Kinetic Isotope Effect on Electron Transfer Rates Across Self-Assembled Monolayers: A Case for Quantum Effects

Samir Chattopadhyay, [‡] Sabyasachi Bandyopadhyay, [‡] Abhishek Dey*

School of Chemical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Long range ET across several self-assembled monolayer (SAM) covered Au electrodes is investigated using protonated and deuterated thiols (RSH/RSD). The rate of tunneling is measured using both chronoamperometry and cyclic voltammetry and it shows a prominent KIE. The KIE is ~2 (normal) for medium chain length thiols where the Tafel plot indicate substantial through space tunneling and is ~0.25 (inverse) for long chain thiols where the Tafel plot indicate mostly through bond tunneling. These results imply substantial contribution from the classical modes at the Au-(H)SR interface, which shift substantially on deuteration of the thiols, to the ET process.

Introduction

Long range electron transfer (ET) has a crucial role in numerous biological processes ranging from photosynthesis to aerobic respiration.¹ Apart from the energy transfer processes in biology like respiration and photosynthesis, key biological transformations like monooxygenation is rate limited by ET.² In addition to the biological processes, manoeuvring the ET between the electrode and molecular materials is key to molecular electronic devices.³⁻⁶ Long range ET, its mechanism and factors that intrinsically controls it are of interest to the scientific community.7-10 Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of organic thiols on coinage metals like Au, Ag, Cu and Pt has been evolved to be a convenient model system for investigating ET through molecular closed- packed films as these systems are modular and amenable to chemical modifications.11-12 In particular, self-assembled of organothiol monolayers on Au electrodes are extensively used due to the thermodynamic stability of Au-S bond and the inertness of Au towards oxidation.¹³ The kinetics of ET between the electrode and a redox active moiety through SAM can be monitored by electrochemical, photochemical and temperature jump techniques.^{4, 11, 14-15} Among various electrochemical methods available, cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry have been widely used to study the ET kinetics across the SAM.1, 16-17

The structure of Au-thiol interfaces in thiol coated nanoparticles (3D SAMs) and thiol SAM on Au surfaces (2D SAMs) are different.¹⁸⁻²⁴ High resolution crystal structure and the quantification of H₂ gas produced during SAM formation clearly indicates that the Au-thiol interface in SAM coated nanoparticles contains thiolates.²⁵⁻³⁰Recently, is has been shown that the valance state of Authiol interface contains Au (0)-thiyl with Au-d orbital dominated interactions in contrast to the Au-s orbital interactions present in the Au (I)-thiolate compounds.³¹⁻³² In general ET across Au-SAM can be viewed as non-adiabatic with a sharp decrease in electron

tunnelling probability with increasing the length of the spacer between the electrode (donor) and the redox active moiety (acceptor) i.e., the chain length of the SAM.³³ Ulstrup and co-workers have determined the interfacial ET from Au (111) to Azurin attached by alkanethiol of differing chain length.³⁴ They have established that the ET rate constant remains virtually independent up to 9 methylene unit containing linkers and then falls off exponentially with a decay coefficient for electron tunnelling, $\beta = 1.03 \pm 0.02$ per methylene unit for longer alkyl thiol spacer and this value of β is very close to the value estimated from cyclic voltammetry, indirect laser induced temperature jump method, electrochemical impedance measurement and potential modulated electro reflectance techniques for redox active moiety attached to thiol SAM on Au.^{10, 17,} ³⁵⁻³⁹ Several groups have reported ET control of chemical reactions by controlling the chain length of the SAM. Collman and co-workers have shown that the selectivity of O2 reduction by a model complex of cytochrome c oxidase (CcO) chemically attached to thiol modified Au electrode follows same kinetics as found in-vivo in which selectivity depends on the rate of ET to the active site.⁴⁰ In the case of mononuclear Fe-porphyrins, where additional redoxcentres are absent, the selectivity of electrochemical O₂ reduction is limited by the rate of ET from the electrode to the active site.⁴¹ Most recently reactivity of iron-porphyrins on SAM covered Au could be switched between O₂ reduction to monooxygenation by controlling the ET rate.⁴²Thus control of ET is evolving as a tool for reaction engineering.



Scheme 1: Schematic representation of ET from Au electrode to ethynyl ferrocene (EtnFc) covalently attached to the mixed SAM of different chain length.

Recently, from experimental findings and theoretical modelling, it has been established that for short-chain alkyl thiol, namely methanethiol and propane thiol, SAM formation on Au surfaces take place via non-dissociative pathway.^{18-20, 43-44} Simultaneously, it has been reported that SAM formed from solution deposition methods on gold and silver surfaces consists of both thiol and thiolate with ratios varying between 1:3 to 1:2.5 (Scheme 1). A ~400 cm⁻¹ shift in the vs-H mode and >15 cm⁻¹ shift in vcs mode was observed upon deuteration of the thiol proton in the surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy data indicate the presence of both thiol and thiolates in these SAM-modified Au/Ag surfaces.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁶The same techniques show the lack of protonated thiols on Au/Ag nanoparticles.

In this manuscript, the interfacial ET rate from Au electrode to the ethynyl ferrocene (EtnFc) covalently attached through alkyl thiol SAM of different chain length (Scheme 1) is determined by electrochemical techniques like cyclic voltammetry (CV) using Laviron's formalism(k^0) and chronoamperometry (CA) (k_{app}) of both in their protonated (R-SH) and deuterated (R-SD) variety. The data clearly show the presence of a kinetic isotope effect (KIE) on the measured tunnelling rates which varies with the chain length of the SAM.

Results

Cyclic voltammograms of EtnFc attached on the $N_3C_{11}SH-C_8SH$ self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) modified Au electrode shows an $E_{1/2}$ at 300 mV at 1 Vps scan rate in pH 7 phosphate buffer at room temperature (Fig. S1A). The ET rate from the Au electrode to the covalently bound EtnFc across the SAM has been determined using chronoamperometry. Chronoamperometry is a very useful tool for the determination of apparent ET rate (k_{app}) from an electrode to a redox active species bound to various SAMs modified electrode. In CA experiments, the faradaic current decays according to the following exponential relationship.

$$i = k_{app} Q \exp(-k_{app}t)$$
(1)

where Q denotes the amount of charge passed during this redox transformation. The CA current decay comprises two types of processes, one for the overall capacitive current decay and the other is due to the faradaic current decay of the attached redox active moiety. The charging current decays very much faster than the faradaic current.⁴⁷ This helps to determine the k_{app} of ET from the electrode to the attached redox active species by simply choosing the appropriate time scale of decay. The plot of Ln(i) *vs.* time is linear and its slope yields the value of k_{app}.⁴⁸

Chronoamperograms of N₃C₁₁SH-C₈SH SAM-modified Au electrodes demonstrate a complete decay of charging currents within 0.2 ms time scale (Fig. S2A) whereas the faradaic currents decay completely at 7.5 ms when EtnFc covalently bound to it (Fig. S3A). The ET rate from the modified Au electrode to the EtnFc in pH 7 phosphate buffer is determined from the slope of the corresponding semilogarithmic plot of Ln(i) vs. time and is found to be 1030 s⁻¹ which reduced to 575 s⁻¹ when EtnFc bound N₃C₁₁SD-C₈SD SAMmodified Au electrode in presence of pD7 phosphate buffer(Fig. 1A and 1B). Note that, the proton at the interface can be exchanged slowly and reversibly with deuterium in the bulk solvent and viceversa (Fig. S7). The Δ Ep gradually changes from that of the deuterated SAM to that of the protonated SAM i.e. ΔEp of the deuterated SAM in D_2O is identical to ΔEp of deuterated SAM in H_2O solvent before it slowly exchanged. The composition of N₃C₁₁SD-C₈SD SAM-modified Au electrode remains conserved throughout the entire timescale of experiments (Fig. S8A). In-situ SERS data of the electrode before, after attachment of EtnFc shows no changes in the SERS data. Similarly, there are no changes in the SERS data

when potential is applied in aqueous medium. (Fig. S12) XPS data shows similar distribution of HSR/RS⁻in both of the protonated and deuterated SAM. (Fig. S13) Thus, the SERS data together with the XPS data confirms the fact that the environment of the Au-SAM interface does not change upon deuteration. Chronoamperometry is also performed in organic medium (DCM having tetra butyl ammonium perchlorate, TBAP as electrolyte) and it is found that the interfacial ET rate decreases upon deuteration as well. In the case of protonated variant, the ET rate from the SAM modified Au electrode is determined to be 34 s^{-1} which reduces to 16.75 s^{-1} when the ET has been done across the deuterated SAM. (Fig.1C and 1D) The slow k_{app} in an organic solvent is consistent with their larger solvent reorganization relative to water. Kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for this construct is found to be 1.79 in aqueous medium and 2.02 for the same in organic medium.



Fig 1: Chronoamperometric semilogarithmic plot for of Ln(i) vs. time with their regression fittings for EtnFc chemically bound to Au electrodes modified with $N_3C_{11}SH-C_8SH$ SAM, in (A) pH7 phosphate buffer (C) DCM-TBAP and $N_3C_{11}SD-C_8SD$ SAM in (B) pD7 phosphate buffer (D) DCM-TBAP. Here, the overpotential is 10 mV.



Fig 2: Chronoamperometric semilogarithmic plot for of Ln(i) vs. time with their regression fittings for EtnFc chemically bound to Au electrodes modified with $(A)N_3C_{16}SH-C_{12}SH$, $(B)N_3C_{16}SD-C_{12}SD$, $(C)N_3C_{16}SH-C_{16}SH$ and $(D)N_3C_{16}SD-C_{16}SD$ SAM, in pH7/pD7 phosphate buffer. Here, the overpotential is 10 mV.

These initial data on KIE on ET for $N_3C_{11}SH/D-C_8SH/D$ SAM modified Au electrode with EtnFc bound to it, is extended to higher chain length mixed SAM ($N_3C_{16}SH/D-C_{12}SH/D$ and $N_3C_{16}SH/D$ -

C₁₆SH/D) modified Au electrode. The cyclic voltammograms of EtnFc attached on the N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH and N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH SAMs modified Au electrode are measured at 1 Vps scan rate in pH 7 phosphate buffer at room temperature (Fig. S1). A reversible CV is obtained in all these cases. The potential of the Fc/Fc⁺ varies slightly with the chain length of the thiol due to changes in the micro solvation environment of the Fc⁺.⁴⁹ The separation between the anodic (Ea) and cathodic processes (Ec) indicates different tunneling rates across thiols of different chain length. These rates of ET are determined using both chronoamperometry as well as using Laviron's treatment. These two SAMs reveal the same time scale of charging current decay (Fig. S2) as the N₃C₁₁SH-C₈SHSAM but their faradaic current decays completely at 250 ms and 500 ms for N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH and N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH respectively (Fig. S3). In comparison to the protonated variants the deuterated SAM shows a complete decay of faradaic current at 300ms and 100 ms for N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₂SD and N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₆SD respectively (Fig. S5) and corresponding charging current decays have been shown in Fig. S4. The apparent ET rate, kapp from the modified Au electrode to the bound EtnFc moiety is determined from the slope of the Ln(i) vs. time plot and is found to be 53.5 s⁻¹ for N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH SAM which upon deuteration decreases to 32 s⁻¹. (Fig. 2A & 2B) In case of N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH SAM, a kapp value of 7.5 s⁻¹ is determined from the slope but surprisingly upon deuteration the apparent ET rate, kapp increases to 19.5 s⁻¹. (Fig. 2C & 2D) These values of kapp for protonated variants are in close agreement with the previous reports on the systems.⁵⁰ (Table 1) The k_{app} of ET from the N₃C₁₁SH-C₁₀SH is estimated to be 540 s⁻¹.(Fig. S6, Table 1) Thus, the k_{app} reduces from 1030 s⁻¹ to 540 s⁻¹ and 7.5 s⁻¹ as the chain length is increased from C₈SH to C₁₀SH and C₁₆SH reproducing previous data on similar systems.⁵⁰ The k_{ET} shows a normal H/D isotope effect in the case of N₃C₁₁SH-C₈SH/N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH but is inverse in the case of N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH.

The heterogeneous ET rate constant at zero overpotential (the standard rate constant, k^0) from the electrode to a redox active species either chemically bound or adsorbed onto it can also be determined by Laviron's approach.51 This method is mainly based on Butler- Volmer approach with the separation between cathodic and anodic peak potential (ΔE_p) at different scan rates (v) as the only experimental requirement. Transfer coefficient (α) is an important parameter used in this approach which indicates the symmetry of the energy barrier during the redox process. The value of α is 0.5 for the ideal case but may vary from 0.5.⁵²Transfer coefficient α can be determined from the slope of the plot of cathodic (Epc) and anodic (E_{pa}) peak potential vs. ln (v). At higher scan rates where $\Delta E_p > 100$ mV, the intercept of the ΔE_p vs. log (v) plot and the determined α value yields the standard ET rate (k⁰).⁵² Modified electrodes with EtnFc clicked on N₃C₁₁SH/D- C₈SH/D and N₃C₁₁SH-C₁₀SH SAM show a high rate of ET and the prerequisite for applying Laviron's approach ($\Delta E_p > 100 \text{ mV}$) is only observed for the very high scan rates. Alternatively, EtnFc bound N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₂SD and N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₆SH/D modified Au electrodes show much larger ΔE_p at slow scan rates and are amenable to Laviron's treatment. The scan rate dependent cyclic voltammograms of EtnFc bound to N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH and N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH SAM-modified Au electrodes are done in pH 7 phosphate buffer (Fig. S9). Using the slope of the E_p vs. ln (v) plot, the value of α has been calculated as 0.49 and 0.50 for N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH and N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH modified Au electrodes (Fig. 3A and C), respectively. The difference in cathodic and anodic peak potential (ΔE_p) for the N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH and N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH modified Au electrodes, against $\log(v)$ is linear. (Fig. 3B and D) With the intercept and the α , the value of k⁰ estimated to be 17.62 s⁻¹ and 2.30 s⁻¹, for N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH and N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH modified Au electrodes, respectively.

The cyclic voltammograms of EtnFc attached to the N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₂SD and N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₆SD modified Au electrodes are obtained with varying scan rates (Fig. S10). The α values obtained from the slope of the E_p vs. ln (v) plot of N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₂SD (Fig. 4A) and N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₆SD (Fig. 4C) are 0.45 and 0.64, respectively. These calculated α values along with the intercepts from the Δ E_p vs. log (v) (Fig. 4B and D) yields standard rate of ET (k⁰) of 10.9 s⁻¹ and 9.2 s⁻¹, for N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₂SD and N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₆SD modified Au electrode, respectively. Note that, there is no H/D exchange happens in the case of N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₂SD and N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₆SD SAM during the entire timescale of experiments (Fig. S8B and 8C).



Fig 3: Peak potential (E_p) *vs.* ln (ν) plot for EtnFc attached to the (A) N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₂SH SAM and (C)N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH SAM modified Au electrode. B and D represent corresponding ΔE_p vs. log (ν) plot for the same respectively.



Fig 4: Peak potential (E_p) *vs.* ln (ν) plot for EtnFc attached to the deuterated N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₂SD mixed self-assembled monolayer(A) and N₃C₁₆SD-C₁₆SD mixed self-assembled monolayer (C). B and D represent corresponding ΔE_p vs. log (ν) plot for the same respectively.

A comparison of the apparent rate constant (k_{app}) and standard ET rate (k^0) from the Au electrode to the EtnFc bound to different protonated and deuterated mixed self-assembled monolayers is presented (Table 1). The KIE obtained from the standard ET rate (k^0) is found to be 1.61 for EtnFc attached to N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₂SH/D modified Au electrode. Interestingly in the case of N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₆SH/D mixed SAM an inverse KIE is detected with a value of 0.25. To further confirm the fact that tunneling is faster in

deuterated SAM, H/D exchange is performed in-situ (Fig. S7). With increasing, the time of incubation of the EtnFc bound deuterated SAM in H₂O, the Δ Ep value increases indicating a decrease in ET rate upon deuterium exchange with proton. Note that, any defect in the SAM upon incubation would have made the ET faster not slower. The KIE for the ET from the modified Au electrode to the EtnFc moiety attached to it is also calculated from the respective k_{app} values for these two different mixed SAMs. The KIE value is found to be dependent on the applied overpotential and in contrast to the N₃C₁₁SH/D-C₈SH/D mixed SAM, the N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₂SH/D mixed SAM, show opposite trends in change of KIE with overpotential. (Fig. S11) With increasing overpotential, the KIE gets more prominent with lowest KIE value obtained at lowest overpotential.

Table 1: Comparison of k_{app} and k^0 of ET for EtnFc bound different mixed self-assembled monolayers on Au with both in protonated (blue) and deuterated (red) version. Reported values of the same (whenever applicable) mentioned in {} with *italics* style.

SAMs	$k_{app}\left(s^{\text{-}1}\right)$	KIE (from k _{app})	$k^{0}(s^{-1})$	KIE (from k ⁰)
$\begin{array}{c} N_3C_{11}SH(\textbf{D})\text{-}\\ C_8SH(\textbf{D}) \end{array}$	1030±11 (575±8)	1.79	(- -)	
$\begin{array}{l} N_3C_{11}SH(\textbf{D})\text{-}\\ C_{10}SH(\textbf{D}) \end{array}$	540±5 () {500±2} ⁵⁰		(- -)	
$\begin{array}{l} N_{3}C_{16}SH(\textbf{D})\text{-}\\ C_{12}SH(\textbf{D})\end{array}$	53.5±6 (32±2) {20±6} ⁵⁰	1.67	17.6±0.8 (10.9±0.5)	1.61
$\begin{array}{l} N_{3}C_{16}SH(D) - \\ C_{16}SH(D) \end{array}$	$7.5\pm0.3 \\ (19.5\pm1) \\ \{2.2\pm0.2\}^{50}$	0.38	2.3±0.2 (9.2±0.5) {1.3} ⁴⁷	0.25

While both of the N₃C₁₁SH/D-C₈SH/D and N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₂SH/D SAM exhibit normal isotope effects on ket, N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₆SH/D SAM shows an inverse KIE (Fig. S11). The ET rate determined in both potential step chronoamperometry and Laviron's treatment shows that the deuterated SAM have a higher rate of ET than the corresponding protonated one which in contrast to the other constructs. The KIE determined from the ET rates obtained from Laviron's formalism (zero overpotential) and from chronoamperometry at lowest overpotential applied, are very close to each other (Table 1). Overall both k_{app} (CA) and k⁰ (Laviron's methods) established a substantial difference in rates depending on the isotopic substitution of the thiols constituting the SAMs. In particular, the KIE can be both normal, as is the case of N₃C₁₁SH/D-C₈SH/D and N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₂SH/D SAMs or inverse as in the case of N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₆SH/D SAM as determined using two different electrochemical techniques.

The Tafel plots of the alkyl thiol SAM modified Au electrode shows different types of signatures depending upon the chain length of the SAM. In case of short chain mixed SAM, $(N_3C_{11}SH/D-C_8SH/D)$ the Tafel plot shows curved nature with asymmetry which indicates that through space tunneling mechanism is operational during the interfacial ET⁵³ and as the chain length increases, the curved and asymmetrical nature of the Tafel plot vanishes reflecting the fact that with the increase in chain

length of the SAM, the nature of ET changes from through space to through bond tunneling. The KIE on ET shows a maximum value of ~2 for the $N_3C_{11}SH/D$ -C₈SH/D SAM modified Au electrode (through space tunneling) which decreases to 0.38 for $N_3C_{16}SH/D$ -C₁₆SH/D SAM modified Au electrode (through bond tunneling) with an in between value of KIE of 1.67 for the $N_3C_{16}SH/D$ -C₁₂SH/D SAM modified Au electrode (a mixture of through space and through bond tunneling).



Fig 5: Tafel plot for $(A)N_3C_{11}SH-C_8SH$ (B) $N_3C_{16}SH-C_{12}SH$ and (C) $N_3C_{16}SH-C_{16}SH$ SAM-modified Au electrodes. The corresponding rates are calculated using chronoamperometric techniques.

Discussion

Two key findings are reported here: (1) there is H/D KIE on interfacial ET across the thiol SAM covered Au electrodes. (2) the KIE changes from normal in the case of short chain thiol where there is substantial through space tunneling is operational, to inverse in long chain thiol SAM covered Au electrode where there is mostly through bond tunneling operates during ET.

In the recent past, we and others have shown that a fraction of thiols comprising the SAMs on flat electrodes is protonated.^{18-20, 45} The S-H vibration is observed at 2155 cm⁻¹ shifts to 1740 cm⁻¹ and the v_{cs} vibration shifts from 649 cm⁻¹ to 632 cm⁻¹ upon deuteration of the thiols.⁴⁵ The results presented using multiple techniques on a set of SAM-Au constructs indicates an H/D isotope effect originate from the thiols present at the interface. For the N₃C₁₁SH/D-C₈SH/D SAM where there is substantial through space tunneling, the KIE is normal. The KIE on kET shows almost similar values determined from both of the electrochemical techniques. In the case of N₃C₁₆SH(D)-C₁₂SH(D) SAM KIE obtained from chronoamperometry is 1.67 whereas the same determined from CV is 1.61. Again, a reverse KIE is obtained with a value of 0.38 from k_{app} and 0.25 from k⁰ in the case of N₃C₁₆SH(D)-C₁₆SH(D) SAM modified Au electrode where the tunneling mechanism is operating through bond.

The results obtained here necessitate inclusion of contributions from vibrational modes in models of non-adiabatic long-range ET tunneling. In such cases, the rate of ET depends on several factors which includes the effect of displacement of potential energy surfaces of the oxidized and the reduced partner during ET, and the effect of anharmonicity. Jortner and coworkers have extended the Marcus model to include quantum effects of ET rates by including the contributions of classical modes (hv \geq k_BT) in the Frank-Condon (FC) factor represented by A.⁵⁴

$$A = \left(\frac{\pi}{\hbar^2 E_s k_B T}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta^2_{ci}\right) \sum_{w_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{w_2=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{w_N=0}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Q_{i}^{N}$$

Where,

$$Q = \frac{(\Delta^2_{ci})^{w_i}}{w_i!} \exp (-\beta (\Delta E - E_s - \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \hbar \omega_{ci})^2 / 4E_s$$

Here, E_s, Δ_{ci} , ΔE denotes solvent reorganization energy, the reduced potential surface displacements for the normal modes involved during the ET reaction, free energy of reaction for the ET process, respectively, and $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$. All other terms carry their usual meanings. The vibrational energy (h ω) is affected by the isotope effect and hence may give rise to KIE in ET rates. The model predicted both normal and inverse KIE depending upon the frequency shifts and the displaced potential energy surfaces of the reacting components.

Realizing that some of the thiols (~ 30%) stay protonated in these SAMs and are likely strongly H-bonded to their neighbouring thiolates as indicated by a very weak -SH stretch at 2155 cm⁻¹ relative to 2550 cm⁻¹ in free thiols, the KIE observed here can originate from one of the many possible normal modes at the interface that may be displaced during ET. In particular, very large (>200 cm⁻¹) normal H/D isotope effects were observed for C-S-H bending modes and large inverse (10-30 cm⁻¹) H/D isotope effects were observed on the C-S stretching modes (both Gauche and Trans). Observation of such strong coupling between these modes and very weak S-H vibration suggests that the thiols at the interface are likely strongly hydrogen bonded to the neighbouring thiolates. It is conceivable that these modes change during electron transfer. Of course, this requires the ET from the electrode to be localized at these Au-SAM units. Such a proposal requires vetting from more sophisticated experiments and calculations.

Observation of normal KIE in N₃C₁₁SH-C₈SH and inverse KIE in N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH necessarily entails the geometry of the interface to be different between these SAMs. Generally, the structure of Authiol interface is different for short chain and long chain alkyl thiol which reflects in their tilt angle and tilt direction with respect to the Au surface.⁵⁵ It is conceivable that the vibrational modes that are involved in ET vary between different thiols.⁴⁵ A plot of tilt angle and tilt direction of the SAM with the chain length shows that the structure of Au-RS(H) interface varies substantially as the chain length is changed. (Fig. 6) The detailed effect of these structural changes on the vibration, though evident from the differences from the SERS data, is beyond the scope of current investigation and is non-trivial. The difference in the SAM structure can have the potential to direct an inverse KIE for the N₃C₁₆SH/D-C₁₆SH/D SAM with respect to the rest of the SAMs used in our study showing a normal isotope effect. Similarly, the fact that normal KIE is observed in N₃C₁₁SH-C₈SH SAM where there is through space tunneling and an inverse KIE is observed in N₃C₁₆SH-C₁₆SH where there is through bond tunneling may not be coincidental. Rather, those effects may result from differences in the SAM thiol interface. Only more definitive description of this SAM/Au interface can help to realize the origin of these ET properties.



Fig.6: The dependence of tilt angle and tilt direction with respect to the chain length of the alkyl thiol SAM on Au. The values are taken from earlier reports.⁵⁵

Conclusion

In conclusion, ET between Au-SAM electrode and a covalently attached Fc moiety shows thiol SH/SD isotope effect. Several Au-SAM interfaces with varying chain length of the thiol are demonstrated to show KIE on ET rates. KIE is confirmed using both CA and Laviron's approach. In the case of $N_3C_{11}SH/D-C_8SH/D$ modified Au electrode (substantial through space tunneling), a normal KIE on ET is seen which changes to an inverse in the case of $N_3C_{16}SH/D-C_{16}SH/D$ SAM (substantial through bond tunneling). These observations compel invoking of quantum effect on ET from the vibrations originating from the Au-(H)SR interface which shift dramatically on H/D substitution. The results clearly suggest that further detailed investigation of these useful interfaces is required.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publication website.

Synthesis of linkers, their characterization, detailed experimental methods, additional electrochemical and SERS data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*icad@iacs.res.in

Present Addresses

School of Chemical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Sciences, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032.

Author Contributions

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

ORCID

Samir Chattopadhyay: 0000-0003-4759-0362 Abhishek Dey: 0000-0002-9166-3349

Notes

The Authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Research is funded by DST-SERB (EMR/2016/008063). S.C. deeply acknowledge IACS Integrated Ph.D. program.

REFERENCES

1. Robinson, D. B.; Chidsey, C. E. D., Submicrosecond Electron Transfer to Monolayer-Bound Redox Species on Gold Electrodes at Large Overpotentials. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2002**, *106* (41), 10706-10713.

2. Gutierrez, A.; Paine, M.; Wolf, C. R.; Scrutton, N. S.; Roberts, G. C. K., Relaxation Kinetics of Cytochrome P450 Reductase: Internal Electron Transfer Is Limited by Conformational Change and Regulated by Coenzyme Binding. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41* (14), 4626-4637.

3. Schoenfisch, M. H.; Pemberton, J. E., Air Stability of Alkanethiol Self-Assembled Monolayers on Silver and Gold Surfaces. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1998**, *120* (18), 4502-4513.

4. Sikes, H. D.; Smalley, J. F.; Dudek, S. P.; Cook, A. R.; Newton, M. D.; Chidsey, C. E. D.; Feldberg, S. W., Rapid Electron Tunneling

Through Oligophenylenevinylene Bridges. *Science* **2001**, *291* (5508), 1519.

5. Chi, Q.; Farver, O.; Ulstrup, J., Long-range protein electron transfer observed at the single-molecule level: In situ mapping of redox-gated tunneling resonance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **2005**, *102* (45), 16203.

6. Ulman, A., Formation and Structure of Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Chemical Reviews* **1996**, *96* (4), 1533-1554.

7. Wilson, E. K., DNA: INSULATOR OR WIRE? *Chemical & Engineering News Archive* **1997**, *75* (8), 33-39.

8. Murphy, C. J.; Arkin, M. R.; Jenkins, Y.; Ghatlia, N. D.; Bossmann, S. H.; Turro, N. J.; Barton, J. K., Long-range photoinduced electron transfer through a DNA helix. *Science* **1993**, *262* (5136), 1025.

9. Arkin, M. R.; Stemp, E. D. A.; Holmlin, R. E.; Barton, J. K.; Hörmann, A.; Olson, E. J. C.; Barbara, P. F., Rates of DNA-Mediated Electron Transfer Between Metallointercalators. *Science* **1996**, *273* (5274), 475.

10. Weber, K.; Hockett, L.; Creager, S., Long-Range Electronic Coupling between Ferrocene and Gold in Alkanethiolate-based Monolayers on Electrodes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **1997**, *101* (41), 8286-8291.

11. Liu, B.; Bard, A. J.; Mirkin, M. V.; Creager, S. E., Electron Transfer at Self-Assembled Monolayers Measured by Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2004**, *126* (5), 1485-1492.

12. Chidsey, C. E. D.; Bertozzi, C. R.; Putvinski, T. M.; Mujsce, A. M., Coadsorption of ferrocene-terminated and unsubstituted alkanethiols on gold: electroactive self-assembled monolayers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1990**, *112* (11), 4301-4306.

13. Chattopadhyay, S.; Sarkar, A.; Chatterjee, S.; Dey, A., Functional adlayers on Au electrodes: some recent applications in hydrogen evolution and oxygen reduction. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2018**, *6* (4), 1323-1339.

14. Smalley, J. F., Kinetics of interfacial ion-transfer reactions studied using the indirect laser-induced temperature jump technique: Theory. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* **2010**, *640* (1), 68-74.

15. Smalley, J. F.; Newton, M. D.; Feldberg, S. W., An informative subtlety of itemperature-jump or coulostatic responses for surface-attached species. *Electrochemistry Communications* **2000**, *2* (12), 832-838.

16. Smalley, J. F.; Finklea, H. O.; Chidsey, C. E. D.; Linford, M. R.; Creager, S. E.; Ferraris, J. P.; Chalfant, K.; Zawodzinsk, T.; Feldberg, S. W.; Newton, M. D., Heterogeneous Electron-Transfer Kinetics for Ruthenium and Ferrocene Redox Moieties through Alkanethiol Monolayers on Gold. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2003**, *125* (7), 2004-2013.

17. Smalley, J. F.; Feldberg, S. W.; Chidsey, C. E. D.; Linford, M. R.; Newton, M. D.; Liu, Y.-P., The Kinetics of Electron Transfer Through Ferrocene-Terminated Alkanethiol Monolayers on Gold. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1995**, *99* (35), 13141-13149.

18. Nuzzo, R. G.; Zegarski, B. R.; Dubois, L. H., Fundamental studies of the chemisorption of organosulfur compounds on gold(111). Implications for molecular self-assembly on gold surfaces. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1987**, *109* (3), 733-740.

19. Lee, J.-G.; Lee, J.; Yates, J. T., Nondissociative Chemisorption of Methanethiol on Ag(110): A Critical Result for Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2004**, *126* (2), 440-441.

20. Rzeźnicka, I. I.; Lee, J.; Maksymovych, P.; Yates, J. T., Nondissociative Chemisorption of Short Chain Alkanethiols on Au(111). The Journal of Physical Chemistry B **2005**, 109 (33), 15992-15996.

21. Bryant, M. A.; Pemberton, J. E., Surface Raman scattering of self-assembled monolayers formed from 1-alkanethiols at silver [electrodes]. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1991**, *113* (10), 3629-3637.

22. Bryant, M. A.; Pemberton, J. E., Surface Raman scattering of self-assembled monolayers formed from 1-alkanethiols: behavior of films at gold and comparison to films at silver. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1991**, *113* (22), 8284-8293.

23.Tielens, F.; Santos, E., AuS and SH Bond Formation/Breaking during the Formation of Alkanethiol SAMs on Au(111): A Theoretical Study. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2010**, *114* (20), 9444-9452.

24. Szafranski, C. A.; Tanner, W.; Laibinis, P. E.; Garrell, R. L., Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy of Aromatic Thiols and Disulfides on Gold Electrodes. *Langmuir* **1998**, *14* (13), 3570-3579.

25. Heaven, M. W.; Dass, A.; White, P. S.; Holt, K. M.; Murray, R. W., Crystal Structure of the Gold Nanoparticle [N(C₈H₁₇)₄][Au₂₅(SCH₂CH₂Ph)₁₈]. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2008**, *130* (12), 3754-3755.

26. Jadzinsky, P. D.; Calero, G.; Ackerson, C. J.; Bushnell, D. A.; Kornberg, R. D., Structure of a Thiol Monolayer-Protected Gold Nanoparticle at 1.1 Å Resolution. *Science* **2007**, *318* (5849), 430.

27. Pei, Y.; Gao, Y.; Shao, N.; Zeng, X. C., Thiolate-Protected Au₂₀(SR)₁₆ Cluster: Prolate Au8 Core with New [Au₃(SR)₄] Staple Motif. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2009**, *131* (38), 13619-13621.

28. Matthiesen, J. E.; Jose, D.; Sorensen, C. M.; Klabunde, K. J., Loss of Hydrogen upon Exposure of Thiol to Gold Clusters at Low Temperature. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, *134* (22), 9376-9379.

29. Kankate, L.; Turchanin, A.; Gölzhäuser, A., On the Release of Hydrogen from the S–H groups in the Formation of Self-Assembled Monolayers of Thiols. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25* (18), 10435-10438.

30. Petroski, J.; Chou, M.; Creutz, C., The coordination chemistry of gold surfaces: Formation and far-infrared spectra of alkanethiolate-capped gold nanoparticles. *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* **2009**, *694* (7), 1138-1143.

31. Reimers, J. R.; Ford, M. J.; Halder, A.; Ulstrup, J.; Hush, N. S., Gold surfaces and nanoparticles are protected by Au(0)-thiyl species and are destroyed when Au(I)-thiolates form. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2016**, *113* (11), E1424.

32. Reimers, J. R.; Ford, M. J.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Ulstrup, J.; Hush, N. S., Competition of van der Waals and chemical forces on gold-sulfur surfaces and nanoparticles. *Nature Reviews Chemistry* **2017**, *1*, 0017.

33. Li, T. T. T.; Weaver, M. J., Intramolecular electron transfer at metal surfaces. 4. Dependence of tunneling probability upon donor-acceptor separation distance. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1984**, *106* (20), 6107-6108.

34. Chi, Q.; Zhang, J.; Andersen, J. E. T.; Ulstrup, J., Ordered Assembly and Controlled Electron Transfer of the Blue Copper Protein Azurin at Gold (111) Single-Crystal Substrates. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2001**, *105* (20), 4669-4679.

35. Vassilian, A.; Wishart, J. F.; Van Hemelryck, B.; Schwarz, H.; Isied, S. S., Electron transfer across polypeptides. 6. Long-range electron transfer in osmium-ruthenium binuclear complexes bridged with oligoproline peptides. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1990**, *112* (20), 7278-7286.

36. Isied, S. S.; Vassilian, A.; Wishart, J. F.; Creutz, C.; Schwarz, H. A.; Sutin, N., The distance dependence of intramolecular

electron-transfer rates: importance of the nuclear factor. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1988**, *110* (2), 635-637.

37. Closs, G. L.; Calcaterra, L. T.; Green, N. J.; Penfield, K. W.; Miller, J. R., Distance, stereoelectronic effects, and the Marcus inverted region in intramolecular electron transfer in organic radical anions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1986**, *90* (16), 3673-3683.

38. Closs, G. L.; Miller, J. R., Intramolecular Long-Distance Electron Transfer in Organic Molecules. *Science* **1988**, *240* (4851), 440.

39. Avila, A.; Gregory, B. W.; Niki, K.; Cotton, T. M., An Electrochemical Approach to Investigate Gated Electron Transfer Using a Physiological Model System: Cytochrome c Immobilized on Carboxylic Acid-Terminated Alkanethiol Self-Assembled Monolayers on Gold Electrodes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2000**, *104* (12), 2759-2766.

40. Collman, J. P.; Devaraj, N. K.; Decréau, R. A.; Yang, Y.; Yan, Y.-L.; Ebina, W.; Eberspacher, T. A.; Chidsey, C. E. D., A Cytochrome c Oxidase Model Catalyzes Oxygen to Water Reduction Under Rate-Limiting Electron Flux. *Science* **2007**, *315* (5818), 1565.

41. Bhunia, S.; Rana, A.; Roy, P.; Martin, D. J.; Pegis, M. L.; Roy, B.; Dey, A., Rational Design of Mononuclear Iron Porphyrins for Facile and Selective 4e-/4H⁺ O₂ Reduction: Activation of O-O Bond by 2nd Sphere Hydrogen Bonding. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2018**, *140* (30), 9444-9457.

42. Mukherjee, M.; Dey, A., Electron Transfer Control of Reductase versus Monooxygenase: Catalytic C–H Bond Hydroxylation and Alkene Epoxidation by Molecular Oxygen. *ACS Central Science* **2019**.

43. Zhou, J.-G.; Hagelberg, F., Do Methanethiol Adsorbates on the Au(111) Surface Dissociate? *Physical Review Letters* **2006**, *97* (4), 045505.

44. Grönbeck, H.; Curioni, A.; Andreoni, W., Thiols and Disulfides on the Au(111) Surface: The Headgroup–Gold Interaction. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2000**, *122* (16), 3839-3842.

45. Bandyopadhyay, S.; Chattopadhyay, S.; Dey, A., The protonation state of thiols in self-assembled monolayers on roughened Ag/Au surfaces and nanoparticles. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2015**, *17* (38), 24866-24873.

46. Zubrägel, C.; Deuper, C.; Schneider, F.; Neumann, M.; Grunze, M.; Schertel, A.; Wöll, C., The presence of two different sulfur species in self-assembled films of n-alkanethiols on Au and Ag surfaces. *Chemical Physics Letters* **1995**, *238* (4), 308-312.

47. Chidsey, C. E. D., Free Energy and Temperature Dependence of Electron Transfer at the Metal-Electrolyte Interface. *Science* **1991**, *251* (4996), 919.

48. Forster, R. J.; Faulkner, L. R., Electrochemistry of Spontaneously Adsorbed Monolayers. Equilibrium Properties and Fundamental Electron Transfer Characteristics. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1994**, *116* (12), 5444-5452.

49. Mukherjee, S.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Dey, A., Tuning the apparent formal potential of covalently attached ferrocene using SAM bearing ionizable COOH groups. *Electrochimica Acta* **2013**, *108*, 624-633.

50. Devaraj, N. K.; Decreau, R. A.; Ebina, W.; Collman, J. P.; Chidsey, C. E. D., Rate of Interfacial Electron Transfer through the 1,2,3-Triazole Linkage. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2006**, *110* (32), 15955-15962.

51. Laviron, E., General expression of the linear potential sweep voltammogram in the case of diffusionless electrochemical systems. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry* **1979**, *101* (1), 19-28.

52. Eckermann, A. L.; Feld, D. J.; Shaw, J. A.; Meade, T. J., Electrochemistry of redox-active self-assembled monolayers. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **2010**, *254* (15), 1769-1802.

53. Finklea, H. O.; Hanshew, D. D., Electron-transfer kinetics in organized thiol monolayers with attached pentaammine(pyridine)ruthenium redox centers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1992**, *114* (9), 3173-3181.

54. Ulstrup, J.; Jortner, J., The effect of intramolecular quantum modes on free energy relationships for electron transfer reactions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1975**, *63* (10), 4358-4368.

55. Fenter, P.; Eberhardt, A.; Liang, K. S.; Eisenberger, P., Epitaxy and chainlength dependent strain in self-assembled monolayers. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1997**, *106* (4), 1600-1608.

тос

