

# ECOLOGY OF PLANT HUMMINGBIRD INTERACTIONS IN SACHATAMIA, ECUADOR

Tatiana Santander, Esteban Guevara, Francisco Tobar, Holger Beck, Nicole Büttner, Andrea Nieto, Andrés Marcapata, Friederike Richter, María José Gavilanes, Cristian Poveda, Bryan Rojas, Rafael Wüest, Carolina Bello and Catherine H. Graham



October 22, 2020



Alaspungo



# Contents

<b>1. Introduction and project overview</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Methodological Approach</b>	<b>2</b>
Field transects . . . . .	2
Time-lapse cameras . . . . .	5
<b>3. Resulting patterns</b>	<b>5</b>
Plant-hummingbird interactions . . . . .	5
Plants information and phenology . . . . .	9
The Network of Interactions . . . . .	13
<b>4. Conclusions:</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>19</b>

# 1. Introduction and project overview

One of the main hypotheses for how so many related species can co-occur is resource-partitioning where species use different resources, which limits competition among species and allows them to co-exist. In the case of hummingbirds and plants, each hummingbird species forages on a distinct set of flowers and each flowering plant species is visited by a subset of hummingbirds. Interactions between plants and hummingbirds are mutually beneficial. These mutualistic hummingbird-plant interactions are important from a hummingbird perspective because hummingbirds require nectar to fuel their high-energy lifestyles where they often hover – an energetically costly behavior – to take nectar. From a plant perspective most hummingbirds pollinate flowers as they forage on nectar, though some hummingbirds take nectar from the base of the flower, cheating the flower from this service of pollination. The intricate web of interactions between hummingbirds and their food plants evolved over millennia as a result of diffuse co-evolution which yielded a remarkable array of morphological forms and functions. On-going human activities, such as deforestation and climate change threaten these interaction webs, yet little is known as to how hummingbirds and their food plants will respond. To understand the influence of humans on this complex relationship, accurate, high quality data on hummingbird and flowering plant occurrence and hummingbird-plant interactions are required across broad regions and over an elevation range.

The Northwest slope of the Andes of Ecuador is an ideal place to study plant-hummingbird interactions because it is among the most biodiverse places on earth where multiple co-occurring species rely on each other for survival. There are ~360 species of hummingbirds on earth with the highest diversity in the Andes where up to 30 species can be found at a single site and ~1600 vascular plant species have been recorded in the region. Our study region was in the Pichincha Province (latitude 0°12' N to 0°10' S, longitude 78°59' W to 78°27' W) and covers 107 square kilometers with an elevation range from 800 to 3500 meters. Our sampling location in Sachatamia reserve lies between 1677 and 1728 meters along this gradient.

The goal of the project was to determine the abiotic and biotic factors driving variation in hummingbird-plant interaction networks across elevation and land-use gradients. By evaluating these mutualistic interactions we are able to predict how diversity of both hummingbirds and plants will be influenced by elevation and anthropogenic activities. The project is led by Dr. Catherine Graham from the Swiss Federal Research Institute and executed by Aves y Conservación/BirdLife in Ecuador, Santa Lucía, Maquipucuna, and Un Poco del Chocó with collaboration of several reserves including Mashpi, Las Grallarias, Amagusa, Sachatamia, Yanacocha (Fundación Jocotoco), Verdecocha, Puyucunapi (Mindo Cloud Forest), Rumisitana, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, and Alaspungo community. In Sachatamia we collaborated with Ramiro Salazar, reserve's owner, Angélica Quezada coordinated logistics and our field assistant Daniel Ponce.

## 2. Methodological Approach

To monitor abundance patterns, flowering phenology and hummingbird flower visitation we used a combination of field transects and time-lapse cameras. These transects were 1.5 km in length and were spread across the elevation and land-use gradient with 1 to 2 transects per site. We visited each of the 18 transects (11 in forest and 7 in disturbed sites) one time per month during a two year period. In Sachatamia we sampled the transects from June 2017 to June 2019.




Figure 1: Location of the site in the elevation gradient.

### Field transects

In Sachatamia we have 1 transect of 1.5 km. The transect is within the Piedras Negras reserve, to visit this area it is necessary to contact Mr. Ramiro Salazar at Sachatamia lodge. The entrance to this site is from the road that goes down to the town of Mindo at approximately 1.5 km from the lodge. After passing the gate you need to follow a secondary road to its end, a 4x4 vehicle may be necessary. The transect is located in the lower mountain rain forest on the western slope of the Andes. It begins at around 1600 masl and ascends 100 meters to the highest point. This area is not used for tourism activities (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Elevation gradient of the transect.

**Along each transect, four to five kinds of data were taken:**

- **Flower counts:** Any plant with hummingbird syndrome flowers within a distance of ~5 meters of the transect was counted and identified to species. Characteristics of a flower with the hummingbird syndrome include brightly colored flowers (purple, red, orange or yellow) with medium to long corollas. While most species hummingbirds use have these characteristics we were conservative and monitored any questionable species or plants we have seen hummingbirds feeding. For each plant either all flowers were counted or in the case of bushes with more than ~100 flowers, total flowers on 5 representative branches were counted and used to extrapolate the number of flowers on the plant. Each species was collected once and pressed in order to archive our work and/or verify identification with an expert. Plant specimens were deposited at the Herbarium of Catholic University in Quito and Ibarra.
- **Interaction observations:** During the flower census, any interaction of a hummingbird with a flower was noted.
- **Hummingbird counts:** Any hummingbird heard or seen at a distance of 20 meters was also noted.
- **Flower morphology:** Several flower morphological features were measured on at least three individuals per species wherever possible. The Flower traits included were: a) flower corolla length, the distance from the flower opening to the back of corolla, b) effective corolla distance by cutting open flowers and measuring the corolla length extending back to the flower nectarines, c) corolla opening, d) stigma and anther length.
- **Nectar concentration:** This data was taken only at three sites corresponding to low, medium and high transects. Sugar concentration was collected at flowering species for up to 12 flowers per species using a refractometer (a capillary tube is used to extract nectar).



Figure 3: Team researcher, Andreas Nieto, counts flowers along a transect.

## Time-lapse cameras

We used time-lapse cameras to monitor hummingbird-plant interactions. Time-lapse cameras, which take a picture every second, were placed at individual flowers along the above described transects to capture visitation by hummingbird species. We placed cameras on all flowering plants along the transect roughly proportional to their abundance. The cameras turn on at dawn and record an image every second for several days, resulting in a dataset of millions of images. These images are efficiently processed using Motion Meerkat or Deep Meerkat which can be used to sort out images with hummingbirds which can be manually identified (in the past we have been able to identify 95% of birds in images). This approach minimizes reliance on time-consuming human flower observations, greatly increasing data collection in time and space permitting a rigorous test of network theory.



Figure 4: Team researcher Holger Beck shows how a camera is set up in order to film a flower.

## 3. Resulting patterns

### Plant-hummingbird interactions

Piedras Negras area at Sachatamia contains over 90 plant species used by hummingbirds according to our project results (Annex 1). However, in our cameras we recorded 126 different interactions between 11 hummingbirds and 44 plants (Figure 5).




Figure 5: Examples of some of the hummingbirds and plants we caught in cameras.

Table 1: List of hummingbirds and number of interactions.

<b><i>Hummingbird</i></b>	<b>No of interactions</b>	<b>No plants interacting</b>
<i>Aglaiocercus coelestis</i>	1240	40
<i>Coeligena wilsoni</i>	528	31
<i>Phaethornis syrmatophorus</i>	378	26
<i>Ocreatus underwoodii</i>	176	12
<i>Heliodoxa rubinoides</i>	25	5
<i>Thalurania colombica</i>	5	4
<i>Doryfera ludovicae</i>	16	3
<i>Urosticte benjamini</i>	5	2
<i>Boissonneaua jardini</i>	1	1
<i>Colibri cyanotus</i>	1	1
<i>Phaethornis yaruqui</i>	1	1

The most common hummingbird recorded was *Aglaiocercus coelestis* and the most common plant was *Renalmia sessilifolia*. Although they are the most common species, they are not necessarily the species that interact with more species. The hummingbird that interacts more is *Aglaiocercus coelestis* and the plant that has more interactions is *Guzmania wittmackii*. In table 1 and 2 we can observe the number of interaction for each species.



Table 2: List of plants and number of interactions.

<b>Plant</b>	<b>No of interactions</b>	<b>No hummingbirds interacting</b>
<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>	63	7
<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>	234	7
<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>	83	6
<i>Guzmania jaramilloi</i>	173	5
<i>Pitcairnia nigra</i>	256	5
<i>Bomarea spissiflora</i>	37	4
<i>Fuchsia macrostigma</i>	39	4
<i>Gasteranthus lateralis</i>	20	4
<i>Palicourea anderssoniana</i>	136	4
<i>Palicourea heilbornii</i>	40	4
<i>Psammisia pauciflora</i>	40	4
<i>Bomarea pardina</i>	140	3
<i>Centropogon solanifolius</i>	59	3
<i>Gasteranthus pansamalanus</i>	15	3
<i>Guzmania danielii</i>	19	3
<i>Guzmania xanthobractea</i>	52	3
<i>Heliconia virginalis</i>	136	3
<i>Hoffmannia killipii</i>	40	3
<i>Macleania bullata</i>	29	3
<i>Macleania recumbens</i>	5	3
<i>Markea spruceana</i>	7	3
<i>Psammisia flaviflora</i>	104	3
<i>Psammisia sodiroi</i>	108	3
<i>Burmeistera cyclostigmata</i>	19	2
<i>Columnea ciliata</i>	13	2
<i>Columnea kucyniakii</i>	18	2
<i>Columnea sp.</i>	4	2
<i>Drymonia brochidodroma</i>	8	2
<i>Drymonia tenuis</i>	24	2
<i>Glossoloma medusaeum</i>	8	2
<i>Heliconia burleana</i>	5	2
<i>Heliconia impudica</i>	209	2
<i>Kohleria affinis</i>	2	2
<i>Palicourea sodiroi</i>	40	2
<i>Psammisia aberrans</i>	9	2
<i>Psammisia ecuadorensis</i>	17	2
<i>Psammisia oreogenes</i>	97	2
<i>Psammisia ulbrichiana</i>	10	2

<i>Columnnea medicinalis</i>	3	1
<i>Disterigma pentandrum</i>	4	1
<i>Erythrina edulis</i>	1	1
<i>Erythrina megistophylla</i>	8	1
<i>Heliconia aemygdiana</i>	41	1
<i>Pachycaulos nummularia</i>	1	1

---

## Plants information and phenology

We recorded the abundance of flowers from June 2017 to June 2019. The months with higher abundance of flowers are May and February (Figure 6).




Figure 6: Abundance of flowers by month. Points represent the sum of flowers at each month and the black line represents the mean trend.

However, not all plant produces flowers at the same time. In figure 7 we can observe the phenology of the four most common plant species.




Figure 7: Phenology of most common flowers by month. Points represent the number of flowers counted in each month and the line represents the mean trend. Each color represents a different plant species.

Below we describe the most representative plant families present in Sachatamia.

### **GESNERIACEAE**

Gesneriaceae, the African violet family has around 3000 species, distributed mainly in Central and South America, East and South Asia, Europe and Oceania. In Ecuador there are 200 species grouped in 25 genera. They could be herbs (*Kohleria*, *Diastema*), shrubs (*Glossoloma*, *Columnea*) or very rarely small trees (*Shuaria*, *Besleria*). Gesneriaceae usually have opposite leaves, axillary or terminal inflorescence (cyme, raceme or fascicles), flowers with five petals joined to form a colorful tube with 4 or 5 lobes. Four didynamous stamens (two longer and two shorter) generally fused together and located at the dorsal part of the flower, a simple elongated style with the stigma usually bilobed. In the Pichincha province 15 genera and 89 species have been reported. In our study 64 species were registered, 12 are endemic, 6 are endangered (EN), and 6 are vulnerable (VU). Additionally, we found 3 species that were not previously reported for Pichincha, 2 new records for Ecuador, and 5 new species. Fourteen species of Gesneriaceae are recorded in Sachatamia, most diverse genus are *Columnea* (5 spp.), and *Glossoloma* (3 spp.). There are two endemic species *Gasteranthus lateralis* and *Glossoloma penduliflorum*. Also, it is present the new *Columnea* species. This species is also present in Santa Lucía, Puyucunapi and Las Galarías in Sachatamia.

### **ERICACEAE**

Ericaceae also known as the blueberry family as “mortiño” is represented by 125 genera and 4000 species, widely distributed in temperate, subarctic, and also at high elevations in tropical regions. In Ecuador 21 genus and 240 species have been reported. Life forms include woody shrubs (*Cavendishia*, *Macleania*), trees (*Bejaria*, *Thibaudia*), or suffrutex (small plants with woody stems and soft branch as *Gaultheria*, *Disterigma*). Plants could be erect, prostrate or climbers with coriaceous leaves. Flowers are perfect (containing anther and stigma), mostly tubular with 4 to 7 lobes, anthers in twice number than the petals, often enlarger in one or two terminal tubes. Fruit usually is a capsule, berry or drupe. In Pichincha province there are 13 genus and 73 species. During EPHI project 45 species were registered and 18 are endemic: one is critically endangered (CR), four are endangered (EN), and 10 species are vulnerable (VU). *Macleania tropica* is the first record for Pichincha area, it was only known from Esmeraldas and Colombia. *Antoptherus ecuadorensis*, and *Macleania alata* are the first records made since the type collection in 1979 and 1986 respectively (these two species were collected nearby the study transects). Fourteen species of Ericaceae are recorded in Sachatamia, most diverse genus are *Psammisia* (6 spp.) and *Macleania* (2 spp.). There are four endemic and vulnerable (VU) species: *Macleania recumbens*, *Thibaudia inflata*, *Thibaudia martiniana* and *Psammisia flaviflora*.

### **BROMELIACEAE**

Bromeliaceae belongs to the pineapple family, it is represented by 50 genera and 2000 species, restricted mainly to tropical America. Seventeen genus and 450 species have been reported in Ecuador. They are epiphytic, lithophytic or terrestrial herbs. Leaves are

spirally arranged, usually rosulate (similar distribution to the rose petals), sessile (without petiole), simple, and with parallel veins. Inflorescence terminal or lateral in panicle, raceme or spike, floral bracts usually brightly colored. Flowers are bisexual or sometimes unisexual. Sepals, and petals 3, sometimes fused forming a tube. Stamens 6 in 2 whorls of 3. The style is terminal and often 3 parted. Fruits could be berries or less often capsules. Seeds are little usually winged or plumose. In the Pichincha province 13 genera and 90 species have been reported. As part of our study 48 species were registered and 17 are endemic. One is critically endangered (CR), two are endangered (EN), and six are vulnerable (VU). One species of *Pitcairnia* is probably new and it is restricted to Mashpi area. Eleven species of Bromeliaceae are known from Sachatamia reserve. *Guzmania* is the most numerous with eight species and there are only two endemic species *Tillandsia cyanea* and *Guzmania jaramilloi*.

## The Network of Interactions

The interaction data we collected can be used to explore how the interactions network is organized at Sachatamia. In figure 8 we show the structure of the network.

By analyzing the network structure, we found that the plant *Thibaudia inflata* and the hummingbird *Coeligena wilsoni* are the key species that holds the network together. If they are lost, the network will become less stable. By contrast, *Psammisia aberrans* and *Boissonneaua jardini* are very specialized species which means they interact with a small group of specialized species. In table 3 we can observe the plants interacting with each hummingbird.




Figure 8: Network of interactions. Blue represents hummingbirds and green plants. Each line represents an interaction between a hummingbird and a plant obtained from our camera observations. Thicker lines indicate that the interaction was common while very thin lines indicate that the interaction occurred rarely. The size of the colored bar shows the number of interactions of a hummingbird or plant participated in an interaction.



Table 3: List of Hummingbirds with the plant species they visited.

<b><i>Hummingbird Species</i></b>	<b><i>Visited plant species</i></b>
	<i>Bomarea pardina</i>
	<i>Bomarea spissiflora</i>
	<i>Burmeistera cyclostigmata</i>
	<i>Centropogon solanifolius</i>
	<i>Columnea ciliata</i>
	<i>Columnea sp.</i>
	<i>Disterigma pentandrum</i>
	<i>Drymonia brochidodroma</i>
	<i>Drymonia tenuis</i>
	<i>Erythrina edulis</i>
	<i>Erythrina megistophylla</i>
	<i>Fuchsia macrostigma</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus lateralis</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus pansamalanus</i>
	<i>Glossoloma medusaeum</i>
	<i>Guzmania danielii</i>
	<i>Guzmania jaramilloi</i>
	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
	<i>Guzmania xanthobractea</i>
	<i>Heliconia aemygdiana</i>
	<i>Heliconia burleana</i>
	<i>Heliconia impudica</i>
	<i>Heliconia virginalis</i>
	<i>Hoffmannia killipii</i>
	<i>Kohleria affinis</i>
	<i>Macleania bullata</i>
	<i>Macleania recumbens</i>
	<i>Markea spruceana</i>

	<i>Pachycaulos nummularia</i>
	<i>Palicourea anderssoniana</i>
	<i>Palicourea sodiroi</i>
	<i>Palicourea heilbornii</i>
	<i>Pitcairnia nigra</i>
	<i>Psammisia flaviflora</i>
	<i>Psammisia oreogenes</i>
	<i>Psammisia pauciflora</i>
	<i>Psammisia sodiroi</i>
	<i>Psammisia ulbrichiana</i>
	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>
<i>Aglaiocercus coelestis</i>	<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>
	<i>Bomarea pardina</i>
	<i>Bomarea spissiflora</i>
	<i>Burmeistera cyclostigmata</i>
	<i>Centropogon solanifolius</i>
	<i>Columnea kucyniakii</i>
	<i>Drymonia tenuis</i>
	<i>Fuchsia macrostigma</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus lateralis</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus pansamalanus</i>
	<i>Guzmania danielii</i>
	<i>Guzmania jaramilloi</i>
	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
	<i>Guzmania xanthobractea</i>
	<i>Heliconia virginalis</i>
	<i>Hoffmannia killipii</i>
	<i>Macleania bullata</i>
	<i>Macleania recumbens</i>
	<i>Markea spruceana</i>

	<i>Palicourea anderssoniana</i>
	<i>Palicourea sodiroi</i>
	<i>Palicourea heilbornii</i>
	<i>Pitcairnia nigra</i>
	<i>Psammisia aberrans</i>
	<i>Psammisia ecuadorensis</i>
	<i>Psammisia flaviflora</i>
	<i>Psammisia oreogenes</i>
	<i>Psammisia pauciflora</i>
	<i>Psammisia sodiroi</i>
	<i>Psammisia ulbrichiana</i>
	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>
<i>Coeligena wilsoni</i>	<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>
	<i>Bomarea pardina</i>
	<i>Bomarea spissiflora</i>
	<i>Centropogon solanifolius</i>
	<i>Columnea ciliata</i>
	<i>Columnea medicinalis</i>
	<i>Columnea sp.</i>
	<i>Drymonia brochidodroma</i>
	<i>Fuchsia macrostigma</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus lateralis</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus pansamalanus</i>
	<i>Glossoloma medusaeum</i>
	<i>Guzmania jaramilloi</i>
	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
	<i>Guzmania xanthobractea</i>
	<i>Heliconia impudica</i>
	<i>Heliconia virginalis</i>
	<i>Kohleria affinis</i>

	<i>Macleania bullata</i>
	<i>Macleania recumbens</i>
	<i>Markea spruceana</i>
	<i>Palicourea anderssoniana</i>
	<i>Palicourea heilbornii</i>
	<i>Pitcairnia nigra</i>
	<i>Psammisia ecuadorensis</i>
	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>
<i>Phaethornis syrmatophorus</i>	<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>
	<i>Bomarea spissiflora</i>
	<i>Columnea kuczyniakii</i>
	<i>Fuchsia macrostigma</i>
	<i>Gasteranthus lateralis</i>
	<i>Guzmania jaramilloi</i>
	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
	<i>Hoffmannia killipii</i>
	<i>Palicourea anderssoniana</i>
	<i>Palicourea heilbornii</i>
	<i>Psammisia flaviflora</i>
	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>
<i>Ocreatus underwoodii</i>	<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>
	<i>Guzmania danielii</i>
	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
	<i>Heliconia burleana</i>
	<i>Pitcairnia nigra</i>
<i>Heliodoxa rubinoides</i>	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>
	<i>Guzmania jaramilloi</i>
	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
	<i>Pitcairnia nigra</i>
<i>Thalurania colombica</i>	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>

	<i>Psammisia aberrans</i>
	<i>Psammisia pauciflora</i>
<i>Doryfera ludovicae</i>	<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>
	<i>Renealmia sessilifolia</i>
<i>Urosticte benjamini</i>	<i>Thibaudia inflata</i>
<i>Phaethornis yaruqui</i>	<i>Guzmania wittmackii</i>
<i>Colibri cyanotus</i>	<i>Psammisia pauciflora</i>
<i>Boissonneaua jardini</i>	<i>Psammisia sodiroi</i>

## 4. Conclusions:

- Many similar species can occur in the same place because they use different resources.
- Conservation efforts should consider not only species but interactions among species.
- Key hummingbird plants such as *Guzmania wittmackii* and *Renealmia sessilifolia* can be used in restoration in Sachatamia. These species offer resources to more hummingbirds than the other plants where we recorded hummingbirds foraging (8 species).
- *Boissonneaua jardini* is the most specialized hummingbird. Species such as *Psammisia sodiroi* is key to maintaining this hummingbird in Sachatamia.
- Sachatamia did not show a marked flowering peak. However, the months with a higher abundance of flowers are February and May.
- There are two endemic species of Bromelia in Sachatamia *Tillandsia cyanea* and *Guzmania jaramilloi*.
- In Sachatamia, we recorded one new species of *Columnea sp.nov.* This species is also present in Santa Lucía, Puyucunapi, and Las Gralarias.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the European Research Council (EU grant agreement 787638), the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant No. 173342), and National Geographic Society (grant agreement 9952-16) for financial support. We are also grateful with Ramiro Salazar for

his support with the project at Sachatamia Reserve. Ministry of Environment in Ecuador provided the research permit N° 016-2019-IC-FLO-FAU-DNB/MAE required to conduct field work.