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#### Abstract

Sequences of three different genes in 69 taxa of Amorphophallus were combined to reconstruct the molecular phylogeny of this species-rich Aroid genus. The data set was analyzed by three different methods, Maximum Parsimony, Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian analysis, producing slightly different tree topologies. Three major clades identified in all analyses reflect the biogeographical distribution of Amorphophallus. Some clades were supported by morphological characters such as sessile/nonsessile stigma, pollen opening mechanism, shape of the main segments of the lamina, growth cycle, and berry colour. When optimised, a nonsessile stigma may have evolved from a sessile one with several reversals. Pollen opening by connective rupturing evolved from pollen opening by pores. Unequally shaped segments of the lamina evolved from equally shaped segments. Simultaneously existing leaf and inflorescences evolved from alternating leaves and inflorescences. Blue, purple, green, and yellow berries evolved from red/orange/white ones.
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## INTRODUCTION

The species-rich Aroid genus Amorphophallus currently encompasses ca. 200 species distributed throughout the (paleo)tropics, from West Africa, the western border, eastward into Polynesia and southeastward to Australia (1 species). The eastern distribution border is due to the cultivated species $A$. paeoniifolius, which might not represent a natural distributional border. The northernmost border of the genus is situated in the tropical and subtropical areas of Central Asia, China, and South Japan (Hetterscheid and Ittenbach, 1996). A recent molecular study using a combination of mat K and $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ sequences revealed the position of Amorphophallus and other Araceae as a monophyletic clade in a basal node of the order Alismatales (Tamura et al., 2004). Araceae, together with Arecaceae and Orchidaceae, were also found among the oldest families of monocot with crown node ages reaching back into the Early Cretaceous (Wikström et al., 2001; Jannsen and Bremer, 2004). The most recent study (Cabrera et al., 2008) of Araceae phylogeny, using a combination of $m a t \mathrm{~K}, r b c \mathrm{~L}$, the $\operatorname{trn\mathrm {K}}$ intron, the $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{L}$ intron, and the $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{L}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{F}$ spacer, shows the tribe

[^0]Thomsoniae (Amorphophallus + Pseudodracontium) as a basal sister-clade to a clade consisting of the tribes Caladieae and Zomicarpeae.

Amorphophallus was first placed in the tribe Thomsoniae (Blume, 1835; Bogner et al., 1985). Tribe Thomsoniae consisted of two closely related genera, Amorphophallus and Pseudodracontium. Molecular evidence indicates that these two genera could be merged into a single genus, Amorphophallus (Grob et al., 2002, 2004).

Several attempts have been made to reveal the phylogenetic relationships within the genus Amorphophallus sensu lato (incl. Pseudodracontium). The latest studies (Grob et al., 2002, 2004) used molecular data for phylogenetic reconstruction. This paper attempts to interpret morphological character evolution in Amorphophallus based on a combined nuclear and plastid phylogeny that is more completely sampled than in previous studies. The species sampled were carefully selected to come up with a representative subset of the total morphological diversity present in Amorphophallus. The morphological characters by which these species differ were coded (for more details, see below) and then plotted on the most likely molecular phylogenetic tree reconstructed to trace their evolution.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

## Materials

Of a total of 25 species of Amorphophallus, the rbcL gene, $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{L}$ intron, and $L E A F Y$ second intron were sequenced. These markers were chosen because they provided sufficient phylogenetic resolution in previous studies (Grob et al., 2002, 2004). Frohlich and Meyerowitz (1997) also pointed out that the second intron of LEAFY might have evolved at a high rate and might be useful for reconstructing phylogenies of closely related species. LEAFY was also shown to provide more phylogenetically informative characters than $n r I T S, \operatorname{trn} \mathrm{~L}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{F}, \operatorname{trn} \mathrm{D}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}$, or $\operatorname{mat} \mathrm{K}-$ trnK by Oh and Potter (2003).

The sequences obtained were then combined with sequences of another 44 species of Amorphophallus, two of Pseudodracontium and one outgroup already previously published. Among the 25 species sequenced in this study, A. bangkokensis $(=$ A. paeoniifolius var. bangkokensis) and A. galbra from Papua New Guinea were sampled to compare them with A. paeoniifolius and A. galbra from Australia already previously sequenced. Hapaline sp. representing Caladieae was chosen as an outgroup because of its close relationship with Amorphophallus (French et al., 1995; Cho and Palmer, 1999; Rothwell et al., 2004).

Total genomic DNA was obtained from fresh leaf tips of living specimens at the Hortus Botanicus Leiden and Wageningen University Botanical Garden using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA sequences obtained were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

## Amplification

The $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene was amplified and sequenced with primers 1F (ATGTCACAACAAACAGAAAC), 724R (GCGTTGGAGAGATCGTTTCT) , 636F (TCGCATGTACCTGCAGTAGC) from Fay et al. (1997) and 1460R from Olmstead et al. (1992). The trn L intron was amplified using universal primers "c" (CGAAATCGGTAGACGCTACG) and "d" (GGGGATAGAGGGACTTGAAC) (Taberlet et al., 1991). The second intron of $L E A F Y$ was amplified with the primers FLint2 F1 (CTTCCACCTCTACGACCAGTG) and FLint2 R1 (TCTTGGGCTTGTTGATGTAGC) (Grob et al., 2004).

Amplifications were done with a Biometra Thermocyler T3. A $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction mix was composed of $41.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ milliQ water, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PCR buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ dNTP's $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ primer forward and reverse, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Taq polymerase and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}(10 \times$ diluted containing ca. 5 ng DNA$)$ template for $r b c \mathrm{~L}$. The $t r n \mathrm{~L}$ mix consisted of $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ miliQ water, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PCR buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ dNTP's, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ primer forward and reverse, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Taq polymerase and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}(10 \times$ diluted $)$ primer for a total of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mix. The LEAFY mix consisted of $36 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ milliQ water, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ dNTP's, 0.2 $\mu \mathrm{L}(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ primer forward and reverse, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Taq polymerase, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (undiluted) primer and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. The
thermal cycling protocol consisted of 35 cycles with an initial denaturation phase at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , followed by a denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , annealing at $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , an extension phase at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min and a final extension of 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR purification columns (Qiagen) and eluded in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ elution buffer. Cycle sequences for all three genes were performed using identical primers at a lower concentration $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Sequence products were cleaned by Sephadex G50 AutoSeq columns (AmershamPharmacia Biotech) and run on an ABI 377 Prism Automatic sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems).

## Alignments

Raw sequences were examined, translated and corrected using Sequencher 4.0.1 (1998). Corrected sequences were directly aligned by eye using PAUP* 4.0b10 for Microsoft Windows and MacClade version 4.06 (Maddison and Maddison, 2003). When ambiguous bases were encountered, chromatograms were checked. BLAST searches were done to confirm gene identity. Shared indels were treated as single characters. Insertions were coded as 1 and the deletions as 0 . Inapplicable character states (such as appendix diameter in case of an absent appendix) were coded as missing.

## Phylogenetic analyses

Maximum Parsimony (MP) trees were reconstructed with PAUP* using unweighted maximum parsimony. Heuristic searches were performed with 100 replicates, random addition, and TBR swapping. Three different heuristic searches were performed, each with different MaxTree settings ( 10,$000 ; 25,000$; infinite). The tree file from the infinite MaxTree setting was used to compute Bootstrap Support (BS) values. Bootstrap analysis was performed using 2000 replicates, each with 100 heuristic searches with random additional sequences, TBR swapping and 10 trees saved per replicate. Nodes with over $70 \%$ BS were considered significantly supported (Soltis and Soltis, 2003). Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses were carried out using PAUP* to calculate the tree with the highest likelihood score which was subsequently used for morphological character optimization. Bayesian analysis was done using MrBayes 3.0 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). Nucleotide substitution models were determined separately for each gene using MrModeltest 1.1b (Nylander, 2002) to determine the best substitution model. The Bayesian analysis was initiated with random starting trees and run for $2 \times 10^{6}$ generations. One tree was saved every 10 generations. After 250,000 generations, a stable probability was reached. All non-significant generations ( $\mathrm{p}<0.5$ ) were discarded for the consensus tree.

A matrix of 70 morphological characters was used to reconstruct character evolution (Table 2). Morphology characters were plotted on the MP tree with the highest likelihood score (Figure 3) using the assumptions of MP with the trace character command (ACCTRAN optimisa-
Table 1. Specimens examined. Sections after Ittenbach (1997). Groups after Van der Ham et al. (1998). Asterisks indicate species examined by Grob et al., 2002; 2004. All vouchers are deposited at NHN-L.

| Species | Voucher number | Origin | GenBank accession |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $t r n \mathrm{~L}$ | $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ | LEAFY |
| Amorphophallus abyssinicus (A. Rich.) N. E. Br.* | HAM 0066 | Nigeria | AF387433 | AF497060 | AF497006 |
| Amorphophallus amygdaloides Hett. \& M. Sizemore | HAM 969 | Thailand, Kanchanaburi Province | DQ012435 | DQ012482 | DQ012459 |
| Amorphophallus angolensis (Welw. ex Schott) N. E. Br.* | HAM 0015 | Gabon | AF387458 | AF497061 | AF497007 |
| Amorphophallus angustispathus Hett. | HAM 1128 | Burma (Myanmar), Mandalay Division | DQ012436 | DQ012483 | DQ012460 |
| Amorphophallus ankarana Hett., Bogner \& Ittenb.* | HAM 0048 | Madagascar | AF387434 | AF497062 | AF497010 |
| Amorphophallus bangkokensis Gagnep. | HAM 1334 | Thailand, exact loc. unknown. | DQ012453 | DQ012500 | DQ012476 |
| Amorphophallus baumannii (Engl.) N. E. Br.* | HAM 0667 | Ghana, Brong-Ahafo | AF387436 | AF497063 | AF497013 |
| Amorphophallus beccarii Eng1.* | HAM 0525 | Indonesia, Sumatra | AF387437 | AF497064 | AF497030 |
| Amorphophallus borneensis Engl. \& Gehrm. | HAM 158 | Kalimantan | DQ012437 | DQ012484 | DQ012461 |
| Amorphophallus brevispathus Gagnep.* | HAM 0674 | Thailand, Muak Lek | AF387438 | AF497065 | AF497021 |
| Amorphophallus canaliculatus Ittenb., Hett. \& Lobin* | HAM 0014 | Gabon | AF387439 | AF497066 | AF497008 |
| Amorphophallus cirrifer Stapf.* | HAM 0450 | Thailand, Saraburi | AF387440 | AF497067 | AF497026 |
| Amorphophallus coaetaneus S.Y. Liu \& S.J. Wei* | HAM 0338 | China, Yunnan | AF387381 | AF497068 | AF497016 |
| Amorphophallus commutatus (Schott) Engl.* | HAM 0218 | India, Trichur | AF387441 | AF497069 | AF497036 |
| Amorphophallus corrugatus N. E. Br.* | HAM 0082 | Thailand, Chiang Mai | AF387442 | AF497070 | AF497045 |
| Amorphophallus dactylifer Hett. | HAM 0226 | Philipp. Luzon, near Baguio | DQ012438 | DQ012485 | DQ012462 |
| Amorphophallus declinatus Hett. | HAM 1007 | Philippines, Palawan | DQ012439 | DQ012486 | DQ012463 |
| Amorphophallus decus-silvae Backer \& Alderw.* | HAM 0549 | Indonesia, Java | AF387443 | AF497071 | AF497031 |
| Amorphophallus discophorus Backer \& Alderw. | HAM 537 | Indonesia, Java, Mt. Wilis, above Kediri | DQ012440 | DQ012487 | DQ012464 |
| Amorphophallus dracontioides (Engl.) N. E. Br.* | HAM 0340 | Ghana, Legon Accra | AF387444 | AF497072 | AF497011 |
| Amorphophallus eburneus Bogner* | HAM 0299 | Malaysia, Sarawak | AF387445 | AF497073 | AF497038 |
| Amorphophallus eichleri (Engl.) Hook.* | HAM 0406 | Africa, cult. (origin unknown) | AF387446 | AF497074 | AF497014 |
| Amorphophallus galbra Bailey PNG | 1851A | PNG | DQ012441 | DQ012488 | DQ012465 |
| Amorphophallus galbra Bailey* AUS | HAM 0174 | Australia | AF387447 | AF497075 | AF497036 |
| Amorphophallus glossophyllus Hett. | HAM 0242 | Central Vietnam, northern part of Tay Nguyen Plateau | DQ012442 | DQ012489 | DQ012466 |
| Amorphophallus henryi N. E. Br.* | HAM 0271 | Taiwan, Tainan Hsien | AF387448 | AF497076 | AF497022 |
| Amorphophallus hewittii Alderw. | Boyce. s.n. | East Malaysia, Sarawak | DQ012443 | DQ012490 | DQ012467 |
| Amorphophallus hirsutus Teijsm. \& Binn.* | HAM 0567 | Indonesia, Sumatra | AF387449 | AF497077 | AF497041 |
| Amorphophallus hirtus N. E. Br.* | HAM 0132b | Taiwan, Tainan Hsien | AF387450 | AF497078 | AF497023 |

Table 1. (Continuation)

| Species | Voucher number | Origin | GenBank accession |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $t r n \mathrm{~L}$ | $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ | LEAFY |
| Amorphophallus hohenackeri Engl. \& Gehrm. | HAM 0011 | India, Kerala State, Calicut University campus | DQ012444 | DQ012491 | DQ012468 |
| Amorphophallus hottae Bogner \& Hett.* | HAM 0915 | Malaysia, Sarawak | AF387451 | AF497079 | AF497039 |
| Amorphophallus impressus Ittenb. | HAM 1380 | East Africa, Malawi (exact loc. unknown) | DQ012446 | DQ012493 | DQ012470 |
| Amorphophallus interruptus Engl. \& Gehrm. | HAM 522 | Vietnam, Binh Dong, Ninh Binh | DQ012445 | DQ012492 | DQ012469 |
| Amorphophallus johnsonii N. E. Br. | HAM 1076 | West Africa, Benin (exact loc. unknown) | DQ012447 | DQ012494 | DQ012471 |
| Amorphophallus konjac K. Koch* | HAM 0251 | China | AF387452 | AF497080 | AF497049 |
| Amorphophallus konkanensis Hett., S.R. Yadav \& K.S. Patil | HAM 1134 | India, Ratnagiri (Maharashtra State) | DQ012448 | DQ012495 | DQ012472 |
| Amorphophallus krausei Engl.* | HAM 0768 | Thailand, Khlong Lam Nai | Af387453 | AF497081 | AF497046 |
| Amorphophallus lambii Mayo \& Widjaja* | HAM 0834 | Malaysia, Sabah | AF387454 | AF497082 | AF497035 |
| Amorphophallus lanuginosus Hett. | HAM 1239 | Vietnam, Hon Tre Island | DQ012449 | DQ012496 | DQ012473 |
| Amorphophallus laoticus Hett. | HAM 1377 | laos, near Houaysay | DQ012450 | DQ012497 | DQ012474 |
| Amorphophallus lewallei Malaisse \& Bamps* | HAM 0468 | Burundi | AF387455 | AF497083 | AF497015 |
| Amorphophallus longiconnectivus Bogner | HAM 1131 | India, Madya Pradesh, Piparia | DQ012451 | DQ012498 | DQ012475 |
| Amorphophallus longituberosus (Engl.) Engl. \& Gehrmn.* | HAM 0289 | Thailand, Kanchanaburi | AF387456 | AF497084 | AF497050 |
| Amorphophallus margaritifer (Roxb.) Kunth* | HAM 0422 | India, Bengal | AF387457 | AF497085 | AF497024 |
| Amorphophallus maxwellii Hett.* | HAM 0361 | Thailand, Kanchanaburi | AF387459 | AF497086 | AF497047 |
| Amorphophallus mossambicensis Klotzsch ex Garcke | HAM 0448 | Zambia, loc. unknown | DQ012452 | DQ012499 | - |
| Amorphophallus muelleri Blume* | HAM 0334 | Thailand, Kanchanaburi | AF387460 | AF497087 | AF497025 |
| Amorphophallus napalensis (Wall.) Bogner \& Mayo* | HAM 0227 | Nepal | AF387462 | AF497088 | AF497048 |
| Amorphophallus ochroleucus Hett. \& V. D. Nguyen* | HAM 0927 | Vietnam, Ke Bang | AF387463 | AF497090 | AF497018 |
| Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson* | HAM 0378 | Indonesia, Sumatra | AF387464 | AF497091 | AF497042 |
| Amorphophallus palawanensis Bogner \& Hett.* | HAM 0124 | Philippines, Palawan | AF387465 | AF497092 | AF497040 |
| Amorphophallus pendulus Bogner \& S.J. Mayo | Atlanta Bot. Gard. s.n. | From tissue culture in Atlanta Bot. garden | DQ012454 | DQ012501 | DQ012477 |
| Amorphophallus pingbianensis H. Li \& L.C. Long* | HAM 0670 | China, Yunnan | AF387466 | AF497093 | AF497017 |
| Amorphophallus pusillus Hett. \& Serebr.* | HAM 0247 | Vietnam | AF387467 | AF497094 | AF497043 |
| Amorphophallus pygmaeus Hett.* | HAM 0104 | Thailand (s. loc.) | AF387468 | AF497095 | AF497029 |
| Amorphophallus rhizomatosus Hett.* | HAM 0878 | Vietnam Bach Ma | AF387469 | AF497096 | AF497054 |
| Amorphophallus sagittarius Steenis* | HAM 0491 | Indonesia, Java | AF387470 | AF497097 | AF497032 |
| Amorphophallus salmoneus Hett. | HAM 0036 | Philipp., Palawan, Langen Island | DQ012455 | DQ012502 | DQ012478 |
| Amorphophallus scutatus Hett. \& T.C. Chapman | HAM 1003 | Thailand, Saraburi Province | DQ012456 | DQ012503 | DQ012479 |

Table 1. (Continuation)

|  |  |  |  | Bank accessi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $t r n \mathrm{~L}$ | $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ | LEAFY |
| Amorphophallus smithsonianus Sivad.* | HAM 0216 | India, Trivandrum | AF440738 | AF497098 | AF497053 |
| Amorphophallus sumawongii (Bogner) Bogner* | HAM 0714 | Thailand, Ban Boa Nan Ching | AF387471 | AF497099 | AF497044 |
| Amorphophallus symonianus Hett. \& M. Sizemore* | HAM 0728 | Thailand, Udon Thani | AF387472 | AF497100 | AF497019 |
| Amorphophallus taurostigma Ittenb., Hett. \& Bogner* | HAM 0409 | Madagascar, Tulear | AF387473 | AF497101 | AF497012 |
| Amorphophallus thaiensis S.-Y. Hu | HAM 946 | Thailand, Doi Chiang Dao, market | DQ012457 | DQ012504 | DQ012480 |
| Amorphophallus tinekeae Hett. \& A. Vogel | HAM 0477 | Sabah, Gomantong Caves, Sunkau | DQ012458 | DQ012505 | DQ012481 |
| Amorphophallus titanum (Becc.) Becc. ex Arcang.* | Bot. Gard. Bonn s.n. | Indonesia, Sumatra | AF387474 | AF497102 | AF497033 |
| Amorphophallus variabilis Blume* | HAM 0467 | Java, Kebun Raya | Af387475 | AF497103 | AF497034 |
| Amorphophallus yunnanensis Engl.* | HAM 0157 | Thailand, Mae Hong Song | AF387476 | AF497104 | AF497020 |
| Amorphophallus zenkeri (Engl.) N. E. Br. * | HAM 0339 | Cameroon | AF387477 | AF497105 | AF497009 |
| Hapaline sp. Schott* | HAR 056 | Thailand, Suratthani market | AF387483 | AF497112 | AF497057 |
| Pseudracontium harmandii Engl.* | HAM 0420 | Vietnam | AF387478 | AF497106 | AF497051 |
| Pseudracontium lanceolatum Serebr.* | HAM 0179 | Vietnam, Dong Nai | AF387479 | AF497107 | AF497052 |

tion) in MacClade version 4.06 (Maddison and Maddison, 2003).

## Optimised morphological characters (Figure 4)

Five characters were used for optimisation. The first one was stigma morphology (Table 2, char. 2): styles in Amorphophallus vary from almost absent (stigma directly sessile on the ovary) to very long and thin (stigma nonsessile). The second was the opening mechanism of the pores (Table 2, char. 18): in most Amorphophallus species pores open independently from each other per anther. In a few species the connectival tissue is very thin and ruptures when the pores open. Adjacent pores then "fuse" into a larger pore, discharging pollen from two adjacent thecae. The third character was the lamina architecture (Table 2, char. 49): decompound lamina in Amorphophallus usually have three easily recognisable main segments. These are usually equal in shape and complexity, but in several species the single posterior segment is much less complexely divided and often shorter than the laterals. The fourth character was the growth cycle (Table 2, char. 58): most Amorphophallus species show sympodial cyclic growth with a resting period alternating with an active growing period after a shorter or longer seedling period of monopodial growth, terminated by the first occasion of flowering. During the resting period (identified as -rin the states of character 58) no plant parts are above soil. Two out of four different sympodial cycle types are dominant. In one or these, a single inflorescence develops on a node, and afterwards the plant goes dormant again without leaf development for the rest of the season (char. 58 , state 1). A single leaf emerges in the next season, but no inflorescence. The leaf devours the old node and builds a new one. This is the most common cycle type and is found only in Asian species. The other common type shows development of an inflorescence preceding that of a leaf in the same season, with the leaf again devouring the old node and building a new one. This cycle-type is found exclusively in all African species. The two other cycle types also show flowering and leaf development in the same season but with different timing of nodedevelopment relative to the African cycle-type (Table 2, char. 58, states 3 and 4). The last character optimised was berry colour (Table 2, char. 65): of all Araceae genera, Amorphophallus shows the highest diversity in colour of the mature berries with red/orange being dominant. Other colours are white, blue, purple, yellow, green, and brown.

## RESULTS

## DNA sequence variation

The $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ sequence alignment consisted of 1512 characters, of which 1347 characters were constant, 79 were parsimony-uninformative, 86 were informative, and 7 were indels. The trnL alignment consisted of 963 characters and included 28 indels. A region of TA repeats with a total length of 207 bp in $A$. hohenackeri

Table 2. Morphological characters and character states used in morphological character optimization.

## Female flowers

1. Ovary (number of locules): 1 one; 2 two; 3 three; 4 four.
2. Stigma: 1 nonsessile; 2 sessile.
3. Stylar length (relative to ovary): 1 much shorter (almost nil); 2 shorter (appr. $0.5 \times$ ); $3 \pm$ equal; 4 distinctly longer ( $2 \times$ and more).
4. Stigma diameter (relative to style-diam.): $1 \pm$ equal; 2 larger.
5. Stigma: overall shape in longitudinal section: 1 depressed; 2 globose / hemispheric; 3 conical; 4 obconical.
6. Stigma: structure: 1 entire; 2 with central depression; 3 one-lobed; 4 two-lobed; 5 three-lobed; 6 four-lobed; 7 multi-lobed; 8 bilabiate (folded); $9 \pm$ cup shaped.
7. Placentation: 1 basal; 2 axillary, halfway up the length of the placenta.

## Male flowers

8. Average number of stamens per flower: 1 one; 2 (2-)3-5(-6); 3 more (indeterminate).
9. Filaments: 1 (near-)absent (anthers $\pm$ sessile); 2 present.
10. Filaments: shape: 1 slender; 2 thick.
11. Filaments (majority): fusion: 1 entirely free; 2 partly connate, sometimes forming a column; 3 entirely connate, forming a column or cushion.
12. Lower filaments: transforming to staminodes (1): 1 not transformed; 2 filaments swollen \& fused; 3 filaments swollen \& fused, anthers absent (flowers fully staminodial).
13. Lower male flowers: reduction transformation (to hairs): 1 not transformed; 2 transformed.
14. Anthers (majority): fusion: 1 free; 2 fused.
15. Anther-shape: 1 rectangular/cubic; 2 globose/subglobose/hemispheric.
16. Thecae: 1 bilocular; 2 unilocular.
17. Pores: position: 1 apical; 2 lateral; 3 subapical.
18. Pores; opening mechanism: 1 by own opening; 2 fusing with others, connective rupturing.
19. Connective: shape: 1 flat; 2 ridge-like; 3 elongate (vertically); 4 hemispheric.

## Pollen

20. Pollen: exine ornamentation: 1 psilate; 2 striate; 3 verrucate; 4 echinate; 5 areolate; 6 fossulate; 7 reticulate; 8 striate/scabrate; 9 scabrate.
21. Polar caps: 1 present; 2 absent.

## Spadix

22. Spadix: 1 (sub-)sessile; 2 distinctly stipitate.
23. Length relative to spathe: 1 distinctly shorter than spathe; $2 \pm$ equalling spathe; 3 longer than spathe.
24. Female zone: length rel. to male zone: 1 much shorter than male zone (less than $0.2 \times$ ); 2 shorter than male zone ( $0.2-0.9 \times$ ); 3 $\pm$ equalling male zone; 4 longer than male zone ( $1.1-1.9 \times$ ); 5 much longer than male zone ( $2.0 \times$ and more).
25. Female zone: fertility: 1 entirely fertile; 2 with staminodia.
26. Female to male zone: 1 adjacent (contiguous); 2 separated by sterile zone.
27. Sterile zone between male and female zone: 1 (largely) naked (sometimes with flower remains); 2 with sterile structures (staminodes or pistillodes).
28. Male zone: disposition stamens: 1 congested or slightly distant; 2 loosely arranged; 3 aligned/fused into vertical ridges; 4 aligned/fused into a lax spiral; 5 (sub)verticillate/dense spiral.
29. Upper part of male zone: fertility: 1 entirely fertile; 2 with interspersed sterile structures (hairs).
30. Male zone: diam. relative to female zone: 1 distinctly narrower than fem. Zone; 2 appr. equalling female zone; 3 distinctly exceeding fem. Zone.
31. Male zone: transition to appendix: 1 contiguous; 2 naked zone, distinct from appendix ("stipe").

## Appendix

32. Presence: 1 present; 2 absent.
33. Appendix: length rel. to male $\pm$ fem. zone: 1 shorter; $2 \pm$ equal; 3 longer.
34. Appendix: diameter (base) rel. to male zone: 1 less than in male zone; $2 \pm$ equal or slightly broader; 3 exceeding.
35. Appendix: general shape (lateral view): 1 ovoid/globose ( $1: 1-\mathrm{ca} .1 .5: 1$ ); 2 shortly conical (ca. $2: 1$ ); 3 elongate ( $2.5: 1$ or more).
36. Wall structure: 1 individual elements (staminodes) visible; 2 no elements visible, or only at the base or top.
37. Wall: elements (staminodes): 1 verrucae; 2 high ridges/columns (incl. papillae/short ridges); 3 shallow ridges/colums; 4 broad, flat colums; 5 hairs; 6 bristles.

Table 2. (Continuation)
38. Wall, high ridges: shape: 1 long (rugae); 2 short (papillae).

## Spathe

39. Transition base-limb: 1 gradual, not or shallowly constricted; 2 distinctly constricted.
40. Spathe base: surface within: 1 smooth; 2 sculptured.
41. Spathe base: sculpture type: 1 small (simple) verrucae; 2 large verrucae (sometimes irregular); 3 papillae (sometimes hairlike); 4 hairs; 5 ridges; 6 verrucae-ridges (intermediates); 7 transverse ridges.
42. Spathe base: degree of sculpturing: 1 dense/numerous; 2 scattered/few.
43. Spathe base: 1 short and loosely convolute; 2 strongly convolute, forming a basal tube/chamber; 3 margins fused.
44. Limb: apex: 1 acuminate; 2 acute; 3 obtuse.
45. Limb: margin shape: 1 straight; 2 undulate; 3 plicate/strongly folded.

## Peduncle

46. Length rel. to spathe: 1 shorter than or equalling spathe; 2 longer than spathe.
47. During fruiting: 1 elongating; 2 no substantial growth.

## Leaf

48. Duration: 1 short lived ( 1 season, between two dormancy periods); 2 long lived (2-5 years).

## Lamina

49. Main segments: 1 appr. equally shaped; 2 anterior segment distinctly less divided.
50. Main posterior segment: division: 1 moderately subdivided; 2 strongly subdivided.
51. Leaflets (all): base: 1 all/most sessile; 2 basal ones distinctly petiolulate.
52. Leaflets upper surface colour: 1 green/grey-green; 2 deep velvety green.
53. Leaflets variegation: 1 all green (no variegation); 2 heavily splashed with white dots; 3 white line along midrib (and occ. sec. veins); 4 greyish area along midrib; 5 scattered white spots; 6 checkered.
54. Leaflets margin: 1 green; 2 violet/lilac.
55. Rhachises: 1 winged throughout; 2 winged distal from the main basal branchings; 3 (largely) unwinged.
56. Foliar bulbils: 1 absent; 2 present.
57. Foliar bulbils: dislodging: 1 dislodging completely from rachis; 2 dislodging with parts of the rachis.
58. Growth cycle [] = node; ( $)=$ season; $r=$ rest: 1 -r-(infl.)]-r-[(leaf)-r-(infl.)]-r-[(leaf)-r- (1/2 node/s.); 2 -r-(infl.]+[leaf)-r-(infl.]+[leaf)-r- (2 x 1/2 node/s.); 3 -r-([leaf+infl.])-r-([leaf+infl.])-r- (1 node/s.); 4 -r-([leaf+infl.]+[leaf+infl.)-r- (2 or more nodes/s.)

## Tuber/rhizome

59. Number of modules: 1 one; 2 more than one.
60. Orientation: 1 vertical; 2 horizontal.
61. Module shape: 1 saucer shaped (extremeley depressed); 2 globose/depressed-globose; 3 elongate (very narrow, growing apex with numerous cataphylls or -scars); 4 elongate (thick, no cataphylls or -scars).
62. Underground vegetative propagation: 1 only by division (break-up) of mature tuber/rhizome; 2 by development of subsidiary tubers (slowly separating); 3 by offset-development (usually seasonal).
63. Offsets: shape: 1 globose; 2 shortly elliptic/fusiform; 3 shortly cylindric; 4 distinctly elongate (rhizomatous); 5 obconic (= globose with short "stalk").
64. Root-scars: 1 with distinct, annular thickenings (abscission); 2 without annular thickenings.
65. Berries: colour: 1 red/orange; 2 blue; 3 white; 4 yellow (with our without white base); 5 green; 6 pale brownish (soil colour); 7 purple.
66. Berries: surface: 1 smooth; 2 ridged/verrucate.
67. Spathe at anthesis: 1 opening, separating from spadix; 2 clasping the spadix.
68. Spathe: overall colour intensity/-type inside: 1 pale (whitish, greenish, pinkish); 2 dark (purple, blackis-grey).
69. Spathe margin: 1 straight; 2 broadly rounded/curved inwards; 3 sharply curved inwards-channeled.
70. Number of inflorescences per flowering event: 1 one inflorescence; 2 more than one (in synflorescence).
was excluded from the analysis. Among the 756 included characters, 634 were constant, 74 were parsimonyuninformative, and 48 are parsimony-informative. The LEAFY alignment consisted of 591 characters and included 67 indels. Two regions of 70 bp in $A$. angustispathus and A. konkanensis and 29 bp in A. hohenackeri, respectively, were considered unalignable, and therefore excluded from the analysis. Of the 492 included characters, 257 were constant, 88 were parsimony-uninformative, and 147 were parsimony-informative. The combined matrix consisted of 3066 characters. A total of 306 characters were excluded, leaving 2760 characters in the analysis, of which 2238 were constant, 241 were parsimony-uninformative, and 281 are parsimony-informative. Among the three genes, $L E A F Y$ generated the most variation, followed by $\operatorname{trnL}$. The $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene was found to be a much more conserved region.

## Maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood analyses

All three MaxTree settings produced the same parsimony scores with a tree length of 1030 steps, $\mathrm{CI}=0.590$, $\mathrm{RI}=0.701$, and $\mathrm{RC}=0.414$. Compared to the MP strict consensus presented by Grob et al. 2002, 2004, the MP tree presented in this study has a better resolution both for basal and terminal clades (Figure 1). In total, 22 ingroup nodes are supported by $>70 \%$ BS. The MP strict consensus contains three major clades, namely African, Southeast Asia (SEA) and Continental Asia clades. The African clade comprises 13 species and is supported by $56 \%$ BS. The SEA clade comprises 21 species from the Indo-Pacific archipelago, the Philippines, Papua New Guinea, and Australia and is supported by $70 \% \mathrm{BS}$. The biggest major clade ( $<50 \% \mathrm{BS}$ ) in the MP strict consensus is the Continental Asia clade, which covers the taxa distributed from


Figure 1. Maximum Parsimony strict consensus of 253,317 MPTs. BS values are given above the nodes.

India to China, Taiwan, and Thailand. This clade contains two species, A. hirsutus (Sumatra) and A. pendulus (Borneo) which do not occur on continental Asia. The clade is subdivided into two subclades, Continental Asia I ( $<50 \%$ BS) and II ( $66 \%$ BS), which reflect no biogeographical distinction. They are, however, supported by unique berry colours.

## Bayesian analysis

With MrModeltest 1.1b (Nylander, 2002), two models of nucleotide substitution, GTR $+\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{I}$ for $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ and HKY +G for both $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{L}$ and $L E A F Y$ were selected. The Bayesian analysis resulted in a $50 \%$ majority consensus tree (Figure 2) with one major topological difference as compared to the MP strict consensus obtained. Along the backbone of the tree, three major clades collapsed into a
polytomy. In the tips, however, the Bayesian consensus tree was better resolved than the strict consensus tree.

## Well supported clades

Each method used has its own advantages and disadvantages. Maximum Parsimony is capable of analyzing large sequence datasets, but can perform poorly if there is substantial variation in branch lengths. Bayesian analysis is better suited for tackling such branch length variation, but the prior distributions for parameters must be specified, and it is difficult to decide whether MCMC has run long enough before reliable results are obtained (Holder and Lewis, 2003). All resulting cladograms from both analyses are congruent in several parts, though, and apart from their geographical coherence, the larger clades can be defined by some morphological synapomorphies. First of all, the


Figure 2. Bayesian $50 \%$ majority rule consensus tree. Posterior probability values are given above the nodes.
monophyly of African clade is supported by all analyses (Figures 1, 2, 3). The African species are characterized by a unique seasonal growth cycle in which two tuber nodes are active within a single season. These nodes have a differential development: the first produces an inflorescence and the second a leaf (Table 2, char. 58, state 2).

Secondly, in the MP and Bayesian analyses (Figures 1, 2), A. galbra from PNG is placed in a different clade as compared to A. galbra from Australia. The sequences are derived from plants with conspicuously different vegetative morphologies. The PNG specimen is a large plant up to two meters high. The petiole and peduncle bear crusty patches resembling lichens and are generally multicolourous. The spathe is multicolourous. The Australian speci-
men, on the other hand, is a small plant, not higher than 30 cm . The petiole and peduncle are entirely green and smooth. The spathe is entirely green. As both specimens have a very different morphology and end up in very different phylogenetic positions both in the MP and Bayesian trees, it suggests that $A$. galbra needs further taxonomic revision and perhaps a redefinition of its species boundaries.

Thirdly, A. paeoniifolius and A. bangkokensis always cluster together, despite their considerable vegetative morphological differences. A. paeoniifolius is a plant of which the tuber has thick annular root scars, the offsets are very short and thick, the petiole is usually strongly warty, rarely smooth, and the petiole is always blotched


Figure 3. The Maximum Parsimony tree of 253,317 trees with the highest likelihood score ( $-\ln \mathrm{L}=9144.6$ ). Length $=1030$ steps, $\mathrm{CI}=0.590, \mathrm{RI}=0.701, \mathrm{RC}=0.414$. BS values are given above the nodes.
and never striped. In contrast, A. bangkokensis has a tuber lacking annular root scars, its rhizomatous offsets are long and thin, and the petiole is smooth with a stripe-like pattern. The inflorescences of both species are very much alike although that of $A$. bangkokensis is consistently small (spadix not longer than 15 cm ) and that of $A$. paeoniifolius is hardly ever that short but may reach up to 50 cm .

Fourthly, the clade of $A$. pusillus (Vietnam) and $A$. sumawongii (Thailand), which was also found by Grob et al. $(2002,2004)$ was recovered in all analyses of this study as well. It is supported by several morphological characters.

## DISCUSSION

Resolving the basal backbone of the MP strict consensus has shed more light on some aspects of evolution of the genus Amorphophallus. The African and Southeast Asian clades seem well defined, despite the presence of the Indian species $A$. commutatus in the latter which seems better fitted in the continental Asian clade. The continental Asian clade is more difficult to interpret since it covers both a wide geographical range and large morphological variation.

## Morphological character evolution

With MacClade, character evolution was optimized most parsimoniously using ACCTRAN optimization.

When plotted on the MP tree with the highest likelihood score, some morphological characters were found to correlate well with molecular based clades. These characters include a stigma (either nonsessile or sessile), a pore opening mechanism, the shape of the main segments of the leaf lamina, the growth cycle, and a berry colour (Figure 4).

## Style length

The African clade and outgroup seem to be characterized by a sessile stigma, with the exception of $A$. impressus, A. taurostigma, A. dracontiodes, A. canaliculatus and A. angolensis which have a nonsessile stigma. A nonsessile stigma can be found in all other species except for A. cirrifer, A. angustispathus, A. pendulus, and A. sagittarius. A nonsessile stigma seems to be evolved from a sessile one. The morphology of the stigma (either sessile or nonsessile) is phylogenetically informative for the entire Araceae family (Mayo et al., 1997), and it would be interesting to investigate whether nonsessile stigma's also developed in selected clades only during the evolution of Amorphophallus when a fully sampled and resolved phylogeny of the genus becomes available.

## Pollen opening mechanism

All species sampled seem to be characterized by pollen being released through individual pores, with the exception of $A$. glossophyllus, $A$. interruptus and $A$. brevispathus which have pollen realeased after a rupturing
of the connective, which has been transformed in these species into a very thin tissue layer partly covering the pores. Simultanously the two pores on a theca also merge into one because of the rupturing of the connective. It would be interesting to investigate whether these species also have a unique pollen dispersal method as compared with the remaining species sampled. Pollen release by connective rupturing seems to be derived from pollen released directly from the pores.

## Leaf lamina segments

The leaf lamina in Amorphphallus is called "decompound" because it is split up in three main segements, each usually branching to various degree and carrying highest order segments shaped as individual small leaves ("leaflets"). In most species sampled, the main segments of the leaf lamina are more or less equally shaped. Distinctly less divided anterior main leaf segments evolved from equally shaped segments three times in the Asian clades. From an ontogenetic point of view, it has not been possible yet to determine if these segments have an arrested development. Evo-devo studies could shed further light on the homology of these structures. It is suggested that this phenomenon in the Pseudodracontium species may be the result of heterochronic development because other plant parts show similar phenomena (e.g. staminodial appendix, male flowers with long thin filaments and separated anthers, unilocular thecae; Hetterscheid and Claudel, in prep.)

## Growth cycle

The African clade is well supported by a unique growth cycle where the season's growth starts with a developing inflorescence and the leaf appearing either after a short while or almost simulaneously. The appearing inflorescence terminates the sympodial shoot initiated the season before while the leaf developing alongside it in the same season develops from a lateral continuation shoot and initiates the next sympodial cycle. The dormancy period of an individual plant therefore occurs in the middle of the development of a sympodial module from leaf initiation to inflorescence termination. One season of growth is characterized by the termination of the previous year's module and the initiation of the next, which is not terminated that season by another inflorescence but undergoes a dormancy period and is later terminated by an inflorescence in the next season. Therefore inflorescence and leaf appearing in the same growing season do not belong to one and the same sympodial module but to two consecutive ones separated by a dormancy period.

A seemingly similar situation of simultaneously existing leaf and inflorescence in the same season is also found in a few continental Asian species like $A$. ochroleucus, A. coaetaneus and $A$. rhizomatosus. However, in these instances the leaf appears first, and several weeks or months later the inflorescence appears, and the latter is the termination of the same shoot from which the leaf has developed. This situation repeats itself the next season.


Figure 4. Reconstruction of character state evolution of several morphological characters optimized on the MP tree with the highest likelihood, using the trace character command in MacClade version 4.06 (Maddison and Maddison, 2003).

Therefore, leaf and inflorescence of one season's growth belong to the same sympodial module. Both these cycle types differ from the most common one (present in most Asian species), in which each season's growth sees either an inflorescence or a leaf developing, but never in the same season.

This latter type of growth cycle is reconstructed to be ancestral to the one in e.g. A. ochroleucus, whereas it cannot be decided if the dominant Asian cycle type is ancestral to the African species cycle or the reverse. The optimisation shows ambiguity at this point. A large variation in growth cycles exist within the Araceae family (Scribailo and Tomlinson, 1992; Mayo et al., 1997). It would be very interesting to study these different types of inflorescence, leaf, and shoot development in a phylogenetic context for the whole family.

## Berry colour

A clade of 13 taxa in the Continental Asia I clade shows the possession of blue/purple berries, which do not occur in other clades. Blue berries occur in the taxa with the northernmost distribution of Amorphophallus and might have evolved as a response to birds that focus on blue berries for food in that particular geographical region (Hetterscheid and Ittenbach, 1996). Blue/purple, green, white, and yellow berries are all reconstructed as derived from red/orange or white berries. It is interesting to note how variable the inflorescence morphology is for species within the blue-berried clade, with species like A. brevispathus, A. coaetaneus, A. kiusianus and A. yunnanensis (see Plate 1). From a macromorphological point, this clade would not be retrievable, and this is proven in such an analysis (Hetterscheid and Hovenkamp, in prep.). Observations like this indicate the great morphological flexibility in Amorphophallus, which may well be due to a strong adaptibility to different pollination resources (Hetterscheid, in prep.). The clade containing the smallest species of Amorphophallus-A. obscurus (not analysed here), A. polyanthus (not analysed here), A. pusillus, $A$. serrulatus (not analysed here) and $A$. sumawongii ( $A$. pusillus having an inflorescence of a mere 5 cm high-is further characterised by all species sharing an atypical leaflet-structure (rhombic - obovate) and verrucate berries with a very unorthodox colour (green in A. sumawongii; dirty pinkish-brownish in A. polyanthus). Ecologically, the members of this group seem to be adapted to a survival strategy suitable to forest floor conditions indicated by the type of pollination (fungus syndrome; Kite and Hetterscheid, 1997) and type of dispersal (berries without striking, bird-attracting colours and infructescence held close to the soil). The position of this small clade of purely Thai-Indochinese species in the large Southeast Asia clade is not supported by morphology, especially because of the presence of elongate tubers, a character very common in other Thai-Indochinese species but absent in all other Southeast Asian species.

With the three-gene-based phylogeny of Amorphophal-
lus presented here, more insight could be obtained about the evolution of ecologically interesting features such as dwarfism, life cycle, and berry colour. A next step would be to unravel the genetics of these features by crossing species from sister groups with different habit sizes, life cycles, and berry colours for quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis. Although nothing is known about the genetics of these features yet, it seems feasible that only a small number of genes is involved in their initiation and development because of the recurrent evolution of the same type of life cycle and berry colour in Amorphophallus.
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# 依據 $t r n \mathrm{~L}, r b c \mathrm{~L}$ 與 $L E A F Y$ 第二内插子序列之親緣分析推論天南星科魔芋屬植物之形態演化 

Agung SEDAYU ${ }^{2}$ ，Marcel C．M．EURLINGS ${ }^{3}$ ，Barbara GRAVENDEEL ${ }^{3}$ and Wilbert L．A．HETTERSCHEID ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{\text {I }}$ National Herbarium of The Netherlands，Wageningen University branch，Wageningen University Botanical Gardens（presently：Von Gimborn Arboretum，Doorn，Netherlands）<br>${ }^{2}$ Bogor Botanical Gardens，Indonesia<br>${ }^{3}$ Netherlands Centre for Biodiversity Naturalis，National Herbarium of The Netherlands， Leiden University

本研究使用 69 個分類群，結合三個不同的基因序列以重建富含眾多物種的天南星科魔芋屬植物的分子親緣關係。序列資料集以最儉約法，最大似然法與貝葉氏分析三種不同的方法產生了三個稍微不同的親緣樹。所有的分析顯示了反映魔芋屬的地理分布關係的三個主要枝系。有的枝系得到形態特徴上的支持，例如柱頭是否具柄，花粉釋出機制，葉身主裂片的形狀，生長周期與漿果顏色。在特徵演化的最優化之下顯示具柄的柱頭可能是演化自無柄柱頭物種，並經歷數次逆轉演化；花粉釋出機制為藥隔破裂演化自孔裂花藥；葉身形狀不對稱的裂片演化自對稱的裂片；葉與花序同時存在者是從葉與花序不同時出現者演化而來；具有藍色，紫色，綠色與黃色的漿果是由紅色，橙色或白色的漿果演化而來。


Plate 1. Amorphophallus species of the "blue berry"-clade: A, A. brevispathus; B, A. ochroleucus; C, A. kiusianus; D, A. yunnanensis. (A, B, D: photos by last author; C: photo by C.-I Peng).


Appendix 1. (Continuation)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. hottae | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | (45) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ? | 3 | (23) | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. impressus | (23) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. interruptus | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | (456) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. johnsonii | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | ? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| A. konjac | (23) | 1 | (34) | 2 | 1 | (45) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | (23) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | (12) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. konkanensis | (34) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (456) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. krausei | 1 | 1 | (23) | (12) | (12) | (245) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (123) | 2 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| A. lambii | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| A. lanuginosus | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | (126) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | (23) | 2 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| A. laoticus | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | (12) | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | (34) | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | (12) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. lewallei | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. longiconnectivus | (23) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| A. longituberosus | (234) | 1 | (23) | 2 | 1 | (456) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | (23) |
| A. margaritifer | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| A. maxwellii | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | (23) | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. mossambicensis | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | (14) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (16) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. muelleri | (23) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (456) | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | (12) | (23) | (234) | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (123) | 3 | 3 |
| A. napalensis | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| A. ochroleucus | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| A. paeoniifolius | (23) | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | (45) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | (123) | 1 | 1 | (123) | 3 | (12) |
| A. palawanensis | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (12) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (12) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| A. pendulus | (23) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | (45) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | (12) | 3 |
| A. pingbianensis | (12) | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | (13) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ? | ? | 1 | (23) | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (23) | 2 | 3 |
| A. pusillus | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | (79) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. pygmaeus | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | (23) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| A. rhizomatosus | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. sagittarius | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | (13) | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| A. salmoneus | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | (68) | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| A. scutatus | (12) | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | (23) | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. smithsonianus | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | (456) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |

Appendix 1. (Continuation)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. sumawongii | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| A. symonianus | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| A. taurostigma | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | (45) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. thaiensis | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (23) | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (23) | 3 | 2 |
| A. tinekeae | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| A. titanum | (23) | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | (12) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| A. variabilis | (23) | 1 | (23) | 2 | 3 | (456) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | (13) | 3 |
| A. yunnanensis | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | (12) | (24) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| A. zenkeri | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | (14) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | (23) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | (23) | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| P. harmandii | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (23) | 1 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (12) | 1 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| P. lanceolatum | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | (12) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (23) | 1 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (12) | 1 | 1 | (12) | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Hapaline sp. | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | (12) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - |


[^0]:    *Corresponding author: E-mail: hetter@xs4all.nl.

