospora* based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences. — Mycol. Prog. **3**: 83–94.

- GOEL, M., DUREJA, P., RANI, A., UNIYAL, P.L. & LAATSCH, H. 2011: Isolation, characterization and antifungal activity of major constituents of the Himalayan lichen *Parmelia reticulata* TAYL. — J. Agric. Food Chem. **59**: 2299–2307.
- GOFFEAU, A., BARRELL, B.G., BUSSEY, H., DAVIS, R.W., DUJON, B., FELDMANN, H., GA-LIBERT, F. HOHEISEL, J.D., JACQ., C., JOHNSTON, M., LOUIS, E.J., MEWES, H.W., MU-RAKAMI, Y., PHILIPPSEN, P., TETTELIN, H. & OLIVER, S.G. 1996: Life with 600 genes. — Science 274: 546–567.
- GOLLAPUDI, S.R., TELIKEPALLI, H., JAMPANI, H.B., MIRHOM, Y.W., DRAKE, S.D., BHATTI-PROLU, K.R., VAN DER VELDE, D. & MITSCHER, L.A. 1994: Alectosarmentin, a new antimicrobial dibenzofuranoid lactol from the lichen *Alectoria sarmentosa*. — J. Nat. Prod. **57**: 934–938.
- GÓMEZ-SERRANILLOS, M.P., FERNÁNDEZ-MORIANO, C., GONZÁLEZ-BURGOS, E., DIVAKAR, P. K. & CRESPO, A. 2014: Parmeliaceae family: phytochemistry, pharmacological potential and phylogenetic features. — RSC Adv. 4: 59017–59047.
- GRAY, S.F. 1821: A natural arrangement of British plants according to their relations to each other as pointed out by JUSSIEU, DE CANDOLLE, BROWN, & c. — London: Baldwin, Cradock and Joy.
- GOTTSCHALK, M. & BLANZ, P. A. 1984: Highly conserved 5S ribosomal RNA sequences in four rust fungi and atypical 5S rRNA secondary structure in *Microstroma juglandis*. — Nuc. Acids Res. **12**: 3951–3958.
- GOTTSCHALK, M. & BLANZ, P. A. 1985: Untersuchungen an 5S ribosomalen Ribonukleinsäuren als Beitrag zur Klärung von Systematik und Phylogenie der Basidiomyceten. — Z. Mykol. 51: 205–243.
- GREVILLE, R.K. 1823–1828: Scottish cryptogamic flora, or coloured figures and descriptions of cryptogamic plants, belonging chiefly to the order Fungi, vol. 1–6. Edinburgh: MacLachlan & Stewart.
- GRIGORIEV, I.V., CULLEN, D., GOODWIN, S.B., HIBBETT, D., JEFFRIES, T. W., KUBICEK, C. P., KUSKE, C., MAGNUSON, J.K., MARTIN, F., SPATAFORA, J.W., TSANG, A. & BAKER, S.E. 2011: Fueling the future with fungal genomics. — Mycology iFirst: 1–18. (doi: 10.1080/21501203.2011.584577)
- GROSSMANN, A. 2015a: ScienceOpen Research. (doi: 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-EDU. EYYXOX.v1)
- GROSSMANN, A. 2015b: Publizieren im Umbruch. Laborjournal 7–8: 16–21.
- GROVE, W.B. 1913: The British Rust Fungi, Uredinales: Their Biology and Classification. — London & New York: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- GRUBE, M. 2018: The lichen thallus as a microbial habitat. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 528–545. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- HAFELLNER, J. 2018: Focus on lichenicolous fungi: Diversity and taxonomy under the principle "one fungus one name". Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 227–243. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- HAGEN, N.T. 2013: Harmonic coauthor credit: a parsimonious quantification of the byline hierarchy. — J. Informetrics 7: 784–791.

- HALLER, A. 1742: Enumeratio methodica stirpium Helvetiae indigenarum. Gottingae: Ex officina academica Abrami Vandenhoek.
- HAMETNER, C., STOCKER-WÖRGÖTTER, E. & GRUBE, M. 2014: New insights into diversity and selectivity of trentepohlean lichen photobionts from the extratropics. — Symbiosis 63: 31–40.
- HARDER, D.E. 1976a: Mitosis and cell division in some cereal rust fungi. I. Fine structure of the interphase and premitotic nuclei. Can. J. Bot. **54**: 981–994.
- HARDER, D.E. 1976b: Mitosis and cell division in some cereal rust fungi. II. The process of mitosis and cytokinesis. — Can. J. Bot. 54: 995–1009.
- HARDWICK, N.V., GREENWOOD, A.D. & WOOD, R.K.S. 1971: The fine structure of the haustorium of *Uromyces appendiculatus* in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Can. J. Bot. **46**: 17–20.
- HARDWICK, N.V., GREENWOOD, A.D. & WOOD, R.K.S. 1975: Observations on the structure of uredospores of *Uromyces appendiculatus*. — Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 64: 289–293.
- HARPER, C.J., TAYLOR, T.N, KRINGS, M. & TAYLOR, E.L. 2013: Mycorrhizal symbiosis in the Paleozoic seed fern *Glossopteris* from Antarctica. — Rev. Palaeobot. Palyn. 192: 22–31.
- HEATH, M.C. 1971: Haustorial sheath formation in cowpea leaves immune to rust infection. — Phytpath. 61: 383–388.
- HEATH, M.C. 1997: Signalling between pathogenic rust fungi and resistant or susceptible host plants. Ann. Bot. **80**: 713–720.
- HEATH, M.C. & HEATH, I.B. 1971: Ultrastructure of an immune and a susceptible reaction of cowpea leaves to rust infection. Phys. Plant Path. 1: 277–287.
- HEDWIG, J. 1798: Theoria generationis et fructificationis plantarum cryptogamicarum. Lipsiae: Ex Officina Breitkopfio – Haerteliana.
- HEDWIG, J. 1799–1803: Filicum genera et species. Heft 1–2, die beiden letzten Hefte herausgegeben von seinem Sohn Roman Adolf HEDWIG.
- HEDWIG, J. 1801: Species muscorum frondosorum. Op. posth. Lipsiae: F. Schwaegrichen.
- HEITMAN, J., SUN, S &, JAMES, T.Y. 2013: Evolution of fungal sexual reproduction. Mycologia 105: 1–27.
- Hell, S.W. 1990: Double-confocal microscrope. European Patent 0491289.
- HELL, S. & WICHMANN, J. 1994: Breaking the diffraction resolution limit by stimulated emission: stimulated-emission-depletion fluorescence microscopy. — Opt. Lett. 19: 780–782.
- HERTEL, H. 2018: JOSEF POELT on his personality and his tracks in the history of lichenology. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 1–100. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- HESSE, O. 1911: Flechtenstoffe. In Abderhalden, E. (ed.): Biochemisches Handlexikon, VII Bd., pp. 32–144. — Berlin: Julius Springer.

- HIBBETT, D. S., BINDER, M., BISCHOFF, J.F., BLACKWELL, M., CANNON, P.F., ERIKSSON, O.E., HUHNDORFF, S., JAMES, T., KIRK, P.M., LÜCKING, R., LUMBSCH, H.L., LUTZONI, F., MA-THENY, P.B., MCLAUGHLIN, D.J., POWELL, M.J., REDEAD, S., SCHOCH, C.L., SPATAFORA, J.W., STALPERS, J.A., VILGALYS, R., AIME, M.C., APTROOT, A., BAUER, R., BEGEROW, D., BENNY, G.L., CASTLEBURY, L.A., CROUS, P.W., DAI, Y.-C., GAMS, W., GEISER, D.M., GRIFFITH, G.W., GUEIDAN, C., HAWKSWORTH, D.L., HESTMARK, G., HOSAKA, K., HUMBER, R.A., HYDE, K.D., IRONSIDE, J.E., KÕLJALG, U., KURTZMAN, C.P., LARSSON, K.-H., LICHTWARDT, R., LONGCORE, J., MIADLIKOWSKA, J., MILLER, A., MONCALVO, J.-M., MOZLEY-STANDRIDGE, S., OBERWINKLER, F., PARMASTO, E., REEB, V., ROGERS, J.D., ROUX, C., RYVARDEN, L., SAMPAIO, J.P., SCHÜSSLER, A., SUGIJAMA, J., THORN, R.G., TIBELL, L., UNTEREINER, W.A., WALKER, C., WANG, Z., WEIR, A., WEISS, M., WHITE, M.M., WINKA, K., YAO, Y.-J. & ZHANG, N. 2007: A higher-level phylogenetic classification of the Fungi. — Mycol. Res. 111: 509–547.
- HINNEBUSCH, A.G., KLOTZ, L.C., BLANKEN, R.L. & LOEBLICH III, A.R. 1981: An evaluation of the phylogenetic position of the dinoflagellate *Crypthecodinium cohnii* based on 5S rRNA characterization. J. Mo. Evol. **17**: 334–347.
- HIRATSUKA, Y. 1973: The nuclear cycle and the terminology of spore states in Uredinales. — Mycologia **65**: 432–443.
- HIRATSUKA, Y. & HIRATSUKA, N. 1980: Morphology of spermogonia and taxonomy of rust fungi. Rep. Tottori Mycol. Inst. 18: 257–268.
- HIRATSUKA, Y. & SATO, S. 1982: Morphology and taxonomy of rust fungi. In Scott, K. & CHAKRAVORTY, A.K. (eds.): The rust fungi, pp. 1–36. — New York: Academic Press.
- HODSON, D.P., CRESSMAN, K., NAZARI, K., PARK, R.F. & YAHYAOUI, A. 2009: The global cereal rust monitoring system. — In MCINTOSH, R. (ed.): Borlaug Global Rust Initiative, pp. 35–46. — Sonora, Mexico: 2009 Technical Workshop, Cd. Obregón.
- HODSON, D.P., GRØNBECH-HANSEN, J., LASSEN, P., ALEMAYEHU, Y., ARISTA, J., SONDER, K., KOSINA, P., MONCADA, P., NAZARI, K., PARK, R.F., PRETORIUS, Z.A., SZABO, L.J., FETSCH, T. & JIN, Y. 2012: Tracking the wheat rust pathogens. — In McINTOSH, R. (ed.): Borlaug Global Rust Initiative, pp. 11–22. — Beijing, China: 2012 Technical Workshop.
- HOFFMANN, J. & MENDGEN, K. 1998: Endocytosis and membrane turnover in the germ tube of *Uromyces fabae*. Fungal Genet. Biol. 24: 77–85.
- HOFFMEISTER, D. 2018: Fungal natural products organismal diversity, seen from the (bio)chemical angle. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 419–428. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- HONDA, N.K., PAVAN, F.R., COELHO, R.G., DE ANDRADE LEITE, S.R., MICHELETTI, A.C., LOPES, T.I., MISUTSU, M.Y., BEATRIZ, A., BRUM, R.L. & LEITE, C.Q. 2010: Antimycobacterial activity of lichen substances. — Phytomedicine 17: 328–332.
- HONEGGER, R. 2018: Fossil lichens from the Lower Devonian and their bacterial and fungal epi- and endobionts. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 547–563. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- HONEGGER, R., EDWARDS, D. & AXE, L. 2013: The earliest records of internally stratified cyanobacterial and algal lichens from the Lower Devonian of the Welsch Borderland. — New Phytol. 197: 264–275.

- HORI, H. & OSAWA, S. 1986: Evolutionary change in 5S rRNA secondary structure and a phylogenetic tree of 352 5S rRNA species. BioSystems 19: 163–172.
- HORI, H., OSAWA, S. & IWABUCHI, M. 1980: The nucleotide sequence of 5S rRNA from a cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Nucleic Acids Res. **8** (23): 5535–5539.
- HUBBARD, A., LEWIS, C.M., YOSHIDA, K., RAMIREZ-GONZALEZ, R.H., DE VALLAVIEILLE-POPE, C., THOMAS, J., KAMOUN, S., BAYLES, R., UAUY, C. & SAUNDERS, D.G.O. 2015: Field pathogenomics reveals the emergence of a diverse wheat yellow rust population. — Genome Biol. 16: 23. (doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0590-8)
- HUGHES, S.J. 1970: Ontogeny of spore forms in Uredinales. Can. J. Bot. 48: 2147-2157.
- HUNECK, S. 2001: New Results on the Chemistry of Lichen Substances. In HERZ, W., FALK, H., KIRBY, G.W. & MOORE, R.E. (eds.): Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products 81, pp. 1–311. — Wien, New York: Springer Verlag.
- HUNECK, S. & YOSHIMURA, I. 1996: Identification of Lichen Substances. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.
- HUTSEMÉKERS, V., VIEIRA, C.C., Ros, R.M., HUTTUNEN, S. & VANTERPOORTEN, A. 2012: Morphology informed by phylogeny reveals unexpected patterns of species differentiation in the aquatic moss *Rhynchostegium riparioides* s.l. — Molec. Phylogen. Evol. **62**: 748–755.
- INGÓLFSDÓTTIR, K. 2002: Usnic acid. Phytochemistry 61: 729–736.
- JACOBS, S. 2006: High resolution imaging of live mitochondria. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1763**: 561–575.
- JAHREN, A.H., PORTER, ST. & KUGLITSCH, J.J. 2003: Lichen metabolism identified in Early Devonian terrestrial organisms. Geol. Soc. Am. **31**: 99–102.
- JIN, Y., PRETORIUS, Z.A., SINGH, R.P. & FETCH, T. Jr. 2008: Detection of virulence to resistance gene Sr24 within race TTKS of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. — Plant Dis. 92: 923–26.
- JIN, Y., ROUSE, M. & GROTH, J. 2014: Population diversity of *Puccinia graminis* is sustained through sexual cycle on alternate hosts. — Jour. Integr. Agric. 13: 262–264.
- JONES, D.R. 1973: Ultrastructure of septal pore in *Uromyces dianthi*. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. **61**: 227–235.
- JUPE, E.R., CHAPMAN, R.L. & ZIMMER, E.A. 1988: Nuclear ribosomal RNA genes and algal phylogeny the *Chlamydomonas* example. BioSystems **21**: 223–230.
- KÄMPER, J., KAHMANN, R., BOLKER, M., MA, L.J., BREFORT, T., SAVILLE, B.J., BANUETT, F., KRONSTAD, J.W., GOLD, S.E., MULLER, O., PERLIN, M.H., WOSTEN, H.A., DE VRIES, R., RUIZ-HERRERA, J., REYNAGA-PENA, C.G., SNETSELAAR, K., MCCANN, M., PEREZ-MARTIN, J., FELDBRUGGE, M., BASSE, C.W., STEINBERG, G., IBEAS, J.I., HOLLOMAN, W., GUZMAN, P., FARMAN, M., STAJICH, J.E., SENTANDREU, R., GONZALEZ-PRIETO, J.M., KENNELL, J.C., MOLINA, L., SCHIRAWSKI, J., MENDOZA-MENDOZA, A., GREILINGER, D., MUNCH, K., ROSSEL, N., SCHERER, M., VRANES, M., LADENDORF, O., VINCON, V., FUCHS, U., SANDROCK, B., MENG, S., HO, E.C., CAHILL, M.J., BOYCE, K.J., KLOSE, J., KLOSTERMAN, S.J., DEELSTRA, H.J., ORTIZ-CASTELLANOS, L., LI, W., SANCHEZ-ALON-

so, P., Schreier, P.H., Hauser-Hahn, I., VAUPEL, M., KOOPMANN, E., FRIEDRICH, G., VOSS, H., SCHLUTER, T., MARGOLIS, J., PLATT, D., SWIMMER, C., GNIRKE, A., CHEN, F., VYSOTSKAIA, V., MANNHAUPT, G., GULDENER, U., MUNSTERKOTTER, M., HAASE, D., OESTERHELD, M., MEWES, H.W., MAUCELI, E.W., DECAPRIO, D., WADE, C.M., BUTLER, J., YOUNG, S., JAFFE, D.B., CALVO, S., NUSBAUM, C., GALAGAN, J. & BIRREN, B.W. 2006: Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. — Nature **444**: 97–101.

- KARSTEN, P.A. 1871–1879: Mycologia Fennica. 4 vols. Helsingfors: Finska Litteratursällskapets Tryckeri.
- KATINKA, M.D., DUPRAT, S., CORNILLOT, E., MÉTÉNIER, G., THOMARAT, F., PRENSIER, G., BARBE, V., PEYRETAILLADE, E., BROTTIER, P., WINCKER, P., DELBAC, F., EL ALAOUI, H., PEYRET, P., SAURIN, W., GOUY, WEISSENBACH, J. & VIVARES, C. 2001: Genome sequence and gene compaction of the eukaryote parasite *Encephalitozoon cuniculi*. — Nature 414: 450–453.
- KATZ, J.S. & MARTIN, B.R. 1997: What is research collaboration? Res. Policy 26: 1–18.
- KAVŠČEK, M., STRAŽAR, M., CURK, T., NATTER, K. & PETROVIČ, U. 2015: Yeast as a cell factory: current state and perspectives. — Microb. Cell Fact. 14: 94. (doi:10.1186/ s12934-015-0281-x)
- KEMEN, A.C., AGLER, M.T. & KEMEN, E. 2015: Host-microbe and microbe-microbe interactions in the evolution of obligate plant parasitism. — New Phytol. 206: 1207– 1228.
- KENRICK, P. & STRULLU-DERRIEN, C. 2014: The origin and early evolution of roots. Plant Physiol. **166**: 570–580.
- KHAUND, P. & JOSHI, S.R. 2014: DNA barcoding of wild edible mushrooms by the ethnic tribes of India. — Gene 550: 123–130.
- KIRSCHNER, R. 2018: Fungi on the leaf a contribution towards a review of phyllosphere microbiology from the mycological perspective. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 433–448. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- KLEBAHN, H. 1904: Die wirtswechselnden Rostpilze. Versuch einer Gesamtdarstellung ihrer Biologischen Verhaltnisse. Berlin: Bornträger.
- KLOK, A.J., LAMERS, P.P., MARTENS, D.E., DRAAISMA, R.B. & WIJFFELS, R.H. 2014: Edible oils from microalgae: insights in TAG accumulation. — Trends Biotechnol. 32: 521–528.
- KNIEP, H. 1928: Die Sexualität der niederen Pflanzen. Jena: G. Fischer.
- KNOLL, M. & RUSKA, E. 1932: Das Elektronenmikroskop. Z. Physik 78: 318–339.
- KNOP, W. 1844: Chemisch-physiologische Untersuchung über die Flechten. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 49: 103–124.
- Köhler, A. 1893: Gedanken zu einem neuen Beleuchtungsverfahren für mikrophotographische Zwecke. — Zeitschr. f. wissenschaftl. Mikroskopie u. mikroskop. Technik **10**: 433–440.
- KÖLREUTER, J.G. 1777: Das entdeckte Geheimnis der Cryptogamie. Carlsruhe: Maklot.

- KÕLJALG, U., NILSSON, R.H., ABARENKOV, K., TEDERSOO, L., TAYLOR, A.F.S., BAHRAM, M., BATES, S.T. BRUNS, T.D., BENGTSON-PALME, J., CALLAGHAN, T.M., DOUGLAS, B., DRENKHAN, T., EBERHARDT, U., DUEÑAS, M., GREBENC, T., GRIFFITH, G.W., HARTMANN, M., KIRK, P.M., KOHOUT, P., LARSSON, E., LANDAHL, B.D., LÜCKING, R., MARTÍN, M. P., MATHENY, P.B., NGUYEN, N.H., NISKANEN, T., OJA, J., PEAY, K.G., PEINTNER, U., PETERSON, M., PÕLDMAA, K., SAAG, L., SAAR, I., SCHÜSSLER, A., SCOTT, J.A., SENÉS, C., SMITH, M.E., SUIJA, A., TAYLOR, D.L., TELLERIA, M.T., WEISS, M. & LARSSON, K.-H. 2013: Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based identification of fungi. Molec. Ecol. 22: 5271–5277.
- KOTTKE, I. & NEBEL, M. 2005: The evolution of mycorrhiza-like associations in liverworts: an update. — New Phytol. 167: 330–334.
- KRINGS, M. & TAYLOR, T.N. 2012: Zwergimyces vestitus (KIDSTONE et W.H. LANG) nov. comb., a fungal reproductive unit enveloped in a hyphal mantle from the Lower Devonian Rhynie chert. — Rev. Palaeobot. Palyn. 190: 15–19.
- KRINGS, M., TAYLOR, T.N., TAYLOR, E.L., KERP, H. & DOTZLER, N. 2014: First record of a fugal "sporocarp" from the Lower Devonian Rhynie chert. — Palaeobio. Palaeoen. 94: 221–227.
- KROMBHOLZ, J.V. 1831–1846: Naturgetreue Abbildungen und Beschreibungen der schädlichen, essbaren und verdächtigen Schwämme. — Prag: In Commission in der J.G. Calve'schen Buchhandlung, gedruckt bei Carl Wilhelm Medau in Leitmeritz.
- KUMAR, K.C. & MÜLLER, K. 1999: Lichen metabolites. 1. Inhibitory action against leukotriene B4 biosynthesis by a non-redox mechanism. — J. Nat. Prod. 62: 817–820.
- KURTZMAN, C.P., FELL, J.W. & BOEKHOUT, T. 2011: The Yeasts, a taxonomic study. Fifth Edition, Vol. 3. Amsterdam, Boston, Heidelberg: Elsvier.
- LANG, A.S., KRUIJER, J.D. & STECH, M. 2014: DNA barcoding of Arctic bryophytes: an example from the moss genus *Dicranum* (Dicranaceae, Bryophyta). — Polar Biol. 37: 1157–1169.
- LANG, W.H. 1937: On the plant-remains from the Downtonian of England and Wales. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B **227**: 245–291.
- LANGER, E. 2018: Aphyllophorales: Indicators of nature value and habitat formers. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 449–457. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- LANGER, G. 2018: Current forest pathogenic fungi in Northwest Germany. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 459–479. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- LELIAERT, F., SMITH, D.R., MOREAU, H., HERRON, M.D., VERBRUGGEN, H. DELWICHE, C.F. & DE CLERCK, O. 2012: Phylogeny and molecular evolution of the green algae. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. **31**: 1–46.
- LENTON, T.M., CROUCH, M., JOHNSON, M., PIRES, N. & DOLAN, L. 2012: First plants cooled the Ordovician. — Nat. Geosci. 5: 86–89. (doi:10.1038/ngeo1390).
- LEUCKERT, C. 1984: Die Identifizierung von Flechtenstoffen im Rahmen chemotaxonomischer Routineanalysen. — In HERTEL, H. & OBERWINKLER, F. (eds.): Beiträge zur Lichenologie. Festschrift J. POELT. — Beiheft zur Nova Hedwigia **79**: 839–869. — Vaduz: J. Cramer.
- Léveillé, J.H. 1837: Sur le hyménium des champignons. Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot., sér. 2, 8: 321–338.
- LI X.-Q., ZUO, Q., LI, M., HE, Y., JIA, Y. & WANG, Y.-F. 2015: Phylogenetic relationships and species delimitation among the taxa with propagula in *Pseudotaxiphyllum* (Plagiotheciaceae, Bryophyta). — J. Syst. Evol. 53: 179–190.
- LIAO, Y.-Y., YANG, X..-Y., MOTLEY, T. J., CHEN, J.-M. & WANG, Q.-F. 2011: Phylogeographic analysis reveals two cryptic species of the endangered fern *Ceratopteris thalictroides* (L.) BRONGN. (Parkeriaceae) in China. — Conserv. Genet. 12: 1357– 1365.
- LINNÉ, C. von1735: Systema Naturæ, sive Regna Tria Naturæ Systematice Proposita per Classes, Ordines, Genera, & Species. Leiden: Theodorum Haak.
- LOBELIUS, M. 1581: Plantarum seu stirpium icones. Antverpiae: C. Plantini.
- LOEGERING, W.Q. 1969: Specificity in plant disease. Contr. Miss. Agr. Experim. St. 5723: 29–41.
- LOEGERING, W.Q. 1984: Genetics of the pathogen-host association. In BUSHNELL, W.R. & ROELFS A.P. (eds.): The cereal rusts, vol. I, pp. 165–192. — Orlando: Academic Press.
- LOFTUS, B.J., FUNG, E., RONCAGLIA, P., ROWLEY, D., AMEDEO, P., BRUNO, D., VAMATHEVAN, J., MIRANDA, M.,, ANDERSON, I.J., FRASER, J.A., ALLEN, J.E., BOSDET, I.E., BRENT, M.R., CHIU, R., DOERING, T.L., DONLIN, M.J., D'SOUZA, C.A., FOX, D.S., GRINBERG, V., FU, J., FUKUSHIMA, M., HAAS, B.J., HUANG, J.C., JANBON, G., JONES, S.J., KOO, H.L., KRZYWINSKI, M.I., KWON-CHUNG, J.K., LENGELER, K.B., MAITI, R., MARRA, M.A., MARRA, R.E., MATHEWSON, C.A., MITCHELL, T.G., PERTEA, M., RIGGS, F.R., SALZBERG, S.L., SCHEIN, J.E., SHVARTSBEYN, A., SHIN, H., SHUMWAY, M., SPECHT, C.A., SUH, B.B., TENNEY, A., UTTERBACK, T.R., WICKES, B.L., WORTMAN, J.R., WYE, N.H., KRONSTAD, J.W., LODGE, J.K., HEITMAN, J., DAVIS, R.W., FRASER, C.M. & HYMAN, R.W. 2005: The genome of the basidiomycetous yeast and human pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans.* Science **307**: 1321–1324.
- LOONEY, B.P. 2014: Molecular annotation of type specimens of *Russula* species described by W.A. MURRILL from the southeast United States. Mycotaxon **129**: 255–268.
- LOPES, T.I., COELHO, R.G., YOSHIDA, N.C. & HONDA, N.K. 2008: Radical-scavenging activity of orsellinates. — Chem. Pharm. Bull. 56: 1551–1554.
- Lu, Y. & Xu, J. 2015: Phytohormones in microalgae: a new opportunity for microalgal biotechnology? — Trends Plant Sci. 20: 273–282.
- LÜCKING, R. 2008: Foliicolous Lichenized Fungi. In: Fl. Neotrop. Monogr. 103. Bronx, New York: New York Botanical Garden Press.
- LÜCKING, R., DAL-FORNO, M., SIKAROODI, M., GILLEVET, P.M., BUNGARTZ, F. MONCADA, B., YÁNEZ-AYABACA, A., CHAVES, J.L., COCA, L.F. & LAWREY, J.D. 2014: A single macrolichen constitutes hundreds of unrecognized species. — PNAS 111: 11091– 11096.
- MÄGDEFRAU, K. 1968: Paläobiologie der Pflanzen, 4. ed. Stuttgart: G. Fischer.
- MÄGDEFRAU, K. 1992: Geschichte der Botanik. Leben und Leistung großer Forscher, 2. Edition. Stuttgart, Jena, New York: G. Fischer.

- MAIA, T.M, LOPES, S.T., ALMEIDA, J.M.G.C.F., ROSA, L.H., SAMPAIO, J.P., GONÇALVES, P. & COELHO, M.A. 2015: Evolution of mating systems in basidiomycetes and the genetic architecture underlying mating-type determination in the yeast *Leucosporidium scottii*. — Genetics **201**: 75–89. (doi:10.1534/genetics.115.177717)
- MAIER, W., BEGEROW, D., WEISS, M. & OBERWINKLER, F. 2003: Phylogeny of the rust fungi: an approach using nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. — Canad. J. Bot. 81: 12–23.
- MAJEWSKI, T. 1977: Cryptogamic plants of Poland and neighboring countries. Vol. 9: Mycota, Basidiomycetes, Uredinales. — Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- MARKHAM P. 1994: Occlusions of septal pores in filamentous fungi. Mycol. Res. 98: 1089–1106.
- MARTIN, F., AERTS, A., AHRÉN, D., BRUN, A., DUCHAUSSOY, F., GIBON, J., KOHLER, A., LNDQUIST, E., PEREDA, V., SALAMOV, A., SHAPIRO, H.J., WUYTS, J., BLAUDEZ, D., BUÉE, M., BROKSTEIN, P., CANBÄCK, B., COHEN, D., COURTY, P.E., COUTINHO, P.M., DELARUELLE, C., DETTER, J.C., DEVEAU, A., DIFAZIO, S. DUPLESSIS, S., FRAISSINET-TACHET, L., LUCIC, E., FREY-KLETT, P., FOURREY, C., FEUSSNER, I., GAY, G., GRIM-WOOD, J., HOEGGER, P.J., JAIN, P., KILARU, S., LABBSSE, J., LIN. Y.C., LEGUÉ, V., LE TAXON, F., MARMEISSE, R., MELAYAH, D., MNTANINI, B., MURATET, M., NEHLS, U., NICULITA-HIRZEL, H., OUDOT-LE, M.P., PETER, M., QUESNEVILLE, H., RAJASHEKAR, B., REICH, M., ROUHIER, N., SCHMUTZ, J., YIN, T., CHALOT, M., HENRISSAT, B., KÜES, U., LUCAS, S., VAN DE PEER, Y., PODILA, G.K., POLLE, A., PUKKILA, P.J., RICHARDSON, P.M., ROUZÉ, P., SANDERS, I.R., STAJICH, J.E., RUNLID, A., TUSKAN, G. & GRIGORIEV, I.V. 2008: Symbiosis insights from the genome of the mycorrhizal basidiomycete Laccaria bicolor. — Nature 452: 88–92.
- MARXMÜLLER, H. 2014: Russularum icones eine Täublingsmonografie. München: Anatis Verlag.
- MASTIK, V. &TINN, O. 2014: New dasycladalean algal species from the Kalana Lagerstätte (Silurian, Estonia). J. Paleontol. **89**: 262–258.
- MASUYAMA, S., YATABE, Y., MURAKAMI, N. & WATANO, Y. 2002: Cryptic species in the fern *Ceratopteris thalictroides* (L.) GRONGN. (Parkeriaceae). I. Molecular analyses and crossing tests. — J. Plant Res. 115: 87–97.
- MATSUZAKI, R., HARA, Y. & MOZAKI, H. 2012: A taxonomic revision of *Chloromonas reticulata* (Volvocales, Chlorophyceae), the type species of the genus *Chloromonas*, based on multigene phylogeny and comparative light and electron microscopy. — Phycologia 51: 74–85.
- MATTANOVICH, D., SAUER, M. & GASSER, B. 2014: Yeast biotechnology: teaching the old dog new tricks. Microb. Cell Fact. 13: 34.
- MCALPINE, D. 1906: "The Rusts of Australia. Their Structure, Nature, and Classification." — Melbourne: Government Printer.
- McINTOSH, R. 2009: History and status of the wheat rusts. In McINTOSH, R (ed.): Borlaug Global Rust Initiative, pp. 11–23. — Sonora, Mexico: 2009 Technical Workshop, Cd. Obregón.
- McINTOSH, R. A. & PRETORIUS, Z.A. 2011: Borlaug Global Rust Initiative provides momentum for wheat research. — Euphytica 179: 1–2.

- MCKENZIE, E.H.C. 1998: Rust fungi of New Zealand an introduction, and list of recorded species. New Zeal. J. Bot. **36**: 233–271.
- MENDGEN, K. & DEISING, H. 1993: Infection structures of fungal plant pathogens a cytological and physiological evaluation. — New Phytol. 124: 193–213.
- MERCHANT, S.S., PROCHNIK, S.E. VALLON, O., Harris, E.H., Karpowicz, S.J., Witman, G.B., TERRY, A., SALAMOV, A., FRITZ-LAYLIN, L.K., MARÉCHAL-DROUARD, L., MARSHALL, W.F., QU, L.H., NELSON, D.R., SANDERFOOT, A.A., SPALDING, M.H., KAPITONOV, V.V., REN, Q., FERRIS, P., LINDQUIST, E., SHAPIRO, H., LUCAS, S.M., GRIMWOOD, J., SCHMUTZ, J., CARDOL, P., CERUTTI, H., CHANFREAU, G., CHEN, C.L., COGNAT, V., CROFT, M.T., DENT, R., DUTCHER, S., FERNÁNDEZ, E., FUKUZAWA, H., GONZÁLEZ-BALLESTER, D., GONZÁLEZ-HALPHEN, D., HALLMANN, A., HANIKENNE, M., HIPPLER, M., INWOOD, W., JABBARI, K., KALANON, M., KURAS, R., LEFEBVRE, P.A., LEMAIRE, S.D., LOBANOV, A.V., LOHR, M., MANUELL, A., MEIER, I., METS, L., MITTAG, M., MITTELMEIER, T., MORONEY, J.V., MOSELEY, J., NAPOLI, C., NEDELCU, A.M., NIYOGI, K., NOVOSELOV, S.V., PAULSEN, I.T., PAZOUR, G., PURTON, S., RAL, J.P., RIAÑO-PACHÓN, D.M., RIEK-HOF, W., RYMARQUIS, L., SCHRODA, M., STERN, D., UMEN, J., WILLOWS, R., WILSON, N., ZIMMER, S.L., ALLMER, J., BALK, J., BISOVA, K., CHEN, C.J., ELIAS, M., GENDLER, K., HAUSER, C., LAMB, M.R., LEDFORD, H., LONG, J.C., MINAGAWA, J., PAGE, M.D., PAN, J., POOTAKHAM, W., ROJE, S., ROSE, A., STAHLBERG, E., TERAUCHI, A.M., YANG, P., Ball, S., Bowler, C., Dieckmann, C.L., Gladyshev, V.N., Green, P., Jorgensen, R., Mayfield, S., Mueller-Roeber, B., Rajamani, S., Sayre, R.T., Brokstein, P., DUBCHAK, I., GOODSTEIN, D., HORNICK, L., HUANG, Y.W., JHAVERI, J., LUO, Y., MAR-TÍNEZ, D., NGAU, W.C., OTILLAR, B., POLIAKOV, A., PORTER, A., SZAJKOWSKI, L., WER-NER, G., ZHOU, K., GRIGORIEV, I.V., ROKHSAR, D.S. & GROSSMAN, A.R. 2007: The *Chlamydomonas* genome reveals the evolution of key animal and plant functions. Science 318: 245–250.
- METZGAR, J.S., ALVERSON, E.R., CHEN, S., VAGANOV, A.V. & ICKERT-BOND, S.M. 2013: Diversification and reticulation in the circumboreal fern genus *Cryptogramma*. — Molec. Phylogen. Evol. **67**: 589–599.
- Mewes, H.W., Albermann, K., Bähr, M., Frishman, D., Gleissner, A., Hani, J., Heu-Mann, K., Kleine, K., Maierl, A., Oliver, S.G., Pfeiffer, F. & Zollner, A. 1997: Overview of the yeast genome. — Nature 387: 7–8.
- MICHELI, P.A. 1729: Nova plantarum genera iuxta TOURNEFORTII methodum disposita. Florentiae: Typis Bernardi Paperinii.
- Möller, A. 1893: Pilzgärten einiger südamerikanischer Ameisen. In Schimper, A.F.W. (ed.): Botanische Mitteilungen aus den Tropen. Heft 6. Jena: G. Fischer.
- Möller, A. 1895a: Brasilische Pilzblumen. In Schimper, A.F.W. (ed.): Botanische Mitteilungen aus den Tropen. Heft 7. — Jena: G. Fischer.
- Möller, A. 1895b: Protobasidiomyceten Untersuchungen aus Brasilien. In Schimper, A.F.W. (ed.): Botanische Mitteilungen aus den Tropen. Heft 8. — Jena: G. Fischer.
- MOSER, M. 1960: Die Gattung *Phlegmacium* (Schleimköpfe). Bad Heilbrunn: Julius Klinkhardt.
- Müller, K. 1954: Die Lebermoose Europas. Part I. Leipzig: Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft GEEST & PORTIG K.-G.
- Müller, K. 1957: Die Lebermoose Europas. Part II. Leizpig: Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft GEEST & PORTIG K.-G.

- NAEF, A., ROY, B. A., KAISER, R. & HONEGGER, R. 2002: Insect-mediated reproduction of systemic infections by *Puccinia arrhenatheri* on *Berberis vulgaris*. — New Phytol. 154: 717–730.
- NAKADA, T., MISAWA, K. & NOZAKI, H. 2008: Molecular systematics of Volvocales (Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta) based on exhaustive 18S rRNA phylogenetic analyses. — Molec. Phylogen. Evol. **48**: 281–291.
- NANJAPPA, D., AUDIC, S., ROMAC, S., KOOISTRA, W.H.C.F. & ZINGONE, A. 2014: Assessment of species diversity and distribution of an ancient diatom lineage using a DNA metabarcoding approach. PLOS ONE 9 (8): e103810. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0103810)
- NASH, T.H., III, RYAN, B.D., GRIES, C. & BUNGARTZ, F. 2002: Lichen Flora of the Greater Sonoran Desert Region. Volume 1. — Tempe: Lichens Unlimited, Arizona State University.
- NASH, T.H., III, RYAN, B. D., DIEDERICH, P., GRIES, C. & BUNGARTZ, F. 2004: Lichen Flora of the Greater Sonoran Desert Region. Volume 2. Tempe: Lichens Unlimited, Arizona State University, Arizona.
- NASH, T.H., III, GRIES, C. & BUNGARTZ, F. 2007: Lichen Flora of the Greater Sonoran Desert Region. Volume 3 (balance of the microlichens and the lichenicolous fungi).
   — Tempe: Lichens Unlimited, Arizona State University, Arizona.
- NEBEL, M. & BEIKE, A.K. 2018: How molecular phylogenetics improve our understanding of bryophyte evolution, morphology and ecology a tribute to the mossy side of Josef POELT. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 673–695. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- NEES von ESENBECK, C.G. 1814: Die Algen des süßen Wassers nach ihren Entwicklungsstufen dargestellt. — Bamberg: C.F. Kunz.
- NEES von ESENBECK, C.G. 1816–17: Das System der Pilze und Schwämme. Vol. 2. Würzburg: Stahelsche Buchhaltung.
- NEES von ESENBECK, C.G. 1833: Naturgeschichte der Europäischen Lebermoose mit besonderer Beziehung auf Schlesien und die Oertlichkeiten des Riesengebirges. Erstes Bändchen. [Vol 1]. — Berlin: Rücker.
- NEES von ESENBECK, C.G. 1836: Naturgeschichte der Europäischen Lebermoose mit besonderer Beziehung auf Schlesien und die Oertlichkeiten des Riesengebirges. Zweites Bändchen. [Vol 2]. Berlin: Rücker.
- NEES von ESENBECK, C.G. 1838a: Oertlichkeiten des Riesengebirges. Drittes Bändchen. [Vol 3] – Subtribus VII. Jubuleae. [III. Frullania, p. 209–244]. — Breslau: Grass, Barth & Comp.
- NEES von ESENBECK, C.G. 1838b: Naturgeschichte der Europäischen Lebermoose mit besonderer Beziehung auf Schlesien und die Oertlichkeiten des Riesengebirges. Viertes Bändchen. [Vol 4] — Breslau: Grass, Barth & Comp., Breslau.
- NEMRI, A., SAUNDERS, D.G.O., ANDERSON, C., UPADHAYA, N.M., WIN, J., LAWRENCE, G.J., JONES, D.A., KAMOUN, S., ELLIS, J.G. & DODDS, P.N. 2014: The genome sequence and effector complement of the flax rust pathogen *Melampsora lini*. — Front. Plant Sci. 5 Article 98: 1–14.

NEUHOFF, W. 1956: Die Milchlinge (Lactarii). — Bad Heilbrunn: Julius Klinckhardt.

- NIMIS, P.-L. 2018: The 'Golden Period' of Italian lichenology and its importance in modern times. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. **34**: 659–671. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- OBERMAYER, W. 2018: A contribution to the lichenological exploration of an area influenced by the Tibetan culture with special focus on the impact of Austrian scientists. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 597–657. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- OBERWINKLER, F. & BAUER, R. 1990: Cryptomycocolax: a new mycoparasitic heterobasidiomycete. — Mycologia 82: 671–692.
- OBERWINKLER, F. & BAUER, R. 2018: Ultrastructure in basidiomycetes requirement for function. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 381–418. Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- OBERWINKLER, F., RIESS, K., BAUER, R., SÉLOSSE, M.-A., WEISS, M. GARNICA, S. & ZUC-CARO, A. 2013: Enigmatic Sebacinales. — Mycol. Progress 12: 1–27. (doi:10.1007/ s11557-012-0880-4)
- OBERWINKLER, F., RIESS, K., BAUER, R. & GARNICA, M. 2014: Morphology and molecules: the Sebacinales, a case study. — Mycol. Progress 13: 445–470. (doi:10.1007/ s11557-014-0983-1)
- OCHYRA, R., LEWIS SMITH, R.I. & BEDNAREK-OCHYRA, H. 2008: The illustrated Moss Flora of Antarctica. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press
- O'DONNELL, K.L. & MCLAUGHLIN, D.J. 1981a: Ultrastructure of meiosis in the hollyhock rust fungus, *Puccinia malvacearum* I. Prophase I-Prometaphase I. Protoplasma **108**: 225–244.
- O'DONNELL, K.L. & MCLAUGHLIN, D.J. 1981b: Ultrastructure of meiosis in the hollyhock rust fungus, *Puccinia malvacearum* 11. Metaphase I-Telophase I. — Protoplasma 108: 245–263.
- O'DONNELL, K.L. & MCLAUGHLIN, D.J. 1981c: Ultrastructure of meiosis in the hollyhock rust fungus, *Puccinia malvacearum* III. Interphase I-Interphase II. — Protoplasma 108: 265–288.
- OHM, R.A., DE JONG, J.F., LUGONES, L.G., AERTS, A., KOTHE, E., STAIJCH, J.E., DE VRIES, R.P., RECORD, E., LEVASSEUR, A., BAKER, S.E., BARTHOLOMEW, K.A., COUTINHO, P.M., ERDMANN, S. FOWLER, R.J., GATHMAN, A.C., LOMBARD, V., HENRISSAT, B., KNABE, N., KÜES, U., LILLY, W.W., LINDQUIST, E., LUCAS, S., MAGNUSON, J.K., PIUMI, F., RAUDASKOSKI, M., SALAMOV, A., SCHMUTZ, J., SCHWARZE, F.W.M.R, VAN KUYCK, P.A., HORTON, J.S., GRIGORIEV, I.V. & WÖSTEN, H.A.B. 2010: Genome sequence of the model mushroom *Schizophyllum commune*. — Nature Biotechnol. 28: 957. (doi:10.1038/nbt.1643)
- OLSEN, G.J., MCCARROLL, R. & SOGIN, M.L. 1983: Secondary structure of the *Dictyo-stelium discoideum* small unit ribosomal RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. **11**: 8037–8049.
- OLTMANNS, F. 1922: Morphologie und Biologie der Algen. 2. ed., 1. vol. Chrysophyceae Chlorophyceae. Jena: G. Fischer.
- OLTMANNS, F. 1922: Morphologie und Biologie der Algen. 2. ed., 2. vol. Phaeophyceae Rhodophyceae. Jena: G. Fischer.
- OLTMANNS, F. 1923: Morphologie und Biologie der Algen. 2. ed., 3. vol. Morphologie – Fortpflanzung – Die Ernährung der Algen – Der Haushalt der Gewässer – Die

Lebensbedingungen – Vegetations-Perioden – Das Zusammenleben. — Jena: G. Fischer.

- OTTOSSON, E., KUBARTOVÁ, A., EDMAN, M., JÖNSSON, M., LINDH, A., STENLID, J. & DAHL-BERG, A. 2015: Diverse ecological roles within fungal communities in decomposing logs of *Picea abies*. — FEMS Microbil. Ecol. **91** (3) fiv012. (doi:10.1093/femsec/ fiv012)
- PADAMSE, M., KUMAR, T. K. A., RILEY, R., BINDER, M., BOYD, A., CALVO, A.M., FURUKAWA, K., HESSE, C., HOHMANN, S., JAMES, T.Y., LABUTTI, K., LAPIDUS, A., LINDQUIST, E., LUCAS, S., MILLER, K., SHANTAPPA, S., GRIGORIEV, I.V., HIBBETT, D.S., MCLAUGHLIN, D.J., SPATAFORA, J.W. & AIME, M.C. 2012: The genome of the xerotolerant mold *Wallemia sebi* reveals adaptations to osmotic stress and suggests cryptic sexual reproduction. — Fungal Genet. Biol. 49: 217–226.
- PADDON, C.J., WESTFALL, P.J., PITERA, D.J., BENJAMIN, K., FISHER, K., MCPHEE, D., LEAVELL, M.D., TAI, A., MAIN, A., ENG, D., POLICHUK, D.R., TEOH, K.H., REED, D.W., TREYNOR, T., LENIHAN, J., FLECK, M., BAJAD, S., DANG, G., DENGROVE, D., DIOLA, D., DORIN, G., ELLENS, K.W., FICKES, S., GALAZZO, J., GAUCHER, S.P., GEISTLINGER, T., HENRY, R., HEPP, M., HORNING, T., IQBAL, T., JIANG, H., KIZER, L., LIEU, B., MELIS, D., MOSS, N., REGENTIN, R., SECREST, S., TSURUTA, H., VAZQUEZ, R., WESTBLADE, L.F., XU, L., YU, M., ZHANG, Y., ZHAO, L., LIEVENSE, J., COVELLO, P.S., KEASLING, J.D., REILING, K.K., RENNINGER, N.S. & NEWMAN, J.D. 2013: High-level semi-synthetic production of the potent antimalarial artemisinin. — Nature **496**: 528–532.
- PADDON, C.J. & KEASLING, J.D. 2014: Semi-synthetic artemisinin: a model for the use of synthetic biology in pharmaceutical development. — Nature Rev. 12: 355. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro3240)
- PALADE, G.E. 1952: A study of fixation for electron microscopy. J. Exp. Med. 95: 285–298.
- PALLUA, J.D., KUHN, V., PALLUA, A.F., PFALLER, K., PALLUA, A.K., RECHEIS, W. & PÖDER, R. 2015: Application of micro-computed tomography to microstructure studies of the medicinal fungus *Hericium coralloides*. — Mycologia 107: 227–238.
- PARDEY, P.G., BEDDOW, J.M., KRITICOS, D.J., HURLEY, T.M., PARK, R.F., DUVEILLER, E., SUTHERST, R.W., BURDON, J.J. & HODSON, D. 2013: Right-sizing stem-rust research. — Science 340: 147–148.
- PASCHER, A. 1914: Die Süsswasser-Flora Deutschlands, Österreichs und der Schweiz. Heft 1: Allgemeiner Teil. — Jena: G. Fischer.
- PASCHER, A. 1936: Die Süsswasser-Flora Deutschlands, Österreichs und der Schweiz. Heft 15: Pteridophyten und Phanerogamen, bearbeitet von H. GLÜCK. — Jena: G. Fischer.
- PASTEUR, L. 1860: Mémoire sur la fermentation alcoolique. Ann. Chimie et Physique.
  3. Série 58: 323–426.
- PATOUILLARD, N.T. 1887: Les hyménomycètes d'Europe. Paris: P. Klincksieck.
- PERSOON, C.H. 1794: Neuer Versuch einer systematischen Eintheilung der Schwämme. Roemer's Neues Mag. Bot. 1: 63–128.
- PERSOON, C.H. 1801: Synopsis Methodica Fungorum. Göttingen [Reprint 1952, New York: Johnson Reprint Corporation].

PETERSEN, R.H. 1974: The rust fungus life cycle. — Bot. Rev. 40: 453–513.

- PIEPENBRING, M., LOTZ-WINTER, H. & HOFMANN, T.A. 2018: Incentives and challenges for mycologists in the tropics. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 481–514. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- PLOWRIGHT, C.B. 1889: A Monograph of the British Uredineae and Ustilagineae. London: Kegan Paul, Trench.
- POELT, J. 1969: Bestimmungsschlüssel europäischer Flechten. Lehre: J. CRAMER.
- POELT, J. & JAHN, H. 1963: Mitteleuropäische Pilze. Hamburg: Kronen-Verlag, E. Kramer.
- POELT, J. & VĚZDA, A. 1977: Bestimmungsschlüssel europäischer Flechten. Ergänzungsheft I. Unter Mitarbeit mehrerer Fachkollegen. — Biblioth. Lichenol. 9: 1–258.
- POELT, J. & VĚZDA, A. 1981: Bestimmungsschlüssel europäischer Flechten. Ergänzungsheft II. Unter Mitarbeit mehrerer Fachkollegen. — Biblioth. Lichenol. 16: 1–390.
- POELT, J. & ZWETKO, P. 1997: Die Rostpilze Österreichs, 2. revidierte und erweiterte Auflage des Catalogus Florae Austriae, III. Teil, Heft 1, Uredinales. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 12. Wien: Veralg der ÖAW.
- PÕLDMAA, K., JÜRGENSTEIN, S., BAHRAM, M., TEDER, T. & KURINA, O. 2014: Host diversity and trophic status as determinants of species richness and community composition of fungus gnats. — Basic Appl. Ecol. 16: 46–53.
- PORTER, K.R., CLAUDE, A. & FULLAM, E.F. 1945: A study of tissue culture cells by electron microscopy. Methods and proliminary observations. — J. Exp. Med. 81: 233–246.
- PRETORIUS, Z.A., SINGH, R.P., WAGOIRE, W.W. & PAYNE, T.S. 2000: Detection of virulence to wheat black rust resistance gene Sr31 in *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* in Uganda. — Plant Dis. 84: 203.
- PREUSSING, M., NEBEL, M., OBERWINKLER, F. & WEISS, M. 2010a: Diverging diversity patterns in the *Tulasnella* (Basidiomycota, Tulasnellales) mycobionts of *Aneura pinguis* (Marchantiophyta, Metzgeriales) from Europe and Ecuador. — Mycorrhiza 20: 147–159.
- PREUSSING, M., OLSSON, S., SCHÄFER-VERWIMP, A., WICKETT, N. J., WICKE, S., QUANDT, D.
   & NEBEL, M. 2010b: New insights in the evolution of the liverwort family Aneuraceae (Metzgeriales, Marchantiophyta), with emphasis on the genus *Lobatiriccardia*.
   Taxon 59: 1424–1440.
- PRÖSCHOLD, T., MARIN, B., SCHLÖSSER, U.G. & MELKONIAN, M. 2001: Molecular phylogeny and taxonomic revision of *Chlamydomonas* (Chlorophyta). I. Emendation of *Chlamydomonas* EHRENBERG and *Chloromonas* GOBI, and description of *Oogamochlamys* gen. nov. and *Lobochlamys* gen. nov. — Protist 152: 265–300.
- PROSSER, J.I. 2012: Ecosystem processes and interactions in a morass of diversity. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 81: 507–519.
- QUILLIAM, R.S. & SHATTOCK, R.C. 2003: Haustoria of microcyclic rust fungi Uromyces ficariae and Puccinia tumida and other gall-forming species, U. dactylidis (macrocyclic) and P. smyrnii (demicyclic). — Plant Pathol. 52: 104–113.

- RADMACHER, W., MANGERUD, G. & TYSZKA, J. 2015: Dinoflagellate cyst biostratigraphy of Upper Cretaceous strata from two wells in Norwegian Sea. — Rev. Palaeobot. Palyn. 216: 18–32.
- RAGUSO, R.A & ROY, B.A. 1998: 'Floral' scent production by *Puccinia* rust fungi that mimic flowers. — Molec. Ecol. 7: 1127–1136.
- RATTI, E. 2015: Big Data biology between eliminative inferences and exploratory experiments. — Philos. Sci. 82: 198–218.
- REDECKER, D., KODNER, R. & GRAHAM, L.E. 2000: Glomalean fungi from the Ordovician. — Science **289**: 1920–1921.
- RENNER, M.A.M., BROWN, E.A. & WARDLE, G.M. 2013: Averaging v. outlier removal. Decrypting variance among *Lejeunea* species (Lejeuneaceae: Jungermanniopsida) using geometric morphometrics. — Austral. Syst. Bot. 26: 13–30.
- RENSING, S.A., LANG, D., ZIMMER, A. D., TERRY, A., SALAMOV, A., SHAPIRO, H., NISHIY-AMA, T., PERROUD, P.F., LINDQUIST, E.A., KAMISUGI, Y., TANAHASHI, T., SAKAKIBARA, K., FUJITA, T., OISHI, K., SHIN, I.T., KUROKI, Y., TOYODA, A., SUZUKI, Y., HASHIMOTO, S., YAMAGUCHI, K., SUGANO, S., KOHARA, Y., FUJYAMA, A., ATEROLA, A., AOKI, S., ASHTON, N., BARBAZUK, W.B., BARKER, E., BENNETZEN, J.L., BLANKENSHIP, R., CHO, S.H., DUTCHER, S.K., ESTELLE, M., FAWCETT, J.A., GUNDLACH, H., HANADA, K., HEYL, A., KICKS, K.A., HUGHES, J., LOHR, M., MAYER, K., MELKOZERNOV, A., MURATA, T., NELSON, D.R., PILS, B., PRIGIE, M., REISS, B., RENNER, T., ROMBAUTS, S., RUSHTON, P.J., SANDERFOOT, A., SCHWEEN, G., SHIU, S.H., STUEBER, K., THEODOULOU, F.L., TU, H., VAN DE PEER., Y., VERRIER, P.J., WATERS, E., WOOD, A., YANG, L., COVE, D., CUM-ING, A.C., HASEBE, M., LUCAS, S., MISHLER, B.D., RESKI, R., GRIGORIEV, I.V., QUAT-RANO, R.S. & BOORE, J.L. 2008: The *Physcomitrella* genome reveals evolutionary insights into the conquest of land by plants. — Science **319**: 64–69.
- RETALLACK, G.J. & LANDING, E. 2014: Affinities and architecture of Devonian trunks of *Prototaxites loganii.* Mycologia **106**: 1143–1158.
- RIESS, K., OBERWINKLER, F., BAUER, R. & GARNICA, S. 2013: High genetic diversity at the regional scale and possible speciation in *Sebacina epigaea* and *S. incrustans.* BMC Evol Biol **13**: 102. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-102)
- RILEY, F., SALAMOV, A.A., BROWN, D.W., NAGY, L.G., FLOUDAS, D., HELD, B.W., LEVASSEUR, A., LOMBARD, V., MORIN, E., OTILLAR, R., LINDQUIST, E.A., SUN, H., LABUTTI, K.M., SCHMUTZ, J., JABBOUR, D., LUO, H., BAKER, S.E., PISABARRO, A.G., WALTON, J. D., BLANCHETTE, R.A. HENRISSAT, B., MARTIN, F., CULLEN, D., HIBBETT, D.S. & GRIGORIEV, I.V. 2014: Extensive sampling of basidiomycete genomes doemonstrates inadequacy of the white-rot/brown-rot paradigm for wood decay fungi. PNAS 111: 9923–9928.
- ROBUCHON, M., VALERO, M., GEY, D. & LE GALL, L. 2015: How does molecular-assisted identification affect our estimation of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  biodiversity? An example from understory red seaweeds (Rhodophyta) of *Laminaria* kelp forests in Brittany, France. Genetica **143**: 207–223.
- Roy, S.S. & PAL, R. 2015: Microalgae in aquaculture: a review with special references to nutrional value and fish dietetics. — Proc. Zool. Soc. 68: 1–8.
- RUHFEL, B.R., GITZENDANNER, M.A., SOLTIS, P.S., SOLTIS, D.E. & BURLEIGH, J.G. 2014: From algae to angiosperms – inferring the phylogeny of green plants (Viridiplantae) from 360 plastid genomes. — BMC Evol. Biol. 2014, 14: 23.

- RUSKA, E. 1934: Über Fortschritte im Bau und in der Leistung des magnetischen Elektronenmikroskops. — Z. Physik 87: 580–602.
- SADOWSKA-DÉS, A.D., DAL GRANDE, F., LUMBSCH, H.T., BECK, A., OTTE, J., HUR, J.-S., KIM, J.A. & SCHMITT, I. 2014: Integrating coalescent and phylogenetic approaches to delimit species in the lichen photobiont *Trebouxia*. — Molec. Phylogen. Evol. **76**: 202–210.
- SALEEM, M. & MOE, L.A. 2014: Multitrophic microbial interactions for eco- and agrobiotechnological processes. — Trends Biotechnol. 32: 529–537.
- SANTESSON, R. 1952: Foliicolous lichens I. A revision of the taxonomy of the obligately foliicolous, lichenized fungi. — Symbolae Bot. Upsal. 12: 1–590.
- SAPPIN-TROUFFY, P. 1896: Recherches histologiques sur la famille des Urédinées. Botaniste 5: 59–244.
- SAUNDERS, D.G.O. 2015: Hitchhiker's guide to multi-dimensional plant pathology. New Phytol. **205**: 1028–1033.
- SCHAEFFER, J.C. 1761, 1774: Fungorum qui in Bavaria et Palatinu circa Ratisbonam nascuntur icones nativis coloribus expressae. — Regensburg: Publisher unknown.
- SCHAEFFER, J. 1942–1943: Die Täublinge (Russulae). Leipzig: Dr. Werner Klinkhardt.
- SCHMIDEL, C.C. 1747–1797: Icones plantarum et analyses partium aeri incisae atque vivis coloribus insignitae adiectis indicibus nominum necessariis figurarum et aplicationibus et brevibus animadversionibus quas composuit D. Casimirus Christophorus SCHMIDEL. Manipulus I–III. — Norimbergensi, Erlangae: Ioannem Iacobvm Palm.
- SCHNEIDER, S.C., RODRIGUES, A., MŒ, T.F. & BALLOT, A. 2015: DNA barcoding the genus *Chara*: molecular evidence recovers fewer taxa than the classical morphological approach. — J. Phycol. 51: 367–380.
- SCHOCH, C.L., SEIFERT, K.A., HUHNDORF, S., ROBERT, V., SPOUGE, J.L., LEVESQUE, C.A., CHEN, W. & Fungal Barcoding Consortium 2012: Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for fungi. — PNAS 109: 6241–6246.
- SCHUSTER, R.M. 1966: The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of North America. Vol. I. New York: Columbia University Press.
- SCHUSTER, R.M. 1969: The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of North America. Vol. II. New York: Columbia University Press.
- SCHUSTER, R.M. 1980: The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of North America. Vol. IV. New York: Columbia University Press.
- SCHUSTER, R.M. 1992: The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of North America. Vol. VI. Chicago: Field Museum of Natural History.
- SCHWANN, T. 1837: Vorläufige Mittheilung betreffend Versuche über die Weingärung und Fäulnis. — Ann. Physik Chemie 41: 184–193.
- SCHWEINITZ, L.D. 1822: Synopsis fungorum Carolinae superioris secundum observationes Ludovici Davidis de Schweinitz. — Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. New Series, part II, 4: 141–316.

- Schweinitz, L.D. 1832: Synopsis fungorum in America Boreali media degentium. Secundum observationes Ludovici Davidis de Schweinitz. — Leipzig: Publisher unknown.
- SERVIA-RODRÍGUEZ, S., NOULAS, A., MASCOLO, C., FERNÁNDEZ-VILAS, A. & DÍAZ-REDONDO, R.P. 2015: The evolution of your success lies at the centre of your co-authorship network. — PLOS ONE 10 (3): 0114302. (doi:10.371/journal.pone.0114302)
- SHARMA, R., GASSEL, S., STEIGER, S. XIA, X., BAUER, R., SANDMANN, G. & THINES, M. 2015: The genome of the basal agaricomycete *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* provides insights into the organization of its acetyl-CoA derived pathways and the evolution of Agaricomycotina. — BMC Genomics 16: 233. (doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1380-0)
- SHATTOCK, R.C. & PREECE, T.F. 2000: TRANZSCHEL revisited: modern studies of the relatedness of different rust fungi confirm his law. Mycologist 14: 113–117.
- SIMON, L., BOUSQUET, J., LÉVESQUE, R.C. & LALONDE, M. 1993: Origin and diversification of endomycorrhizal fungi and coincidence with vascular land plants. — Nature 363: 67–69.
- SINGER, R. 1965: Die Röhrlinge. Teil I. Bad Heilbrunn: Julius Klinkhardt.
- SINGER, R. 1967: Die Röhrlinge. Teil II. Bad Heilbrunn: Julius Klinkhardt.
- SINGH, R.P., HODSON, D.P., HUERTA-ESPINO, J., JIN, Y., BHAVANI, S., NJAI, P., HERRERA-FOES-SEL, S., SINGH, P.K., SINGH, S. & GOVINDAN, V. 2011: The emergence of Ug99 races of the black rust fungus is a threat to world wheat production. — Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 49: 465–481.
- SISSINI, M.N., OLIVEIRA, M.C., GABRIELSON, P.W., ROBINSON, N.M., OKOLODKOV, Y.B., RI-OSMENA RODRÍGEZ, R. & HORTA, P.A. 2014: *Mesophyllum erubescens* (Corallinales, Rhodophyta) – so many species in one epithet. — Phytotaxa **190**: 299–319.
- SLATER, B.J., MCLOUGHLIN, S. & HILTON, J. 2013: Peronosporomycetes (Oomycota) from a Middle Permian permineralised peat within the Bainmedart Coal Measures, Prince Charles Mountains, Antarctica. — PLOS ONE 8 (8): e70707. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0070707)
- SMITH, C.W., APTROOT, A., COPPINS, B.J., FLETCHER, A., GILBERT, O.L., JAMES, P.W. & WOLSELEY, P.A. 2009: The Lichens of Great Britain and Ireland. — London: British Lichen Society.
- SØRENSEN, C.K., JUSTESEN, A.F. & HOVMØLLER, M.S. 2012: 3-D imaging of temporal and spatial developmental of *Puccinia striiformis* haustoria in wheat. — Mycologia 104: 1381–1389.
- SOWERBY, J. 1795–1815: Coloured figures of English fungi or mushrooms. 4 vols. London: J. Davis.
- SPENCER, D.M. 1980: Parasitism of carnation rust (Uromyces dianthi) by Verticillium lecanii. — Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 74: 191–194.
- STAKMAN, E.C. 1914: A study in cereal rusts: Physiological races. St. Bull.-Minn., Agric. Exp. Sin. 138: 1–56.
- STAKMAN, E.C. & LEVINE, M.N. 1922: The determination of biologic forms of *Puccinia graminis* on *Triticum* spp. Minn. Agr. Exp. Sta. Techn. Bul. 8: 1–8.

- STAKMAN, E.C., STEWART, D.M. & LOEGERING, W.Q. 1962: Identification of physiologic races of *Puccinia graminis* var. *tritici.* U.S. Agric. Res. Serv., ARS E617.
- STANGL, J. 1989: Die Gattung Inocybe in Bayern. Denkschr. Regensb. Bot. Ges. 46.
- STEVENS, H. 2013: Life out of sequence: a data-driven history of bioinformatics. Chicago: Chicago Univ. Press.
- STROTBEK C., KRINNINGER, S. & FRANK, W. 2013: The moss *Physcomitrella patens*: methods and tools form cultivation to targeted analysis of gene function. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 57: 553–546.
- STRUCK, C. 2015: Amino acid uptake in rust fungi. Front. Plant Sci. 6 (40): 1-6.
- SULTANA N. & AFOLAYAN, A.J. 2011: A new depsidone and antibacterial activities of compounds from Usnea undulata STIRTON. — J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 13: 1158–1164.
- TALHINHAS, P., AZINHEIRA, H.G., VIEIRA, B., LOUREIRO, A. TAVARES, S., BATISTA, D., MORIN, E., PETITOT, A.-S., PAULO, O.S., POULAIN, J., DA SILVA, C., DUPLESSIS S., DO CÉU SILVA, M. & FERNANDEZ, D. 2014: Overview of the functional virulent genome of the coffee leaf rust pathogen *Hemileia vastatrix* with an emphasis on early stages of infection. — Front. Plant Sci. 5, Article 88: 1–17.
- TAYLOR, J.W. 2014: A population genomic view of divergence and differentiation in fungi. — International Mycological Congress – IMC10, 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> Aug. 2014, Bangkok, Thailand.
- TAYLOR, J.W. & BERBEE, M.L. 2006: Dating divergences in the fungal tree of life: review and new analyses. Mycologia **98**: 838–849.
- TAYLOR, J.W., TURNER, E., TOWNSEND, J.P., DETTMANN, J.R. & JACOBSON, D. 2006: Eukaryotic microbes, species recognition and the geographic limits of species: examples from the kingdom Fungi. — Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 361: 1947–1963.
- THAXTER, T. 1896–1931: Contributions towards a monograph of the Laboulbeniaceae, parts 1–5. Mem. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 12–16.
- THEOBALD, D.L. 2010: A formal test of the theory of universal common ancestry. Nature **465**: 219–222.
- THE ROYAL SOCIETY 2015: The future of scholarly scientific communication. London, England: Conference report, 20–21 April 2015.
- Тол, H. 2015: High-throughput DNA barcoding for ecological network studies. Popul. Ecol. **57**: 37–51.
- TOURNEFORT, J.P. 1700: Institutiones rei herbariae. Parisiis: Typographia Regia.
- TRANZSCHEL, V.G. 1904: Über die Möglichkeit, die Biologie wirtswechselnder Rostpilze auf Grund morphologischer Merkmale vorauszusehen. (Vorläufige Mitteilung). — Trav. Soc. Imp. Nat. St. Petersbourg Compt. Rend. **35**: 311–312.
- TRANZSCHEL, V.G. 1939: "Conspectus of the Rust Fungi of the USSR" (in Russian). Moskva-Leningrad: Akademii Nauk, SSSR.
- TRIEBEL, D. 2009: Pilzherbarien Neue Aufgaben im Bereich Biodiversitätinformatik und Datenmanagement. — In: Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Rundgespräche der Kommission für Ökologie 37, Ökologische Rolle von Pilzen, S. 131–146.

- TÜRK, A.O., YILMAZ, M., KIVANÇ, M. & TÜRK, H. 2003: The antimicrobial activity of extracts of the lichen *Cetraria aculeata* and its protolichesterinic acid constituent. — Z. Naturforsch., C: J. Biosci.: 58: 850–854.
- TULASNE, L.R. 1853: Note sur la germination des spores des Urédinées. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 36: 1093–1095.
- TULASNE, L.R. 1854a: Sur le Dimorphisme des Urédinées. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 38: 761–765.
- TULASNE, L.R. 1854b: Second mémoire sur les Urédinées et les Ustilaginées. Ann. Sci. Bot. Biol. Veg. 2: 77–196.
- TULASNE, L.R. & TULASNE, C. 1847: Mémoire sur les Ustilaginées comparées aux Urédinées. — Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot. Biol. Veg. 7: 12–127.
- VAN DER MERWE, M.M., WALKER, J., ERICSON, L. & BURDON, J.J. 2008: Coevolution with higher taxonomic host groups within the *Puccinia/Uromyces* rust lineage obscured by host jumps. — Mycol. Res. **112**: 1387–1408.
- VOEGELE, R.T., STRUCK, C., HAHN, M. & MENDGEN, K. 2001: The role auf haustoria in sugar supply during infection of broad bean by the rust fungus *Uromyces fabae*. — Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98: 8133–8138.
- VOEGELE, R.T., HAHN, M. & MENDGEN, K. 2009: The Uredinales: cytology, biochemistry, and molecular biology. — In DEISING, H.B. (ed.): The Mycota, vol. 5 of Plant Relationsships, pp. 69–98. — Berlin: Springer.
- VOEGELE, R.T. & MENDGEN, K. 2011: Nutrient uptake in rust fungi: how sweet is parasitic life? — Euphytica 179: 41–55.
- VOSSBRINCK, C.R., MADDOX, J.V., FRIEDMAN, S., DEBRUNNER-VOSSBRINCK, B.A. & WOESE, C.R. 1987: Ribosomal RNA sequence suggests microsporidia are extremely ancient eukaryotes. — Nature 326: 411–414.
- WALKER, G.M. 1998: Yeast. Physiology and Biotechnology. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- WALKER, W.F & DOOLITTLE, W.F. 1982: Redividing basidiomycetes on the basis of 5S rRNA sequences. Nature **299**: 723–724.
- WALKER, W.F & DOOLITTLE, W.F. 1983: 5S rRNA sequences from eight basidiomycetes and fungi imperfecti. Nuc. Acids Res. 11: 7625–7630.
- WANDELER, H. & BACHER, S. 2006: Insect-transmitted urediniospores of the rust *Puccinia* punctiformis cause systemic infections in established *Cirsium arvense* plants. — Phytopathology **96**: 813–818.
- WANG, X.-C., LIU, C., HUANG, L., BENGTSSON-PALME, J., CHEN, H., ZHANG, J.-H., CAI, D. & LI, J.-Q. 2015: ITS1: a DNA barcode better than ITS2 in eukaryotes? — Molec. Ecol. Resour. 15: 573–586.
- WARD, H.M. 1904: On the histology of *Uredo dispersa* ERIKS., and the "mycoplasm" hypothesis. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. B **196**: 29–46.
- WEISS, M., SELOSSE, M., REXER, K., URBAN, A. & OBERWINKLER, F. 2004: Sebacinales: a hitherto overlooked cosm of heterobasidiomycetes with a broad mycorrhizal potential. — Mycol. Res. 108: 1003–1010.

- WEISS, M., SYKOROVÁ, Z., GARNICA, S., RIESS, K., MARTOS, F., KRAUSE, C., OBERWINKLER, F., BAUER, R. & REDECKER, D. 2011: Sebacinales everywhere: previously overlooked ubiquitous fungal endophytes. — PLOS ONE 6 (2): e16793.
- WHITHAM, T.G., DIFAZIO, S.P., SCHWEITZER, J.A., SHUSTER, S.M., ALLAN, G.J., BAILEY, J.K. & WOOLBRIGHT, S.A. 2008: Extending genomics to natural communities and ecosystems. — Science 320: 492–495.
- WIRTH V., SCHIEFELBEIN, U. & LITTERSKI, B. 2018: The lichen flora of Germany regional differences and biogeographical aspect. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 565–588. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- WOLTERS, J. & ERDMANN, V.A. 1986: Cladistic analysis of 5S rRNA and 16S rRNA secondary and primary structure – the evolution of Eukaryotes and their relation to Archaebacteria. — J. Mol. Evol. 24: 152–166.
- WORTMANN, J. 1895: Anwendung und Wirkung reiner Hefen in der Weinbereitung. Berlin: Parey.
- YANG, Z.L., CAI, Q. & CUI, Y.-Y. 2018: Phylogeny, diversity and morphological evolution of Amanitaceae. — Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 359–380. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.
- YATABE, Y., SHINOHARA, W., MATSUMOTO, S. & MURAKAMI, N. 2009: Patterns of hybrid formation among cryptic species of bird-nest fern, *Asplenium nidus* complex (Aspleniaceae), in West Malaysia. — Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 160: 42–63.
- YU, Y., HEINRICHS, J., ZHU, R.-L. & SCHNEIDER, H. 2013: Empirical evidence supporting frequent cryptic speciation in epiphyllous liverworts: a case study of the *Cololejeunea lanciloba* complex. — PLOS ONE 8 (12): e84124. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0084124)
- ZENG, W., HUANG, L., HUANG, J., WANG, X., CHEN, X., ZHAO, J., GUO, J., ZHUANG, H.,
  QIU, C., LIU, J., LIU, H., HUANG, X., PEI, G., ZHAN, G., TANG, C., CHENG, Y., LIU,
  M., ZHANG, H., ZHAO, Z., ZHANG, S. HAN, Q., HAN, D., ZHANG, H., ZHAO, J., GAO,
  X., WANG, J., NI, P. DONG, W., YANG, L., YANG, H., XU, J.-R., ZHANG, G. & KANG,
  Z. 2013: High genome heterozygosity and endemic genetic recombination in the
  wheat stripe rust fungus. Nature Comm. 4: 2673. (doi:10.1038/ncomms3673)
- ZIMMERMANN, W. 1959: Die Phylogenie der Pflanzen, 2. Aufl. Stuttgart: G. Fischer,
- ZOPF, W. 1907: "Die Flechtenstoffe in chemischer, botanischer, pharmakologischer und technischer Beziehung". Jena: G. Fischer.
- ZWETKO, P. & BLANZ, P. 2018: Distinctiveness of aecia and aeciospores on conifers. Biosyst. Ecol. Ser. 34: 271–287. — Wien: Verlag der ÖAW.

Address of the author:

Prof. Dr. Franz OBERWINKLER Universität Tübingen Institut für Evolution und Ökologie Auf der Morgenstelle 5, D-72076 Tübingen Email: franz.oberwinkler@uni-tuebingen.de