a. Range       Global/Continental         Native Range Old World tropics <sup>1</sup> , central to south China <sup>3,4</sup> Image: Control of the second sec	; Swamp Morning-			
Native Range Old World tropics <sup>1</sup> , central to south China <sup>3,4</sup> Image: Control of the second seco	I. Current Status and Distribution Ipomoea aquat			
Old World tropics <sup>1</sup> , central to south China <sup>3,4</sup> Image: Control of the second sec	Wisconsin			
Abundance/Range       Philippines, Florida <sup>3</sup> Not ap         Widespread:       California; canals and ditches <sup>4</sup> Not ap         Sparse:       Undocumented       Not ap         Range Expansion       Introduced repeatedly in Florida since       Not ap         Date Introduced:       Introduced repeatedly in Florida since       Not ap         1979 <sup>4</sup> Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per       Not ap         day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in       9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Unkno         Density       High       Unkno       Unkno         Facilitated By:       Ability to outcompete native plants       Unkno         b. Habitat       Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, main disugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Tolerance         PH <sup>8</sup> 4       5       6       7       8       9         Depth       0       2       4       6       8       10	recorded in Wiscon			
Locally Abundant: Sparse: California; canals and ditches <sup>4</sup> Not ap Not ap Not ap Range Expansion Date Introduced: Introduced repeatedly in Florida since 1979 <sup>4</sup> Rate of Spread: Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Density Risk of Monoculture: High Ability to outcompete native plants b. Habitat Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, marshes and sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Tolerance PH <sup>8</sup> 4 5 6 7 8 9 Depth (m) 8				
Locally Abundant: Sparse: California; canals and ditches <sup>4</sup> Not ap Not ap Not ap Range Expansion Date Introduced: Introduced repeatedly in Florida since 1979 <sup>4</sup> Rate of Spread: Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Density Risk of Monoculture: High Ability to outcompete native plants b. Habitat Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, marshes and sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Tolerance PH <sup>8</sup> 4 5 6 7 8 9 Depth (m) 8	plicable			
Sparse:UndocumentedNot apRange Expansion Date Introduced:Introduced repeatedly in Florida since 19794Not apRate of Spread:Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Not apDensity Risk of Monoculture:High Ability to outcompete native plantsUnkno Unknob. HabitatCanals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, maind sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate rangepH90246810	plicable			
Range Expansion       Introduced repeatedly in Florida since       Not ap         Date Introduced:       Introduced repeatedly in Florida since       Not ap         1979 <sup>4</sup> Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Not ap         Density       Misk of Monoculture:       High       Unkno         Facilitated By:       Ability to outcompete native plants       Unkno         b. Habitat       Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, maind sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range         pH       4       5       6       7       8       9         Depth       0       2       4       6       8       10	plicable			
Date Introduced:       Introduced repeatedly in Florida since 1979 <sup>4</sup> Not ap 1979 <sup>4</sup> Rate of Spread:       Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Not ap         Density Risk of Monoculture:       High Ability to outcompete native plants       Unkno         b. Habitat       Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, mand and sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Unkno         Tolerance       Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range       Increasingly dark color indicate range	<u>.</u>			
Rate of Spread:       Very rapid; growth rate of 4 inches per day <sup>5</sup> ; 84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>3,4</sup> Not ap         Density       High       Unkno         Risk of Monoculture:       High       Unkno         Facilitated By:       Ability to outcompete native plants       Unkno         b. Habitat       Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, mand sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range         pH       4       5       6       7       8       9         Depth       0       2       4       6       8       10	plicable			
Density Risk of Monoculture: Facilitated By:       High Ability to outcompete native plants       Unkno Unkno         b. Habitat       Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, mand sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range         PH <sup>®</sup> 4       5       6       7       8       9         Depth (m)       0       2       4       6       8       10	plicable			
Risk of Monoculture: High Unkno   Facilitated By: Ability to outcompete native plants Unkno   b. Habitat Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, mand sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Increasingly dark color indicate range   Tolerance Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range				
Facilitated By:       Ability to outcompete native plants       Unkno         b. Habitat       Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, mand sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Tolerance       Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range         Tolerance       pH <sup>8</sup> 4       5       6       7       8       9         Depth (m)       0       2       4       6       8       10	wn			
b. Habitat Canals, ditches, marshes, wetlands, ponds, lakes, mand sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Tolerance Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range pH <sup>8</sup> 4 5 6 7 8 9 Depth (m) 8 0 2 4 6 8 10				
and sugar cane fields <sup>5</sup> Tolerance       PH <sup>8</sup> pH <sup>8</sup> 4     5     6     7     8     9       Depth (m)       8     0     2     4     6     8     10	2			
Tolerance     Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color indicate range       pH <sup>8</sup> 4     5     6     7     8     9       Depth (m)     0     2     4     6     8     10	-			
Depth (m) 0 2 4 6 8 10	s increasingly optin			
Depth (m) 0 2 4 6 8 10				
(m) 0 2 4 6 8 10	10 11			
(m) 0 2 4 6 8 10				
8	12 14			
Photosynthetic Range	0.00			
(°C) 5 10 15 20 25 30	35 40			
** range determined by measurable photosynthetic activity; survival range is br				
<b>Preferences</b> Moist soil, still to flowing waters <sup>3,7</sup> ; clay-loam and	loam soils <sup>6</sup> , warm,			

c. Regulation	
Noxious/Regulated <sup>2</sup> :	Federal Noxious Weed List; AL, AZ, AR, CA, FL, MA, NC, OR, SC, TX, VT
Minnesota Regulations:	Regulated; One may not introduce without a permit
Michigan Regulations:	Not regulated
Washington Regulations:	Not regulated
II. Establishment Potential	and Life History Traits
a. Life History	Creeping herbaceous vine <sup>4</sup> ; aquatic or terrestrial <sup>7</sup> ; perennial (occasionally annual) <sup>1,8</sup>
Fecundity	High
Reproduction	Sexual; Asexual <sup>8</sup>
Importance of Seeds:	Important; 175-245 floating seeds/plant <sup>4</sup> ; seeds do not germinate well under water <sup>8</sup>
Vegetative:	Most important; may root at every node, producing new plants when fragmented <sup>4</sup>
Hybridization	Undocumented; wild and cultivated biotypes occur in Florida <sup>8</sup>
Overwintering	
Winter Tolerance:	Low; susceptible to frosts and does not grow well below $23.9^{\circ}C (75^{\circ}F)^3$
Phenology:	Produces flowers in the warm months <sup>4</sup>
b. Establishment	
Climate	
Weather:	Tropical to subtropical <sup>3</sup> ; warm, humid conditions <sup>9</sup>
Wisconsin-Adapted:	Unlikely; susceptible to frost and temperatures below $24^{\circ}C^{(3)}$
Climate Change:	Warmer climate may facilitate growth and distribution <sup>6</sup>
Taxonomic Similarity	
Wisconsin Natives:	Low/Medium; (family Convolvulaceae, genus Calystegia)
Other US Exotics:	High; many other species in genus Ipomoea are considered noxious
Competition	10
Natural Predators:	<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> (root-knot nematode) <sup>10</sup>
Natural Pathogens:	Phyllosticta ipomoeae, Cercospora ipomoeae, and Pseudomonas syringae
	pv. syringae <sup>11</sup> ; Albugo ipomoeae-aquaticae (white rust) <sup>12</sup>
Competitive Strategy:	Rapid growth rate, shades out native vegetation
Known Interactions:	Competes with native emergents <sup>3</sup> ; displaced <i>Pistia</i> , <i>Azolla</i> , and <i>Utricularia</i> (due to shading) <sup>8</sup>
Reproduction	
Rate of Spread:	High
Adaptive Strategies:	Able to spread from floating seed, plant fragment, or whole $plant^8$
Timeframe	84 tons/acre fresh weight biomass in 9 months <sup>4</sup>
c. Dispersal	
Intentional:	Introduced for culinary and medicinal purposes <sup>3</sup>
Unintentional:	Water currents <sup>13</sup> , animals and humans <sup>8</sup>
Propagule Pressure:	Medium; fragments easily accidentally introduced; but no source population near Wisconsin



Figure 2: Courtesy of Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council<sup>4</sup> Figure 3: Courtesy of John Rodgers; University of Florida<sup>14</sup>

III. Damage Potential	
a. Ecosystem Impacts	
Composition	Dense mats shade out native submersed plants <sup>3</sup> ; displaces native plants that are important for fish and wildlife <sup>3</sup> ; dense canopies create ideal breeding environments for mosquitos <sup>13</sup>
Structure	Forms dense mats of intertwined stems over the surface of the water <sup>3</sup> ; alters native plant and fish communities <sup>9</sup>
Function	Decreased light penetration and dissolved oxygen <sup>9</sup>
Allelopathic Effects	Undocumented
Keystone Species	Undocumented
<b>Ecosystem Engineer</b>	Yes; dense canopy decreases light penetration <sup>9</sup>
Sustainability	Undocumented
Biodiversity	Decreases
Biotic Effects	Impacts native species at multiple trophic levels
Abiotic Effects	Decreases light penetration; reduces dissolved oxygen <sup>9</sup>
Benefits	Undocumented
b. Socio-Economic Effects	
<b>Benefits</b> Caveats	Cultivated for culinary and medicinal use <sup>3</sup> ; livestock fodder <sup>7,8</sup> ; removal of nitrogen and phosphorous from eutrophic water bodies <sup>15</sup>
Impacts of Restriction	Risk of release and population expansion outweighs benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs
Negatives	Tangled mats obstruct water flow in drainage and flood canals <sup>3</sup> ; dense canopies create ideal breeding environments for mosquitos <sup>13</sup> ; a major weed of rice and other crops in 60 countries <sup>7</sup> ; interferes with fisheries and inhibits boat passage <sup>7</sup>
Expectations	More negative impacts can be expected in warm, humid systems
Cost of Impacts	Decreased recreational and aesthetic value; decline in ecological integrity; increased research expenses
"Eradication" Cost	Expensive
IV. Control and Prevention	
a. Detection	
Crypsis: Benefits of Early Response:	Medium; other <i>Ipomoea</i> spp. and creeping herbaceous vines High

b. Control	
Management Goal 1	Eradication
Tool:	Chemical herbicide <sup>3</sup>
Caveat:	Herbicides are non-specific and non-target plant species are negatively
	impacted
Cost:	Expensive; likely requires multi-year treatment scheme <sup>16</sup>
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Temporary results, limited efficacy

<sup>1</sup> US Forest Service, Pacific Island Ecosystems at Risk (PIER). 2010. *Ipomoea aquatica* Forssk., Convolvulaceae. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.hear.org/pier/species/ipomoea\_aquatica.htm

- <sup>2</sup> United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service. 2010. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA, USA. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://plants.usda.gov/java/profile?symbol=IPAQ
- <sup>3</sup> Global Invasive Species Database. 2006. *Ipomoea aquatica*. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=477&fr=1&sts=sss
- <sup>4</sup> Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council. *Ipomoea aquatica* Forsskal. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.fleppc.org/ID\_book/ipomea%20aquatica.pdf
- <sup>5</sup> Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Invasive Plant Management. Weed Alert: Water-spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*). Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.myfwc.com/docs/WildlifeHabitats/InvasivePlants\_WaterSpinch06.pdf
- <sup>6</sup> Kathiresan, R.M. 2006. Effects of Global Warming on Invasion of Alien Plants in Asia. Annamalai University, India (Symposium).
- <sup>7</sup> Scher, J.L. and D.S. Walters. 2010. Federal noxious weed disseminules of the U.S. California Department of Food and Agriculture, and Center for Plant Health Science and Technology, USDA, APHIS, PPQ. *Ipomoea aquatica* Forssk. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://keys.lucidcentral.org/keys/v3/FNWE2/key/FNW\_Seeds/Media/Html/fact\_sheets/Ipomoea \_aquatica.htm
- <sup>8</sup> Harwood, E. and M. Sytsma. 2003. Risk Assessment for Chinese Water Spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) in Oregon. Center for Lakes and Reservoirs, Portland State University. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.oregon.gov/OISC/docs/pdf/ipaq\_ra.pdf
- <sup>9</sup> Galveston Bay Estuary Program. 2010. The Quiet Invasion: A Guide to Invasive Plants of the Galvaston Bay Area. Water spinach, swamp morning-glory. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.galvbayinvasives.org/Guide/Species/IpomoeaAquatica
- <sup>10</sup> Salawu, E.O. and S.S. Afolabi. 1994. Weed hosts of a root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, at the Bacita Sugarcane Plantation, Nigeria. Pakistan Journal of Nematology 12(1):67-71.
- <sup>11</sup> Cerkauskas, R.F., S.T. Koike, H.R. Azad, D.T. Lowery and L.W. Stobbs. 2006. Diseases, pests, and abiotic disorders of greenhouse-grown water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) in Ontario and California. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 28(1):63-70.
- <sup>12</sup> Ko, R.Z., M.J. Chen, J.L. Su, W.F. Tong, Y.T. Chang, Z.Y. Yeh and H.M. Chou. 2001. Effects of white rust (*Albugo ipomoeae-aquaticae*) on the photosynthesis of *Ipomoea aquatica*. Plant Protection Bulletin (Taichung) 43(3):189-198.
- <sup>13</sup> Fears, N.A. Aquatic Exotics on the Move Water Spinach. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://www.iisgcp.org/EXOTICSP/waterspinach.htm

- <sup>14</sup> Rodgers, J. University of Florida. Retrieved December 23, 2010 from: http://plants.ifas.ufl.edu/ipaqpic.html
- <sup>15</sup> Zhou, X., J. Wang, L. Xue, X. Xu and L. Yang. 2005. N and P removal characters of eutrophic water body under planted float. Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao 16(11):2199-2203.
- <sup>16</sup> Herman, L. 2007. Personal communication.