PLANT SEARCH & RESCUE PLAN N2 Wild Coast Toll Highway, Eastern Cape





PREPARED BY: Dr David Hoare Postnet Suite #116 Private Bag X025 Lynnwood Ridge, 0040 tel: (012) 804 2281 fax: 086 550 2053



global environmental solutions PREPARED FOR: CCA Environmental SLR Consulting Unit 39, Roeland Square Cnr Roeland Street and Drury Lane Cape Town 8001 Tel: (021) 461 1118 Fax: (021) 461 1120

Executive Summary

This document provides a management plan for the rescue of listed plants for the greenfields sections of the N2 Wild Coast Toll Highway Project, Eastern Cape.

The first section provides an introduction to the Plant Rescue Plan, including responsible persons for different parts of the process, and legal requirements related to plant species removal.

The next section provides a description and discussion of the Record of Decision requirements, especially in terms of limitations that have been identified in meeting these requirements. Conservation principles for the handling of species of conservation concern are also outlined. This provides a framework for the actions required for plant rescue.

The next section provides a list of all the species of concern that have been recorded to date on site.

The penultimate section provides detailed steps for the rescue and handling of listed plants. Responsible parties are also identified for each step.

The final section gives an outline of monitoring requirements for determining the success of the plant rescue operation.

Contents

Executive Summary1
Introduction4
Purpose of the Plant Rescue Plan
Responsible persons
The Developer
The Project Environmental Manager
The Environmental Control Officer (ECO)5
The Contractor
Legal Requirements
Discussion of RoD requirements
Conditions of the Record of Decision related to the Plant Rescue Plan
Ecological principles for plant rescue
Principles
Planning considerations
Identified limitations in meeting RoD requirements
Recommendations
Species of conservation concern that occur on site12
Listing of Red and Orange List plant species
Nationally protected species
Provincially protected species
Listed species observed on site
Plant rescue plan 16
Plant rescue activities required
Monitoring requirements
Indicators and Targets
References 20
Appendix 1: Flora protected under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act
10 of 2004)
Appendix 2: Tree species protected under the National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998)

Appendix 3: Flora protected under the Transkei Environmental Conservation Decree (Decree No 9 of	
1992)	. 24

Introduction

This document presents the Plant Rescue Plan for the authorized N2 Wild Coast Toll Highway, Eastern Cape. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process for the authorized facility was undertaken by CCA Environmental (Pty) Ltd and all post-authorization aspects are being handled by the same company.

Purpose of the Plant Rescue Plan

The purpose of the Plant Rescue Plan is:

• to provide practical guidance on search and rescue of threatened or protected plant species (TOPS), as specified in the Record of Decision (RoD) issued for the project on 19 April 2010.

The objective is to identify, remove and, where possible, rescue or relocate species of concern, as required by the conditions attached to the RoD. The area to which this Plan refers is the greenfields sections of the project within the Eastern Cape (Figure 1).

The Plant Rescue Plan will form part of the broader Environmental Management Programme.

Responsible persons

Rescue of sensitive plant species during the construction phase of the project will be dependent on a number of project personnel. These are listed below:

The Developer

This refers to the project proponent, the South African National Roads Agency Limited (SANRAL). It will be responsible for the following:

- 1. Ensure that the requirements set out in this Plan are adhered to and implemented;
- Allocate the responsibilities assigned to the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) to an independent suitably qualified individual prior to the start of construction activities on site; and
- 3. Provide all principal contractors working on the project with a copy of this management plan as part of tender contract documentation to allow the contractors to cost for its requirements within their respective construction contracts or alternatively, commission a suitable service provider to undertake the required Search and Rescue independent from any contract documentation with individual contractors.

The Project Environmental Manager

The Project Environmental Manager of the proposed development will be responsible for the overall implementation of the Plan during the construction phase of the project. To effectively implement the plant rescue plan, the Project Environmental Manager must be aware of the findings, mitigation measures and conclusions of the Final EIA report, the requirements of the EA, the EMPr, and this Plan.

The Environmental Control Officer (ECO)

The ECO is responsible for monitoring and verifying the implementation of the Plan during the construction phase of the project. To effectively implement the Plan, the ECO must be aware of the findings, mitigation measures and conclusions of the Final EIA Report, the EA, and this Plan.

The Contractor

The contractor, being any directly appointed company or individual undertaking the implementation of works, may be responsible for complying with the Plan at all times during the construction phase. Alternatively, an independent Nursery Contractor/Horticulturalist may be appointed to undertake the Search and Rescue. If such a contractor is appointed, they require competency in horticulture, and possibly landscaping.

The RoD requires that a forest ecologist be appointed as part of the project team, but based on the permit application and the ongoing involvement of DAFF in the process, this appointment is considered to be unnecessary.

Legal Requirements

- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004), including Threatened or Protected Species Regulations;
- National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998);
- National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998);
- Transkei Environmental Conservation Decree (Decree No 9 of 1992).

Discussion of RoD requirements

This section provides some basic ecological principles that must be considered when undertaking a plant search and rescue operation and that may affect the removal of plants from the wild. These principles are discussed in relation to the specific requirements in the Record of Decision (RoD).

Conditions of the Record of Decision related to the Plant Rescue Plan

The objectives and proposed outcomes of the Plant Rescue Plan are guided by conditions of the RoD for the project. According to the conditions, the following related to plant rescue and relocation must be complied with:

- 1. "A botanist must be employed to conduct a search and rescue of threatened or protected species (TOPS) before construction of the project, and in the forest areas suitable tree seedlings and understorey plants inside the servitude must be rescued and kept in a nursery for re-planting in suitable sites after construction" (Item 6.2.7.2, third bullet point);
- "All rare, endangered and endemic species and species of conservation value in the road reserve must be translocated, in close cooperation with the National Botanical Institute (NBI), to a suitable nursery with the aim to be re-established in a national botanical garden that is to be created in the Pondoland Centre of Endemism or a suitable existing conservation area" (Item 6.2.12.3).

Note that the National Botanical Institute (NBI) is now called the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI).

These requirements are discussed in detail in the section that follows.

Ecological principles for plant rescue

Plant rescue is considered to be a last resort to conserve individual plants, when authorization for development has been obtained and construction is imminent. The ecosystem within the footprint of the development, with all its species diversity, genetic variation and ecological interrelationships will be lost and the objective is to salvage something prior to the destruction. Some considerations are as follows:

1. Plant rescue can usually only salvage a small proportion of the plants on site. This is due to two main factors, firstly, the fact that different species appear at different times and some species will almost certainly be dormant at the time that the Search and Rescue is undertaken, and secondly, there may be practical limitations in terms of how much plant material can be salvaged.

- 2. Globally, it has been recognised that the selection of plants to rescue is based on criteria that may have little to do with conservation, for example, ease of access, horticultural value and probability of survival. However, in the case of the current project, it has been specified in the RoD which species are to be targeted for Search and Rescue.
- 3. Plants chosen for rescue may not thrive or even survive. It is highly unlikely that all rescued plants will survive. This is based on the fact that it is virtually impossible to predict without experimentation and research exactly what artificial conditions will be required for the management of each species in order to ensure survival.
- 4. Various agencies globally (e.g IUCN) and nationally (e.g. SANBI) have expressed concern regarding the concept of plant rescue. The concern is that the implementation of a plant Search and Rescue can weaken support for habitat conservation by fostering the perception that rescuing selected plants can compensate for destruction of an entire habitat, or that landscape plantings can substitute for natural areas.
- 5. Plant rescue can divert time, energy, resources and leadership from tasks that may be more effective in protecting natural habitats.
- 6. Plants can be used for rehabilitation of affected areas, thereby restoring something resembling the natural vegetation.
- 7. It can also make a long-term contribution to public education by providing native plants for public gardens and nature centers.

Principles

• In situ conservation is preferable to *ex situ* conservation. Removing a population from its natural habitat and placing it under artificial conditions results in the erosion of the inherent genetic diversity and characteristics of that species. This principle is very strongly emphasized on the SANBI websites "Guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessments" (www.redlist.sanbi.org/eiaguidelines.php) where the following is stated:

"In situ conservation is vital and should be recommended as the only option for conserving species of conservation concern. Ex situ conservation, i.e. the removal of a subpopulation from its natural habitat to an artificial environment, a practice often termed 'search and rescue', will result in the erosion of the inherent genetic diversity and characteristics of that species and increase its extinction risk in the wild. Similarly, translocation of subpopulations is an unacceptable conservation measure."

- In order to ensure the persistence of a population, it is imperative that the ecological processes maintaining that population persist. This requires that natural habitats are maintained in an ecologically functional condition.
- Translocation of Red List species is an unacceptable conservation measure since the translocated species may have undesirable ecological effects, as follows:
 - o Alterations to habitat by translocated species may be harmful to other species,

- Translocations may lead to transmission of pathogens or parasites (Hodder & Bullock, 1997).
- o Translocation may result in rapid changes in the species itself (Conant, 1988).
- Translocations are expensive and rarely successful (Griffith et al., 1989).
- Success entails not only survival of the translocated individuals but also establishment of a self-sustaining, viable population able to reproduce and adapt to changing environmental conditions (Milton et al., 1999).
- Relocation of rescued plants to undisturbed habitats falsifies the local history of natural dispersal and alters the natural species composition of the target site.

Once again, this has been emphasized on the SANBI websites "Guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessments" (www.redlist.sanbi.org/eiaguidelines.php) where the following is stated:

"Translocations are expensive and rarely successful. Even if they are successful, translocated individuals may harm other species within the receiving environment, the translocated individuals may transmit pathogens and/or parasites, and translocation may result in rapid changes in the species itself."

The requirement in the RoD therefore contradicts principles espoused by the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) and IUCN.

The implications of these principles are as follows:

- It is highly preferable <u>not</u> to replant rescued plants into other natural habitats. The RoD specifically states that "all rare, endangered and endemic species and species of conservation value in the road reserve must be translocated ... to a suitable nursery... or a suitable existing conservation area". Based on scientific evidence and concerns directly from SANBI, translocation to an existing conservation area cannot be supported as a management measure.
- Rescued plants, if re-planted back in the wild, should be placed as close as possible to where they were originally removed. As stated in the previous paragraph, re-planting into natural areas is not supported as a management measure.
- Re-planting into the wild must cause as little disturbance and harm as possible to existing natural ecosystems. As stated in the previous paragraph, re-planting into natural areas is not supported as a management measure.
- Rescue must be limited to only those areas where plants will be destroyed by the development. No plants should be removed from areas that will otherwise not be disturbed.
- Rescue should not be undertaken from any site where there is a significant risk that well-established invasive alien plants or other pests will be spread by the relocation of native plants.

• The solution would be for rescued plants to only be replanted into disturbed areas after construction for rehabilitation purposes.

Planning considerations

The following factors affect planning of plant rescue:

- Adequate time must be allowed to obtain the necessary information about the site and its flora. This is usually achieved during the EIA stage and/or follow-up surveys. A reliable inventory of the plants found on a site is a key factor in determining whether a rescue is appropriate and, if it is, how the plants will be used. In general, a rescue should not be undertaken if an appropriate use of the rescued plants is not ready at hand or easily found. Where invasive alien species are present, the numbers and concentrations must be known. If there are large concentrations of alien invasive species, this may rule out any rescue and limits the choice of relocation sites or eventual use of the rescued plants.
- There must be adequately qualified and equipped personnel to undertake a plant rescue. Personnel undertaking the rescue should have the knowledge and skills to ensure that the rescue operation is a success. A trained and qualified botanist is required to identify the species to be rescued, but horticultural skills are required for nursery establishment and for the actual planning and management of a nursery.
- In principle, rescued plants should be utilized for public benefit, not private gain. Acceptable uses are therefore replanting in rehabilitated areas, providing stock for propagation and providing plant material for a scientific project. Problematic uses are selling rescued plants to the public and providing plants for private gardens. This is because additional permits would be required for transport and trade of protected species. An incentive is also created to remove plants from the wild, which is not supported.
- Rescuing plants that are listed as protected under National or Provincial legislation is subject to requirements that cover the collection and use of whole plants, their progeny and plant parts, including seeds. A permit is usually required to possess, transport or propagate such species. The general permit for removal of TOPS will cover these components. Any trader would be required to get their own permits.
- A priority for replanting is to maintain the ecological integrity of the target habitat. Appropriate target sites include a managed wildflower garden, such as a botanical garden, and an interpretative nature trail. Botanical gardens offer programs to help visitors identify and learn about native plants and can make it clear that plants have been rescued, not wild collected, especially for those species that are not commercially available. Inappropriate target sites are natural habitats in which ecological integrity is currently uncompromised.

Identified limitations in meeting RoD requirements

There are components of the RoD requirements for which various limitations have been identified. These are as follows:

- 1. The conditions of the RoD, as provided in the Introduction to this Plan, specify that rescued plants must be "*kept in a nursery*...". This requires that a nursery must be established and staff appointed for management of the nursery. The location and size of the nursery or nurseries needs to be determined. Based on the size of the project and the inaccessibility of the site, it is probable that such a nursery or nurseries would need to be located close to the construction area/s.
- 2. The conditions state that rescued plants must be planted "*in suitable sites after construction*". It has been argued in the sections above that the only suitable sites would be rehabilitation areas or some equivalent of a botanical garden. Transplanting into other natural areas is not supported by scientific evidence nor by any organizations that are concerned with conservation in South Africa.
- 3. A further component of the conditions states that "*all … species … in the road reserve must be translocated, in close cooperation with the National Botanical Institute (NBI), to a suitable nursery*…". Informal communication on 7 March 2016 with senior nursery staff at SANBI (previously known as NBI), indicates that they do not have the staff, resources, mandate or will to be involved in such a project. They are specifically, in principle, unsupportive of Search and Rescue as a management measure, and are of the opinion that any involvement would provide the incorrect appearance that they endorse the process of Search and Rescue.
- 4. The conditions state that "all rare, endangered and endemic species and species of conservation value in the road reserve must be translocated...". There is a high probability that many of these species will not be located during a Search and Rescue operation, due to factors such as differing times of appearance, seasonal dormancy and ecological conditions at the time of the operation.
- 5. The conditions state that "...with the aim to be re-established in a national botanical garden that is to be created in the Pondoland Centre of Endemism...". No such botanical garden currently exists and, according to senior nursery staff at SANBI that would be aware of such projects, there are no known plans for establishing such a botanical garden. There is therefore no indication given in terms of how long rescued plants must be looked after before they are handed over to a new custodian. It is not possible to maintain temporary nurseries for an unlimited period of time.

Based on the limitations provided above, it is proposed that the following activities should be undertaken to address the conditions that can be met:

1. All TOPS that can be located within the footprint of the development zone, as identified by a botanist, should be rescued. This includes suitable tree seedlings and understory plants inside the servitude in the forest areas. A rescue operation should be undertaken

by the horticultural contractor / plant rescue team to remove as many of these as possible.

- 2. Temporary nurseries should be established in close proximity to the construction areas, as far as possible, and should be located in non-sensitive areas.
- 3. An invitation should be sent to CREW¹ to remove any plants within the footprint of the development zone prior to construction. Whether or not they respond positively to this or not will be based on policies and discussions which they will need to develop internally, but it is important to maintain communications with them in this regard.
- 4. Topsoil removed from the footprint of the construction path should be carefully managed to ensure that propagules within the soil mass also have an opportunity to survive. This will include any geophytes or plants with underground parts that will grow after soil translocation.
- 5. Stockpiled soil should be used for rehabilitation and any plants within the soil that have survived should become established.
- 6. Rescued plants within temporary nurseries that are appropriate to transplant into rehabilitated areas should be planted out during rehabilitation.
- 7. Remaining plants not used in rehabilitation should be kept in temporary nurseries for a limited period of time. Thereafter, these remaining plants should be handed over to new custodians, which may include public and educational institutions.
- 8. No translocation to other natural areas should take place.

Recommendations

1. The authorities should be notified of the inability to meet some of the conditions of the RoD.

¹ The Custodians of Rare and Endangered Wildflowers (CREW) programme involves volunteers from the public in the monitoring and conservation of South Africa's threatened plants. The programme is a partnership between SANBI and the Botanical Society of South Africa (BotSoc).

Species of conservation concern that occur on site

This section provides an outline of the existing status of the study area with respect to the occurrence of any species of conservation concern or any other plant species that are deemed worthy of rescue prior to construction. The purpose is to provide an indication of the identity of such species.

The species included here have been identified from various field surveys for the project, including botanical studies for the first EIA (Lubke & Avis 2002), the Environmental Management Plans for the Mtentu Bridge (Dold 2003b) and the Msikaba Bridge (Dold 2003a) and the botanical study for the approved EIA (Hoare 2008). This list provides an expectation of what could potentially occur on site in terms of TOPS. There is a possibility that additional TOPS could occur on site, but the process followed to arrive at the current list was comprehensive and it is not expected that there would be any major omissions.

Listing of Red and Orange List plant species

Species listed as threatened on the Red List change with time as new information becomes available and as threats to different species are re-evaluated over time. The list is therefore not static.

Nationally protected species

These are species listed in the Appendices of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004, as updated in R. 1187, 14 December 2007). A copy of this list is attached as Appendix 1. There are also species protected according to the National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998). A copy of this list is attached as Appendix 2. The species shown in bold in Appendix 2 are those that have a geographical range that includes the entire project. Not all these species necessarily occur within the project footprint.

Provincially protected species

The Transkei Environmental Conservation Decree (Decree No 9 of 1992) contains lists of species protected within the Eastern Cape and for which permits for removal are required. These are according to Schedule 5 and Schedule 6 of the Transkei Environmental Conservation Decree (Decree No 9 of 1992). A copy of this list is attached as Appendix 3.

Listed species observed on site

A number of protected and/or listed plant species were encountered on site or could potentially occur there, sometimes within the footprint of proposed infrastructure and other times in nearby areas. These species are listed in the table below. Note that this list may increase according to what is found during the plant rescue survey and/or some species on this list may not be found at the time of the survey. The protection status indicates species protected according to the Transkei Environmental Conservation Decree (Decree No 9 of 1992), indicated as TECD 9 of 1992 in the table, and the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004), indicated as NEM:BA 10 of 2004 in the table.

Taxon	Protection Status	Conservation Status	Endemnicity
Angraecum pusillum	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC (Least Concern)	
Aristea ecklonii	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Bonatea porrecta	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Boophone disticha	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Declining	
Brachystelma australe	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	Pondoland endemic
Bulbine sp. nov.	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)		Pondoland endemic
Cryptocarya wyliei	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Near threatened	
Cyrtanthus brachyscyphus	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Cyrtanthus breviflorus	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Cyrtanthus contractus	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Dalbergia multijuga		LC	Pondoland near- endemic
Delosperma carterae	Protected (TECD 9 of	LC	

	1992)		
Delosperma lineare	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Delosperma obtusum	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Dierama argyreum	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Dierama igneum	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Dietes butcheriana	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Disa sagittalis	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Disa tripetaloides	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Erica caffra	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Erica cubica	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Eulophia hians	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Eulophia parviflora	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Gladiolus inandensis	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Gladiolus longicollis	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Hesperantha baurii	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	
Kniphofia coddiana	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Near threatened	
Leucadendron spissifolium subsp. natalense	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Near threatened	Pondoland endemic

Mitriostigma axillare		LC	Pondoland endemic	near-
Ornithogalum juncifolium	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		
Polystachya pubescens	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		
Psoralea abbottii	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Vulnerable	Pondoland endemic	
Putterlickia retrospinosa	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Near threatened	Pondoland endemic	
Searsia pondoensis	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC	Pondoland endemic	near-
Satyrium sp.	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)			
Senecio rhyncholaenus		LC	Pondoland endemic	near-
Stangeria eriopus	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	Vulnerable		
	Protected (NEM:BA 10 of 2004)			
Tarchonanthus trilobus var. trilobus		LC	Pondoland endemic	near-
Tridactyle bicaudata subsp. rupestris	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		
Tridactyle tridentata	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		
Tritonia gladiolaris	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		
Watsonia pillansii	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		
Watsonia densiflora	Protected (TECD 9 of 1992)	LC		

A detailed description of each of these species is provided in a separate "Field Guide".

Plant rescue plan

This section provides details on the actions that are required to rescue any TOPS and/or listed plant species from the path of development and what steps are to be taken to house them temporarily and then to place them back into suitable habitats.

Plant rescue activities required

Before construction commences at the site, the following actions must be taken:

Action	Responsible person
<u>Collate information on potential species of concern</u> Initial identification of all listed species that may occur within the project area. This is covered in this report and other survey reports related to this project. The action is therefore complete.	Botanist
<u>Mark footprint of proposed construction area</u> The footprint of proposed development must be marked out prior to breaking ground. (It is assumed that this will follow a phased approach and that not all areas will be marked simultaneously. An example would be pegging out the route of a section of road to be constructed prior to earth-moving equipment beginning work on construction, but could also include provision of a GPS track or GIS polygon file that depicts the affected areas.)	Contractor / Engineer / Developer
<u>Species search and rescue</u> Location and rescue of all plants to be rescued that may occur within marked out areas (within the footprint of proposed infrastructure). The marked out area must be walked and required species rescued.	Botanist
<u>Plant marking and information requirements</u> For all plants that are rescued, relevant information should be collected, as is determined by the horticulturalist as being adequate for reporting and monitoring. This information could include the number of individuals/clumps and date collected, as well as where they came from.	Qualified botanist / horticulturalist
Establishment of nurseries • Nursery facilities must be established within either the proposed	

site office area or in a construction laydown area or in any other suitable site where additional natural habitat will not be affected and where there is access to water.

- Permits to collect, relocate and propagate plant material and to collect seed or cuttings for the contract must be obtained from the relevant authorities. This should be a single permit application that covers all components of the project.
- The landscaping contractor must provide a comprehensive method statement relating to the nursery locality, layout, structures, operations and security. The method statement must also cover all aspects of operation, including sources of water and growing medium and a description of the intended practices to be used. The intended use of all horticultural practices should be described, as well as the intended use of additives such as polymer gels and resins. The proposed practices must be suited to the list of rescued species and should take specialized growing requirements into consideration.
- The nursery must include a storage area. The nursery and storage area must be of adequate capacity to provide an amount of material stored (of whatever sort required for the completion of the works) sufficient to ensure that no interruption to the progress of the work is occasioned by lack of seeds, plants and other materials. The facility must also be cool and dry and rodent free.
- The horticulturist / landscaping contractor must inspect all plant materials weekly to locate any diseased or insect pest infestations or weeds. If any are identified, appropriate control measures must be applied.

<u>Plant rescue</u>

- Appoint an experienced horticulturalist or landscaping contractor to undertake the rescue operation, manage the rescued plant material and operate the nursery.
- From information gathered during the process of marking plants, establish the resource requirements for the plant rescue team workforce and the methodology to be employed to maximize the likelihood of success.
- A multipronged approach to plant rescue should be followed to maximize the likelihood of success. This should take into account overall genetic variability and alternatives to preserving genetic variability. In addition to transplanting of whole plants, seed can be collected to sow in situ in suitable habitats. For plants that can be successfully grown in a nursery environment, seed and other

 propagules (cuttings, wildlings) must be propagated to supplement the plant rescue effort. Habitats that are currently disturbed/transformed and that are outside the development footprint are possible sites for rehabilitation where a positive biodiversity outcome can be locally achieved. Rescued plants must be planted into a container to be housed within a temporary nursery on site or immediately planted into the target habitat. If planted into natural habitat, it must be protected from construction activities and monitored to ensure survival. Where appropriate, it may be possible to directly transplant individuals from areas about to be cleared backwards to areas that are already undergoing rehabilitation. 	
 Control of impacts on adjacent areas Any listed plants close to the development servitude that will remain in place may not be defaced, disturbed, destroyed or removed. They should be cordoned off with construction tape or similar barrier and marked as no-go areas. The collecting of plants by unauthorized persons should be prevented. ECO to monitor that vegetation clearing only happens once all search and rescue operations have been completed. The ECO should monitor construction activities in sensitive habitats to ensure that impacts within these areas are kept to a minimum. 	ECO / qualified botanist

Monitoring requirements

The following monitoring activities are recommended as part of the plant rescue plan:

- Post-relocation monitoring of plants relocated during search and rescue to evaluate whether the intervention was successful or not. This should be undertaken on a three-monthly basis over a period of two years in order to evaluate the success thereof.
- Provision of a detailed record, including photographs, that indicates the success of the plant rescue operation.

Indicators and Targets

Indicator	Target	
Written and photographic records from all all search and rescue operations.	All species of conservation concern identified or removed prior to clearing.	
Survival rate of translocated plants	50-80% (based on probable survival rate of grassland species)	

References

- Conant, S. (1988). Saving Endangered Species by Translocation. Are we tinkering with evolution? BioScience, 38, 254-257.
- Conservation Biology Institute (2000). Review of potential edge effects on the San Fernando Valley Spineflower (Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina). Prepared for Ahmanson Land Company, West Covina, California & Beveridge & Diamond, LLP, San Francisco, California.
- Dold, T. 2003a. Plant species relocation survey: Proposed Msikaba Bridge access road, N2 Toll road, Pondoland. Unpublished report prepared for Coastal & Environmental Services, September 2003.
- Dold, T. 2003b. Plant species relocation survey: Proposed Mtentu Bridge access road, N2 Toll road, Pondoland. Unpublished report prepared for Coastal & Environmental Services, September 2003.
- Griffith, B., Scott, J.M., Carpenter, J.W. & Reed, C. (1989). Translocation as a species conservation tool: Status and strategy. Science, 245, 477 480.
- Hoare, D.B. 2008. Specialist study on the potential impact of the proposed N2 Wild Coast Toll Highway on vegetation and flora. Unpublished report prepared for CCA Environmental (Pty) Ltd. on behalf of the South African National Roads Agency Limited.
- Hodder, K.H. & Bullock, J.M. (1997). Translocations of native species in the UK: implications for biodiversity. Journal of Applied Ecology, 34, 547-565.
- IUCN (2000). IUCN Red List Categories. Prepared by the IUCN Species Survival Commission. Gland, Switzerland.
- Lubke, R.A. and Avis, A.M., 2002. Vegetation and floristics. In: CES, 2002. Wild Coast Toll Road between East London and Durban: Ecological Specialist Report.
- Milton, S.J., Bond, W.J., Du Plessis, M.A., Gibbs, D., Hilton-Taylor, C., Linder, H.P., Raitt, L., Wood, J. & Donaldson, J.S. (1999). A protocol for plant conservation by translocation in threatened lowland fynbos. Conservation in Practice, 13, 735-743.

Appendix 1: Flora protected under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004)

(as updated in R. 1187, 14 December 2007)

CRITICALLY ENDANGERED SPECIES

Adenium swazicum Aloe pillansii Diaphananthe millarii Dioscorea ebutsniorum Encephalartos aemulans Encephalartos brevifoliolatus Encephalartos cerinus Encephalartos dolomiticus Encephalartos heenanii Encephalartos hirsutus Encephalartos inopinus Encephalartos latifrons Encephalartos middelburgensis Encephalartos nubimontanus Encephalartos woodii

ENDANGERED SPECIES

Angraecum africae Encephalartos arenarius Encephalartos cupidus Encephalartos horridus Encephalartos laevifolius Encephalartos lebomboensis Encephalartos msinganus Jubaeopsis caffra Siphonochilus aethiopicus Warburgia salutaris Newtonia hilderbrandi

VULNERABLE SPECIES

Aloe albida Encephalartos cycadifolius Encephalartos Eugene-maraisii Encephalartos ngovanus Merwilla plumbea Zantedeschia jucunda

PROTECTED SPECIES

Adenia wilmsii Aloe simii Clivia mirabilis Disa macrostachya Disa nubigena Disa physodes Disa procera Disa sabulosa Encephelartos altensteinii Encephelartos caffer Encephelartos dyerianus Encephelartos frederici-guilielmi Encephelartos ghellinckii Encephelartos humilis **Encephelartos lanatus** Encephelartos lehmannii Encephelartos longifolius Encephelartos natalensis Encephelartos paucidentatus Encephelartos princeps Encephelartos senticosus Encephelartos transvenosus Encephelartos trispinosus Encephelartos umbeluziensis Encephelartos villosus Euphorbia clivicola Euphorbia meloformis Euphorbia obesa Harpagophytum procumbens Harpagophytum zeyherii Hoodia gordonii Hoodia currorii Protea odorata Stangeria eriopus

Appendix 2: Tree species protected under the National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998)

Vachallia (Acacia) haomatovulan
Vachellia (Acacia) haematoxylon
Afzelia quanzensis
Barringtonia racemosa
Brachystegia spiciformis
Bruguiera gymnhorrhiza
Catha edulis
Cleistanthus schlectheri var. schlechteri
Combretum imberbe
Elaedendron (Cassine) transvaalensis
Euclea pseudebenus
Leucadendron argenteum
Lydenburgia abottii
Mimusops caffra
Ocotea bullata
Philenoptera violacea (Lonchocarpus capassa)
Podocarpus elongatus
Podocarpus henkelii
Protea comptonii
Prunus africana
Rhizophora mucronata
Securidaca longependunculata
Tephrosia pondoensis
Widdringtonia cedarbergensis

Species indicated in bold have a geographical distribution that coincides with the study area.

Appendix 3: Flora protected under the Transkei Environmental Conservation Decree (Decree No 9 of 1992).

SCHEDULE 5: ENDANGERED FLORA (section 23)

COMMON NAME SCIENTIFIC NAME Aloe reynoldsii Bashee Aloe Aristea platycaulis Catha abbottii Pondo Bushman's Tea Colubrina nicholsonii Crassula strevi Cyathea, all species Tree Ferns, all species Cyphostemma umtamuvunensis Pondo Cobas Dahlgrenodendron natalense Natal Quince Encephalartos, all species Cycads, all species Eugenia erythrophylla Large-leaved Myrtle Eugenia simii Pondo Myrtle Mtamvuna Myrtle Eugenia umtamuvunensis Eugenia verdoorniae Small-leaved Myrtle Impatiens flanaganii Indigofera braamtonyi Indigofera gogosa Indigofera herrstreyi Jubaeopsis caffra Pondo Coconut Kniphofia coddiana Leucadendron pondoense Pondo Conebush Leucadendron spissifolium subsp. oribinum Manikara nicholsonii South Coast Milkberry Maytenus abbottii Rock Silky Bark Maytenus oleosa Ochna chilversii Plectranthus ernstii Plectranthus hilliardiae Plectranthus praetermissus Polygala esterae Pseudosalacia streyi Rock Lemon Psoralea abbottii Pondo Fountain Bush Raspalia trigyna Rhynchocalyx lawsonioides Natal Privet Rinorea domatiosa Bearded White Violet Bush Species novae, new species, any described after 1 January 1990 from a specimen collected in Southeastern Africa except any mentioned in Schedule 6. Streptocarpus porphyrostachys Streptocarpus primulifolius Syzygium pondoense Pondo Waterwood Tephrosia pondoensis Pondo Poison Pea Tricalysia africana Pondo Coffee Turraea pegleri Umtiza listeriana Umtiza Watsonia bachmannii Watsonia confusa Watsonia inclinata Watsonia mtamvunae Watsonia pondoensis

SCHEDULE 6: PROTECTED FLORA

SCHEDULE 6: PROTECTED FLORA	
Adiantum, all species	Maidenhair Ferns, all species
Alberta magna	Natal Flame Bush
Allophylus natalensis	Dune False Currant
Aloe, all species except arborescens,	Aloes, all species except Krantz Aloe,
ferox and any mentioned in Schedule 5.	Bitter aloe and any mentioned in Schedule 5.
Ammocharis coranica	
Anomotheca laxa	Small Red Iris
Anthospermum streyi	
Apodytes abbottii	Pondoland white Pear
Aristea, all species, except any	
mentioned in Schedule 5.	
Asplenium simii	
Atalaya natalensis	Natal Krantz Ash
Bauhinia bowkeri	Kei Neat's Foot
Boophane disticha	Sore Eye Flower
Brachystelma australe	
Brunsvigia gregaria	
Canthium vanwykii	Pondo Rock Alder
Cassipourea flanaganii	Cape Onionwood
Cephalanthus natalensis	Strawberry Bush
Ceropegia, all species	Snake Plants, all species
Chasmanthe peglerae	Flames
Chironia laxa	
Clivia miniata	St John's Lily
Clivia nobilis	Cape Clivia
Combretum erythrophyllum	River Bushwillow
Crassula falcata	
Crassula planifolia	
Crinum macowanii	Cape Coast Lily
Crinum moorei	
Crocosmia masonarum	
Cryptocarya myrtifolia	Myrtle Quince
Cryptocarya wyliei	Red Quince
Cyrtanthus, all species	Fire Lilies, all species
Dierama, all species	Hairbells, all species
Dietes bicolor	
Dietes butcheriana	
Elaphoglossum angustatum	
Erica, all species	Ericas, all species
Eriosema dregei	
Eriosema latifolium	
Eriosema luteopetalum	
Eriosema umtanvumense	
Eriosemopsis subanisophylla	
Erythrina humeana	Dwarf Coral Tree
Erythrina latissima	Broad-leaved Coral Tree
Eucomis, all species	Pineapple Flowers, all species
Euphorbia bupleurifolia Euphorbia meloformis	

Euryops leiocarpus	
Ficus bizanae	Pondo Fig
Gasteria, all species	T ondo rig
Geranium subglabrum	
Gladiolus, all species	Gladioli, all species
Gloriosa, all species	Flame Lilies, all species
•	Pondo Raisin
Grewia pondoensis	
Haemanthus, all species	Snake Lilies, all species
Harveya, all species	Harveyas, all species
Hesperantha, all species	
Huernia, all species	
Hypodematium crenatum	
Indigofera pondoensis	
Indigofera rubro-glandulosa	
Kalanchoe thyrsiflora	
Kniphofia drepanophylla	White Lady
Kniphofia uvaria	
Littonia modesta	
Lotononis bachmanniana	
Lygodium kerstenii	
Maytenus bachmannii	
Memecylon bachmannii	
MESEMBRYANTHEMACEAE, all species	Mesems, all species
Microloma tenuifolium	Wax Twiner
Microsorium ensiforme	
Milletia sutherlandii	Giant Umzimbeet
Moraea, all species	
Nectaropetalum capense	Kei Coca Tree
Nectaropetalum zuluense	Natal Coca Tree
Nerine, all species	Nerines, all species
Nymphaea capensis	Water Lily
Ocotea kenyensis	Transvaal stinkwood
ORCHIDACEAE, all species	Orchids, all species
Ornithogalum, all species	
Osteospermum imbricatum	
Pachycarpus, all species	
Phylica natalensis	Natal Hard-leaf
Phylica tysonii	Pondo Hard-leaf
Phyllanthus cedrelifolius	Forest Potato Bush
Podranea ricasoliana	Port St John's Creeper
Polystichum, all species	Seven Weeks Fern, all species
Prionium serratum	Palmiet
PROTEACEAE, all species, except Protea	Proteas, all species, except Wagon Tree
nitens and any mentioned in Schedule 5.	and any mentioned in Schedule 5.
Pseudoscolopia polyantha	False Red Pear
Psilotum nudum	
Psychotria capensis	Black Bird-berry
Putterlickia retrospinosa	
Relhania pungens	
Rhus acocksii	
Rhus pondoense	Pondo Currant
Sandersonia aurantiaca	Chinese Lantern, Christmas Bell
	,

Cabizzatulia anazizza	
Schizostylis coccinea	Xhosa Lily
Stangeria, all species	
Stapelia, all species	Stapelias, all species
Strelitzia nicolae	Natal Wild Banana
Strelitzia reginae	Crane Flower
Streptocarpus, all species, except any	Streptocarpus, all species, except any
mentioned in Schedule 5.	mentioned in Schedule 5.
Struthiola pondoensis	
Syncolostemon densiflorus	
Thamnocalamus tessellata	Mountain Bamboo
Trichomanes reptans	
Tritonia, all species	Tritonias, all species
Turraea pulchella	
Veltheimia bracteata	
Voacanga thouarsii	Wild Frangipani
Watsonia, all species, except any	Watsonias, all species, except any
mentioned in Schedule 5.	mentioned in Schedule 5.
Widdringtonia nodiflora	Mountain cypress
Zantedeschia elliotiana	Kei Arum