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THESIS ABSTRACT
Phytochemical investigation was carried out on five species viz. Leucas stelligera, Lavandula gibsoni, Anisomeles heyneana, Anisochilus verticillatus and Plectranthus mollis belonging to family Lamiaceae. Analysis of essential oil from L. gibsoni and P. mollis was carried out. Extracts/essential oil and isolated compounds were evaluated for different biological activities. Salient features of this work are as follows:

1. This is the first report of chemical investigation on L. stelligera and $A$. verticillatus.
2. Forty compounds were isolated, eight from L. stelligera, thirteen from $L$. gibsoni, six from A. heyneana, seven from $A$. verticillatus and six from P. mollis.
3. Six new compounds belonging to labdane class were isolated from L. stelligera. Cis-8-epi-sclareol belongs to rare class of labdane diterpene with cis decalin ring fusion.
4. Six new compounds were isolated from L. gibsoni. Skeleton 2,2diphenylpropane was shown as naturally occurring. Two new compounds, 2, 19-dimethylcosane-9, 12-diol and its diacetate representing new skeleton were isolated. Two new monoterpenes with rarely occurring ethoxyl substituents were isolated.
5. One new phyllocladane diterpene was isolated from $A$. heyneana. Phyllocladane skeleton is probably first time reported for family Lamiaceae.
6. Seven new compounds belonging to isopimarane class were isolated from $A$. verticillatus. 8,9 -secoisopimarane is reported as new skeleton.
7. Sitoseryl glucoside palmitate is probably first time isolated from family Lamiaceae so is euscaphic acid D, an ursane class of triterpene.
8. 4-Methylresorcinol is probably first time reported as natural product.
9. Other isolated known compounds include flavonoids, velutin, chrysoeriol, salvigenin, apigenin-dimethyl ether, 3'-O-methyleupatorin, eupatorin; phenolic compounds, hydroxychavicol, verbascoside, sesamin, coumarin, 8hydroxythymol; cembrane diterpene ovatodiolide; phyllocladane diterpene triol; triterpenes ursolic acid, corosolic acid and sterols stigmasterol and sitosterol glucoside.
10. Diterpenes 1, 2, 3 and $\mathbf{4}$ isolated from L. stelligera and ovatodiolide isolated from A. heyneana were potent inhibitors of Mycobacterial tuberculosis with compounds 1, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ being selective in inhibiting M. tuberculosis and inactive against M. smegmatis, E. coli and cancer cell lines, MCF-7, THP-1 and HepG2.
11. Essential oil analysis was carried out on two species, L. gibsoni and P. mollis. This is the first report of essential oil analysis of L. gibsoni. It was shown to be dominated by $\alpha$ - terpinolen, $22.22 \%$; thymol, $10.42 \%$ and benzenemethanol, 4-(1methylethyl), $4.52 \%$. This composition is different from that reported for other Lavandula species. Strong mosquito repellency of oil against Aedes aegypti is first time reported.
12. Essential oil of P. mollis contained piperitone oxide, $23.76 \%$; fenchone, $19.19 \%$ and $\beta$-caryophyllene, $10.39 \%$ as major components. Larvicidal potential of the oil and acetone extract against three vector species viz. A. aegypti, A. stiphensi and C. quinquefasciatus is reported for first time.







## New compounds isolated from L. stelligera.






New compounds isolated from L. gibsoni.


Phyllocladane diterpene



Ovatodiolide- cembrane diterpene

New compounds isolated from A. heyneana.



8, 9-Seco isopimarane diterpene



New compounds isolated from A.verticillatus belonging to isopimarane and rearranged isopimarane class.

## General Experimental Procedure:

a. Insruments: The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded using 400 MHz and 100 MHz Bruker FT-NMR Ultra Shield spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million $(\delta)$. Residual solvent peaks in respective deuterated solvents were used as internal reference, 7.28 and 77.00 (central peak) for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 3.31 and 49 (entral peak) for $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and mixture of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 7.22$ and 150.30 for Pyridine-d $\mathrm{d}_{5}$. Optical rotation was recorded with a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter. UV spectra were obtained with CAREY 300. The IR spectra were measured with a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR spectrometer and attenuated total reflectance (ATR) spectra were recorded using Bruker Optics intrument The HREIMS data were obtained using MSI-Autoconcept mass spectrometer. ESIMS was recorded with API-QSTAR-PULSAR spectrometer. X-ray crystallography was carried out using Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer with CCD detector and Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Melting point was recorded using Buchi melting point apparatus B540.

The GC-FID and GC-MS analyses of the essential oils were carried out with Varian CP 3800 apparatus equipped with FID and a GsBP5 capillary column ( 30 m lenght, 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm ).
b. Chemicals: Column chromatography (CC) was carried out on silica gel 100200\# (from Thomas Baker) for first column separarion of extract and 230-400\# for all subsequent separations. Precoated plates of silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ from Merck Ltd. were used for analytical and preparative TLC. All the solvents used in CC and preparative TLC were distilled prior to use and HPLC grade solvents from Thomas Baker were used for UV and IR spectroscopy, oprtical rotation measurement and crystallization. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and He used in GC-FID and GC-MS were dry.

## c. Collection and processing:

Endemism, abundance and conservation status were considered along with level of scientific exploration before selection of plant members. None of the plants studied in this work are threatened and Leucas stelligera, Lavandula gibsoni, Anisochilus verticillatus, Anisomeles heyneana are endemic to Sahyadri (part of Western Ghats in State of Maharashtra).

Healthy individuals in flowering were collected from their natural environment. Collection amount was such as to keep majority of local population at the site intact. Plants were identified in field by Dr. P. Tetali and herbarium speciemens were deposited in Botanical Survey of India, Pune.

They were cleaned off adhereing dust, unwanted plant material, etc. and roots were removed. Aerial parts were dried in shade, cut and pulverized.

## c. Extraction, isolation and structure elucidation of compounds:

Extract was prepared by keeping the pulverized plant material in contact with 3L of acetone for 14 h at room temperature. This procedure was repeated for three days. Respective acetone solubles were filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and at temperature not exceeding $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield acetone extracts. Series of dry Column Chromatographies were used to separate compounds from extract. They were purified by using sinle or multiple preparative TLCs. Details are given in individual chapters.

Combination of NMR spectra and homogeneity on TLC using different mobile phase was considered as criteria for purity of compound. Compounds were nearly complety isolated from all column fractions to determine yields.

## d. Essential oil analysis:

For both GC-FID and GC-MS analyses, the oven temperature was programmed rising from 50 to $260^{\circ}$ at $3^{0} / \mathrm{min}$ hold at $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min ; injector temperature, $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detector temperature, $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{He}(1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$; injection volume, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$; split ratio, 6: 4. The Liniear Retention Indices (LRIs) of the constituents were determined relative to the retention times of a series of $n$ alkanes (C9-C38), and the relative percentages of the individual components of the oils were obtained from the GC-FID peak-area percentages after applying correction factors.

## d. Biological assys:

Protocols for all the assys carried out during the present work are given in respective chapters. They were carried out by respective groups in NCL and their efforts are duly recognized in aknowlodgments. Inhibition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. smegmatis, Escherishia coli as well as cytotoxicity againse HeLA, THP-1 and COL cell lines were carried out by Dr. Dhiman Sarkar and his
group. Larvicidal and mosquito repellency was tested by Dr. A. sen and his group though we were actively involved in planning and executing the experiments.

## Chapter 1

## Chemistry and Pharmacology of family

 Lamiaceae: A review
## Introduction:

Family Lamiaceae is a large family with 180 genera and 3500 species of cosmopolitan distribution. In India the family is represented by 64 genera and 340 species of which $18 \%$ are endemic [1].

A number of species of family Lamiaceae are of medicinal, commercial and of culinary importance. They also find place in the Traditional Systems of Medicines all over the world including Ayurveda. A brief survey of the research carried out on Lamiaceae members reveals importance of this family as a source of potentially bioactive molecules. Hence it is imperative to study the lesser known members including those that havef restricted distribution.

Taxonomically, some authors have combined Lamiaceae and Verbenaceae into one family [2]. Based on this, Lamiaceae is divided into seven sub-families viz. Ajugoideae, Scutellarioideae, Lamioideae, Nepetoideae, Viticoideae, Symphorematoideae and Prostantheroideae [3]. Genera studied in the present work viz. Anisochilus, Lavandula and Plectranthus belong to Nepetoideae while Anisomeles and Leucas belong to Lamioideae [4]. Except for Anisomeles and Anisochilus, other genera have been reviewed earlier by other workers and are referred to in respective sections. In short, these previous reviewed work is summarised below:

Genus Leucas is represented by many pharmacological activities and with ethnopharmacological background and has been reviewed by Chauhan and Singh [5]. Plectranthus is an economically and medicinally important genus. Consequently many reviews [42, 132-134a and b] have appeared dealing with chemical and ethnobotanical aspects of Plectranthus. Review by Lukhoba et. al.[42] gives account of ethnobotany, Abdel-Mogib et. al. reviews chemistry of entire Plectranthus up to 1999 [132] and Waldia et. al. deal with chemistry of Plectranthus reported by Indian workers upto 2010 [133]. Reviews by Alasbahi and co-workers deal with chemistry and ethnobotany of $P$. barbatus [134a, 134b]. Genus Lavandula is also well known, especially as a source of essential oil of commercial importance. Book by M. Lis-Balchin reviews commercial angle of Lavandula [81].

This is the first attempt to review genera Anisochilus and Anisomeles. Phytochemical work on genus Plectranthus after three reviews mentioned above also has been updated. Literature on Plectranthus is compounded by many synonyms. Hence this review is restricted to searches by word "Plectranthus" only. Similarly phytochemical work on genus Lavandula not included in the book mentioned above [81] as well as some literature on essential oils with composition different from that normally found in this genus is given here.

The review is divided into three sections representing I) ethnobotany and pharmacology, II) essential oil analysis and III) chemistry and bioactivity of isolated molecules wherever reported. Each section is divided into five parts representing five genera.

## Section I. Ethnobotany and pharmacology:

## i. Leucas:

## a. Ethnopharmacology:

Various species of this genus are used in Traditional Systems of Medicine. Decoction of whole plant of L. aspera is used in dysentery [6], jaundice and bronchitis [7]. Juice of fresh leaves is used to treat anorexia while young leaves are used in cold, headache and chronic rheumatism [8]. Fried leaf paste is applied on forehead to relieve pain [9]. Leaves are boiled with black pepper and used as gargle to treat throatache [10]. Aerial parts are used in constipation and leaves are used in fever and urinary complaints. Roots are used in mad dog bite and to stop bleeding from nose [10]. L. aspera also finds use in ethnoveterinary practice where juice of leaves is added in nose to revert HCN poisoning in cattle whereas leaves along with other herbs are used to treat tympany [11].
L. cephalotes whole herb is a crude drug known as Dronapuspi in the Ayurvedic system of medicine and has been used as diaphoretic, antiinflammatory, against oedema, and obstinate urinary troubles as well as diabetes and for diseases due to the aggravator of pitta [12]. In the Unani system of medicine it is registered as useful drug in the treatment of cough, cold, and gastric complaints [13]. Flowering twigs of $L$. cephalotes is used in a polyherbal combination to treat pus in ears [14]. The herb is also used in scorpion bite [15].
L. lanata leaf infusion is given to cattle along with butter at the time of delivery [16]. Leaves of L. lavandulaefolia are used in many situations. Juice is used for wound healing in cattle [17] while young leaves are used to stop bleeding from nose in humans [18]. Juice of whole plant is used in a polyherbal formulation in case of bites by snakes and other venomous insects [19]. Decoction of leaves is used in the treatment of asthma and applied to genitals for treatment of venereal diseases [20]. Whole plant alone is used in conjunctivitis and in combination with turmeric and seeds of mustard for treatment of migraine [21]. Root of L. lavandulaefolia is used with turmeric to relieve stomachache [21] while aerial parts of this herb are used as a sedative in nervous disorders [23].

Stems and leaves of $L$. zeylanica are used in headache and roots in treatment of malarial fever [22]. Leaves and paste of roots are used to heal cuts and wounds [22]. Herb L. aspera is used as food species by tribal people in certain regions of India [24] so is L. stelligera [25]. L. inflata is commonly used in local folk medical practices against a variety of ailments in United Arab Emirates [26].

## b. Pharmacology:

Various pharmacological studies have been carried out on different species of genus Leucas. Anti-inflammatory evaluation of the methanol extract of $L$. lavandulaefolia has been reported by Saha et al. The extract was found to possess significant inhibitory activity against carrageenin, histamine, serotonin, and dextran induced hind paw oedema in rats. The effect produced by extract was comparable to that of phenylbutazone [27]. Same group reported wound healing activity of L. lavandulaefolia. The results were comparable to standard drug nitrofurazone in terms of wound contracting ability, wound closure time, tensile strength and tissue generation at the site of wound [28]. Mukherjee et. al. substantiated folkloric use of $L$. lavandulaefolia as sedative by using various animal models [29]. Same group evaluated methanol extract of this herb for antipyretic potential with yeast induced pyrexia in rats [30]. The effect produced was found to be comparable to that of a standard antipyretic drug paracetamol. But in another study methanolic extract of L. lavandulaefolia did not show significant inhibition of NO production [31].

Based on various ethnopharmacologic uses of other members of Leucas, AlYousuf, et. al. studied analgesic activity of the methanol and acetone extracts of L. inflata. It was concluded that the crude methanol and acetone extract of $L$. inflata had CNS depressant properties, manifested as anti-nociception and sedation. Both extracts also exhibited anti-inflammatory and antipyretic actions [32]. Muregi et. al. studied anti-plasmodium activity of various extracts of $L$. calostachys. The plant did not show significant inhibition in any of the extracts tested [33]. Manjunatha et. al. reported wound healing activity of L. hirta [34].

## ii. Anisochilus:

## a. Ethnopharmacology:

Genus Anisochilus is a small genus represented by sixteen members. Of all the sixteen species, scientific literature is available on only two species viz. $A$. carnosus and A. harmandii. A. carnosus is used by tribes in north-western Maharashtra for treatment of stomach-ache [35].

## b. Pharmacology:

Aqueous extract of leaves of $A$. carnosus is reported to be protecting against rifampicin induced liver damage in rats [36] while ethanolic extract of leaves inhibited E. coli. [37]. A. carnosus is a part of Chinese patented composition to be used as a feed additive to inhibit avian influenza virus and improve avian immunity [73].

## iii. Anisomeles:

## a. Ethnopharmacology:

A. malabarica is widely used internally for rheumatism and a variety of diseases. An infusion of leaves is used for dyspepsia, while essential oil distilled from the leaves as an embrocation in rheumatic arthritis [38]. A. indica is used as anti-inflammatory in Taiwan [39]. A. malabarica is used in folk medicine for the treatment of cancer and liver disorders [40]. Other traditional uses documented are as antispasmodic, diaphoretic, antipyretic and antiperiodic properties [41].

## b. Pharmacology:

Traditional use of $A$. malabarica in the treatment of cancer has been supported by demonstration of significant protection offered by leaf ethanolic extract against cancer induced in mice [40].

## iv. Plectranthus:

## Ethnopharmacology/pharmacology:

Ethnobotanical uses of Plectranthus have been reviewed by Lukhoba et. al. revealing varied uses of around 62 species [42]. Traditional applications include treatment for skin conditions, respiratory conditions, digestive disorder, genitourinary problems, infections and associated fever and musculo-skeletal conditions. Members of this genus are also used in central nervous ailments, psychological problems, insomnia, etc. According to authors, P. mollis, a herb found only in India, is used against eight different categories of medicinal problems that include, respiratory stimulant and vasoconstrictor, febrifuge, rheumatism, cardiac depressant, haemorrhage, mental retardation, snakebites and as general tonic. Pharmacologically, P. mollis is reported to exhibit relaxant activity on smooth and skeletal muscles as well as cytotoxic and anti-tumour promoting activities. Miscellaneously, $P$. mollis is used as an insect repellent and as instrument to drive evil spirit away. It is an edible plant, leave being cooked and eaten.

Post this review article, a single article has been published by Mgole and coworkers. In it ethnobotanical study has been carried out in six villages in the Bunda district, Mara Region, Tanzania that revealed use of leaf infusion of $P$. kilimandschari in chest pain, cough, dysmenorrhoea and dysentery. The plant is also used in psychiatric problems and ulcers [43].

## v. Lavandula:

## a. Ethnopharmacology:

A glance at recent literature reveals different purposes for which various species of Lavandula are used. L. angustifolia (= L. officinalis) is extensively used for various conditions that include rheumatism, lumbago [44], diabetes, hypertension [45], ailments of urinary system, nervous system and asthma [46]. L. stoechas is used for headache, nervous disorder, insomnia, hypertension and inflamed wounds [47], rheumatism [44], diabetes [48], etc. L. latifolia is used in general gynaecological disorders [49, 50]. L. spica is used in fever, stomachache [51]. L. multifida is used in alopecia, as anti-asthmatic, anti-catarrhal, antiseptic, antispasmodic, anti-vertiginous, anxiolytic, bronchidilator, carminative, diaphoretic, diuretic and stomachic [52]. L. antineae an endemic herb of central

Sahara is used in chills [53]. L. dentata is used in hypertension, diabetes and cardiac disorders [54].

## b. Pharmacology:

A number of pharmacological studies have confirmed traditional uses of $L$. angustifolia ( $=$ L. officinalis) [55-60] as well as have demonstrated new activities and potential uses such as inhibition of acetyl cholinesterase [61], HIV RT inhibition [62] and anti tyrosinase activity as a possible use in skin colour lightening and food preservation [63]. Similarly anticonvulsant and antispasmodic activities of $L$. stoechas have been demonstrated [64]

## Section II. Essential oil analysis:

## i. Leucas:

Analysis of leaf and flower essential oils of L. martinicensis revealed 1-hepten-3-ol (7.6-21.5\% and 12.8-17.8\%) and germacrene D (30.3-39.9\% and 29.7-37.0\%), as major constituents [65]. GC-MS analysis of petroleum ether fraction of L. hyssopifolia revealed fourteen aliphatic long-chain hydrocarbons from heptadecane to hexacosane and nine aliphatic ethyl esters, ethyl tetradecanoate to ethyl heneicosanoate [66]. Essential oil from inflorescence of $L$. cephalotes was found to contain caryophyllene oxide, $26.56 \%$; $\delta$-fenchene, $12.02 \%$; $\beta$-ionone, $9.41 \%$; 1-hepten-3-ol, $6.53 \%$; menthol, $6.30 \%$; decahydro naphthalene, $5.15 \%$; $\alpha$-cadinol, $2.13 \%$ and $\beta$-caryophyllene $4.05 \%$ [67]. Report on volatiles from $L$.indica showed sesquiterpene $\beta$-caryophyllene, $24.9 \%$; monoterpene linalool, $24.4 \%$; octane derivatives, 1 -octen-3-ol, 4.2\%; 3-octanol, $2.3 \%$; trans-2-octen-3-ol, $2.1 \%$ and 3 -octanone, $2.0 \%$ as well as hexane derivatives cis-3-hexen-1-ol, 4.2\%; hexanol, $3.1 \%$ and trans-2-hexen-1-ol, $2.4 \%$ as principle constituents [68]. $\beta$-cubebene, $38.15 \% ; \alpha$-pinene, $19.71 \% ; \beta$ caryophyllene, $7.41 \%$ and $\alpha$-terpinolene, $5.34 \%$ were the major constituents from volatile components obtained from leaves of L. milanjiana [69]. Chemical analysis from aerial parts of L. glabrata gave iso-menthone, $31.8 \%$; pulegone, $11.4 \%$; piperitone, $10.6 \%$ and piperitenone, $6.67 \%$ as major constituents. The oil also exhibited strong anti-bacterial property [70].

## ii. Anisochilus:

Essential oil obtained by hydro distillation from aerial parts of $A$. carnosus was active against Gram +ve and Gram -ve bacteria. The oil was essentially terpenic in composition with carvacrol, camphor and alpha cis-begamotene accounting for around $65 \%$ of entire composition [71]. Essential oil analysis of oil isolated from $A$. carnosus growing in southern India was also terpenic in composition with sesqueterpene caryophyllene and its oxide accounting for $23 \%$ and monoterpenes accounting for $33 \%$ of oil composition [72]. Essential oil of $A$. carnosus was found to reduce in vitro intestinal manifestations of allergic and anaphylactic disorders.

## iii. Anisomeles:

Two report on the analysis of essential oil of this genus are available. Analysis of $A$. malabarica essential oil revealed presence of citral, geranic acid and hydrocarbons as main constituents [38]. Essential oil analysis of leaves of $A$. indica led to identification of $\beta$-pinene, eugenol, 1,8 -cineol as major constituents along with $\alpha$-pinene, d-limonene, methylchavicol, d- $\alpha$-thujone, citral, borneol, nerol, $\alpha$-terpineol, azulene and caryophyllene [130].

## iv. Plectranthus:

The essential oils of fresh and dried leaves of $P$. glandulosus from Cameroon were analyzed by GC and GC/MS. The oils were characterized by a high percentage of oxygenated monoterpenes ( $58.6 \%$ and $84.6 \%$ respectively) represented by cis-piperitone oxide ( $3.0 \%$ and $35.1 \%$ ), trans-piperitone oxide $(0.5 \%$ and $12.6 \%$ ), fenchone ( $30.8 \%$ and $21.6 \%$ ) and piperitenone oxide ( $10.9 \%$ and $6.0 \%$ ). The main monoterpene hydrocarbons were terpinolene $(25.2 \%$ and $7.7 \%$ ), limonene ( $3.2 \%$ and $1.7 \%$ ) and myrcene ( $2.2 \%$ and $1.6 \%$ ). Sesquiterpene derivatives were found in a very low percentage ( $<2.5 \%$ ), represented mainly by germacrene D ( $1.4 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ ) [74]. Analysis of oil of shade dried aerial parts of P. marrubioides revealed presence of camphor (49\%), 1,8-cineol (9\%), pcymene( $3 \%$ ), $\alpha$-terpenene ( $3 \%$ ), fenchone and isocaryophyllene (both $2 \%$ ) [75]. The oil was most repellent among the oils tested against 5-7days old females of Anopheles gambiae $\left(\mathrm{RD}_{50}=8.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}\right)$. Essential oil of same plant was also reported to posseses most potent fumigant toxicity against same
mosquito species by same group [76]. In a similar study, oil of $P$. longipes, was evaluated for repellency on forearms of human volunteers against $A$. gambiae. The oil was found to be quite potent. [77]. Analysis of oil of P. amboinicus gave thymol ( $64.3 \%$ ), p-cymene ( $10.3 \%$ ), $\gamma$-terpinene ( $9.9 \%$ ) and $\beta$-caryophyllene $(2.8 \%)$ as major components [78]. The leaf oil of this species demonstrated antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Proteus vulgaris and Aeromonas caviae as well as moderate fungicidal activity against Aspergillus niger [78]. In another study, analysis of oil of same species from Tamil Nadu, India showed chemical compostion as carvacrol (28.65\%) as major component followed by thymol (21.66\%), $\alpha$-humulene (9.67\%), undecanal (8.29\%), $\gamma$ terpinene $(7.76 \%)$, $\rho$-cymene ( $6.46 \%$ ), caryophyllene oxide ( $5.85 \%$ ), $\alpha$-terpineol ( $3.28 \%$ ) and $\beta$-selinene ( $2.01 \%$ ) [79]. The oil was tested for its malarial vector control effect against larvae of Anopheles stephensi with $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values were 33.54 (after 12 h ) and 28.37 ppm (after 24 h ). The $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ values were 70.27 (after 12 h ) and 59.38 ppm (after 24 h ). Oil of P. glandulosus from Cameroon and Germany evoked a maximum percent repellency of $100 \%$ for adult Prostephanus truncatus and two strains of Sitophilus zeamais but the authors concluded that fenchone a [2.2.1]bicycloheptane monoterpene, though a major constituent of $P$. glandulosus oil, might only be a minor component of its bioactivity [80].

## v. Lavandula:

Compilation of different aspects of essential oil of the genus Lavandula has already appeared [81]. In general, Lavandula essential oil is characterized by linalol, linalyl acetate, camphor, 1,8-cineol, carvacrol, etc. Here Lavandula oils showing compositions different from those normally found are also reviewed below.

Main components of L. stoechas ssp. stoechas oil were pulegone (40.4\%), menthol ( $18.1 \%$ ) and menthone ( $12.6 \%$ ). The essential oil of the plant was evaluated for anti-bacterial and for panel of cytotoxic activities [82]. It was found to be active against COL-2 (Human Colon Cancer-2) ( $9.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and weakly active against LNCaP (hormone-dependent human prostate cancer) $(17.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ while the chloroform extract of the same plant was found to be highly active against P-388 ( $1.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Both oil and chloroform extract did not show any
activity against the ASK cell line. The essential oil was tested against standard bacterial strains and showed antibacterial activity against most of the tested standard bacterial strains except, S. epidermidis, E. faecalis, and C. albicans [82]. Analysis of volatile components of $L$. luisieri collected from different sources, by direct thermal desorption-GCMS revealed camphor and 1,8 -cineole (up to 80.9 and $76.7 \%$ in leaves; 87.8 and $85.2 \%$ in flowers, respectively) as major constituents and 2,3,5,5-tetramethyl-4-methylene-2-cyclopenten-1-one as another major component (up to $60 \%$ in flowers and leaves) [83].

By using headspace solvent micro extraction coupled with hydrodistillation, composition of essential oil of $L$. angustifolia was studied which revealed linalool (32.8\%), linalyl acetate (17.6\%), lavandulyl acetate (15.9\%), $\alpha$-terpineol (6.7\%) and geranyl acetate (5.0\%) as major constituents [84]. Fifty-five samples of essential oil obtained from individual plants of $L$. dentata var. dentata collected from different parts of from Algeria were analysed using GC and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectroscopy. The results were submitted to chemometric analysis. Two principal clusters of equal importance were distinguished. The samples belonging to cluster I were characterized by a very high content of 1,8 -cineole (mean value $48 \%$ ). Conversely, the mean composition of the samples of cluster II were dominated by 1,8-cineole, $\beta$-pinene, trans-pinocarveol and linalool [85]. Analysis of essential oil of L. angustifolia from Xinjiang, China, gave linalool (44.54\%), geraniol (11.02\%), lavandul acetate (10.78\%), 3,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadien-1-ol (10.35\%), and isoterpineol ( $6.75 \%$ ) as the main components [86]. Analysis of essential oil of L. bipinnata revealed trans-carveol (18.93\%), pulegone (8.45\%), camphor $(7.09 \%)$ and menthol ( $5.89 \%$ ) as major components. Other constituents present in fairly good amounts were piperitone (4.65\%), caryophyllene oxide (3.68\%), linalyl acetate ( $3.37 \%$ ) and bicyclogermacrene ( $3.09 \%$ ) [87]. The oil was screened for antimicrobial activity against bacteria and fungus. Oil was found to be very active against $B$. subtilis, $S$. aureus, Micrococcus spp., A. niger, moderately active against E. coli, S. dysentery, E. feacalis, VRE, C. albicans and less activity against $P$. aureginosa and $P$. notatum. The minimum inhibiting concentration (MIC) of essential oil ranged from 0.5 to $2.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and 2 to $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for bacteria and fungi respectively [87].

## Section III. Chemistry and bioactive molecules:

## i. Leucas:

A recent article published by Chauhan et. al. gives a review of genus Leucas [5]. Work on this genus again again reviewed here.

Labellenic acid (octadeca-5,6-dienoic acid) was shown to be major component of seed oil of L. cephalotes [88]. 1-hydroxytetratriacontan-4-one and 32-methyltetratriacontan-8-ol were reported from the shoots of $L$.aspera [89]. Same group reported 28-hydroxypentatriacontan-7-one and 7-hydroxydotriacontan-2-one as well as 5 -acetoxytriacontane and $\beta$-sitosterol from same plant [90].

Flavonoids, acacetin (3) and chrysoeriol (12) were isolated from aerial parts of L. lavandulifolia [91] while quercitin (22) and kaemferol (23) were found in leaves of L. urticaefolia [92]. Acylated flavone, apigenin 7-O-[6"-O-(p-hydroxy-tcinnamoyl)glucoside] (8) was isolated from aerial parts of L. neufliseana [93]. From the whole herb of $L$. cephalotes, eight flavones, 5-hydroxy-7,4'dimethoxyflavone (4), pillion (11), gonzalitosin I (13), tricin (20), cosmosin (5), apigenin 7-O- $\beta$-D-(6"-O-p-coumaroyl)glucopyranoside (9), anisofolin A (7) and luteolin 4-O- $\beta$-D-glucuronopyranoside (14) were isolated [94].

Four coumarins, siderin (34), coumarsabin (31), 8-methoxycoumarsabin (32) and coumarleucasin (33) and one chromone leucasone (35), were isolated from roots of $L$. inflata [95]. An isopimarane rhamnoglucoside, linifolioside (131) was isolated from whole plant of L. linifolia [96]. An acyclic diterpene fatty ester, trans-phytyl palmitate (92) was isolated from aerial parts of L. nutans [97]. Three labdane type diterpenes, 3-oxo-marrubiin (108) and a mixture of two related C-15 epimeric diterpenes $9 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 15,16$-bisepoxy-15-hydroxy-3-oxo-labdan-6 6 , 19olide $(\mathbf{1 0 9}, \mathbf{1 1 0})$ were isolated from aerial parts of $L$. neufliseana [98]. From the whole herb of $L$. cephalotes, new labdane, norlabdane and abietane type diterpenes named leucasdins $A$ (111), $B$ (126) and C (173) respectively, were isolated [94].

An oleane type lactone leucalactone (225) was isolated from roots of $L$. aspera [99]. A lupane type triterpene glycoside, leucasin (230) was isolated from
whole plant of L. nutans [100]. Oleanolic acid (205) was isolated from whole herb of L. cephalotes [94]. Sterols campesterol (240), brassicasterol (239), cholesterol (241), $\beta$-sitosterol (231), and stigmasterol (235) were isolated from L. lanata [101] while 7-oxositosterol (232), 7-oxostigmasterol (236), 7 $\alpha$-hydroxysitosterol (233), $7 \alpha$-hydroxystigmasterol (237) and stigmasterol (235) were isolated from whole herb of L. cephalotes [94]. Alkaloid nicotine (69) was isolated from the aerial parts of L. aspera [102].
(E)-Phytol (91) isolated from L. volkensi displayed antimycobacterial activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis [103]. Oleanolic acid 3-acetate (206), apigenin (1), apigenin-7-O- $\beta$-D-(6"-O-p-coumaroyl)glucopyranoside (9), cirsimaritin (5,4'-dihydroxy-6,7-dimethoxyflavone) (15), mixture of $\beta$-sitosterol (231) and stigmasterol (235), and mixture of $\beta$-sitosterol-3-O- $\beta$-D-glucoside (234) and stigmasterol-3-O- $\beta$-D-glucoside (238) were isolated from the n -hexane, chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions of the methanolic extract of the whole herbs of L. mollissima var. chinensis of which apigenin (1) exhibited potent antiinflammatory activity [104]. Bioassay guided separation of L. aspera yielded eight lignans (43-50) and four flavonoids (3, 12, 7, 9) of which lignans 49, 50 and 45 inhibited prostaglandin synthesis while lignans 49,50 and 43 and all flavonoids exhibited antioxidant activity [105]. Same authors reported isolation of diterpenes leucasperones $A(124)$ and $B(125)$ and leucasperols $A(112)$ and $B$ (113), three new isopimarane glycosides, leucasperosides $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 3 2}), \mathrm{B}(133)$, and C (134) together with the known compounds asperphenamate (70), maslinic acid (207), (-)-isololiolide (82), and linifolioside (131) from same source. Out of these, leucasperone $A$ (124), leucasperosides $A(132)$ and $B$ (133), and linifolioside (131) showed inhibition of prostaglandin induced contractions [106]. Flavonoid glucosides leufolins $A(61)$ and $B(57)$, were isolated from the ethyl acetate soluble fraction of the whole plants of L. urticifolia. Both of these compounds exhibited significant inhibitory potential against the enzyme butyrylcholinesterase [107]. Six phenylethanoid glycosides $\mathbf{( 5 1 - 5 6 )}$ were isolated from aerial parts of $L$. indica. All these compounds exhibited significant antioxidant activity in 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical assay. These compounds were also found to be moderate inhibitors of enzyme xanthine oxidase [108].

## ii. Anisochilus:

Few reports on chemical investigation of genus Anisochilus are available. Leaves of A. carnosus revealed presence of small amount of flavonoid leuteolin (10) and apigenin (1) [109] while a 3,5,7,4'- tetrahydroxy-8-isoprenyl flavonoid (25) was isolated from its aerial parts [110]. A quinone (243) toxic to fungi species Aspergillus, Penicillium and Fusarium was characterized from aerial parts of $A$. carnosus [111]. Recently two new diterpenes, 4-epi-triptobenzene L (145) and 12-Odeacetyl-6-O-acetyl-19-acetyloxycoleon Q (174), as well as eight known diterpenes ( $\mathbf{1 4 2 - 1 4 4}, \mathbf{1 4 6}, \mathbf{1 4 7}, \mathbf{1 7 5}$ and 176) were isolated from the aerial parts of A. hamandii [112]. Compounds 143, 145, 174 and 176 exhibited antiplasmodial activity against Plasmodium falciparum, while 142, 143, and 148 showed antimycobacterial activity against $M$. tuberculosis. In addition, $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ showed strong cytotoxicity against NCI-H187 cells.

## iii. Anisomeles:

Betulinic acid (226) was isolated from petroleum ether extract of $A$. malabarica [113]. Ovatodiolide (93) and anisomelic acid (94) were isolated from hexane extract of whole extract of A. malabarica [114] Anisomelolide (95), malabaric acid (96), 2-acetoxymalabaric acid (97), anisomelyl acetate (98) and anisomelol (99) were isolated from A. malabarica [115] so also anisomelin (17) [116]. Apigenin 7-O- $\beta$-D-(4",6"-di-O-p-coumaroyl)glucoside (64) and its 2",6" isomer (62) were isolated from stem parts of this plant [117].

Chemical investigations on $A$. ovata led to isolation of ovatodiolide (93) [118, 124], anisofolin-A (apigenin 7-O- $\beta$-D-(3",6"-di-O-p-coumaroyl)glucoside) (63) [119], salvigenin (5-hydroxy-3', 4',6,7-tetramethoxylflavone) (18), anisomelin (17), and apigenin (1) [120], flavone glycosides (62, 64) [121], 5,6-Dimethoxy-7,3',4'-trihydroxyflavone (19) [122], cosmosin hydrate (6), cosmosin (5), terniflorin (58), prunin (28), prunin- 6"-p-coumarate (60), and prunin-3",6"-di-pcoumarate (65) [123] as well as various other terpenoids like glutinone (213), friedelin (214), glutinol (212), betulin (227); steroids like $\beta$-sitosterol (231) and its glucoside (234); methyl-p-hydroxycinnamate (36) and anisomelic acid (94) alongwith n-hentriacontane [124].

Chemical investigation of petroleum ether extract $A$. indica led to isolation of stigmasterol (235) and $\beta$-sitosterol (231) [125], paraffins and fatty acids cerotic, pentacosanoic, lignoceric, tricosanoic, behemic, heneicosanoic, arachic, stearic, and palmitic acids [126]. Benzene extraction of roots of $A$. indica led to isolation of tetracosane, tetracosanol, $\beta$-amyrin (211), friedelin (214), and betulinic acid (226) while chloroform fraction of the ethanol extract led to isolation of ovatodiolide (93) and anisomelic acid (94), along with flavonoid anisomelin (4',5-dihydroxy-3',6,7-trimethoxyflavone) (17) [127]. 4,7-Oxycycloanisomelic acid (100), 4-methylene-5-hydroxyovatodiolode (103) and 4-methylene-5oxoanisomelic acid (101) were isolated from dried whole plant of $A$. indica along with previously known ovatodiolide (93), 4,5-epoxyovatodiolide (102) and anisomelic acid (94) [128]. Another study reported isolation of $n$-hexacosane, $n-$ hexacosanol, $\beta$-amyrin (211), ovatodiolide (93), anisomelic acid (94), $\beta$-sitosterol (231) along with stearic, palmitic and lignoceric acids from same species [129]. Five new cembrane-type diterpenoids (103-107), a new flavonoid glucoside (59) and 17 known compdounds such as apigenin (1), terniflorin (58), 5,8,4'-trihydroxy-7,3'-dimethoxyflavone (21), anisofolin A (63), anisofolin B (62), and prunin- 6 "- $p$-coumarate ( $\mathbf{6 0}$ ) were isolated from a methanol extract of $A$. indica. Also were isolated from this plant, maslinic acid (207), 3-O-trans-pcoumaroylmaslinic acid (208), hederagenin (209), and arjunolic acid (210); five benzenoids viz. $p$-hydroxybenzoic methyl ester (67), $p$-hydroxybenzoic acid (68), methyl, $p$-hydroxycinnamate (36), methyl, 3,4-dihydroxycinnamate (37), and anisovatodside[131].

## iv. Plectranthus:

So far work on genus Plectranthus has been reviewed in three articles viz. Abdel-Mogib et. al. review covering chemistry literature up to 1999 [132], another review which covers chemistry of Indian Plectranthus covers up to date Indian literature [133]. Also a separate review on $P$. barbatus covers literature up to 2010 [ 134 a and b ]has been published. Here literature after 1999 and excluding Indian work is reviewed.

Three new eudesmane sesquiterpenes, plectranthone (83), desacetylplectranthone (84), isodeacetylplectranthone (85) and the three known
flavonols pachypodol (24), casticin (27), and chrysosplenol D (26) were isolated for the first time from the aerial parts of P. cylindraceus [135]. Two new antioxidative diterpenoids, plectranthol A (149) and plectranthol B (150) along with 2 known diterpenoids, parvifloron E (151) and F (152) were isolated from the leaves of $P$. nummularius. Antioxidative activities of these compounds were measured by the $\alpha, \alpha$-diphenyl- $\beta$-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method. All the compounds were more potent radical scavengers than $\alpha$-tocopherol [136]. Three new diterpenoids, a neoclerodane (130) and two labdane derivatives $(\mathbf{1 1 4}, \mathbf{1 1 5})$ were isolated from an acetone extract of $P$. ornatus [137]. These diterpenes showed moderate anti-candida activity. Six new diterpenoids, three labdane (116, $\mathbf{1 1 7}, \mathbf{1 1 8}$ ) and three kaurane and one known kaurane (185-188) derivatives were isolated from an acetone extract of $P$. fruticosus together with $\beta$-sitosterol (231), stigmasterol (235), and $\beta$-amyrin (211) [138]. Same group later reported isolation of eight new diterpenoids, two labdanes ( 119 new and 244 known) and eight kaurane (189-195 new and 196 known) derivatives, a new aromadendrane-type sesquiterpenoid (88) along with five flavonoids (18, 15, 16, 2 and 4) from the acetone extract of $P$. fruticosus [139].

Antioxidative-activity-guided fractionation of extract of the aerial parts of P. cyaneus yielded the two novel abietanoid diterpenoids 11,20-dihydroxysugiol (155) and 1,11-epoxy-6,12-dihydroxy-20-norabieta-1(10),5,8,11,13-pentaen-7one (156) in addition to 11-hydroxysugiol (154) and the main constituent carnosolon (153) [140]. A new trihomo abietane diterpene viz 9R-(2oxopropyl)abietane derivative (157) was isolated from acetone extract of $P$. grandidentatus [141]. Phytochemical investigation of a hexane extract of the aerial parts of $P$. ornatus yielded three new neoclerodane diterpenoids (127-129), two labdane diterpenes $(\mathbf{1 2 2}, \mathbf{1 2 3})$ for the first time as natural products along with $1 \alpha, 6 \beta$-diacetoxy-8,13R*-epoxy-14-labden-11-one (115) previously reported from the same source, mixture of $\beta$-sitosterol (231) and stigmasterol (235), $3 \beta$-acetyl- $\alpha$ amyrin (221) and friedelin (214) [142]. Several known compounds ent-16-kauren-19-ol (197), ent-16-kauren-19-oic (198), xylopic (199), and xylopinic (200) acids, hinokiol (242), parvifloron D (168) and F (152), $4 \beta, 6 \beta$-dihydroxy- $1 \alpha, 5 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-guai9 -ene (86), $4 \beta, 6 \beta$-dihydroxy- $1 \alpha, 5 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-guai-10(14)-ene (87), salvigenin (18),
mixture of hexacosan-1,26-diol and octacosan-1,28-diol ferulate diesters (39), mixture of esters from fatty acids and 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-ethanol (66), esters from ferulic acid and fatty alcohols (38), mixture of $\beta$-sitosterol (231) and stigmasterol (235) and ursolic acid (215) were identified from $P$. strigosus for the first time [143]. Rana et. al. reported analysis of seeds of $P$. amboinicus. It was found to contain about $27 \%$ of oil. Linolenic acid (32.5\%) was found to be major fatty acid followed by linoleic (26.3\%), oleic (22.7\%), palmitic (12.8\%), and stearic (5.8\%) acids [144].

Three new diterpenoids including two pimaranes $(\mathbf{1 3 5}, \mathbf{1 3 6})$ and a labdane (121) were isolated from the whole herb of $P$. ernstii. Structures of these compounds were determined as rel-15( $\zeta$ ), 16-epoxy-7 $\alpha$-hydroxypimar-8,14-ene (135) and rel-15( $\zeta$ ),16-epoxy-7-oxopimar-8,14-ene (136), and compdound 121 elucidated as $1 \mathrm{R}, 11 \mathrm{~S}$-dihydroxy-8R,13Repoxylabd-14-ene on the basis of singlecrystal x-ray structural analysis. Compdound $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ exhibited moderate antistaphylococcal activity against a range of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and methicillin-resistant strains of $S$. aureus (MRSA) with MIC $32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. All three diterpenes exhibited antimycobacterial activity against three strains of rapidly growing mycobacteria with MIC values ranging from 8 to $128 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ [145]. New diterpenes 177 and 201 were isolated from $P$. saccatus and $P$. porcatus, respectively [146]. Compound 201 showed a moderate antibacterial activity (MIC, $62.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) against Staphylococcus aureus. Four abietane (158-161), three kaurane (202-204) and one labdane (120) type diterpenes were isolated from Plectranthus spp. [147]. Their anti-mycobacterial activity was reported against MDR bacteria. Bangera et. al. analysed carotenoid content of $P$. rotundifolius and found to contain lutein (1.48), zeaxanthin (0.07), $\alpha$-carotene ( 0.08 ), $\beta$-carotene ( 0.01 ) and neoxanthin ( 0.04 [148]).

Five known abietane diterpenes of the royleanone and coleon type, namely, fatty acid esters of $7 \alpha$-acyloxy- $6 \beta$-hydroxyroyleanone (162), grandidone A (169), $7 \alpha-$ acetoxy- $6 \beta$-hydroxyroyleanone (158), $6 \beta, 7 \alpha$-dihydroxyroyleanone (159) and coleon U(163), isolated from P. grandidentatus, were evaluated for their effect on the proliferation of human lymphocytes induced by the mitogen PHA [149]. Compounds 158 and 163 yielded the most potent antiproliferative activities
amongst others. Their mechanism of action was suggesting a preferential inhibition of T-lymphocyte proliferation. Ten abietanes (158-161, 163-166, 180, 181) active against methicillin- and vancomycin-resistant bacteria were isolated from P. grandidentatus (158-161, 163-165) and $P$. hereroensis $(\mathbf{1 6 0}, \mathbf{1 6 6}, \mathbf{1 8 0}$, and 181) [150]. Bio-assay guided fractionation of an acetone extract of leaves of P. saccatus resulted in the isolation of beyerane diterpenoids $(\mathbf{1 8 3}, \mathbf{1 8 4})$ in $93: 7$ ratio with insect antifeedant activity [151]. Compound (183), characterised as ent-3b-(3-methyl-2-butenoyl)oxy-15-beyeren-19-oic acid, showed insect antifeedant activity against Spodoptera littoralis.

Known quinonoid abietane diterpenoids were obtained from new sources. These included a mixture of the (4R, 19R) and (4R, 19S) diastereoisomers of coleon A $(\mathbf{1 7 0}, \mathbf{1 7 1})$ from $P$. aff. puberulentus, coleon A lactone (172) from $P$. puberulentus and coleon $\mathrm{U}(\mathbf{1 6 3})$ and coleon U quinone (167) from P. forsteri 'Marginatus'. These compounds, and the crude acetone extracts from the leaf surfaces of 11 species of Plectranthus, were tested for antifeedant activity against S. littoralis, antibacterial activity against Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas syringae and antifungal activity against Cladosporium herbarum. The coleon A mixture $(\mathbf{1 7 0}, \mathbf{1 7 1})$ showed potent antifeedant activity against $S$. littoralis, whereas coleon $U(\mathbf{1 6 3})$ showed the greatest antimicrobial activity [151]. The abietanoid dienedione plectrinone A (182) isolated from P. barbatus was the active compound responsible for reduced $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}$-ATPase activity [152]. In a different study using zebrafish as a platform for natural product discovery, Crawford et. al. isolated Coleon A (170) as the active ingredient from P. barbatus [153]. The assay searched for ability of extract and compounds to potentiate a sub-effective dose of the anti-angiogenic compound SU5416, an indoline inhibitor of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor. Coleon $U(6,11,12,14-$ tetrahydroxy-abieta-5,8,11,13-tetraene-7-one, (163) a diterpene compound isolated from P. grandidentatus was found to possess antiproliferative effect on several human cancer cell lines. The compound selectively induced an apoptotic pathway dependent on $\mathrm{nPKC}-\delta$ and $-\varepsilon$ activation [154].
P. eckloni is traditionally used in South Africa for treating stomach aches, nausea, vomiting and meningitis. Bioassay-guided fractionation of the ethyl
acetate extract of the plant led to the isolation of two known compounds, parvifloron D (168) and parvifloron F (152), neither of which were previously reported from this species. These compounds exhibited MIC of 15.6 and $31.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively against Listeria monocytogenes. Values against a drugsensitive strain of M. tuberculosis were 190 and $95 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively. Ethyl acetate extract of $P$. eckloni and its isolated compounds were tested for their activity on tyrosinase inhibition. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the extract was found to be $61.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Antibacterial activity of the extract and its isolated compounds correlates with the traditional use of the plant for various ailments such as stomach aches, diarrhoea and skin diseases. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of parvifloron $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{1 6 8})$ and parvifloron F (152) against vero cell lines were found to be 2.9 and $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively. This is the first report of the bioactivity of $P$. eckloni extract and its constituents [155]. Rodrigues et. al. studied and established the protective role for $3 \beta$-hydroxy-3deoxibarbatusin (179) and barbatusin (178) affording gastroprotection against gastric damage induced by ethanol for the activity of $P$. grandis [156].

## v. Lavandula:

As mentioned earlier, a book by Lis-Balchin gives review of chemistry of genus Lavandula [80]. Phytochemical work which has been published after this review has been covered here. Also included is phytochemical work not covered in this book review.

Irregular monoterpenes, necrodanes (75-81) were isolated from L. luisieri [60, 157-158]. Lavandulol (74) was isolated from L. officinalis and L. vera [159]. Aromatic monoterpenes (71-73) were isolated from L. gibsoni [160]. Coumarin (29) and herniarin (30) were demonstrated from L. officinalis during biosynthetic studies. [161]. Enol esters of dopaldehyde and caffeic acid (40, 41) along with rosmarinic acid (42) were detected in L. angustifolia [162]. Longipipene sesqueterpenes $(\mathbf{8 9}, \mathbf{9 0})$ were isolated from L. stoechas subspp. stoechas.

Higher terpenes have only been rarely isolated from genus Lavandula. Four new pimarane diterpenes (137-140) along with known pimarane glutinosin (141) were isolated from L. multifida [163]. One new (223) and five known triterpenoids $\mathbf{( 2 2 4}, \mathbf{2 2 8}, \mathbf{2 2 9}, 222$ and 217) were isolated from the aerial parts of $L$. spica [164]. Triterpenic acids, ursolic (215), oleanolic (206), 2 $\alpha$, $3 \beta$ -
dihydroxyursolic (216), $2 \alpha, 3 \beta, 19 \alpha$, 23-tetrahydroxyursolic (218), $\alpha$ - and $\beta$ amyrins (220, 211), and the sterol, sitosteryl-3 $\beta$-D-glucoside (234), were isolated and identified form L. canariensis [165]. Alkaloid 2-N-phenylaminonaphthalene was isolated from L. vera [166]. Other compounds isolated include oxygenated branched fatty acid and its methyl ester [167] and fatty acids [168]. Sosa and coworkers isolated four pimarane diterpenes (137-140), triterpene acids oleanolic acid (205), ursolic acid (215), maslinic acid (207), $3 \beta, 19 \alpha$, 23-trihydroxy-urs-12-en-28-oic acid (217) [169].

Figure 1: Compounds isolated from genera Leucas, Anisochilus, Anisomeles, Lavandula and Plectranthus.


1, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$, Apigenin
2, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$, Genwanin
3, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$, Acacetin
4, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$, Apigenin dimethyl ether
5, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Glc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$, Cosmonsin
6, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Glc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$, Cosmonsin hydrate
7, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ (3,6-di-O- $p$-coumaroyl)glc, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ Anisofolin A
8, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=6$-O-( $p$-hydroxy- $t$-cinnamoyl)glucoside, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$9, \mathrm{R}_{1}=6-\mathrm{O}-\left(p\right.$-coumaroyl)glucoside, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$


10, $R_{1}=R_{2}=R_{3}=H$, Luteolin
11, $R_{1}=R_{3}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$, Pillion
12, $R_{1}=R_{3}=H, R_{2}=M e$, Chrysoeriol
13, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Me}$, Gonzalitosin I
14, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ GlcUA




16, $R_{1}=R_{4}=H, R_{2}=R_{3}=R_{5}=\mathrm{Me}$, Eupatorin
17, $R_{1}=R_{5}=H, R_{2}=R_{3}=R_{4}=\mathrm{Me}$, Anisomelin
18, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=R_{3}=R_{4}=R_{5}=M e$, Salvigenin
19, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$





26,R=H, Chrosophenol D
27, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$, Casticin



29, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, Coumarin
30, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$, Herniarin


31, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$, Coumarsabin
32, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$,
8-Methoxycoumarsabin
33, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CHO}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, Coumarleucasin
34, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$, Siderin






43, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
44, $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$,
45, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$



46, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
47, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$



49



51, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Rhamnose, $\mathrm{R}_{4}=$ Rhamnose
52, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Rhamnose, $\mathrm{R}_{4}=$ Arabinose
53, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Rhamnose, $\mathrm{R}_{4}=$ Rhamnose
54, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ (Glc $\xrightarrow{L L} 2$ Rham $), \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
55, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Rhamnose, $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
56, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$, R4=Rhamnose


61, Leofolin A














79

80





91, E-phytol
92, E-phytyl palmitate


93, Ovatodiolide


94, Anisomelic acid


95, Anismelolide


96, 19, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Malbaric acid
97, 20, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COOH}$
98, 21, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}$, Anisomelyl acetate
99, 22, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, Anisomelol


100


102


101


103


104


106


108


111, Leucasdin A


105


107


109, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH} ; 110, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$


112, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$, Leucasperol A
113, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$, Leucasperol B



118

119

120




126, Leucasdin B


128


127


129

130


131, $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, Linifolioside
132, $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, Leucasperoside A


133, $R_{1}$, Leucasperoside B


135


137, $\mathrm{R}_{1}==\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{2}==\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
138, $\mathrm{R}_{1}==\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
139, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
140, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$


141


134, $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, Leucasperoside $\mathbf{C}$


136


142


143


144


145

146, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
147, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
148, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}$


149, Plectranthol A
150, Plectranthol B


151, Parvifloron E



156


176

152, Parvifloron F



157


158


159


161


163, Coleon U


165


160


162


164


166


167, Coeon U quinone


168, Parvifloron D


169, Grandidone




174, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ac}$
175, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}$






177


178


180



179


181











197, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
198, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
199, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
200, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{R}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{O}$




203


204


205, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Oleanolic acid
206, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Oleanolic acid-3acetate
207, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Maslinic acid
208, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ trans-p-coumaroyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$
209, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Hederagenin
210, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Arjunolic acid
211, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ amyrin




215, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Ursolic acid
216, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$, Corosolic acid
217, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$
218, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$,
219, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{COOH}$,
220, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\alpha$ amyrin
221, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\alpha$ amyrin-3-acetate


222, $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
223, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCOH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$










## Remarks:

## Pharmacology:

1. In genera Lavandula and Leucas, majority of the pharmacological studies are directed towards confirming the traditional claims with few studies trying to extend traditional use of one species to other.
2. Pharmacological work on genus Anisochilus is independent as the genus finds very less space in traditional practices.
3. Work on Anisomeles again is of confirmatory nature.
4. Overall there is lack of comprehensive pharmacological work on Anisochilus, Anisomeles and Leucas.
5. Anti-mycobacterial activity is very commonly probed resulting in isolation active molecules across all genera exept Anisomeles. Our present wotk has extended it to cembranoids.
6. Majority of the bioassays employed for pure molecules isolated from Leucas, probe anti-oxidant potential with very few probing other activities like anti-TB, anti- Alzimer (inhibition of butyrylcholinesterases), etc.
7. Compounds with varied biological activities ranging from anti-oxidant, antibacterial, anti-cancer, gastroprotection to insect antifeedant are isolated from genus Plectranthus.

## Chemistry:

1. 6-substituted flavonones dominate flavonoid composition in Anisomeles and Plectranthus.
2. Chemistry of all genera, exept Lavandula is dominated by diterpenes and phenolic hybrid compounds. Anisochilus shows abietanes and pimaranes (from present work) Anisomeles is unique in being synthesising monocyclic cembranes,

Leucas has labdanes as major class while Lavandula is dominated by volatile components with monoterpenes and necrodane type monoterpenes being unique to entire plant kingdom. Diterpenes are few and restricted to abietane class. Plectranthus is prolific in diterpene production and shows labdane, clerodane, kaurane and abietanes as major class of diterpenes.
3. Hybrid phenolic compounds with phenyl propanoids and flavonoids linked to central sugar molecules are commonly found in Anisomeles and to lesser extend in Leucas.
4. Domination of Lavandula chemistry by volatile and other monoterpene components is also supported by present work. This supports the traditional as well as commercial exploitation of Lavandula for its essential oil. Genus Lavandula produces unusual natural products like necrodane monoterpenes as well as ethoxylated monoterpenes, diphenyl propane and 1,4-dihydroxyl substituted branched alkanes isolated from our work.
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## Chapter 2

## Phytochemical investigations on Leucas stelligera Wall.



Figure1: Leucas stelligera Wall.

### 2.1. Introduction:

Leucas is a genus of annual or perennial herbs or sub-shrubs with around 100 species growing mostly on dry or disturbed ground and distributed from tropical to southern Africa, Arabian Peninsula, Iran to South China, Taiwan, Japan and South East Asia [1]. Genus Leucas in Maharashtra is represented by twenty one species [2]. Leucas stelligera called Starry Leucas or Goma in Marathi is an erect branched herb, with hairy, quadrangular stem, found mostly in Western Peninsular India. The hairs are erect and spreading. Leaves are oppositely arranged, elliptic-lanceolate in shape and have serrated margins. Flowers (in November) 1.2 cm long; lips nearly equal occur in dense axillary or terminal whorls [3]. L. stelligera is an edible plant with leaves eaten as vegetable. No chemical and pharmacological work is reported on this species.

### 2.2. Collection and processing:

L. stelligera whole plants, in flowering, were collected from paddy fields from Mulshi area, District Pune on $3^{\text {rd }}$ January, 2008. A herbarium is deposited in Botanical Survey of India, Western Circle, Pune (No. SPJ-5). Roots were separated and aerial parts were cleaned off adhering dust and unwanted plant material, dried in shade, cut and pulverized.

### 2.3. Extraction and Isolation:

Pulverized aerial parts ( 1.8 kg ) were extracted with acetone ( $3 \mathrm{~L} \times 3 \times 14 \mathrm{~h}$ ) at room temperature. The acetone solubles were filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a greenish extract ( $57.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \%$ based on dry plant weight), 55.0 g of which was separated by column chromatography (CC) with acetone: petroleum ether gradient to collect 11 fractions LS1-LS11 (Chart 1).

Fractions LS4 ( 5.5 g ) and LS5 ( 2.3 g ) were subjected separately to CC in $6 \%$ acetonitrile in chloroform to collect 10 (LS4a-j) and 13(LS5a-m) fractions respectively. Fractions LS4f, LS4h, LS4i, LS5j and LS5k were combined and subjected further to CC in $15 \%$ acetone: pet ether to isolate compound $\mathbf{1}(100 \mathrm{mg})$

Fraction LS7 (4.1 g) was subjected to CC with acetonitrile 1 to $3 \%$ in chloroform to collect 18 fractions (LS7a-r). Fraction LS7i (287.2mg) was subjected to CC in $15 \%$ acetone in petroleum ether to isolate compound 2 ( 100 mg ) and compound $\mathbf{3}(38 \mathrm{mg})$.

Fraction LS11 (4.9g) was subjected to CC in methanol from 5 to $20 \%$ in chloroform to collect 8 fractions (LS11a-h). Fraction LS11b (3g) was subjected to CC in methanol: chloroform from 1 to $3 \%$ to collect 6 (LS11bi-bvi) fractions. Fractions LS11biv ( 670 mg ) and LS11bv ( 1.9 g ) were subjected separately to CC using elution system acetone 5 to $50 \%$ in pet ether to collect 25 (LS11biv1-25) and 20 (LS11bv1-20) fractions respectively. Fractions LS11biv22 and LS11bv17 were combined ( 230 mg ) and separated by CC using $20 \%$ acetone in petroleum ether as elution system. Fractions 7-11 contained compounds 4 and 5. After repeated attempts to separate them by preparative TLC using different developing systems viz. $1.5 \%$ methanol in chloroform, $15 \%$ acetone- $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether and $10 \%$ acetonitrile in chloroform, they were obtained as non separable mixture ( 14 mg ). Fractions LS11bv18, LS11bv19 and LS11biv23 were combined ( 372.5 mg ) and subjected to CC using ethyl acetate in petroleum ether gradient from 30 to $50 \%$ as elution system to collect 8 fractions. From fraction 8, compound 7 ( 15 mg ) was obtained as pale yellow precipitate. From LS11bvi compound $8(10 \mathrm{mg})$ precipitated out. It was filtered and filtrate 300 mg , was subjected to CC in acetone in petroleum ether (gradient 5-50\%) to collect ten fractions, (LS11bvi1-10). Fraction LS11bvi1 was purified by successive preparative TLC using developing systems $25 \%$ acetonitrile in chloroform and $35 \%$ ethyl acetate in chloroform to isolate $6(20 \mathrm{mg})$.


Chart 1: Flow chart for isolation of compounds from Leucas stelligera.









Figure 2: Compounds isolated from L. stelligera.

### 2.4. Structural elucidation:

Compound 1:
Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as a colourless gum. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{1}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 323,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 345$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with four degrees of unsaturation. The HREIMS of showed [M] ${ }^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 322.25151 , confirming the molecular formula. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl group at $3427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed the presence of three tertiary methyl groups at $\delta 0.81,0.86$ and 0.91 and one secondary methyl group at $\delta 0.91$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.62 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). This indicated $\mathbf{1}$ to be labdane type of diterpene.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed the presence of two quaternary carbons at $\delta 95.02,89.92$ and methine carbon at $\delta 99.22$, indicating towards a prefuran substitution pattern. These spectral characteristics were comparable to that of known molecule 9 isolated from Leucas nufliseana, thus confirming the prefuran substitution (Figure 3) [4].



Figure 3: Structure of Compound 1 and reference compound 9
The observed HMBC correlations (Figure 4a) of H-14 ( 81.98 and 2.32) with $\mathrm{C}-13$ at $\delta 89.92$ and $\mathrm{C}-15$ at $\delta 99.22$ confirmed this substitution. The observed HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-11$ ( $\delta 1.76$ and 2.02) and $\mathrm{H}-8(\delta 1.76)$ with $\mathrm{C}-9$ at $\delta$ 95.02 fixed the prefuran substitution at $\mathrm{C}-9$.


4a. HMBC correlations


4b. NOESY correlations

Figure 4: 2D correlations for compound 1
NOE correlation peak between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-19$ and absence of NOE between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ confirmed trans-fused $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ ring junction. Presence of NOE correlation between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-8$ confirmed $\alpha$ position of $17-\mathrm{Me}$. NOE
correlations between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-11, \mathrm{H}-17$ and $\mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-12$ and $\mathrm{H}-15$ led to the assignment of stereochemistry shown above (Figure 4).

Thus compound $\mathbf{1}$ was identified as $9,13,15,16$-diepoxylabdan- $15 \alpha$-ol.


4a. HSQC


4c. COSY


4b. HMBC


4d. NOEY

Figure 5: 2D spectra of compound 1
Compound 2:
Compound $\mathbf{2}$ was obtained as white needles. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{2}$ showed an [M $+1]^{+}$at $m / z 321$ and $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 343$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with four degrees of unsaturation. The HREIMS of $\mathbf{2}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$at $m / z 320.23658$, confirming the molecular formula. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl $\left(3536 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\alpha, \beta$ - unsaturated lactone $\left(1749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ groups.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed the presence of three tertiary methyl groups at $\delta 0.84,0.88$ and 0.94 , one secondary methyl group at $\delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). This indicated 2 to be labdane type of diterpene. DEPT spectrum revealed presence of 4 methyl, 8 methylene, 3 methine and 5 quaternary carbons.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{2}$ was nearly superimposable on a known labdane $\mathbf{1 0}$ isolated from Vitex rotundifolia (family Verbenaceae) [5] (Figure 6) indicating that the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ was closely related to that of known labdane, except for the absence of acetyloxy group at position 6 in $\mathbf{2}$.



Figure 6: Structure of compound 2 and reference compound 10
H-6 methine and C-6 in $\mathbf{1 0}$ appears at $\delta 5.39$ and $\delta 70.2$ respectively. In 2, H-6 methine is replaced by upfield shifted methylene group at $\delta 1.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ while C-6 appears at $\delta 21.53$ supporting the assigned structure of $\mathbf{2}$.

The assignments were confirmed by detailed HSQC and HMBC studies and key correlations are given in Figure 7a.


7a. HMBC correlations


7b. NOESY correlations

Figure 7: 2D correlations for compound 2
Relative stereochemistry was confirmed by key NOE correlations (Figure 7b). Presence of NOE between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-19$ and absence of NOE between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and H-5 confirmed trans-fused ring junction. Presence of NOE between H-20/H-8
confirmed $\alpha$ position of $17-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$. NOE observed between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and one of the $\mathrm{H}-$ 11 protons placed the hydroxyl substituent at C-9 in $\alpha$ position.


8a. HSQC


8c. COSY


8b. HMBC


8d. NOEY

Figure 8: 2D spectra of compound 2
Suitable colourless X-ray quality crystals of compound 2 were obtained by crystallization from cyclohexane: acetone (7:3). The X-ray diffraction analysis (Table 2, Figure 9) of $\mathbf{2}$ confirmed the assigned structure. Thus $\mathbf{2}$ was identified as labd-9 $\alpha$-ol-13(14)-en-15, 16-olide a new natural product.


Figure 9: ORTEP diagram of compound 2 (Ellipsoids are drawn at 50\% probability)

## Compound 3:

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained as colourless crystals. ESIMS gave $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 309,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 331,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$at $m / z 347$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ with three degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl $\left(3418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and olefin $\left(1644 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ groups.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 3) displayed the presence of five tertiary methyl groups at $\delta 0.79,0.87,1.07,1.26$ and 1.47. This indicated $\mathbf{3}$ to be diterpene with labdane skeleton..

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 3) displayed the presence of two quaternary carbons at $\delta 74.8$ and 61.18 , terminal olefinic group at $\delta 146.15$ and 111.11. This indicated compound to be a sclareol $\mathbf{1 1}$ or its diastereomers, 12, 13 (Figure 12)[6].

All the assignments were confirmed by detailed HMBC and COSY correlations. Methyl at $\delta 27.25$ showed HMBC correlation with $=\mathrm{CH}$ at $\delta 5.95(\mathrm{H}$ 14) and $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta 5.22$ and 5.03 (H 15). Similarly, $=\mathrm{CH}$ at $\delta 5.95$ exhibited HMBC correlation with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta 44.96$ while $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta$ 1.52-1.63 (H12) exhibited HMBC with Methyl at $\delta 27.25$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta 20.91$ (C11). These correlations confirmed side chain connectivity.

Methine at $\delta 61.19$ (C 9) showed correlations with methyl at $\delta 1.07$ and 1.47. Methyl at $\delta 1.07$ gave HMBC correlation with methine $\delta 46.25$ and
quaternary carbon at $\delta 38.86$ (C10) and methylene at $\delta 36.48$ (C 1). Thus methyl at carbon $10(\mathrm{C} 20, \delta 24.78)$ and carbon $5(\mathrm{CH} \delta 46.25)$ were located.

Methyl at $\delta 0.79$ and 0.87 gave HMBC correlations with each other as well as with methine at $\delta 46.25$, methylene at $\delta 42.22$ (C3) and quaternary carbon at $\delta$ 32.92 (C4). These correlations established 3-4-5-10-20-1 connectivities. These also identified geminal methyl groups at $\delta 21.36$ and 33.15 and remaining methyl at $\delta 31.99$ was identified as carbon 17. H-17 at $\delta 1.47$ showed HMBC correlation with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta 37.83$ and was assigned to $\mathrm{C}-7$. Correlation of proton at $\delta 1.15$ (one of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at position 3) with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta 18.58$ identified later as C 2 and remaining $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $\delta 20.67$ as C 6 confirming sclareol as basic structure of compound 3 .

Examination NOESY revealed methyls at $\delta 0.79$ and 1.07 to be on the same side. Assuming angular methyl at $\delta 1.07$ (C20) to be $\beta$ on biogenetic grounds, position $19(\beta)$ was ascribed to carbon with $\delta 21.36(\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 0.79)$ and position 18 to carbon with $\delta 33.19$ ( H at $\delta 0.87$ ).

Comparative evaluation of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values of $\mathbf{3}$ with reported values of sclareol, 8 -episclareol and 13-episclareol (Table 3) [6] revealed deviations in shifts of carbons 1, 2, 5, 7, 11 and 20. Upfield shift of C5 and downfield shift of C20 strongly hinted towards cis-fused $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ ring junction. Chemical shifts of H-5 and H-20 are partially overlapped so their mutual NOE correlation could not be observed. However presence of NOE correlation peak between H-3 at $\delta 1.15$ ( $\beta$ ) with $\mathrm{H}-5$ at $\delta 1.06$ indicated steroid type $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ cis fusion with $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-20$ axial and $\mathrm{H}-5$ equatorial. Presence of $\mathrm{C}-17$ at $\delta 31.99$, similar to 8 -episclareol indicated its $\alpha$ orientation.
$\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ cis fusion in compound $\mathbf{3}$ can be justified on the basis of shielding 1, 3diaxial interactions (Figure 10). In 8-episclareol and compound 3, C-17 methyl is not involved in synaxial (1, 3-diaxial) interaction and hence is not shielded. Comparative evaluation of synaxial interactions in trans and cis diastereomers shows relief obtained in cis configuration, thus explaining downfield shift of C-20 in compound 3.

Possibility of diastereomer of sclareol with both configurations at 8 and 13 positions reversed was ruled out as the chemical shifts at C-20 and C-5 would be similar to other $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ trans diastereomers with only minimal effects.


Trans fusion


Cis fusion

Figure 10: 1,3-Synaxial shielding interactions in $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ trans-fusion and cis-fusion.


11, Sclareol


12, 8-epi Sclareol


13, 13-epi Sclareol

Figure 11: Known A/B trans-fused diastereomers of compound 3


12a. Key HMBC correlations


12b. NOESY correlations

Figure 12: Observed HMBC and NOESY correlations for compound 3.
Key HMBC correlation and NOE correlations are given in Figure 12b. NOE between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-19$ was observed but the possibility of non-steroid type $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ cis junction -where observation this NOE is more probable- interconverting with steroid type was ruled out as this would lead to two sets of NMR signals which were not observed. Thus by detailed 2D NMR spectroscopy and arguments based on deviations of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals from reference structures, compound $\mathbf{3}$ was identified as new natural product and named as cis-8-episclareol.


Figure 13: 2D spectra of compound 3


Figure 14: Structure of compound 3

## Compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ :

Compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 were isolated as non-separable mixture. CC fraction showing homogeneous spot on TLC using various developing systems was subjected to NMR analysis. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed 39 resonances at $\delta 86.13$, 88.84, $94.62,95.38$ (quaternary), $\delta 101.38,103.03$ (methine) and four carbonyl groups at $\delta 171.20,171.51,173.73$ and 173.96 with two overlapped methyl signals at $\delta 21.44$ indicated two acetyl groups. This indicated compound under study to be either a dimer with two prefuranic systems with rings D of both monomers joined by two lactone linkages or mixture of two similar prefuranic monomers similar to compound 2. ESIMS apparently confirmed dimeric structure with well defined $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 811$ and $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$at $m / z 827$ corresponding to molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ with molecular weight of 788. At this point, important peaks at $m / z 417$ and 433 were thought to be due to degradation of dimer giving monomer $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$and $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$peaks respectively. As dimmerization was thought of at ester linkages, dimer was of mass exactly double that of monomer. At this point 2D NMR data (HSQC and HMBC were scanned and analyzed) and positions of acetyl groups were assigned to carbon 3 in respective monomer following HMBC correlation of protons at $\delta 4.42(2 \mathrm{H}$, corresponding to methine at $\delta 80.74$ and 81.11) with quaternary carbons at 37.79 and 37.76 (position 4 of both monomers) (Figure 15)


Figure 15: Dimeric structure initially proposed.
We were able to grow X-ray quality crystals in acetone: cyclohexane (30:70). X-ray analysis of randomly taken crystal gave monomeric structure corresponding to compound 4 . This compelling evidence in favour of monomeric structure led us to rethink about our previous dimeric assignment. Analysis of NOESY data revealed strong false peaks (of same phase as diagonal) between protons at $\delta 5.38$ and 5.89 indicating chemical exchange. Also observed was weak
true NOESY peak between these hinting towards a temporary complexing of two monomers with lifetime longer than that required by NOESY experiment. This also explained presence of well defined peaks in ESIMS corresponding to dimer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was scanned in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ to avoid possibility of an inter-converting mixture of two compounds with result exactly same as in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Thus it was concluded that compound under study was in fact mixture of two compounds forming temporary association in solution while separating on crystallization (it was nonseparable on TLC using any combination of mobile phases as mentioned earlier). With this information, analysis of HMBC data was carried out to assign carbon and proton values to individual components as described below:

Use was made of paper by Masateru Ono et. al. [5]. Protons on methylene at position 14 resonate as AX system with 17 Hz coupling. Depending upon stereochemistry at spiro linkage of rings $C$ and $D$, their $\delta$ separation in ${ }^{1} H$ NMR varies. Thus in compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ (corresponding to 4, Figure 16), H-14 resonate at $\delta$ 2.83 and 2.76 ( $\delta$ separation of 0.07 ) while in 15 (corresponging to 5 ), H-14 resonate at $\delta 2.89$ and 2.57 ( $\delta$ separation of 0.32 ). Thus farther placed AX system ( $\delta$ separation of 1.63 ) at $\delta 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, d, 17 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, d, 17 \mathrm{~Hz})-$ corresponding to methylene at $\delta 39.48$ - was assigned to compound $\mathbf{5}$ and less separated ( $\delta$ separation of 0.18) AX system (visually inner placed in NMR spectrum) at $\delta 2.9(1 \mathrm{H}, d, 17 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, d, 17 \mathrm{~Hz})$-corresponding to methylene at 842.29 - was assigned to compound 4 . HMBC correlation of position 14 methylene protons of compound $\mathbf{4}$ with carbonyl at $\delta 174.01$ identified it to C15 of compound $\mathbf{4}$ and carbonyl at $\delta 173.82$ to 15 of compound $\mathbf{5}$. Compounds 14 and 15 were isolated from V. rotundifolia.

Following HMBC correlation of these protons with other carbons, $\delta 88.9$ and 103 were assigned to positions 13 and 16 in compound 4 while carbons at $\delta$ 86.4 and 101.4 were assigned to respective positions in compound 5 . Methylene at $\delta 36.2$ and 31.2 were assigned to positions 12 in compounds 5 and $\mathbf{4}$ respectively. Rest of the resonances being too crowded, could not be separated. Attempts to separate these compounds are underway.
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Figure 16: Structures of compounds 4 and 5 with corresponding reference compound 14 and 15.


Figure 17: ORTEP diagram of compound 4. Probabilities are drawn at 50\%


18a. HSQC


18c. COSY


18b. HMBC


18d. NOEY

Figure 18: 2D spectra of compounds 4 and 5

## Compound 6:

Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained as a colourless gum. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{6}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 325,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 347$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with three degrees of unsaturation. The HREIMS of 6 exhibited an $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ at $m / z 324.26895$, confirming the molecular formula. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl ( $3372 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) group.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed the presence of three tertiary methyl groups at $\delta 0.84,0.88,0.94$ and one secondary methyl group at $\delta 0.89$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=6.99 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17$ ). This indicated $\mathbf{6}$ to be labdane type of diterpene.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed the presence of two methylene groups at $\delta 58.46$ and 60.56 , a methine carbon at $\delta 126.03$ and a quaternary carbon at $\delta$ 144.67. This indicated towards a substituted 2-buten-1, 4-diol system.

This substitution pattern was confirmed by comparison with known molecule bincatriol 16 isolated from Baccharis incarum (Family Asteraceae) (Figure 19) [7].



Figure 19: structure of compound 6 and reference compound 10.
HMBC correlation of $\mathrm{H}-17(0.89)$ with $\mathrm{C}-9(\delta 77.31)$ fixed the location of hydroxyl group at C-9. HMBC correlation of $\mathrm{H}-8(\delta 1.78)$ with C-9 ( $\delta 77.31$ ), C$10(\delta 43.24)$ and C-17 ( $\delta 16.52$ ) along with HMBC correlation of H-11 ( $\delta 1.58$ and 1.78 ) with C-9 ( $\delta 77.31$ ), C-8 ( $\delta 36.85$ ) fixed the location of subunit at C-9.


20a. HMBC correlation


21b. NOESY correlation

Figure 20: 2D correlations of compound 6
Relative stereochemistry was confirmed by key NOE correlations obtained from NOESY data. Presence of NOE between H-20 and H-19 and absence of NOE between H-20 and H-5 confirmed trans-fused ring junction. Presence of NOE between $\mathrm{H}-20 / \mathrm{H}-8 \alpha$ position of $17-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$. NOE observed between $\mathrm{H}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-11$ placed the substituent at $\mathrm{C}-9$ in $\beta$ position. Z - configuration at double bond was confirmed by NOE between C12 protons and C14 proton.

Thus the compound was identified as a new natural product labd-13(14)-en$9 \alpha, 15,16$-triol.


21a. HSQC


21c. $\operatorname{COSY}$


21b. HMBC


21d. NOSEY

Figure 21: 2D spectra of compound 6

## Compound 7:

Compound 7 was isolated as yellow amorphous solid. ESIMS gave $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$ at $m / z 315,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 337,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$at $m / z 353$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ with eleven degrees of unsaturation.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 5) revealed it to be a flavone with 2 methoxyl substituents. Broad one proton singlet at $\delta 12.81$ indicated hydroxyl substitution at position 5 . Protons at $\delta 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,), $7.265(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz}$,$) and 7.425$ (dd, $8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$,) indicated a 1, 2, 4-trisubtituted pattern. Carbon chemical shifts at $\delta$ 92.63 and 98.08 along with above information established oxygen substitution on at 7 on ' A ' ring and 3 ',4'-disbtituted ' B ' ring. Bathochromic shift in Band I from 347 to 408 nm in its UV spectrum on addition of NaOMe indicated free hydroxyl
at 4 'and hence methoxyl at $3^{\prime}$ '. Thus compound 7 was identified as velutin. This is the first report of velutin from genus Leucas.


Figure 22: Structure of compound 7.
Compound 8 :
Compound $\mathbf{8}$ was isolated as yellow amorphous solid. ESIMS gave $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$ at $m / z 301$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ with eleven degrees of unsaturation.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 5) revealed it to be a flavone with one methoxyl substituent. Similarity of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data with compound 7 indicated it to be velutin with one methyl less. Downfield shift of carbon 7 indicated loss of methyl at 7 position. Thus compound $\mathbf{8}$ was identified as chrysoeriol [8]. This is the first report of chrysoeriol from genus Leucas.


Figure 23: Structure of compound 8.

## 2.5: Experimental:

## i. Collection and processing:

As described earlier.

## ii. Extraction and Isolation:

As described earlier.

## Compound 1:

Colourless gum ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0055 \%$, based on dry plant weight), HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 322.25151[\mathrm{M}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}$ no rotation (c 1.372 , acetone); IR (CHCl3) $3427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1.

## Compound 2:

White crystals ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0055 \%$ ), mp $80.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HREIMS m/z: 320.23658 $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+14.015$ (c 1.064, acetone); IR (chloroform) $3536,1749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1.

X-ray crystallography:
Single crystals of the compound were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in $30 \%$ acetone: cyclohexane. Colourless crystal of approximate size 0.18 x $0.14 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for data collection on Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Exposure/frame $=10.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame, Crystals belong to Triclinic, space group $\mathrm{P}-1, \mathrm{a}=6.5378(15), b=6.5433(14), \quad c=$ $22.339(5) \AA, V=922.8(3) \AA^{3}, Z=2, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.153 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, \mu\left(\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}\right)=0.71073 \AA, T$ $=295 \mathrm{~K}, 6088$ reflections measured, R value 0.0861 , $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.2080$. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarisation and absorption effects. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL)[23] was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $F^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement as per the riding model. X-ray analysis revealed the relative conformation of the molecule at $\mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{C} 8, \mathrm{C} 9, \mathrm{C} 10$ and $\mathrm{C} 13(14)$ as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}$, and $Z$ configurations. Data collection and refinement parameters are listed in Tables 2a-2d.
Compound 3:
White crystals ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \%$ ); mp 69.5. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS m/z: $417[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, $433[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-4$ ( $c, 1.0$ acetone); IR (chloroform) $3418,1644 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2.
Compound $\mathbf{4}$ and 5:

White crystals (14mg,0.00077\%); ESIMS m/z: 417[M + Na] ${ }^{+}, 433[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$ ; IR (chloroform) 3473, 1783, $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3.

X-ray crystallography of compound 4 :
Crystals of the compound mixture were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in $30 \%$ acetone: cyclohexane. Randomly collected colourless crystal of approximate size $0.49 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for data collection on Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Exposure $/$ frame $=$ $30.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame. Crystals belong to Monoclinic, space group C2, $\mathrm{a}=29.084(3), b$ $=7.2886(7), c=14.1287(13) \AA, V=2910.4(5) \AA^{3}, Z=4, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.133 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, \mu$ $\left(\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}\right)=0.71073 \AA, T=296 \mathrm{~K}, 7410$ reflections measured, R value 0.0813 , $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.0892$. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarisation and absorption effects. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL)[23] was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $F^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement as per the riding model. X-ray analysis revealed the relative conformation of the molecule at C3, C5, C8, C9, C10, C13 and C14 as S, S, R, R, S, S and R configurations. Data collection and refinement parameters are listed in Tables 4a-4d.

Compound 6:
Colourless gum( $20 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.0011 \%$ ), HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 324.26895[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+12.517$ (c 0.789, Acetone); IR (chloroform) 3372, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1.

Compound 7:
Yellow amorphous powder ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00044 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z: $327[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV (in methanol), 268, 308, 347 nm ; UV( in NaOMe), 266, 394, 408nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 5.

## Compound 8 :

Yellow amorphous powder ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00022 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z: 301 [M $+1]^{+} ; \mathrm{UV}$ (in methanol) 267, 308, 341 nm ; UV (in NaOMe), 269, 307, 328, 340, $347,404 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Tables 5.

## 2.6: Anti-mycobacterial activity of compounds isolated from L. stelligera.

Tuberculosis is a major and still neglected cause of death and disability with around 2 million deaths and 9 million infections worldwide in 2009. The emergence of drug resistant strains and confluence with HIV epidemic has turned TB into a global public health crisis [9]. Although, available drug regimens can cure most patients [10], emergence MDR, SDR and XDR -TB [11, 12] coupled with insufficient global drug pipeline [13], justifies continued efforts towards development of new drugs with new mode of action and novel structures.

There is currently a re-emerging interest in natural products as being able to provide novel structures for the drug discovery effort and being particularly effective as antibacterial leads [14]. Anti-mycobacterial diterpenes isolated from terrestrial and marine sources and belonging to many different classes, which includes diterpene classes viz. labdane, clerodane, pimarane, kaurane as well as diterpene amide, diterpene alkaloid, phorbol esters, etc., have appeared in many reviews [12, 14-18]. These give accounts of naturally occurring antimycobacterial compounds and display us a astonishing variety of structures.

Lamiaceae is a family of great economic and therapeutic importance. Few species $[19,20]$ have demonstrated anti-mycobacterial activity while phytochemical analysis of Leucas volkensii [21] and Anisochillus harmandii [22] has led to isolation of diterpenes as active principles.

Acetone extract and compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ were tested for their effect in in vitro models against M. tuberculosis H37Ra. Compounds 1, 2, 3 and 6 showed significant inhibition against $M$. tuberculosis H 37 Ra . The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ values for the same are shown in Table 6. Specificities of anti-mycobacterial activity of compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were determined by evaluating inhibition of Escherichia. coli and M. smegmatis at their $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$. The percent inhibition values are given in Table 7. Both extract and compounds 1, 2 and $\mathbf{6}$ did not show any significant effect on E. coli and M. smegmatis; indicating the specificity of these compounds against pathogenic mycobacteria. Compound 1, 2 and 6 were also tested at their $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ and at $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ concentrations for their in vitro cytotoxicity against MCF-7 (Breast cancer), THP-1(Human acute leukemia cells) and HepG2(Human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cells) cell lines (Table 18). None of the
compounds showed significant cytotoxic effect against any of these cell lines. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 showed $40.70 \%$ and $42.40 \%$ inhibition respectively against MCF-7 cell line but only at a high concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Thus, the cytotoxicity studies indicated no significant toxicity of compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ on human cancer cell lines. Evaluation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ against cancer cell lines, $E$. coli and M. smegmatis for probing specific inhibition of M. tuberculosis is in progress.

## Anti-mycobacterial assay:

Objective: It was intended to study specific inhibition of M. tuberculosis by isolated active compounds. Compounds showing inhibition against $M$. tuberculosis were only taken for further assays against M. smegmatis, E. coli and cancer cell lines. Compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ were evaluated for these activities while due to paucity of compound $\mathbf{8}$, it was not evaluated for these activities. Also 4 and 5 being mixture, were not tested.

## A. General experimental procedure:

Mycobacterium smegmatis (ATCC 607) was obtained from Astra Zeneca (Bangalore, India) and Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra (ATCC 25177) was obtained from MTCC (Chandigarh, India). Escherichia coli strain DH5 $\alpha$ was obtained from NCIM (Pune, India).

Cell lines used in this study, MCF-7 (Breast cancer), THP-1 (Human acute leukemia cells) and HepG-2 (Human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cells) were obtained from National Centre for Cellular Sciences (NCCS) - Pune, India.

## B. Anti-mycobacterial assay against M. tuberculosis- XTT reduction assay:

M. tuberculosis H37Ra (ATCC 25177) cells were grown to logarithmic phase (O.D. $595 \sim 1.0$ ) in a defined medium (M. pheli medium) under aerobic conditions in a shaker incubator (Thermo Electron Corporation Model 481) maintained at 150 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After growth, the culture was sonicated for 2 min using a water bath sonicator. Sonicated cells were used for inoculation in micro plate wells. $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the culture containing $\sim 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$ was added to each well of 96 well plates. $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the test samples dissolved in DMSO was added to the wells to attain a final concentration of $100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively for the preliminary screening. Dose response curve of the active compounds was carried
out by making serial dilutions of the test samples. Then, the plate was incubated in a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plate was taken out on the 8th day of incubation to measure the viable cell counts. The optical density of the culture was measured before addition of XTT at 470 nm which was served as a blank for the MIC calculations. $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ XTT was added and incubated for 20 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after shaking for 1 min . After 20 min of incubation, $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ menadione was added and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min after mixing of 1 min . Finally, the optical density of the suspension was measured at 470 nm by using microplate reader. Results are given in Table 6. Specificity of the active compounds was also checked on $M$. smegmatis using the $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ values found on M. tuberculosis (Table 7).

## C. Anti-mycobacterial assay against M. smegmatis.

This was carried out on compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ while $\mathbf{7}$ being inactive, was not tested further. Bioevaluation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ is underway. On the 3 rd day of incubation, the microplate was taken out to remove the seal and measure the viable cells. Optical density was measured before addition of XTT at 470 nm .200 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ XTT was added and incubated for 20 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after shaking for 1 min . After 20 min incubation, $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ menadione was added and mixed for 1 min and then incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 20 min . The optical density was measured at 470 nm by using a micro plate reader. For Hypoxia induced XTT reduction microplate assay (HXRMA) on the 7th day of incubation, the plates were taken out and the seal was removed. A similar protocol as mentioned above was repeated for aerobically grown M. smegmatis (Table 7).

## D. Inhibition assay against E. coli.

Effect of the compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ was estimated on cultures of E. coli using the $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ concentrations obtained against M. tuberculosis. The effect on growth was calculated by measuring the absorbance of culture at 620 nm after an incubation time of 6 h . None of the compounds exhibited any significant effect on growth of organism. Hence, the result confirmed their specific action against $M$. tuberculosis (Table 7). Evaluation of compound 3, as mentioned above, is underway.

## E. Antiproliferative activity-MTT cell proliferation assay

THP-1, HepG2 and MCF-7 cells were 10,000 cells per well in 96- well tissue culture plates. Cells were allowed to adhere for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ diluted in culture medium at their respective $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ concentrations as well as at $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, for additional $72 \mathrm{~h}, 120 \mathrm{~h}$, and 192 h respectively. In the cells in control wells a culture medium consisting of corresponding concentration of DMSO only was added.

After above incubation time of treatment cell proliferation was assessed with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ medium containing $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ MTT and subsequently incubated for additional 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The formazan crystals were solubilized in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of isopropanol and incubated for another 4 h . The optical density was read on a micro plate reader at 490nm filter against a blank prepared from cell-free wells. Absorbance given by cells treated with the carrier DMSO alone was taken as $100 \%$ cell growth. All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the quantitative value was expressed as the average $\pm$ standard deviation (Table 8). Evaluation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ is underway.

### 2.7. Tables:

Table 1: NMR shifts for compounds 1, 2 and 6.

| Carbon no | Compound 1 |  | Compound 2 |  | Compound 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta){ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| 1 | 33.02 | 1.36 (m) | 31.82 | 1.49 (m) | 31.90 | 1.48 (m) |
| 2 | 18.72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.55(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.48(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 18.56 | 1.48 (m) | 18.61 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.54(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.48(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 41.75 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17(\mathrm{dt} 3.6, \\ & 13.0), \\ & 1.33(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 41.63 | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \hline 1.16 \quad(\mathrm{dt}, & 3.5 \\ , 12.9), & \\ 1.34(\mathrm{~m}) & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 41.66 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.15(\mathrm{dt} 3.5, \\ & 13.2), \\ & 1.35(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 33.48 | - | 33.32 | - | 33.32 | - |
| 5 | 46.99 | 1.37 (m) | 46.33 | 1.4 (m) | 46.40 | 1.39 (m) |
| 6 | 21.66 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.52(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.26(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 21.53 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.54(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.29(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 21.59 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1.28 \\ (\mathrm{~m}), 1.53 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 7 | 32.10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.48(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.22(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 31.24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.49(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.29(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 31.31 | 1.27 (m) |
| 8 | 35.84 | 1.76 (m) | 36.63 | 1.79 (m) | 36.85 | 1.78 (m) |
| 9 | 95.02 | - | 76.76 | - | 77.31 | - |
| 10 | 42.52 | - | 43.17 | - | 43.24 | - |
| $11^{\text {a }}$ | 29.28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.76(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 2.03(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 32.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66(\mathrm{~m}), 1.85( \\ & \mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | 33.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78(\mathrm{~m}), \beta \\ & 1.57(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $12^{\text {a }}$ | 33.42 | 2.00 (m) | 22.23 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.39(\mathrm{dt} \\ 8.43) \end{array}$ | 31.92 | 2.2 (m) |
| 13 | 89.92 | - | 135.14 | - | 144.67 | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 45.52 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.32(\mathrm{~d} \\ & 13.10), \\ & 1.98(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 143.74 | 7.11 (bt 1.6) | 126.03 | 5.63 (t) |
| 15 | 99.22 | 5.43 (bs) | 70.14 | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.774(\mathrm{~d} \\ 4.89), \\ 4.779(\mathrm{~d} \\ \hline \end{array} .89\right)^{\mathrm{a}} .$ | 60.56 | 4.19 (bs) |
| 16 | 77.25 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.37(\mathrm{~d} 8.5), \\ \beta 3.62(\mathrm{~d} \\ 8.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 174.50 | - | 58.46 | 4.20 (bs) |
| 17 | 17.68 | 0.91(d 6.62) | 16.15 | 0.92(d, 6.65) | 16.52 | 0.89(d 6.99) |
| 18 | 22.01 | 0.81 (s) | 33.67 | 0.88 (s) | 33.72 | 0.88 (s) |
| 19 | 33.30 | 0.86 (s) | 22.02 | 0.84 (s) | 21.96 | 0.84 (s) |
| 20 | 18.16 | 0.91 (s) | 16.33 | 0.94 (s) | 16.15 | 0.94 (s) |

* multiplicities in bracket

Table 2a. Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 2.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature | 295 K |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=6.5378(15) \AA \quad \alpha=87.294(4)^{\circ}$. <br> $\mathrm{b}=6.5433(14) \AA \quad \beta=88.488(4)^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{c}=22.339(5) \AA \quad \gamma=75.202(4)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $922.8(3) \AA^{3} \quad 2$ |
| Z | $1.153 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Density (calculated) | $0.18 \times 0.14 \times 0.05 \quad \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Crystal size | 6088 |
| Reflections collected | $3991 / 3 / 431$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0861, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2080$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] |  |

Table 2b. Bond lengths for compound 2

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.) | Bond <br> lengths $[\AA]$ | Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.) | Bond <br> lengths $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.499(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.501(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.562(8))$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.540(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.515(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.544(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.535(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.585(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.572(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.549(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.518(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.518(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.550(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.488(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.544(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.345(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.575(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.459(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.515(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.556(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.529(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.452(12)$ |

Table 2c. Bond angles for compound 2

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [] | Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $114.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $109.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $111.1(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $110.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $114.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $111.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $109.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $111.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $106.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $108.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $114.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $106.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $107.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $111.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $109.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $108.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $113.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $117.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $118.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $113.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.5(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $132.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $115.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $105.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $112.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $121.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $114.1(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $112.1(7)$ |

Table 2d. Torsion angles for compound 2

| Connectivity | angles [$]$ | Connectivity | angles [$]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-57.8(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $52.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $55.8(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $170.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-168.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-61.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-49.1(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $71.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $76.6(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $179.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-63.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-48.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $178.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $59.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $55.8(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-168.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $165.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $66.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $47.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-58.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-75.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-173.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $168.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $62.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-56.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-56.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $51.7(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $179.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-176.9(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $110.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-48.6(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-124.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-58.4(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-177.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $175.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $24.4(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $176.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-153.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $50.6(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $-2.3(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-68.8(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $179.9(9)$ |

Table 3: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ data of compound $\mathbf{3}$ and reference compounds

| no | 3 |  | Sclareol* |  | 8-episclareol* |  | 13-episclareol* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ** | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1 | 36.48 | 1.10, 1.57 | 39.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.95 \beta \\ & 16 \sigma \end{aligned}$ | 39.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \beta \\ & 1.65 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | 39.7 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.98 \beta \\ 1.6 \alpha \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2 | 18.58 | 1.68, 1.43 | 18.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \beta \\ & 1.4 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | 18.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \beta \\ & 1.4 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | 18.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \beta \\ & 1.4 \alpha \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 42.22 | 1.15, 1.37 | 42.0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.13 \beta \\ 1.40 \alpha \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 42.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.14 \beta \\ & 1.40 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | 42.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.14 \beta \\ & 1.40 \alpha \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 32.92 | - | 33.2 | - | 33.2 | - | 33.2 | - |
| 5 | 46.21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.06(dd } \\ & 12.64, \\ & 2.76) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 56.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91(\mathrm{dd} \\ & 12.00, \\ & 2.55) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 55.9 | 0.82 | 56.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.94(\mathrm{dd} \\ & 12.00, \\ & 2.55) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 20.67 | 1.55,1.25 | 20.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \beta \\ & 1.3 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | 18.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.35 \beta \\ & 1.35 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | 20.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \beta \\ & 1.3 \alpha \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 37.83 | 1.55 (m) | 44.1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.4 \beta \\ 1.84 \alpha \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 42.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.75 \beta \\ & 1.5 \alpha \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 44.1 | $1.4 \beta$ |
| 8 | 74.20 | - | 74.7 | - | 73.4 | - | 74.9 | - |
| 9 | 61.18 | 1.11 | 61.7 | 1.11 | 59.0 | 0.76 | 61.8 | 1.18 |
| 10 | 38.86 | - | 39.2 | - | 39.1 | - | 39.3 | - |
| 11 | 20.91 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.78, \\ 1.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 19.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.5 \\ & 1.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 19.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.3 \\ & 1.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 19.1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.45 \\ \hline 1.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 12 | 44.96 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.52-1.63 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{array}$ | 44.9 | 1.65 | 46.1 | 1.60 | 44.8 | 1.65 |
| 13 | 73.64 | - | 73.5 | - | 73.6 | - | 74.1 | - |
| 14 | 146.15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5.95(\mathrm{dd}, \\ 17.30, \\ 10.38) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146 . \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 5.93 | $\begin{aligned} & 144 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 5.93 | $145 .$ | 5.87 |
| 15 | 111.11 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.22 \\ (\mathrm{~d}, 17.30), \\ 5.03 \\ (\mathrm{~d}, 10.38) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111 . \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.21, \\ & 5.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.22,5 . \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 111 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.23, \\ & 5.06 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | 27.25 | 1.29 | 26.8 | 1.27 | 27.6 | 1.30 | 29.1 | 1.26 |
| 17 | 31.99 | 1.47 | 24.1 | 1.16 | 30.5 | 1.12 | 24.5 | 1.15 |
| 18 | 33.11 | 0.87 | 33.4 | 0.86 | 33.4 | 0.87 | 33.4 | 0.86 |
| 19 | 21.36 | 0.79 | 21.5 | 0.78 | 21.6 | 0.82 | 21.5 | 0.78 |
| 20 | 24.78 | 1.07 | 15.4 | 0.78 | 15.1 | 0.96 | 15.4 | 0.77 |

[^0]Table 4a. X-ray data for compound 4:

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature | 296 K |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C 2 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=29.084(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. <br> $\mathrm{b}=7.2886(7) \AA \quad \beta=103.652(2)^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{c}=14.1287(13) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $2910.4(5) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.133 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ |
| Crystal size | $0.49 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Reflections collected | 7410 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $4864 / 1 / 376$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0813, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1892$ |

Table 4b. Bond lengths for compound 4

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.) | Bond <br> lengths $[\AA]$ | Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.) | Bond <br> lengths $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.500(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.533(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.569(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.542(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.501(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.544(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.529(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.569(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.552(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.551(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.544(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.530(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.545(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.528(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.521(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.526(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.578(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.546(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.505(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.471(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.518(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.503(7)$ |

Table 4c. Bond angles for compound 4

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [$]$ | Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $113.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $109.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $110.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $113.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $113.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $112.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $111.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $108.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $107.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $114.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $115.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $107.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $110.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $108.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $109.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $107.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $115.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $105.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $117.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $104.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $114.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $114.5(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $99.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $110.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $112.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $114.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $104.2(5)$ |
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Table 4d. Torsion angles for compound 4

| Connectivity | angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | Connectivity | angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -58.3(5) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 98.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 62.4(5) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -135.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -172.4(4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 23.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -56.0(5) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -145.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 69.6(5) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 101.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -62.7(6) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 30.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -178.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -90.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 57.7(6) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 5.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 165.5(4) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -115.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 49.6(5) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 125.5(4) |
| C(19)-C(4)-C(5)-C(10) | -74.1(5) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -61.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 167.5(5) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 62.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -57.0(6) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -178.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 53.8(6) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -61.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -179.4(4) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 56.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -52.9(6) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -18.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -52.4(7) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 135.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -176.4(4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 10.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -179.5(5) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -113.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 56.6(6) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -21.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -75.6(5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 148.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 49.1(5) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 174.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 166.1(4) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -6.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -60.6(5) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 163.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 73.7(6) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -16.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 179.3(4) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -92.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -46.4(5) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 26.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 60.6(5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -36.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -165.2(4) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 83.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 64.2(6) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -155.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -63.2(5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -153.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -178.3(4) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -34.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 54.4(5) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 87.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -60.9(5) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | -1.4(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 171.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 178.5(5) |

Table 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compounds 7 and $\mathbf{8}$.

| No. | 7 |  | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| 2 | 164.06 | - | 164.39 |  |
| 3 | 104.47 | 6.51 | 102.32 | 6.48 |
| 4 | 182.41 | - | 182.08 | - |
| 5 | 162.14 | - | 161.54 | - |
| 6 | 98.08 | $6.305(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 99.62 | 6.06 |
| 7 | 165.45 | - | 167.53 | - |
| 8 | 92.63 | $6.425(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 94.33 | 6.30 |
| 9 | 157.67 | - | 158.14 | - |
| 10 | 105.51 | - | 102.97 | - |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 123.34 | - | 122.06 | - |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 108.28 | $6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 108.97 | $7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $3^{\prime}$ | 146.83 | - | 148.12 | - |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 149.22 |  | 150.95 | - |
| $5^{\prime}$ | 114.97 | $7.265(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 115.39 | $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 120.73 | $7.425(\mathrm{dd}, 8,2$ | 120.21 | $7.40(\mathrm{dd}, 8,2$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Hz})$ |  | $\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| $7-\mathrm{Me}$ | 55.80 | 3.82 | - | - |
| $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}$ | 56.14 | 3.94 | 55.12 | 3.86 |

Table 6: In vitro antimycobacterial activity of compounds against M. tuberculosis

| Compound | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | $\mathbf{I C} \mathbf{9 0}(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.55 | 14.88 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.02 | 19.67 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.95 | 10.85 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 9.8 | 46.52 |
| $\mathbf{L S}$ aerial acetone extract | 8.94 | 43.98 |

Table 7: Percent inhibition of M. smegmatis and E. coli.

| Compound* | M. smegmatis | E. coli |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $47.83 \pm 3.74$ | $23.15 \pm 2.45$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $31.56 \pm 6.09$ | $0.29 \pm 3.56$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $30.57 \pm 3.58$ | $11.47 \pm 1.65$ |
| LS aerial acetone <br> extract | $19.47 \pm 0.16$ | $3.48 \pm 2.561$ |

*at $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$
Three replicates; results are mean $\pm$ standard deviation.
Table 8: Cytotoxicity against MCF-7, THP-1 and HepG-2.

| Sr.* | MCF-7** |  | THP-1** |  | HepG-2** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IC90 | 100 | $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ | 100 | $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbf{9 0}}$ | 100 |
| 1 | $28.98 \pm 2.23$ | $42.40 \pm 1.67$ | $8.18 \pm 2.65$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 21.74 & \pm \\ 2.78 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 6.92 & \pm \\ 1.12 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 14.43 & \pm \\ 3.09 & \end{array}$ |
| 2 | $13.37 \pm 2.76$ | $40.70 \pm 2.78$ | $10.11 \pm 1.67$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 18.83 & \pm \\ 2.34 & \end{array}$ | Inactive | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 16.84 & \pm \\ 3.25 & \end{array}$ |
| 6 | $3.54 \pm 1.76$ | $3.54 \pm 3.45$ | $9.07 \pm 3.43$ | $9.07 \pm 4.65$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 21.48 & \pm \\ 2.13 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 21.48 & \pm \\ 2.65 & \end{array}$ |
| $\mathbf{A A ~}^{\text {a }}$ | $7.01 \pm 3.87$ | Inactive | Inactive | Inactive | $\begin{array}{ll} 4.16 & \pm \\ 3.21 & \end{array}$ | Inactive |

*at $\mathrm{IC}_{90},{ }^{* *}$ values in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml},{ }^{\text {a }}$ acetone extract

### 2.8. NMR spectroscopic data:

Compound 1:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR and DEPT in inset:


Compound 2:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR:

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT in inset:



Compound 3:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT in inset:


Compounds 4 and 5:
${ }^{1} H$ NMR:

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT in inset:


Compound 6:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR and DEPT in inset:
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Compound 7:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:


## DEPT:



Compound 8 :
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:


## ${ }^{13}$ C NMR:



DEPT:
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## Chapter 3

## Phytochemical investigations on Lavandula gibsoni Grah.



Figure 1: L. gibsoniGrah. Ex Dalz. \& Gibs(=L. lawii Wight.)

### 3.1. Introduction:

Genus Lavandula is represented by two species in Maharashtra, India viz. L. pinnata and L. gibsoni. L. gibsoni is a medium sized shrub with clusters of tiny violet flowers. It is endemic to Western Ghats and found on the hills of Maharashtra [1].

### 3.2. Collection and processing:

L. gibsoni, whole plants, in flowering, were collected along roadside at the Purandar Fort region, District Pune in October, 2008. A voucher specimen is deposited in Botanical Survey of India, Western Circle, Pune (No. SPJ-3). Roots were separated and aerial parts cleaned off adhering dust and unwanted plant material. Cleaned aerial parts were divided into two parts. One part was cut into small pieces and processed for obtaining essential oil. Second part was dried in shade, cut and pulverized.

### 3.3. Extraction and Isolation:

## i) Distillation of essential oil:

Oil was isolated from fresh aerial parts by hydro-distillation using Clevenger-type apparatus with $0.14 \%$ yield based on fresh plant material.

## ii) Extraction and isolation of compounds from acetone extract:

The pulverized aerial parts of L. gibsoni ( 2.17 kg ) were extracted with acetone, $(3.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 14 \mathrm{~h} \times 3)$, at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a dark residue $(60.7 \mathrm{~g}, 2.79 \%$ on dry plant weight basis) of which 55.5 g , was subjected to column chromatography (CC) using acetone gradient in petroleum ether to collect twenty three (LG1-LG23) fractions (Chart 1). Fraction LG2 ( 1.42 g ) was chromatographed on silica gel and eluted with petroleum ether to collect eight fractions (LG2i-viii). Combined fraction LG2iii-v were subjected to preparative TLC using developing system ethyl acetate: petroleum ether ( $1: 9$ ) to isolate compound $2(7.9 \mathrm{mg})$. Column washings eluted with acetone gave, on purification by preparative TLC in benzene as developing system gave compound 4 ( 14 mg ). Fraction LG8 ( 538.4 mg ), fraction LG9 ( 353.9 mg ) and fraction LG10 ( 453.8 mg ) were subjected separately to CC and eluted with petroleum ether with a gradient of acetone from 8 to $10 \%$. Fractions ix and x from above chromatographic separations were combined and subjected to
preparative TLC in acetone: petroleum ether (15: 85) to obtain compound 6 ( 5.5 mg ). Fractions LG13 (844mg), LG14 ( 548.7 mg ), LG15 (1.08g), LG16 ( 456.5 mg ), LG17 3.15 g ) and LG18 ( 1.7 g ) contained compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 7. LG13 and LG14 were separately subjected to CC using acetonitrile: chloroform (2:8) as eluting system. LG15, LG16, LG17 (after removal of solid by filtration) and LG18 were separately subjected to CC using chloroform with a gradient of methanol from 1 to 5\% as eluting system. Fractions LG13xii, LG14xvii, LG15xiv, LG16v, LG17iii and LG18iii from respective columns were combined and subjected to preparative TLC with acetone: petroleum ether (2:8) as developing system to obtain mixture of compounds 1 and 7. The mixture was separated further by preparative TLC in methanol: chloroform (4: 96) as developing system to isolate pure compounds $\mathbf{1}(30.4 \mathrm{mg})$ and $7(15 \mathrm{mg})$. Fractions LG16iii and LG16iv contained compound $\mathbf{8}$ which were combined and subjected to preparative TLC with developing system acetone: petroleum ether (2:8) to obtain pure compound 8 ( 6.6 mg ). Solid obtained from LG17 was purified by washing with acetone to obtain compound $9(110 \mathrm{mg})$ as yellow crystals. Fraction LG19 (1.7g) was subjected to CC using acetonitrile gradient in chloroform from 4 to $10 \%$ as mobile phase. Fractions LG19ix, LG19x and LG19xi from this CC were combined ( 381 mg ) and subjected to CC and eluted with chloroform with a gradient of methanol from 1 to $5 \%$. Fraction 1 from this chromatography was purified by preparative TLC with developing system acetone: petroleum ether (1:9) to obtain compound $5(11.9 \mathrm{mg})$ Fraction LG21 $(5.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to CC using chloroform with a gradient of acetonitrile from 10 to $15 \%$ as mobile phase. Fractions iv and v from this CC were combined ( 100 mg ) and again subjected to CC using methanol gradient in chloroform from 1 to $5 \%$ as mobile system. Compounds $\mathbf{3}(9 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathbf{1 0}(47.7 \mathrm{mg})$ were obtained after purification of combined fractions 6 to 8 of above CC using preparative TLC with developing system acetone: petroleum ether (2:8). Fraction LG23 (4.0g) was separated by CC using methanol gradient 1 to $8 \%$ in chloroform as mobile phase. Fractions, LG23vii, viii and ix were combined and compounds $\mathbf{1 2}(12.0 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathbf{1 3}(7.0 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated from it after preparative TLC with developing system 3\% methanol in chloroform. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}(30.0 \mathrm{mg})$ was isolated from first CC
washings with acetone after successive CCs and preparative TLC in methanolchloroform.



Chart 1: Chromatographic separation of L. gibsoni


1


6



4, R=ethyl
5, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$


7



2, R=ethyl
3, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$


8


9


10

11

12, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} \quad 13, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OAc}$

Figure 2: Compounds isolated from L. gibsoni

### 3.4. Structure elucidation:

## Compound 1;

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as a light brown gum. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{1}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 273,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 295$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with eight degrees of unsaturation. The HREIMS of 1 showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 272.14239$ confirming the molecular formula. The IR absorption bands at $3481.20,1585.14,1508.05,1456.70,1413.21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated presence of hydroxyl group and phenyl ring. The presence of three aromatic protons at $\delta 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.75,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $7.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) suggested a 1, 2, 4- trisubstituted benzene skeleton. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed 17 signals and were identified as four methyls, five methines, and eight quaternary carbons on the basis of the DEPT experiment. Presence of quaternary carbon at $\delta 40.6$ and two methyl groups at $\delta 29.79$ suggested 2, 2-diphenylpropane skeleton [2]. From the detailed analysis of the COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments, structure of compound $\mathbf{1}$ was assigned as follows:

Quaternary carbon at $\delta 40.6$ showed three bond correlation with aromatic protons at $\delta 7.14\left(\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right)$ as well as with $\delta 6.69\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $6.87\left(\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Methyl at $\delta 2.23$ (C-1'") showed three bond HMBC correlation with carbon at $\delta 128.34$ (C$6^{\prime}$ ) and 153.16 (C-4') (Figure 3). Proton at $\delta 6.19$ (H-3') exhibited three bond correlation with carbon at $\delta 127.65$ (C-1') and $115.21\left(\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right)$ as well as two bond correlation with carbon at $\delta 152.47$ (C-2'). Both carbons at $\delta 152.47$ (C-2') and 153.16 (C-4') were correlated with proton at $\delta 7.14$ (H-6') with three bond couplings. This established complete substitution pattern in one ring.


3a. Observed HMBC


3b. Observed COSY

Figure 3: HMBC and COSY correlations of compound 1

Substitution pattern on another ring was established as follows. Methyl at $\delta$ 2.22 (H-2'") showed three bond HMBC correlation with carbons at $\delta 154.28$ (C3 '') and $131.69\left(\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as well two bond correlation with carbon at $\delta 122.81$ (C$4^{\prime}$ '). These established substitution pattern in second ring. COSY correlations depicted in Figure2b, additionally confirmed these assignments. Thus compound $\mathbf{1}$ was isolated as new natural product as [2-(2,4-dihydroxy-5methylphenyl)-2-(3'-hydroxy-4'-methylphenyl)]propane.


4a. HSQC spectrum


4b. HMBC spectrum


4c. COSY spectrum
Figure 4: 2D spectra of compound 1.


Figure 5: Structure of compound 1.

## Compound 2:

Compound $\mathbf{2}$ was isolated as pale yellow gum. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{2}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 195,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 217$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ with four degrees of unsaturation probably accounted by one aromatic ring. The HREIMS of $\mathbf{2}$ showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 194.13179$ confirming the molecular formula. IR spectrum showed absorption peaks at $3367.17,1585.14,1508.05,1456.70,1413.21,1215.74,756.11,669.07 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating presence of hydroxyl group and phenyl ring. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 2) exhibited four methyl signals at $\delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ '), 1.55 (s, H9), 1.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10$ ) and 2.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum also exhibited three aromatic proton signals $\delta 7.06$ (bs, H-3), 6.85 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=7.75,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ) and 7.09 (d, J=7.75Hz, H-6) suggesting a $1,2,4$ - trisubstituted benzene pattern. Presence of one methyl at $\delta 1.18$ and methylene at $\delta 3.28$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ ) suggested an ethoxyl group. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 2) showed 12 signals and were identified as four methyls, one methylene, three methines, and four quaternary carbons on the basis of the DEPT experiment. Presence of quaternary carbon at $\delta$ 76.80 and two methyl groups at $\delta 28.40$ suggested 2-alkoxy-2-propyl substitution on phenyl ring. Presence of ethoxyl group on substituent rather than on ring was deduced from presence of one phenolic hydroxyl proton at $\delta 5.79$ as well as from HMBC correlation of methylene protons at $\delta 3.28$ with quaternary carbon at $\delta 76.80$ as shown in Figure 7a. Above data along with biogenetic considerations led to two possible structures corresponding to carvacrol (a) and thymol (b) skeletons respectively (Figure 6).

a

b

Figure 6: Structure of carvacrol (a) and thymol (b)
Methyl group at $\delta 2.26$ showed three bond HMBC correlation with quaternary carbon at $\delta 154.08(\mathrm{C}-2)$. Methine protons at $\delta 7.06(\mathrm{H}-3)$ and $\delta 6.85$
(H-5) showed HMBC correlation with quaternary carbon at $\delta 76.80$ (C-8) (Figure 7a). These two sets of correlations confirmed the carvacrol pattern for compound 2. COSY correlations shown in Figure 7b confirmed these above assignments. Thus compound 2 was identified as new natural product, 3-(2-ethoxypropan-2-yl)-6-methylphenol or 8-ethoxycarvacrol. No ethanol was used at any stage of processing hence compounds 2 and $\mathbf{4}$ are in all probability not artifacts and are natural products.


7a. Observed HMBC


7b. Observed COSY

Figure 7: HMBC correlations for compound 2


8a. HSQC spectrum


8b. HMBC spectrum


## 8c. COSY spectrum

Figure 8: 2D spectra of compound 2.


Figure 9: Structure of compound 2. (Numbering is as per convention and not as found in IUPAC nomenclature.)

## Compound 4:

Compound 4 was isolated as brown gum. The ESIMS spectrum of 4 showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 195$ along with $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$peak at 217 and $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$peak at 233 in agreement with molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ indicating four degrees of unsaturation corresponding to one phenyl ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 2) showed signals very similar to compound $\mathbf{2}$ except for change of positions in aromatic region indicating different substitution pattern. The three aromatic proton signals resonated at $\delta 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 6.835$ (dd, 7.72 , $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ) and 7.09 (d, $7.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 2) also showed signals very similar to molecule $\mathbf{2}$ except for interchange of resonance of C-2 and $\mathrm{C}-3$ as well as C-5 and C-6. This along with the biogenetic considerations confirmed the structure of 4 corresponding to thymol skeleton (Figure 6b) and was identified as new natural product 2-(2-ethoxypropan-2-yl)-5-methylphenol or 8-ethoxythymol.


Figure 10: Structure of compound 4. (Numbering is as per convention and not as found in IUPAC nomenclature.)

## Compound 5:

Compound 5 was isolated as pale yellow gum. The HREIMS of $\mathbf{5}$ showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 166.09957$ in agreement with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ indicating four degrees of unsaturation corresponding to one phenyl ring. IR spectrum showed absorption peaks at $3609.47,1585.57,1522.14$, 1457.01, $1414.68 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating presence of hydroxyl group and phenyl ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compound 5 were very similar to those of compound 4 except for absence of ethyl group (Table 2). This indicated similar substitution pattern in aromatic region and ethoxyl group is substituted by hydroxyl group. From this data, compound 5 was identified as 2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-5-methylphenol or 8-hydroxythymol.


Figure 11: Structure of compound 5. (Numbering is as per convention and not as found in IUPAC nomenclature.)

## Compound 3:

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was isolated as brown gum. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{3}$ showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 167$ in agreement with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ indicating four degrees of unsaturation corresponding to one aromatic
ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compound $\mathbf{3}$ were very similar to those of compound 2 except for absence of ethyl group (Table 2). This indicated similar substitution pattern in aromatic region and ethoxyl group is replaced by hydroxyl group. Thus compound 3 was identified as 5-(2-ethoxypropan-2-yl)-2methylphenol or 8-hydroxycarvacrol. It has been isolated earlier from L. gibsoni [3].


Figure 12: Structure of compound 3. (Numbering is as per convention and not as found in IUPAC nomenclature.)

## Compound 6:

Compound 6 was isolated as brown gum. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed 9 signals. Resonances at $\delta 160.81,143.43$ and 116.70 indicated $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester (Table 3). Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was identifies as coumarin by comparison of its NMR spectra with that of authentic sample available with us as well as with literature [4]. Coumarin has earlier been reported from other Lavandula species [5].


Figure 13: Structure of compound 6.

## Compound 7:

Compound 7 was isolated as brown amorphous powder. The ESIMS of 7 showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 147$ in agreement with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ indicating four degrees of unsaturation corresponding
to one aromatic ring. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed 7 signals which were identified as one methyl, three methines and three quaternary carbons by DEPT experiment. Resonances at $\delta 154.74$ and 154.55 indicated resorcinol skeleton for compound 7 as ortho or para hydroxyl substituted carbons resonate upfield due to mutual shield by resonance. Upfield shifted methyl resonance at $\delta 14.88$ indicated its proximity (ortho substitution) with one of the hydroxyl group. Protons at $\delta 6.97$ $(\mathrm{d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.35(\mathrm{bs})$ indicative of 1, 2, 4-trisubstitution supported resorcinol based structure. Thus compound 7 was identified as 4methylresorcinol. The assignments were supported by comparison of its spectra with that of 4-methylcatechol [6] and methylhydroquinone [7] as well as with 4ethylresorcinol [8]. This is probably for the first time that 4-methyresorcinol is reported as natural product.


Figure 14: Structure of compound 7.
Compound 8 :
Compound $\mathbf{8}$ was isolated as yellow amorphous powder. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{8}$ showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 299$ in agreement with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ indicating eleven degrees of unsaturation. Examination of its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum indicated it to be a flavonoid. Presence of carbonyl at $\delta 182.46$ and hydroxyl proton at $\delta 12.79$ indicated 5-hydroxyflavone. Three methine carbons at $\delta$ 104.34, 98.1 and 92.60 , two methyls at $\delta 55.53,55.79$ and two doublets in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at $\delta$ $6.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ corresponding to $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ quartate of ring B , afforded identification of compound $\mathbf{8}$ as $7,4^{\prime}$-dimethoxyapigenin [9]. It is probably reported for first time from genus Lavandula.


Figure 15: Structure of compound 8 .
Compound 9:
Compound 9 was isolated as yellow crystals. The ESIMS of 9 showed a molecular ion peak $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 329$ in agreement with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ indicating eleven degrees of unsaturation. Examination of its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum indicated it to be a flavonoid. Presence of carbonyl at $\delta 182.53$ and hydroxyl proton at $\delta 12.78$ indicated 5-hydroxyflavone. Two methine carbons at $\delta 103.89$ and 90.47 indicated a flavone with substitution at positions either at 3 or 6 or 8 , most probably at 6 . One of the three methyl resonated at $\delta 60.73$, thus confirming methoxyl substitution at position 6 as methyls at 6 methoxyl position in flavones resonate downfield from other methyls at around $\delta 60$. Rest two methyls at $\delta 55.44,56.21$ and two doublets in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum at $\delta 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ afforded identification of compound 9 as $6,7,4$ 'dimethoxyapigenin or salvigenin [10]. It has previously been isolated from Lavandula species [11].


Figure 16: Structure of compound 9.

## Compound 10:

Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was isolated as a white amorphous powder. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed presence of one sugar moiety, signals indicative of a steroid and a fatty acid linkage. Presence of one olefinic proton at $\delta 5.36$ and six methyl
resonances at $\delta 0.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-18), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-26), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-27), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-29), 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-21)$ and $1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-19)$, indicated steroid to be sitosterol. Methylene at $\delta 63.24$ in sugar region of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 6) were indicative of glucose with esterification at C-6'. Thus the compound was suspected to be sitosterol glucoside with fatty acid esterified C-6'. ESIMS gave $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 815$ corresponding to palmitic acid ester. Thus compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was identified as Sitosteryl-6- $\beta$-D-glucoside- 6 '-palmitate after comparison of its NMR spectra with Sitosteryl-6- $\beta$ -D-glucoside recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ mixture (isolated from Anisomeles heyneana, compound 3, Chapter 4), reference Sigma-Aldrich spectra for palmitic acid [12] as well as with that of sitosteryl-6- $\beta$-D-glucoside-6'-palmitate [13]. It probably is for the first time been isolated from family Lamiaceae.


Figure 17: Structure of compound 10.

## Compound 11:

Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was isolated as a white amorphous powder. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed presence of 30 resonances with 6 methyls, 10 methylenes, 6 methines and 8 quaternary carbons. ESIMS gave $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at 509 corresponding to formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with seven degrees of unsaturation. Carbonyl carbon $\delta$ 182.21 and six methyls (Table 7) indicated a pentacyclic triterpene acid with one of the methyl converted to methylene forming a cylopropane ring. Carbons at $\delta 68.6$ and 83.8 were indicative of $2 \alpha, 3 \beta$-diol. Literature survey identified compound 11 as euscaphic acid D [14]. It has been earlier isolated from Euscaphys japonica (Family Staphyleaceae) [14] and this is its first report from family Lamiaceae.


Figure 18: Structure of compound 11.

## Compound 12:

Compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was isolated as brown amorphous powder, soluble to some extend in both polar and non-polar solvents. ESIMS gave peaks at $343[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$ and $365[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$corresponding to molecular weight 342 and formula $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Upon examination of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 8) resonances at $\delta 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.53(\mathrm{sep}, \mathrm{d} 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ revealed presence of isopropyl group Methine at $\delta 4.05(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ methylene protons at $\delta 2.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $16.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{dd}, 16.08,8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ corresponding to carbon at $\delta 41.04$ and rest of the resonances indicated a fatty compound with isopropyl group and methine attached to hydroxyl group. Lack of quaternary carbons and any other methine in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum and methylenes at $\delta 41.04,39.04$ and 36.57 hinted at a symmetrical dimer with 1,4 -substituted diols. Literature search [15] gave similar synthetically prepared unbranched straight chain compounds with similar ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. In COSY spectrum correlation was observed between 4.05 and H8/8' and H10/10' while HMBC confirmed the end connectivities. Thus compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was identified as 2,19-dimethylcosane-9,12-diol. Stereochemistry at both the methines is undetermined as compound with opposite configuration at both carbons have internal plane of symmetry while one with same configuration has $S_{2}$ axis of symmetry. In both cases, one set of NMR signals is expected. Thus compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was identified as new natural product. Further confirmation by HRMS is underway.


19a. Observed HMBC


19b. Observed COSY
Figure 19: 2D correlations for compound 12.


Figure 20: 2D Spectra of compound 12.


Figure 21: Structure of compound 12.
Compound 13:
Compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ was isolated as greenish gum insoluble in methanol. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (Table 8) were very similar to compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ with methine shifted downfield to $\delta 5.23$ and methine at $\delta 1.53$ separated from clutter due to downfield shifting of $\mathrm{H} 8 / 8^{\prime}$ protons. There was additional methyl at $\delta 2.24$ (s) and carbonyl at $\delta 170.59$ indicative of acetylation of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$. Thus compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ was identified as new natural product 2, 19-dimethylcosane-9, 12-diacetate. Further confirmation by HRMS and 2D NMR spectroscopy is underway.


Figure 22: Structure of compound 13.

### 3.5. Experimental:

## A. Collection and processing:

As described earlier.

## B. Extraction and Isolation:

As described earlier.

## Compound 1

Light brown gum ( $30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0014 \%$ based on dry weight basis), IR (CHCl3) 3481, 1584, 1499, 1462, 1415, 1215, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1; HREIMS m/z: $272.14239[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(calculated for 272.14126).
Compound 2
Gum (7.9 mg, 0.00036\%), IR (chloroform) 3367, 1585, 1508, 1456, 1413, $1215, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2; HREIMS m/z: 194.13179 [M]+ (calculated for 194.13069).

## Compound $\mathbf{3}$

Gum ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00041 \%),{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2.

## Compound 4

Gum (14 mg, 0.00065\%), IR (chloroform) 3609.47, 1585.57, 1522.14, 1457.01, 1414.68, 1215.81, 758.43, $669.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2; HREIMS m/z: 166.09957 [M] ${ }^{+}$(calculated for 166.09939).

Compound 5
Gum (11.9mg, 0.00055\%); HREIMS m/z: 166.09957 [M] ${ }^{+}$(calculated for 166.09939); IR (chloroform) 3610, 1452, 1418, 1210, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2;
Compound 6
Gum (5.5mg, 0.00025\%); ESIMS $147[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 169[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; IR (chloroform), 1734, 1672, 1622, 1565, 1453, 1399 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3;

Compound 7
Gum (15.0mg, 0.00069\%); ESIMS $147[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; IR (chloroform); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectral data, see Table 4;

## Compound 8 :

Yellow amorphous powder (6.6mg, 0.00030\%); ESIMS, $299[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 316[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}, 321[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 5;

Compound 9
Yellow crystals ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \%$ ); ESIMS, $329[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 351[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 5;

## Compound 10

White amorphous powder( $47.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0022 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z:, $815[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$, $837[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\delta, 0.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-18), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-26)$, $0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-27), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-29), 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-21), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-19), 3.35-3.67(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.26-4.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.36(2 \mathrm{H}$, m, H 3 and H 1 '); for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 6.
Compound 11
White amorphous powder ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0014 \%$ ); ESIMS, $509[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-25), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-30), 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-24)$, 0.99 (3H, 3, H-26), 1.29 (3H, s, H-23), 1.36 (3H, s, H-29), 3.66 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 5.36 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 10.29,2.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 11), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 10.29,2.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 12)$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 7;
Compound 12
Green amorphous powder ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00055 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z, $343[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$, $365[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 9.
Compound 13
Green gum ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00032 \%$ ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 9 ;

### 3.6 Analysis of essential oil of L.gibsoni:

Mosquitoes are major vectors for the transmission of malaria, filariasis, dengue fever, yellow fever, and several viral diseases [16, 17]. More than two billion people, mostly in tropical countries, are at risk from mosquito-borne diseases, such as malaria, dengue, haemorrhagic fever and filariasis [18]. Personal protective measures, including repellents, are widely used to prevent the transmission of mosquito-borne diseases by minimizing the contact between humans and vectors [19]. Recently, the environmental friendly and biodegradable
natural insecticides of plant origin have been receiving attention as an alternative green measure of control of arthropods of public health importance [20].

Various plant extracts or phytochemicals have been focused as potential sources of commercial mosquito-control agents or as lead compounds [21, 22]. Essential oils obtained from several plant species have been extensively tested to assess their repellent and even insecticidal properties as a valuable natural resource [23].

There is no work reported on the chemistry and biological activity of essential oil of L. gibsoni.

## Distillation of essential oil:

It is described earlier.

## GC analysis of essential oil:

The GC-FID analysis of the essential oil was carried out with an Varian CP 3800 apparatus equipped with FID and a GsBP5 capillary column ( 30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm ). Oven temperature was programmed rising from 50 to $260^{\circ}$ at $3^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ hold at $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min ; injector temperature, $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detector temperature, $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; carrier gas, $\mathrm{He}(1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$; injection volume, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$; split ratio, 6:4 (Figure 23). The Linear Retention Indices (LRIs) of the constituents reported in Table 9 were determined relative to the retention times of a series of $n$ alkanes (C9-C38), and the relative percentages of the individual components of the oils were obtained from the GC-FID peak-area percentages after applying correction factors.

## GC/MS Analysis of essential oil:

The GC/MS analysis of essential oil was performed with an Perkin Elmer Clarus 500 gas chromatograph coupled to a Perkin Elmer Clarus 500 quadruple mass spectrometer equipped with a GsBP5 capillary Column ( 30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm ). Oven temperature was programmed rising from 50 to $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ with hold at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min and at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .; injector temperature, $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detector temperature, $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; carrier gas, $\mathrm{He}(1.0$ $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ); injection volume, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$; mass spectra, positive electron impact mode at 70 eV (Figure 24)

## Identification of oil constituents:

The identification of the individual compounds of the oil was based on the comparison of their LRI and mass spectra with those of authentic compounds by means of the NBS and NIST databases and published data on http://www.webbook.nist.gov/.

## Mosquito larvicidal assay of essential oil and acetone extract:

Standard WHO method of testing the susceptibility of mosquito larvae to insecticides [33] was followed in all the experiments with slight modification. Larvicidal assay was carried on larvae of Aedes aegypti, Culex quinquefasciatus and Anopheles stephensi. Test samples (acetone extract and essential oil) were dissolved in analar grade acetone to prepare stock solutions. Ten early $4^{\text {th }}$ instar mosquito larvae were introduced in 100 ml glass beaker containing 50 ml water. A known volume of stock solution was added in beaker to get various concentrations of extract and oil. Acetone control was run simultaneously. For each concentration and control, 5 replicates were used and each test was repeated three times. The beakers were kept at $26 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and mortality of larvaewas recorded after 24h. The corrected mortality was analyzed using Abbott's formula wherever required. The mortality were analyzed by log probit method and Lethal Concentration ( $\mathrm{LC}_{50} \& \mathrm{LC}_{95}$ ) were calculated (Tables 10-12).

## Mosquito repellency assay:

Mosquito repellent activity was assessed [34] on the basis of the protection period offered by repellent test sample. For the study, 4-6 days old, blood starved, sucrose fed ( 0.5 M solution) females of $A$. aegypti were taken.

Human hand covered with snugly fitting glove was introduced in the cage containing 100 hungry females. Mosquitoes were allowed to bite on the back of the hand through muslin cloth screen stuck over a small window ( 2 cm X 2 cm ) cut out in the glove. Test samples (acetone extract, essential oil and standard compounds) were loaded on the muslin cloth screen at concentrations, $0.5,1.0$ and $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Control muslin cloth screen was treated with solvent alone. After introduction of the hand covered with the glove with treated muslin screen into the mosquito cage, number of bites received in subsequent 5 min were counted. In the event of no bites in the initial 5 min exposure, the test hand was exposed
repeatedly after every consecutive 30 min for 5 min test till the time a confirmed bite was received. Protection period was recorded as the time elapsed between repellent application and the time at which a confirmed bite was observed. A control arm was placed in the cage randomly before or after the treated arm to asses mosquitoes bite (Tables 13a-13d).
GC-MS analysis of essential oil of L. gibsonii


Figure 23: GC-FID of $L$. gibsoni essential oil


Figure 24: GC-MS of L. gibsoni essential oil

## Results and Discussion.

Analysis of Essential Oils: The oil was obtained by hydrodistillation and characterized using GC-FID and GC/MS analyses. The identified oil components with their relative contents are reported in Table 9. The essential oil contained $\alpha-$ terpinolen ( $22.22 \%$ ), thymol ( $10.42 \%$ ) and benzenemethanol, 4-(1-methylethyl) $(4.52 \%)$ as main components and to a lesser extent $\beta$-myrcene, linalool, limonene, 1-octen-3-ol. Reported chemical compositions of essential oil of genus Lavandula is found to have linalool, lanalyl acetate, 1,8 -cineol, camphor, fenchol, fencone, borneol, terpinen-4-ol, $\beta$-pinene, phenylacetaldehyde, $\alpha$-phellandrene and $\beta$ phellandrene as major constituents. For example, oil of L. angustifolia was found to contain linalool (50.07\%), camphor ( $12.52 \%$ and 1,8 -cineol ( $7.19 \%$ ) as major constituents [24] while L. spica revealed linalool (44.5\%), 1,8-cineol (11.0\%), borneol $(10.9 \%)$ and terpinen-4-ol (9.8.5) as main components [25]. 1,8-cineol $(48.0 \%)$ is the major component of essential oil of $L$. dentata with $\beta$-pnene ( $6.0 \%$ ) as minor constituent [26]. Oil of L. stoechas ssp. stoechas had high total content of fenchone and camphor ( $51-83 \%$ ) with varying respective ratios based on region [27]. The three oil samples isolated separately from flowering tops, leaves and stems from $L$. pinnata consisted mainly of $\beta$-phellandrene (12-32\%) and $\alpha$ phellandrene ( $6-16 \%$ ) as the second most important monoterpene while leaf oil contained phenylacetaldehyde (6-9\%) [28].

Mosquito larvicidal activity: The essential was evaluated for larvicidal activity against $A$. stephensi, $A$. aegypti and C. quinquefasciatus larvae at six concentrations ranging from 25 to 150 ppm . Essential oil at 150 ppm exhibited $100 \%$ toxicity for all the three species (Table 10). The acetone extract exhibited $100 \%$ toxicity at 250 ppm (Table 11). $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values for essential oil were found to be $48.71,57.29$ and 60.49 ppm respectively while $\mathrm{LC}_{95}$ values $134.91,143.58$ and 147.49 ppm respectively. However for acetone extract $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values obtained were 123.16, 135.14 and 144.49 ppm respectively while $\mathrm{LC}_{95}$ values $373.19,320.51$ and 350.58 respectively (Table 4 ). $\alpha$ - terpinolen is reported to show mortality to $4^{\text {th }}$ instar larvae of $A$. aegypty $\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50} 28.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\right)$ and thymol against C. pipiens ( $\mathrm{LC}_{50} 37.95 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) [29]. Essential oil of L. officinalis exhibited mortality to $A$. stephensii $\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50} 83.6 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ [30] and $L$. angustifolia against $A$. albopictus $\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50}>\right.$

250ppm). Essential oil composition of the later oil was fenchone $33.9 \%$ camphor (13.8\%), camphene (13.7\%), $\alpha$-pinene (6.8\%), bornyl acetate (5.3\%) and limonene (4.4\%) [31]. Essential oil of L. stoechas exhibited mortality to C. pipiens molestus $\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50} 89.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ [32].

Mosquito repellent activity: The essential oil was evaluated for repellent activity against $A$. aegypti at $0.5,1.0$ and $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ concentrations (Table 5). Activity at $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ was found to be comparable with standard repellent $N, N-$ Diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET) (Table 13). Since oil showed $\alpha$ - terpinolen and thymol in around $2: 1$ ratio, separate study was undertaken to study repellent activity of both these compounds as such as well as $2: 1$ mixture at concentrations found in oil (Table 13). The results demonstrated that the mixture accounted for $75 \%$ activity of the oil.

Conclusion: The results demonstrate promising larvicidal activity of the essential oil and acetone extract against three species of mosquito. The oil was found to be more potent. Essential oil also exhibited potent repellent activity against $A$. aegypti at concentration comparable to standard repellent DEET. Essential oil was found to contain $\alpha$ - terpinolen and thymol as major components which were shown to be accounting for around $75 \%$ of repellency, rest being contributed by other minor members. This study provides the first characterization of the essential oils of L. gibsoni with chemical composition significantly different than those for essential oils of other Lavandula species.

### 3.7. Tables:

Table 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of compound $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

| No. | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 29.79 | $1.60(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| 2 | 40.6 | - |
| 3 | 29.79 | $1.60(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 127.65 | - |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 152.47 | - |
| $3^{\prime}$ | 104.63 | $6.19(\mathrm{bs})$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 153.16 | - |
| 5, | 115.21 | - |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 128.34 | $7.14(\mathrm{bs})$ |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 147.87 | - |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 112.98 | $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $3^{\prime \prime}$ | 154.28 | - |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 122.81 | - |
| $5^{\prime}$ | 131.69 | $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.75 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 117.70 | $6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.75,1.50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 15.34 | $2.23(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 15.44 | $2.22(\mathrm{~s})$ |

Table 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of compounds 2, 3, 4 and $\mathbf{5}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

|  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| 1 | 122.54 | - | 122.29 | - | 122.54 | - | 122.61 | - |
| 2 | 154.08 | - | 153.88 | - | 112.35 | 7.125 <br> (d, J= <br> $1.51 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 111.39 | 7.08 (bs) |
| 3 | 112.40 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.06 \\ \text { (bs) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 111.33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.045(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 1.01 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 154.26 | - | 154.00 | - |
| 4 | 145.70 | - | 148.40 | - | 145.38 | - | 148.11 | - |
| 5 | 117.79 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.85 \\ (\mathrm{dd}, \quad J= \\ 7.75, \\ 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 116.36 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.89 \quad(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & J=\quad 7.75, \\ & 1.01 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 130.62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & J= \\ & 7.72 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 130.69 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.07 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \\ J= \\ 7.77 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{array}$ |
| 6 | 130.62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.09 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & J= \\ & 7.75 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 130.73 | $7.09 \quad(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=$ $7.75 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 117.52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.835 \\ (\mathrm{dd}, \quad J= \\ 7.72, \\ 1.51 \\ \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{array}$ | 116.18 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.855 \\ & \text { (dd, J= } \\ & 7.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1.76 \mathrm{~Hz} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 15.41 | 2.26 | 15.36 | 2.24 | 15.47 | 2.27 | 15.40 | 2.23 |
| 8 | 76.80 | - | 72.64 | - | 77.07 | - | 72.94 | - |
| 9 | 28.40 | 1.55 | 31.57 | 1.57 | 28.37 | 1.56 | 31.42 | 1.56 |
| 10 | 28.40 | 1.55 | 31.57 | 1.57 | 28.37 | 1.56 | 31.42 | 1.56 |
| 1 ' | 58.32 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.28 \quad(\mathrm{q}, \\ & J= \\ & 7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 58.43 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.30(\mathrm{q}, \\ & J= \\ & 7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| 2' | 15.72 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \\ & J= \\ & 7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 15.64 | 1.18 (t, $J=$ <br> 7.21 Hz ) | - | - |
| OH | - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.79 \\ \text { (bs) } \end{array}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 6.08 \\ \text { (bs) } \end{array}$ | - | 6.33 |

Table 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of compound $\mathbf{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

| No. | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 160.81 | - |
| 2 | 116.70 | $6.37(\mathrm{~d}, 9.54 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 3 | 143.43 | $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 9.54 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 4 | 118.82 | - |
| 5 | 131.69 | $7.20-7.50(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| 6 | 124.42 | $7.20-7.50(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| 7 | 127.84 | $7.20-7.50(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| 8 | 116.91 | $7.20-7.50(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| 9 | 154.04 | - |

Table 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of compound 7 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 154.74 | - |
| 2 | 102.54 | $6.35(\mathrm{bs})$ |
| 3 | 154.55 | - |
| 4 | 115.72 | - |
| 5 | 131.37 | $6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 6 | 107.48 | $6.36(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 7 | 14.88 | 2.18 |
| $1-\mathrm{OH}$ | - | 4.99 |
| $3-\mathrm{OH}$ | - | 4.99 |

Table 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

|  | Compound 9 |  | Compound 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| 2 | 163.86 | - | 164.02 | - |
| 3 | 103.89 | 6.546 | 104.34 | 6.55 |
| 4 | 182.53 | - | 182.46 | - |
| 5 | 132.47 | - | 162.56 | - |
| 6 | 152.90 | - | 98.1 | $6.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2.26 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 7 | 158.61 | - | 162.14 | - |
| 8 | 90.47 | 6.52 | 92.6 | $6.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2,26 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 9 | 153.07 | - | 157.68 | - |
| 10 | 105.97 | - | 105.53 | - |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 123.23 | - | 123.53 | - |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 127.85 | $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 9.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 114.48 | $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $3^{\prime}$ | 114.38 | $6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 9.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 128.04 | $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 162.52 | - | 165.4 | - |
| $5{ }^{\prime}$ | 114.38 | $6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 9.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 114.48 | $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $6{ }^{\prime}$ | 127.85 | $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 9.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 128.04 | $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $6-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 60.73 | 3.96 | - | - |
| $7-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 56.21 | 3.89 | 55.79 | 3.86 |
| $4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 55.44 | 3.92 | 55.53 | 3.85 |
| $5-\mathrm{OH}$ |  | 12.78 |  | 12.79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ NMR spectral data of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| No. | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | No. | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | No. | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Aglycone |  |  | Glucose |  |
| 1 | 38.88 | 16 | 26.06 | $1^{\prime}$ | 101.17 |
| 2 | 28.23 | 17 | 56.07 | $2^{\prime}$ | 70.10 |
| 3 | 79.60 | 18 | 11.84 | $3^{\prime}$ | 73.89 |
| 4 | 37.25 | 19 | 19.00 | $4^{\prime}$ | 73.52 |
| 5 | 140.26 | 20 | 36.14 | $5^{\prime}$ | 75.94 |
| 6 | 122.16 | 21 | 18.76 | $6^{\prime}$ | 63.24 |
| 7 | 31.92 | 22 | 34.24 | Fatty acid |  |
| 8 | 31.84 | 23 | 29.58 | C=O (C-1'’) | 174.66 |
| 9 | 50.14 | 24 | 45.80 | C-2'" | 34.23 |
| 10 | 36.70 | 25 | 29.11 | C-7'’-12"' | 29.73 |
| 11 | 21.05 | 26 | 19.81 | C-15'" | 22.68 |
| 12 | 39.74 | 27 | 19.35 | Me | 14.12 |
| 13 | 42.31 | 28 | 23.04 |  |  |
| 14 | 56.74 | 29 | 11.84 |  |  |
| 15 | 24.28 |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ NMR spectral data of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | Literature | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | No. | Literature | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| 1 | 47.9 | 48.13 | 16 | 26.3 | 26.50 |
| 2 | 68.6 | 69.55 | 17 | 47.3 | 47.97 |
| 3 | 83.8 | 84.53 | 18 | 47.0 | 47.71 |
| 4 | 39.8 | 40.51 | 19 | 75.4 | 76.49 |
| 5 | 55.5 | 56.55 | 20 | 42.4 | 43.25 |
| 6 | 18.8 | 19.55 | 21 | 26.8 | 27.18 |
| 7 | 37.3 | 38.04 | 22 | 37.5 | 38.24 |
| 8 | 33.8 | 33.59 | 23 | 28.9 | 29.01 |
| 9 | 53.1 | 53.98 | 24 | 17.1 | 16.95 |
| 10 | 37.8 | 38.56 | 25 | 18.7 | 18.92 |
| 11 | 119.0 | 119.47 | 26 | 16.7 | 16.57 |
| 12 | 141.6 | 141.83 | 27 | 16.0 | 16.39 |
| 13 | 27.8 | 28.42 | 28 | 180.7 | 182.21 |
| 14 | 33.0 | 34.48 | 29 | 26.8 | 27.00 |
| 15 | 22.3 | 22.67 | 30 | 15.8 | 15.65 |

Table 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| No. | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| $1 / 1^{\prime}$ | 22.65 | $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 22.65 | $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $2 / 2^{\prime}$ | 28.14 | $1.53(\mathrm{sep}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 28.14 | $1.53(\mathrm{sep}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $3 / 3^{\prime}$ | 39.04 | $1.15(\mathrm{~m})$ | 39.03 | $1.15(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $4 / 4^{\prime}$ | 27.51 | $1.27(\mathrm{~m})$ | 27.51 | $1.27(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $5 / 5^{\prime}$ | 29.93 | $1.27(\mathrm{~m})$ | 29.68 | $1.27(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $6 / 6^{\prime}$ | 29.68 | $1.27(\mathrm{~m})$ | 29.68 | $1.27(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $7 / 7^{\prime}$ | 25.44 | $1.45(\mathrm{~m}), 1.36(\mathrm{~m})$ | 25.14 | $1.36(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $8 / 8^{\prime}$ | 36.57 | $1.58(\mathrm{~m}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m})$ | 33.98 | $1.63(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $9 / 9^{\prime}$ | 68.01 | $4.05(\mathrm{bs})$ | 70.31 | $5.23(\mathrm{quint}, 6.40 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $10 / 10^{\prime}$ | 41.04 | $2.58(\mathrm{~d}, 16.08 \mathrm{~Hz})$, | 38.42 | $2.61(\mathrm{~m})$ |
|  |  | $2.48 \quad(\mathrm{dd}, \quad 16.08$, <br> $8.70 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |  |
| $11 / 11^{\prime}$ | 22.65 | $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 22.65 | $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 6.63 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| CH 3 | - | - | 21.09 | $2.07(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | - | - | 170.59 | - |

Table 9: Chemical composition of essential oil of aerial parts of L. gibsoni

| Compounds | $L R I^{\text {a }}$ ) | Composition [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ - Pinene | 936 | 1.47 |
| 1-Octen-3-ol | 980 | 2.20 |
| $\beta$-Myrcene | 993 | 2.78 |
| 3-Octanol | 997 | $\mathrm{tr}^{\text {b }}$ ) |
| $\alpha$-Phellandrene | 1007 | 0.52 |
| + 3-Carene | 1013 | 1.52 |
| $\alpha$-Terpinene | 1019 | 0.76 |
| p-Cymeme | 1027 | 0.82 |
| d- Limonene | 1031 | 2.30 |
| cis-Ocemene | 1038 | tr |
| Trans ocemene | 1049 | 0.30 |
| $\alpha$ - Terpinolen | 1094 | 22.22 |
| Linalool | 1102 | 2.65 |
| 1-Octen-3-ol, acetate | 1113 | 1.49 |
| 3-Octanol, acetate | 1125 | 1.23 |
| 2, 4-Cycloheptadien-1-one, 2,6,6-trimethyl | 1145 | 1.35 |
| Benzenemethanol, 4-(1-methylethyl) | 1189 | 4.52 |
| $\alpha$-Terpineol | 1194 | 0.77 |
| 1-Octen-3-yl-n-propionate | 1207 | 0.37 |
| 3-Isopropyleden-5-methylhex-4-ene-2-one | 1241 | 0.56 |
| Thymol | 1305 | 10.42 |
| Phenethyl-2-methylpropionate | 1444 | 0.23 |
| $\alpha$-Caryophyllene | 1512 | tr |
| Caryophyllene oxide | 1590 | 1.21 |
| Total |  | 59.69 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) $L R I$ : linear retention index determined on GsBP-5 column ; ${ }^{b}$ ) tr: trace amounts.

Table 10: Larvicidal activity of essential oil of L. gibsoni

| Conc. ppm ] | Mortality after 24 hrs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A. stephensi $\pm$ SE | A. aegypty $\pm$ SE | C. quinquefasciatus $\pm$ SE |
| 150 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 125 | $94.0 \pm 1.31$ | $94.0 \pm 1.31$ | $94.0 \pm 1.31$ |
| 100 | $82.0 \pm 1.06$ | $88.66 \pm 0.90$ | $85.33 \pm 1.33$ |
| 75 | $58.0 \pm 1.11$ | $75.33 \pm 1.33$ | $61.33 \pm 0.90$ |
| 50 | $41.33 \pm 0.90$ | $51.33 \pm 1.65$ | $45.33 \pm 1.92$ |
| 25 | 0 | $15.33 \pm 1.33$ | $11.33 \pm 0.90$ |

Table 11: Larvicidal activity of acetone extract of aerial parts of L. gibsonii

| Conc.[ppm] | Mortality after 24 hrs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A. stephensi $\pm$ SE | A. aegypty $\pm$ SE | C. quinquefasciatus $\pm$ SE |
| 500 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 250 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 200 | $70 \pm 1.95$ | $84.61 \pm 1.34$ | $77.33 \pm 1.18$ |
| 150 | $47.33 \pm 1.81$ | $54.66 \pm 1.33$ | $52.00 \pm 0.75$ |
| 100 | $31.33 \pm 0.90$ | $39.33 \pm 0.66$ | $34.00 \pm 1.31$ |
| 75 | $10.66 \pm 0.66$ | $21.33 \pm 1.33$ | $15.33 \pm 1.33$ |

Table12: $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ values of $L$. gibsoni essential oil and acetone extract.

|  | A. stephensi | A. aegypty | C. quinquefasciatus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Essential oil |  |  |  |
| Regression <br> equation $\pm$ SE | $\mathrm{Y}=4.23 \mathrm{X}-2.55$ <br> $\pm 1.70$ | $\mathrm{Y}=3.71 \mathrm{X}-1.27$ <br> $\pm 0.58$ | $\mathrm{Y}=3.95 \mathrm{X}-3.42$ |
| $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ [ppm] | 60.49 | 48.71 | 57.29 |
| (Fiducial Limits) | $(44.56-82.12)$ | $(44.58-53.23)$ | $(41.72-78.68)$ |
| LC $_{90}$ [ppm] | 147.83 | 134.91 | 143.58 |
| (Fiducial Limits) | $(81.65-267.65)$ | $(116.22-156.60)$ | $(81.18-253.92)$ |
| Acetone extract |  |  |  |
| Regression | $\mathrm{Y}=3.99 \mathrm{X}-3.62$ | $\mathrm{Y}=3.96 \mathrm{X}-3.28$ | $\mathrm{Y}=3.95 \mathrm{X}-3.42$ |
| equation $\pm$ SE |  |  |  |
| LC 50 [ppm] | $144.49 \pm 1.52$ | $123.16 \pm 1.50$ | $135.14 \pm 1.50$ |
| (Fiducial Limits) | $(84.55-246.93)$ | $(83.44-181.78)$ | $(86.12-212.08)$ |
| LC 90 [ppm] | 373.19 | 320.51 | 350.68 |
| (Fiducial Limits) | $(1830.6-76.0)$ | $(988.9-103.8)$ | $(1294.9-94.9)$ |

Table 13: Mosquito Repellent activity of essential oil and standard compounds against $A$. aegypti females.

| Essential oil |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Concentration $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Protection period offered (min) |  |
| 0.5 | $140 \pm 7.08$ |  |
| 1.0 | $280 \pm 12.89$ |  |
| 2.0 | $435 \pm 10.27$ |  |
|  | $\alpha$ - Terpinolen |  |
| 0.1 | $130 \pm 3.51$ |  |
| 0.2 | $255 \pm 5.25$ |  |
| 0.4 | $310 \pm 7.08$ |  |
|  | Thymol |  |
| 0.05 | $70 \pm 3.54$ |  |
| 0.1 | $180 \pm 3.27$ |  |
| 0.2 | $250 \pm 5.25$ |  |
|  | $140 \pm 3.54$ |  |
| 0.15 | $265 \pm 10.39$ |  |
| 0.3 | $370 \pm 10.02$ |  |
| 0.6 | $2: 1$ Mixture of $\alpha$ - Terpinolen and Thymol |  |

### 3.8. NMR data:

Compound 1:
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Compound 5:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:
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Compounds 2, 3, 4 and 5:
Comparative display of magnified ${ }^{1} H$ NMR aromatic region.
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Compound 7:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:
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${ }^{1}$ H NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:


## Chapter 3

DEPT:


Compound 9:
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Compound 10:
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## Chapter 4

## Phytochemical investigations on

 Anisomeles heyneana Benth.

Figure 1: Anisomeles heyneana Benth.

### 4.1. Introduction:

Anisomeles is a genus of herbaceous or shrubby plants distributed from Africa to India, South East Asia to North East Australia and east from China to Taiwan, Japan and the Philippines [1]. Genus Anisomeles is represented in Maharashtra by three species viz. A. heyneana, A. malabarica and A. indica [2] of which $A$. heyneana is endemic to Maharashtra [3].
A. heyneana called Western Hill Catmint in English or Gopali in Marathi is a tall, erect herb, growing to $1-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ high with slender stems and quadrangular braches. Leaves are oppositely arranged, ovate lance-like and $5-12 \mathrm{~cm}$ long. Flowers (in October-November) are small, 2cm, white tinged with pink and 2lipped occurring in long cymes. Upper lip is 5 mm and lower lip is 3-lobed. The flowers resemble cow's earlobes, which gives it its Marathi name [4]. Individual plants are found isolated among other plant species and two plants are separated by large distance.

### 4.2. Collection and processing:

A. heyneana, whole plants, in flowering, were collected from Purandar Fort area, District Pune on $3^{\text {rd }}$ January, 2008. A herbarium is deposited in Botanical Survey of India, Western Circle, Pune (No. SPJ-2). Roots were separated and aerial parts cleaned off adhering dust and unwanted plant material, dried in shade, cut and pulverized.

### 4.3. Extraction and Isolation:

Pulverized aerial parts ( 1.8 kg ) were extracted with acetone ( $3 \mathrm{~L} \times 3 \times 14 \mathrm{~h}$ ) at room temperature. The acetone solubles were filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a greenish acetone extract $(45.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \%$ based on dry plant weight). Acetone extract, 43.0 g , was separated by column chromatography (CC) in acetone: pet-ether gradient to collect 16 fractions (AH1-AH16).

Fraction AH7 ( 1.8 g ) was subjected to CC in acetonitrile: chloroform gradient from 2 to $10 \%$ to collect six fractions (AH7a-AH7f). From fraction AH7e, compound 1 ( 8 mg ) was obtained by repeated CCs. Fraction AH8 (5.2g) was subjected to CC in acetonitrile: chloroform gradient from 2 to $10 \%$ to collect eight fractions (AH8a-AH8h). Fractions AH8a, AH8b and AH7b were combined and compound $2(80 \mathrm{mg})$ was obtained after CC in $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform.

Fraction AH11 (3.4g) was subjected to CC in 3\% methanol in chloroform to collect eleven fractions (AH11a-AH11k). From fraction AH11f, compound 3 ( 12 mg ) was obtained as white precipitate which was purified by washing it successively with chloroform and acetone. Fraction AH11g was subjected to CC in $6 \%$ methanol in chloroform to collect eight fractions (AH11gi-AH11gviii). From fraction AH1 1 gii, compound $\mathbf{4}$ was obtained as white crystals ( 14 mg ).

Fraction AH14 (15.6g) was subjected to CC in acetonitrile: chloroform from 5 to 50 \% to cllect fifteen fractions (AH14a-AH14n). From AH14k, compound 5 was isolated as brown amorphous powder ( 25 mg ) after preparative TLC using $25 \%$ methanol in chloroform as developing system.



Chart 1: Chromatographic separation of $A$. heyneana.
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Figure 2: Compounds isolated from $A$. heyneana

### 4.4. Structure elucidation:

## Compound 1:

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as white needles. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{1}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 307,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 329$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ with four degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of $3419 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating presence of hydroxyl group.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 1) was nearly superimposable on a known ent-kaurane 6 (Figure 3) isolated as a microbial transformation product [5] indicating that the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was closely related to that of $\mathbf{6}$, except differing at positions $13,14,15,16$ and 20 . This indicated compound $\mathbf{1}$ to be a phyllocladane type diastereomer of $\mathbf{6}$ with configurations at positions, 4, 5, 9 and 10 all reversed with respect to ent-kaurane.


## Figure 3: known ent-kaurane 6

The significant upfield shift in C-20, C-15 and downfield shift in C-14 can be rationalized from mutual 1,3-diaxial shielding effect of $\mathrm{C}-20$ and $\mathrm{C}-15$ due to their syn orientation in phyllocladane as well as relief of such shielding in case of $\mathrm{C}-14$. In case of $\mathbf{6}$, this 1,3 -diaxial shielding interaction between C-20 and C-15 is absent as even though placed 1,3 , they are anti and hence do not shield each other. Observation of NOE correlation peak between H-20 and one of the H-15 protons confirmed the syn configuration (Figure 4b). NOE peak between H-20 and one of $\mathrm{H}-19$ protons confirmed relative orientation of C-20 methyl and C-19 $(\beta)$ methylene.

Assigned structure was additionally confirmed by detailed analysis of HMBC spectrum. The observed correlations are shown in Figure 4a.


4a. HMBC correlations


4b. NOESY correlations

Figure 4: 2D correlations for compound 1
Thus Compound 1, was identified as phyllocladan-19, 16-diol. This is the first report of this compound from family Lamiaceae. It has been reported from Bromelia penguin (Bromeliaceae) [6] and Ailanthus tryphysa (Simarubaceae) [7]. Comparison of NMR values with the reported values [7] revealed differences at some places (Table 1) probably due different solvents used to record spectra ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for compound $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ for literature values).



5c. HMBC spectrum


5d. NOESY spectrum with key correlations in text box.
Figure 5: 2D Spectra of compound 1


Figure 6: Structure of compound 1.

## Compound 2:

Compound 2 was obtained as white needles. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{2}$ showed an [M $+1]^{+}$at $m / z 329,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 351$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with nine degrees of unsaturation. The UV spectrum showed absorbances at 236, 259, 263, 280, 312, 342nm. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactone $\left(1755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and hydroxyl ( $3483 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and olefinic ( $1633,1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic data of compound 2 (Table 2) displayed the presence of two tertiary methyl protons at $\delta 1.72$ and 1.59 while ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data revealed presence of eight olefinic carbons including an exomethylene group at $\delta$ 122.59. These indicated compound 2 to be diterpene with cembrane skeleton. Literature revealed compound $\mathbf{2}$ to be ovatodiolide [8]. Ovatodiolide is reported from other Anisomeles species [8].


Figure 7: Structure of compound 2.

## Compound 3:

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained as white amorphous powder. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{3}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 577,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 599$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ with six degrees of unsaturation. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data revealed presence of resonances indicative of glucose moiety. 29 peaks with six methyl, 11 methylene, 9 methine and 2 quaternary carbons including two olefinic carbons at $\delta 122.03$ and 141.00 indicated the aglycone to be $\beta$-sitosterol. Comparison with literature value (Table 3) [9] identified compound 3 to be $\beta$-sitosterol-3-O- $\beta$-Dglucopyranoside.


Figure 8: Structure of compound 3.

## Compound 4

Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was obtained as white needles. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{4}$ showed an [M $+1]^{+}$at $m / z$ 337, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 359$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with five degrees of unsaturation.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{4}$ was nearly superimposable on a known ent-kaurane 7 isolated as microbial transformation product [10] (Figure 9)
indicating that the structure of $\mathbf{4}$ was closely related to that of known ent-kaurane, except differing appreciably at positions 14,15 and 16 . By comparing with compound 1 , compound $\mathbf{4}$ was identified as belonging to phyllocladane skeleton. Presence of quaternary carbon at $\delta 181.8,85.7$ and methylene carbon at $\delta 66.15$ indicated presence of carboxylic acid and two hydroxyl substituted carbons. Again by comparing with compound $\mathbf{1}$, they were assigned positions 19,16 and 17 respectively.


Figure 9: Known ent-kaurane 7
Above assignment was confirmed by detailed analysis of HMBC spectrum as shown in figure 9 a .

Syn geometry of H-20 and H-15 was confirmed by observation NOE peak between them. $\beta$ orientation of $\mathrm{C}-17$ was determined by observation of NOE with $\mathrm{C}-11$ and $\mathrm{C}-12$ methylene protons.

NOE peaks between methyl protons at C-4 with H-3 $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{H}-6 \alpha$ placed methyl $\alpha$ (equatorial) and carboxylic group was assigned $\beta$ (axial) orientation.

Thus, compound $\mathbf{4}$ was identified as a new natural product, phyllocladan-16, 17-dihydroxy-19-oic acid. The assigned structure was confirmed by single crystal X-ray crystallography (Figure 12, Table 5).


10a. HMBC correlations


10b. NOESY correlations

Figure 10: 2D correlations for compound 4


11c. HMBC spectrum


11d. NOESY spectrum with key correlations in text box.
Figure 11: 2D spectra of compound 4


Figure 12: ORTEP diagram of compound 4 (Ellipsoids are at $50 \%$ probability).


Figure 13: Structure of compound 4.

## Compound 5:

Compound 5 was obtained as brown amorphous powder. The ESIMS of 5 showed an $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 647$ and $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$at $m / z 663$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ with twelve degrees of unsaturation. The signals in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were discernible into two sugar and aromatic regions. Resonances methine carbons at $\delta 114.41,148.12$ and quaternary carbon at $\delta 168.36$ indicated one of the aromatic moiety to be cinnamic acid derivative while resonances at $\delta$ 72.24 and 36.50 (both methylene) indicated another to be a phenylethylene portion. Coupling of 15.9 Hz of cinnamoyl olefinic protons (at $\delta 6.28$ and 7.56 ) indicated a trans-cinnamoyl moiety. Similarly, methyl resonance at $\delta 18.5$ hinted at one of the sugars to be rhamnose and other to be glucose (from methylene at $\delta$ 62.3). Literature revealed two compounds, verbascoside (=acteoside) and isoacteoside to be closely matching with compound 5 [11]. Comparison of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compounds isoacteoside and verbascoside is given in Table 6. This
unambiguously established compound $\mathbf{5}$ to be verbascoside. Verbascoside is of widespread occurrence [11].


Figure 14: Structure of Isoacteoside.


Figure 15: Structure of compound 5.

## 4.5: Experimental:

## i) Collection and processing:

A. heyneana, whole plant, in flowering, was collected and processed for preparation of acetone extract as described earlier.

## ii) Extraction and Isolation:

Extraction and isolation of compounds from acetone extract is described earlier.

Compound 1:
Colourless crystals $(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00044 \%$ based on dry weight of plant), mp $192^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (reported mp $193-193^{\circ} \mathrm{C}[4]$ ); ESIMS at $m / z 329[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ; \quad[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21 . .9}+6$ ( $0.45 \%$ methanol); IR (chloroform) $3419 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1.

Compound 2:
White crystals ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0044 \%$ ), mp $139^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (reported $\mathrm{mp} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [5]); ESIMS at $m / z 351[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$and at $m / z 367[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$; UV (acetone), 236, 250, $263,280,312,342 \mathrm{~nm} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23.3}+30.09(c 1 \%$, acetone $)$, reported $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+22.309$ ( c1\%, chloroform) [5]; IR (chloroform) 3483, 1755, 1633, 1437, 1267, $1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2.

Compound 3:
White amorphous powder (12 mg, 0.00067\%); ESIMS at $m / z 599[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ and at $m / z 615[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $0.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-8), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-$ 27), $0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-29), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-26), 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-$ 19), $1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-21), 3.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3$ and H-5'), $4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, J$ $\left.=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 4.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4{ }^{\prime}\right), 4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-$ 6'), $4.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ '), $5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ '), 5.36 (1H, m, H-6); for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3 .
Compound 4:
Buff coloured crystals ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00078 \%$ ); mp $262^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS m/z 337 [M $+1]^{+}$and $m / z 359[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24.9} 48.53$ ( $0.64 \%$ meth), 32.28 ( $1.1 \%$ methanol); IR (chloroform) 3419, 1640, 1090 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 4.

X-ray crystallography:
Single crystals of the compound were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in acetone. Crystal of approximate size $0.13 \times 0.05 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was used for data collection on Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Exposure / frame $=15.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame. Crystals belong to Monoclinic, space group P21, $\mathrm{a}=10.9410(6), b=7.1550(4), c=11.8020(6) \AA, V=870.33(11)$ $\AA^{3}, Z=2, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.284 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)=0.71073 \AA, T=150(2) \mathrm{K}, 8336$ reflections measured, R value $0.0360, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0839$. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarisation and absorption effects. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL)[12] was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement as per the riding model. Data collection and refinement parameters as well as compound bond angles, bond lengths and torsion angles are listed in Tables 5a-5d.

X-ray analysis revealed the relative conformation of the molecule at C 4 , $\mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{C} 8, \mathrm{C} 9, \mathrm{C} 10, \mathrm{C} 13$, and C 16 as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}$ and R configurations. Compound 5:
Brown amorphous powder ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0014 \%$ ), mp250-265 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS at $m / z 647$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$and at $m / z 663[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23.3}-48$ to $-70(c 0.5$, methanol); UV (methanol) 250, 290 and $334 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 5.

### 4.6. Evaluation of anti-mycobacterial activity.

General procedure for evaluation of inhibitory activity of compounds against M. tuberculosis is given in chapter 2. Results are given in Table 7. Of all the compounds, $\mathbf{2}$ is found to be very potent ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4.73 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Compounds $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ were inactive while compound $\mathbf{1}$ is being evaluated. Further confirmation of activity and evaluation of the compounds against other biological activities is underway.

### 4.7. Tables:

Table 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 1

| Carbon no | 1 ( in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) |  | 7 ( in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )* |  | Literature value of 1 ( in $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)^{* *}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{C}{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{2}} \\ & \text { (multiplicity) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\delta_{C}{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{2}} \\ & \text { (multiplicity) } \end{aligned}$ | $\delta_{C}{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{H^{2}} \\ & \text { (multiplicity) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 39.21 | 0.84, 1.63 | 40.4 | 1.79, 0.80 | 40.6 | 1.65, 0.82 |
| 2 | 17.89 | 1.39 | 18.3 | 1.41, 1.58 | 19.0 | 1.52 |
| 3 | 35.35 | $1.79 \alpha, 0.94 \beta$ | 35.7 | 1.75, 0.90 | 36.5 | 1.81, 0.84 |
| 4 | 38.36 | - | 39.3 | - | 38.8 | - |
| 5 | 56.78 | 0.99 (m) | 56.8 | 0.91 | 58.4 | 0.96 |
| 6 | 20.29 | $1.60 \alpha, 1.20 \beta$ | 20.7 | 1.65, 1.31 | 21.3 | 1.60, 1.20 |
| 7 | 41.88 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1.65(\mathrm{~m}), \\ 1.49(\mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 42.5 | 1.62, 1.45 | 43.2 | 1.62, 1.46 |
| 8 | 44.31 | - | 45.3 | - | 45.5 | - |
| 9 | 56.89 | 1.07 | 57.0 | 0.99 | 58.6 | 1.08 |
| 10 | 37.58 | - | 38.6 | - | 39.6 | - |
| 11 | 18.99 | 1.51, 1.23 | 18.0 | 1.48, 1.43 | 20.3 | 1.54, 1.27 |
| 12 | 27.3 | 1.67, 1.41 | 26.8 | 1.27, 1.54 | 28.5 | 1.65-1.67 |
| 13 | 47.39 | 1.70 | 49.0 | 1.82 | 48.1 | 1.68 |
| 14 | 48.78 | 2.04, 1.07 | 37.5 | 1.57, 1.88 | 50 | 2.05, 1.01 |
| 15 | 49.38 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 2.09a (dd 14, } \\ \text { 1.70Hz), } \\ \hline \mathbf{1 . 2 6 b} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 57.9 | 1.55 | 50 | 2.02, 1.24 |
| 16 | 82.04 | - | 79.3 |  | 82.7 | - |
| 17 | 23.83 | 1.35 (s) | 24.4 | 1.35 | 23.9 | 1.29 |
| 18 | 26.97 | 0.98 (s) | 65.6 | 3.72, 3.44 | 27.9 | 0.88 |
| 19 | 65.64 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.77 \quad(1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{~d}, \\ & \mathrm{J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.42 \\ & (1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \\ & \mathrm{J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 27.0 | 0.95 | 65.2 | 3.73, 3.29 |
| 20 | 15.35 | 0.87 (s) | 18.2 | 1.01 | 16.1 | 0.90 |

* As given in the literature [2], ** as given in the literature [4]

Table 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 2

| No. |  |  | Ovatodiolide* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 42.58 | $2.42(\mathrm{~m})^{* *}$ | 42.6 |
| 2 | 23.60 | $2.83(\mathrm{~m}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m})$ | 23.7 |
| 3 | 24.76 | $2.5(\mathrm{~m}), 2.42(\mathrm{~m})$ | 24.7 |
| 4 | 131.25 | - | 131.3 |
| 5 | 124.87 | $4.85(\mathrm{bt}, 5.69)$ | 124.8 |
| 6 | 33.14 | $1.64(\mathrm{~m})$ | 33.2 |
| 7 | 36.13 | $2.19(\mathrm{~d}, 14.61), 2.04(\mathrm{~m})$ | 36.1 |
| 8 | 139.63 | - | 139.7 |
| 9 | 147.39 | $7.02(\mathrm{bs})$ | 147.5 |
| 10 | 77.85 | $4.81(\mathrm{dd}, 10.17,1.42)$ | 77.8 |
| 11 | 40.11 | $2.84(\mathrm{dd}, 10.34,3.61)$, | 40.0 |
| 12 | 134.12 | - | $13.2(\mathrm{dd}, 10.41,3.65)$ |
| 13 | 128.88 | $5.10(\mathrm{~d}, 10.43)$ | 133.9 |
| 14 | 78.72 | $5.08(\mathrm{bs})$ | 128.6 |
| 15 | 134.30 | - | 78.7 |
| 16 | 172.96 | - | 134.0 |
| 17 | 122.59 | $5.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5)$ | 122.2 |
| 18 | 14.96 | $1.59(\mathrm{bs})$ | 15.0 |
| 19 | 170.20 | - | 169.9 |
| 20 | 19.16 | $1.72(\mathrm{~d}, 0.88)$ | 19.2 |
|  | As |  |  |

* As given in the literature [5], **multiplivitie in bracket, coupling constant in Hz

Table 3: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 3

| No. | 3 <br> Pyridine- <br> $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{3} \\ \left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right. \\ + \\ \left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \end{array}$ | Literature* <br> Pyridine- <br> $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ | No. | 3 <br> Pyridine- <br> $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{3} \\ \left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right. \\ + \\ \left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \end{array}$ | Literature* <br> Pyridine- <br> $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 37.59 | 39.06 | 37.52 | 19 | 19.31 | 19.24 | 19.26 |
| 2 | 30.35 | 28.66 | 30.30 | 20 | 36.50 | 36.58 | 36.43 |
| 3 | 78.19 | 79.49 | 78.16 | 21 | 19.12 | 19.06 | 19.05 |
| 4 | 39.44 | 37.71 | 39.39 | 22 | 34.31 | 34.36 | 34.26 |
| 5 | 141.00 | 140.81 | 140.96 | 23 | 26.44 | 29.98 | 26.44 |
| 6 | 122.03 | 122.48 | 121.96 | 24 | 46.14 | 46.31 | 46.09 |
| 7 | 32.28 | 32.32 | 32.22 | 25 | 29.55 | 29.55 | 29.51 |
| 8 | 32.15 | 32.35 | 32.10 | 26 | 19.53 | 20.03 | 19.46 |
| 9 | 50.44 | 50.66 | 50.39 | 27 | 20.09 | 19.61 | 20.02 |
| 10 | 37.02 | 37.14 | 36.97 | 28 | 23.49 | 23.45 | 23.44 |
| 11 | 21.39 | 21.48 | 21.33 | 29 | 12.27 | 12.17 | 12.20 |
| 12 | 40.05 | 40.21 | 39.99 | 1 ' | 102.68 | 101.58 | 102.62 |
| 13 | 42.58 | 42.75 | 42.53 | 2' | 75.45 | 70.63 | 75.38 |
| 14 | 56.93 | 57.22 | 56.87 | 3' | 78.60 | 76.47 | 78.65 |
| 15 | 24.62 | 24.68 | 24.55 | 4' | 71.79 | 74.03 | 71.75 |
| 16 | 28.66 | 26.42 | 28.58 | 5, | 78.72 | 76.98 | 78.55 |
| 17 | 56.34 | 56.50 | 56.29 | 6' | 62.93 | 62.13 | 62.88 |
| 18 | 12.08 | 12.15 | 12.02 |  |  |  |  |

* As given in the literature [6]

Table 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 4

| Carbon <br> no | $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |  | 8* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{C}{ }^{1}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}$ (multiplicity) | $\delta_{C}{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2} \\ & \text { (multiplicity) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 41.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89(\mathrm{dt}, 4.1,13.3), \\ & 1.70 \beta \end{aligned}$ | 40.5 | 1.89, 0.86 |
| 2 | 20.06 | $1.37 \mathrm{~m}, 1.86 \mathrm{~m} \beta$ | 18.9 | 1.94, 1.43 |
| 3 | 39.12 | 2.11,1.00 | 37.8 | 2.15, 1.05 |
| 4 | 44.62 | - | 43.3 | - |
| 5 | 58.30 | 1.08 | 56.7 | 1.10 |
| 6 | 23.16 | 1.83, 1.70 | 21.9 | 1.81-1.90 |
| 7 | 42.84 | 1.66 (m), 1.46 (m) | 41.9 | 1.65 |
| 8 | 44.84 | - | 44.4 | - |
| 9 | 57.65 | 1.1 | 55.9 | 1.49 |
| 10 | 39.39 | - | 39.4 | - |
| 11 | 20.72 | 1.59 $\alpha, 1.31 \beta$ | 18.2 | 1.04 |
| 12 | 27.89 | $1.43 \alpha, 1.71 \beta$ | 25.9 | 1.54-1.67 |
| 13 | 44.81 | 1.88 | 44.8 | 1.52 |
| 14 | 49.40 | 1.04, 2.10 | 36.8 | 2.05, 1.64 |
| 15 | 45.18 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.04 \mathrm{a}((1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 1.19 \mathrm{~b}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), \end{aligned}$ | 52.3 | 1.95, 1.68 |
| 16 | 85.7 | - | 81.5 | - |
| 17 | 66.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 65.4 | 3.74, 3.63 |
| 18 | 29.56 | 1.18 | 180.2 | - |
| 19 | 181.8 | - | 28.1 | 1.21 |
| 20 | 13.90 | 0.84 | 14.8 | 1.01 |

* As given in the literature [7]

Table 5a. Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 4

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature | $150(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P21 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.9410(6) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
| $\mathrm{b}=7.1550(4) \AA \quad \beta=109.60(10)^{\circ}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{c}=11.8020(6) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |  |
| Volume | $870.33(8) \AA^{3} \quad$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.284 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Crystal size | $0.13 \times 0.05 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Reflections collected | 833 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $3060 / 1 / 222$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0360, \mathrm{wR2}=0.0839$ |

Table 5b. Bond lengths for compound 4

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.) | Bond <br> lengths $[\AA]$ | Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.) | Bond <br> lengths $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.529(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.547(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.539(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.557(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.525(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.542(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.538(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.569(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.532(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.542(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.551(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.534(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.566(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.530(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.534(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.528(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.558(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.544(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.525(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.556(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.538(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.521(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.544(3)$ |  |  |

Table 5c. Bond angles for compound 4

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.)- <br> Carbon (no.) | angles [] | Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [$]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $114.50(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $110.89(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $111.31(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $112.82(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $114.77(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $117.11(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $112.79(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $108.57(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $104.93(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108.62(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $113.85(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.74(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $107.02(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $107.16(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109.00(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $113.88(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108.90(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $106.66(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110.64(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $114.26(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $115.45(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $113.22(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $108.67(15)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110.22(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $101.73(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $114.08(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $113.99(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $111.03(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $102.17(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $109.62(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $107.31(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $107.65(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $118.14(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $114.07(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $110.99(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $100.18(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $103.80(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $113.79(15)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 4

Table 5d. Torsion angles for compound 4

| Connectivity | angles [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | Connectivity | angles [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-53.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-66.78(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $53.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $67.49(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $76.42(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $58.26(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-168.69(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-167.46(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-51.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $60.28(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $56.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-169.18(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-176.64(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-59.81(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-60.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $70.73(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-75.32(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $176.47(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $51.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-52.99(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $167.99(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $42.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-62.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $175.62(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $163.58(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-40.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $57.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $56.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-167.24(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-56.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-48.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-71.26(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $80.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $49.30(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $179.40(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-166.35(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-59.73(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $73.66(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $50.32(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-45.49(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $48.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $143.69(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $168.84(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $25.04(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-81.11(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-89.53(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-69.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-155.62(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $52.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-38.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $167.53(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $-32.27(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $173.47(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $84.49(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-52.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $132.11(16)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $4.20(18)$ |

Table 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 5

|  | $5\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Verbascoside* } \\ & \left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Isoacteoside* } \\ \left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caffeoyl | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 131.42 | - | 131.5 | - | 131.4 |
| 2 | 117.10 | 6.68 m | 117.1 | $6.71 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(2.1)}$ | 117.1 |
| 3 | 146.06 | - | 146.1 | - | 146.0 |
| 4 | 144.61 | - | 144.6 | - | 144.6 |
| 5 | 116.29 | 6.68 m | 116.4 | 6.7 d (8.4) | 116.3 |
| 6 | 121.25 | 6.56 bd (7.4) | 121.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.57 \text { dd } \quad(2.1, \\ & 8.4) \end{aligned}$ | 121.3 |
| 7 | 36.50 | $2.79 \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{(9.5)}$ | 36.5 | 2.79 t (9.6) | 36.6 |
| 8 | 72.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.03 \mathrm{q}(8.56), \\ & 3.72 \mathrm{q}(7.8) \end{aligned}$ | 72.33 | $4.05 \mathrm{~m}, 3.74 \mathrm{~m}$ | 72.3 |
| Gucose |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104.12 | 4.38 d (7.58) | 104.2 | $4.38 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(7.8)}$ | 104.3 |
|  | 76.14 | 3.4 bt (8.31) | 76.2 | 3.4 dd (7.8, 9.3) | 75.8 |
|  | 81.67 | 3.82 t (9.0) | 81.7 | 3.82 t (9.3) | 84.0 |
|  | 70.39 | 4.94 o | 70.4 | 4.94 t (9.6) | 70.0 |
|  | 75.92 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 76.0 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 75.6 |
|  | 62.27 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 62.3 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 64.6 |
| Rhamnose |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 103.00 | 5.19 bs | 103.0 | $5.19 \mathrm{~d}(1.5)$ | 102.7 |
|  | 72.29 | 3.93 bs | 72.28 | $3.93 \mathrm{dd}(7.8,9.3)$ | 72.3 |
|  | 71.98 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 72.0 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 72.3 |
|  | 73.73 | 3.30 o | 73.8 | 3.30 t (9.6) | 74.0 |
|  | 70.48 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 70.6 | $3.6-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 70.4 |
|  | 18.44 | 1.09 d (6.1) | 18.5 | 1.9 d (6.0) | 17.8 |
| Cinnamoyl |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ' | 127.38 |  | 127.7 |  | 127.7 |
| 2' | 115.08 | 7.06 bs | 114.7 | 7.03 (d 2.0) | 115.1 |
| 3' | 150.18 | - | 149.8 | - | 149.5 |
| 4' | 146.94 | - | 146.8 | - | 146.7 |
| 5' | 116.53 | $6.79 \mathrm{~d}(7.09)$ | 116.6 | $6.79 \mathrm{~d}(8.1)$ | 116.5 |
| 6' | 123.30 | 6.95 bd (7.58) | 123.3 | $6.89 \mathrm{dd}(2,8.1)$ | 123.1 |
| $7{ }^{\prime}$ | 148.12 | $7.6 \mathrm{~d}(15.9)$ | 148.1 | $7.56 \mathrm{~d}(15.9)$ | 147.2 |
| 8' | 114.41 | $6.275 \mathrm{~d}(15.9)$ | 115.3 | $6.28 \mathrm{~d}(15.9)$ | 114.9 |
| 9 ' | 168.36 |  | 168.4 |  | 169.1 |

* As given in the literature [8]
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Table 7: Inhibitory activity of isolated compounds against $M$.tuberculosis.

| Compounds | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Compound $\mathbf{1}$ | Not tested |
| Compound $\mathbf{2}$ | 4.93 |
| Compound $\mathbf{3}$ | Not active |
| Compound $\mathbf{4}$ | Not active |
| Compound 5 | Not active |

## 4.8: NMR data:

Compound 1:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:


DEPT


Compound 2:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR



Compound 3:

## ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}+\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$




DEPT in $\mathbf{C D}_{3} \mathbf{O D}+\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$


Compound 3:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ NMR in Pyridine-d $\mathbf{5}_{5}$



DEPT in Pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$


Compound 4:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR


DEPT:


Compound 5:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR:


## ${ }^{13}$ C NMR:



DEPT:
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## Chapter 5

# Phytochemical investigations on Anisochilus 

 verticillatus Hook. f.

Figure 1: Anisochilus verticillatus Hook. f.

### 5.1. Introduction:

Anisochilus Wall. ex Benth. is an Asian genus of herbs and shrubs. The genus contains 16 species and is chiefly distributed in India, Sri Lanka, Himalaya, Burma, South China, Thailand and Indo-China with 14 species in India. Eight species are endemic to Deccan peninsula with A. verticillatus (=Anisochilus adenanthus Dalzell) being endemic to Maharashtra [1]. Genus Anisochilus is represented in Maharashtra by 3 species viz. A. carnosus, A. verticillatus and $A$. eriocephalus [2].
A. verticillatus is an erect herb, up to 1.5 m tall. Stem is angled, white and tomentose. Leaves, hairy on both sides, are in whorls of 3-6, sessile and oblonglanceolate. Flowers (in August-October) are pale pink, in dense cylindrical tomentose spikes with spreading corolla lips and persistent calyx [2].

### 5.2. Collection and processing:

A. verticillatus, whole plants, in flowering, were collected from Purandar Fort area, District Pune, on $21^{\text {st }}$ October, 2008. A herbarium is deposited in Botanical Survey of India, Western Circle, Pune (No. SPJ-1). Roots were separated and aerial parts cleaned off adhering dust and unwanted plant material, dried in shade, cut and pulverized.

### 5.3. Extraction and Isolation:

Pulverized aerial parts ( 1.13 kg ) were extracted with acetone ( $3 \mathrm{~L} \times 3 \times 14 \mathrm{~h}$ ) at room temperature. The acetone solubles were filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a greenish acetone extract $(39.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \%$ based on dry weight of plant), 38.0 g of which was separated by column chromatography (CC) eluting with increasing polarity of acetone in petroleum ether to collect 18 fractions (AV1-AV18) (Chart 1).

Fraction AV2 (11.8g) was subjected to CC with elution gradient acetonitrile from $0.5 \%$ to $3 \%$ in chloroform to collect 4 fractions (AV2a-d). Fraction AV2c ( 287.2 mg ) was subjected to CC in acetonitrile from $0.5 \%$ to $1 \%$ in chloroform to isolate compound 2. This was purified by preparative TLC using benzene as eluting system in one direction and $1 \%$ ethyl acetate: benzene by reversing the plate. Fraction AV3 ( 800 mg ) was subjected to CC using elution gradient
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acetonitrile from $0.5 \%$ to $3 \%$ in chloroform to collect 8 fractions (AV3a-h). Fraction AV3c contained compound $\mathbf{1}$ which was purified by preparative TLC using $2 \%$ acetonitrile in chloroform and $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in cyclohexane as developing systems.

Fraction AV3g contained compound $\mathbf{3}$ and was purified by preparative TLC using $2 \%$ acetonitrile in chloroform and $4 \%$ ethyl acetate in benzene as developing systems.

Fraction AV4 (1.8g) was subjected to CC using acetonitrile from $1 \%$ to $4 \%$ in chloroform as mobile phase to collect 13 fractions (AV4a-m). Fractions AV4i, AV4j and AV3h were combined and subjected to CC in $3 \%$ acetonitrile in chloroform to obtain mixture of compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ which was separated and purified by preparative TLC using $20 \%$ acetone in cyclohexane as developing system. From fractions AV4k, AV41 and AV4m, compound $\mathbf{6}$ was separated by crystallisation.

Fraction AV5 (4.0g) was subjected to CC using elution gradient acetonitrile from $1 \%$ to $15 \%$ in chloroform to collect 15 fractions (AV5a-o). Fractions AV5n and AV5o contained compound 7, which was purified by preparative TLC using developing system $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in benzene.
A. verticillatus aerial parts acetone extract, 38.0 g

A. verticillatus aerial acetone 38.0 g


PTLC in benzene and 1\% EtOAc:


2

Chart 1: Chromatographic separation of $A$. verticillatus


1


3



7


4


Figure 2: Compounds isolated from $A$. verticillatus.

### 5.4. Structure elucidation:

## Compound 1:

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as white amorphous powder. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{1}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 457,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 479$ suggesting its molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with seven degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of carboxylic acid $\left(1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, hydroxyl $\left(3606 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ olefinic ( $1460,1148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra revealed presence of 7 methyls, 9 methylene, 7 methine and 7 quaternary carbons. Presence of carbonyl carbon at $\delta 181.6$ and one double bond carbons at $\delta 125.84$ (methine) and 137.92 (quaternary) indicated it to be pentacyclic triterpene. Compound 1 was identified as ursolic acid by comparison of its NMR data with that reported in the literature (Table 1) [3].


Figure 3: Structure of compound 1

## Compound 2:

Compound 2 was obtained as white amorphous powder. The ESIMS of 2 showed an $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$at $m / z 446,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$at $m / z 467$ suggesting its molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}$ with five degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl ( $3409 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and olefinic ( $1622,1457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of 2 revealed presence of 8 methyls, 10 methylene 7 methine and 5 quaternary carbons with two olefinic carbons at $\delta 124.4$ (methine) and 139.8 (quaternary). This indicated 2 to be tetracyclic triterpene.

In ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of tetracyclic triterpenes with pentacyclic D ring, quaternary carbon at $\mathrm{C}-14$ whenever present (protostanes, dammaranes, lanostanes, tirucallanes, euphanes and cucurbitanes), resonates around $847-50$, methines at the ring junctions resonate in the range of $\delta 45$ to 55 , methine at
position 20 resonates around $\delta 36$ while methine of isopropyl resonate at around $\delta 28$. Absence of later isopropyl methine and presence of three methine carbons at $\delta 59.07,55.18$ and 47.72 hinted double bond at C-24. In compound 2, quaternary carbons resonated at $\delta 33.74,36.88,40.00$ and 42.06 . First two could be assigned to C-4 or C-10. Quaternary carbon at around $\delta 40.00$ is observed for C-8 in dammarane type triterpenes with angular methyl group attached. However quaternary carbon at positions 13 or 14 in 6-6-6-5 type tetracyclic triterpenes resonate in the ranges $\delta 42-45$ and $\delta 47-50$ respectively. Also methine at $\delta 59.05$ is unusual for these type of triterpenes. Also presence of two methines at around 839 were not explained by any of the known 6-6-6-5 triterpenes (Chart II).

Chart II: 6-6-6-5 tetracyclic triterpenes and characteristic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR features.
Skeleton Comment

Compound 2




Comment
CH: $\delta \mathbf{5 9 . 0 7}, 55.18,47.72, \mathbf{3 9 . 6}, \mathbf{3 9 . 6 4}$
C: $\delta 33.74,36.88,40.00,42.06$

CH: $\delta \mathrm{C} 5-45-50, \mathrm{C} 9 / 13-40-45, \mathrm{C} 17-\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/10- $837-38$; C8- $\delta 40$, C14- $\boldsymbol{\delta} 47-$ 50

CH: $\delta$ C5- 45-50, C9/13-40-45, C17- $\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/10- $\delta 37-38$; C8- $\delta 40$, C14- $\boldsymbol{\delta} 47-$ 50

CH: $\delta \mathrm{C} 5-45-50, \mathrm{C} 8 / 9-40-45, \mathrm{C} 17-\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/10- $\delta 37-38$; C13- $\delta 42-45$, C14$\delta$ 47-50





CH: $\delta \mathrm{C} 5-45-50, \mathrm{C} 8 / 9-40-45, \mathrm{C} 17-\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/10- $\delta 37-38$; C13- $\delta 42-45$, C14-
$\delta$ 47-50

CH: $\delta$ C5- 45-50, C8/9-40-45, С17- $\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/10- $\delta 37-38$; C13- $\delta 42-45$, C14$\delta$ 47-50

CH: $\delta \mathrm{C} 5-45-50, \mathrm{C} 9 / 14-40-45, \mathrm{C} 17-\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/10- $\delta 37-38 ;$ C8- $\delta 40$, C13- $\boldsymbol{\delta} 42-$
45

CH: $\delta$ C5- 45-50, C8/10- $\delta 40-45, \mathrm{C} 17-\delta$
50, C20- $\delta 36$
C: C4/9- $\delta 37-38$; C13- $\delta 42-45, \mathbf{C 1 4 - \delta}$
47-50

These compelling evidences led us to place compound $\mathbf{2}$ in rare class of tetracyclic triterpenes with 6-6-6-6 rings in which quaternary carbon at C-14 gets upfield shifted to around $\delta 42$. Also in the known compounds with this system, quaternary carbon at position 8 resonates at around 840 as observed in compound 2 [5]. However in known 6-6-6-6 type triterpenes (viz. baccharane and rearranged bachharanes), this leads to increase in quaternary carbon numbers at C-17.

Biosynthesis of 6-6-6-6 type of triterpenes goes plausibly through cationic intermediates $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ shown in scheme I [4]. Consecutive hydride and methyl shifts lead to currently isolated 6-6-6-6 tetracyclic triterpenes (route a). However methyl shift shown in path b (through intermediate $\mathbf{B}$ as well as hypothetical intermediate C) could lead to new skeletons I and II with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral features matching with compound 2. In fact compounds 8 and 9 conforming to
such skeletons have been generated by an Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius with mutant squalene-hopene cyclase [4].


Scheme I: Biosynthetic approach for compound 2.



Figure 4: Structure of compounds 8 and 9.

Thus based on above considerations, compound 2 have been tentatively identified as rearranged bachharane of the type 6-6-6-6 (2a or 2b) (Table 2; Figure 4). Detailed analysis of 2D NMR spectra for confirmation of the srtcture(s) is underway.


2a


2b

Figure 5: Probable structure of compound 2

## Compound 6:

Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained as white crystals. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{6}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 339,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 361$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with four degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl $\left(3419 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, olefinic $\left(1634,1453 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ groups.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of 2 revealed presence of 4 methyls, 8 methylene, 2 methine and 6 quaternary carbons. Vinylic carbons at $\delta 108.9$ $\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $151.04(=\mathrm{CH})$ revealed this compound to be a pimarane class of diterpene. Presence of 3 quaternary carbons at $\delta 77.21,78.71$ and 79.79 and methine at $\delta 73.70$ indicated all the three methines at positions 5,8 and 9 to be substituted by hydroxyl group and one of the methylene converted into CHOH (Table 3). Position of this methine was fixed at 6 by observation of correlation of this carbon with one of the C-7 protons at $\delta 1.6$ in HMBC spectrum. Figure 6 a shows reverse correlation that was also observed between H-6 and C-7 at $\delta 38.54$. Figure6a also shows other correlations that confirmed the assigned structure. Observation of NOE correlation peak between methyl protons at $\delta 1.47(\mathrm{H}-19)$ and 1.54 (H-20) confirmed their placement on the same face ( $\beta$ orientation) of pimarane skeleton while a peak between H-6 at $\delta 4.31$ and methyl protons at
$\delta 0.99(\mathrm{H}-18)$ confirmed $\beta$ orientation of hydroxyl group (Figure 6b). All the 2D spectra are given in Figure 7a-d.


6a. HMBC correlations


6b. NOESY correlations

Figure 6: 2D correlations for compound 6.


7a. HSQC spectrum


7c. COSY spectrum


7b. HMBC spectrum


7d. NOESY spectrum

Figure 7: 2D Spectra of compound 6.
Anti configuration at C-8 and C-9 with $\beta$ orientation of C-8 hydroxyl group was confirmed only after single crystal (in 10\%acetone in hexane) X-ray
crystallographic studies. It also revealed anti-orientation of C-5 hydroxyl group and C-20 methyl as well as $\beta$ orientation of $\mathrm{C}-17$ placing compound 6 in isopimarane skeleton (Figure 8, Tables 4a-4d).


Figure 8: ORTEP diagram of compound 6. Ellipsoids are at $50 \%$ probability.
Thus compound 6 was identified as new natural product with isopimarane skeleton, isopimara- $5 \alpha, 6 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha$-tetraol.


Figure 9: Structure of compound 6

## Compound 5:

Compound $\mathbf{5}$ was obtained as white crystals. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{5}$ showed an [M $+1]^{+}$at $m / z 323,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 345$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with four degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl ( $3418, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and olefinic $\left(1634,1464 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ groups.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of 5 revealed presence of 4 methyls, 8 methylene, 3 methine and 5 quaternary carbons. Vinylic carbons at $\delta 108.90$ $\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $151.12(=\mathrm{CH})$ revealed this compound to be a pimarane class of
diterpene (Table 3). Presence of 2 quaternary carbons at $\delta 77.23,74.65$ and methine at $\delta 72.73$ and 49.02 indicated compound 5 to be very similar to compound $\mathbf{6}$ with one hydroxyl group replaced by hydrogen (Table 3). Position of methine at $\delta 72.73$ was fixed at 6 by HMBC correlation of methine proton at $\delta$ 4.11 with 7 methylene at $\delta 43.57$ and quaternary carbons at C-5 ( $\delta 77.24$ ), C-8 ( $\delta 74.65$ ) and C-10 ( $\delta 40.12$ ) as shown in Figure 10a. HMBC correlation of H-20 at $\delta 1.42$ with methine carbon at $\delta 49.05$ confirmed 9 position of later. Figure 10a shows HMBC correlations that confirmed the structure assigned. NOE correlation peak between H-6 methine and methyl at $\delta 1.00(\mathrm{H}-18)$ confirmed $\beta$ orientation of hydroxyl group at C-6. Similarly NOE peak between $\delta 1.73$ (either H-9 or H-7 or both) and $\mathrm{H}-14$ proton ( $\delta 1.43$ ) confirmed $\beta$ orientation of hydroxyl group at C-8. Compound 5 was assigned isopimarane type of skeleton by analogy with compound 6. From these spectral studies, compound 5 was identified as new natural product, isopimara-5 $, 6 \beta, 8 \beta$-triol.


10a. HMBC correlations


10b. NOESY correlations

Figure 10: 2D correlations for compound 5



Figure 11: 2D spectra of compound 5.


Figure 12: Structure of compound 5.

## Compound 4:

Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{4}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 321,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 343$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with five degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency for hydroxyl group $\left(3422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of 4 revealed presence of 4 methyls, 9 methylene 1 methine and 6 quaternary carbons (Table 3). Vinylic carbons at $\delta$ $109.24\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $150.49(=\mathrm{CH})$ revealed this compound to be pimarane class of diterpene (Table 3). Presence of 3 quaternary carbons at $\delta 78.93,81.01$ and 85.25 indicated compound 4 to be very similar to compound 5 and 6 with one oxygen function less than compound 6. Presence of same number of methylenes in compound 4 as found in unsubstituted pimaranes indicated no substitution on rings in compound 4 . Downfield shifted carbons at $\delta 81.01$ and 85.25 indicated
peroxy bridge between C-8 and C-9 or C-5 and C-8 or C-5 and C-9. HMBC correlation was observed between quaternary carbon at $\delta 85.25$ with three methyl groups at $\delta 0.99(\mathrm{H}-18), 1.40(\mathrm{H}-19)$ and $1.21(\mathrm{H}-20)$ fixed position of this quaternary carbon at 5 . Similarly HMBC of H-20 with quaternary carbon at $\delta$ 78.93 fixed later at position 9. Comparison of spectral data with those of compound 6 also enabled to place hydroxyl group at C9. This also fixed remaining quaternary carbon at $\delta 81.01$ for $\mathrm{C}-8$ and hence position of peroxy bridge between C5-C8. Location of peroxy bridge was also confirmed by strong HMBC of one of the $\mathrm{H}-7$ protons at $\delta 3.69$ with $\delta 81.01$. This peroxy bridge necessitates both C-5 and C-8 oxygens to be syn. Presence of NOE correlation peak between methyl at $\delta 1.21(\mathrm{H}-20)$ and proton at $\delta 1.91(\mathrm{H}-7)$ as well as NOE correlation peak between $2.29(\mathrm{H}-11)$ and $1.23(\mathrm{H}-20)$ confirmed below the plane placement ( $\alpha$ orientation) of peroxy bridge. Position of $\mathrm{H}-17$ methyl as $\beta$ was confirmed by observation of its NOE (weak) with proton at $\delta 2.29$ (H-11). This later correlation revealed compound 4 to be in fact an isopimarane type of diterpene. Thus compound 4 was identified as new diterpene isopimara- $5 \alpha, 8 \alpha-$ peroxy- $9 \alpha$-ol


13a. HMBC correlations


13b. COSY correlations


13c. NOESY correlations
Figure 13: 2D correlation of compound 4


13c. NOESY correlation
Figure 14: 2D spectra of compound 4


Figure 15: Structure of compound 4

## Chapter 5

## Compound 3:

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained as a white amorphous solid. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{3}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 321,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 343$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with five degrees of unsaturation.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of 3 revealed presence of 4 methyls, 8 methylene, 3 methine and 5 quaternary carbons. Vinylic carbons at $\delta 110.44$ $\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $145.29(=\mathrm{CH})$ revealed this compound to be pimarane class of diterpene (Table 3). Presence of methine carbon at $\delta 73.84$ indicated compound 3 to be similar to compound 5. Its location at 6 was fixed by observation COSY correlation between $\delta 4.35(\mathrm{H}-6)$ and $1.88(\mathrm{H}-5)$, later being fixed by observation of C-5 HMBC correlation with $\mathrm{H}-18, \mathrm{H}-19$ and $\mathrm{H}-20$. Quaternary carbon at $\delta$ 107.66 was placed at position 9 by observation of its HMBC correlation with $H$ 20 methyl at $\delta 1.11$. Highly downfield shifted C-9 indicated attachment of two oxygen functions with one as hydroxyl group and another probably forming 1, 4epoxy bridge with C-6. This necessitates cleaving of 8-9 bond.

COSY correlation of $\mathrm{H}-5$ with $\delta 3.3$ and 2.33 (attached to $\delta 49.30$ methylene) protons fixed later at 7. A three bond HMBC correlation between C-14 proton at 83.05 and C-7 confirmed C5-C6-C7 linkage. This also excluded possibility of $\delta 73.84$ to be placed at C7 and epoxy bridge at C9-C7. Carbonyl carbon at $\delta 213.09$ was placed at 8 by observation of its HMBC correlations with H-6. This also confirmed 8,9 bond cleavage and hence 1,4 -epoxy bridge at C-6 and C-9. Figure 16a shows these key correlations as well other important correlations that helped in assigning the given structure. Figure 16b depicts COSY correlations that revealed isolated 5-6-7 and 11-12 linkages. NOE peak of $\mathrm{H}-6$ with $\mathrm{H}-19$ and $\mathrm{H}-20$ methyls confirmed $\beta$ orientation of epoxy bridge while position of $\mathrm{H}-17$ methyl as $\beta$ was confirmed by observation of its NOE (weak) with both protons at $\delta 2.21$ and $3.05(\mathrm{H}-14)$ as well as with $\mathrm{H}-12$ protons. Rest important NOESY correlations are shown in Figure 16c. From these spectral studies compound $\mathbf{3}$ was identified as a new isopimarane type of diterpene, 8,9 -secoisipimara- $6 \beta, 9 \beta$-epoxy- $9 \alpha$-hydroxy- 8 one. This is probably first report of 8,9 -secoisipimara skeleton.


16a. HMBC correlations


16b. COSY correlations


16c. NOESY correlations
Figure 16: 2D correlations for compound 3


17a. HSQC spectrum


17b. HMBC spectrum


Figure 17: 2D spectra of compound 3


Figure 18: a. Compound 3
b. Different perspective

## Compound 7:

Compound 7 was obtained as a greenish low melting crystalline material. The ESIMS of 7 showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 151,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 173$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ with five degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of hydroxyl group ( $3430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and aromatic region $\left(1635,1605,1525,1444,1281,1114 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7 revealed 9 carbons with 8 in aromatic region, two resonances at $\delta 143.42$ and 141.64 indicating two hydroxyl groups. DEPT spectrum showed two methylenes, one attached to aromatic ring (at 839.47 ) and another in olefinic region ( $\delta 115.56$ ) indicative of terminal olefinic group (Table 5). Thus compound was identified as belonging to phenyl propanoid class, specifically 3-phenyl propene with two hydroxyl substituent on ring. Analysis of

## Chapter 5

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR revealed, 1, 2, 4-substitued pattern with two ortho coupled protons at $\delta$ 6.81 and 6.65 (both d, 8 Hz ) and a broad singlet at $\delta 6.74$. Thus the compound was identified as hydroxychavicol which was also confirmed by comparison of its NMR data with the reported ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR values of hydroxychavicol [6]. Hydroxychavicol has been isolated from family Piperaceae and this is the first report of its isolation from family Lamiaceae.


7 numbering

literature numbering

Figure19: Compound 7

## 5.5: Experimental:

## A. Collection and processing:

A. heyneana, whole plant, in flowering, was collected and processed for preparation of acetone extract as described earlier.

## B. Extraction and Isolation:

Extraction and isolation of compounds from acetone extract is described earlier.

Compound 1:
White amorphous powder ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00035 \%$ based on dry weight of plant), ESIMS at $m / z 479[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$and at $m / z 495[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$; IR (ATR) $1688, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-25), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-30), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-26), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{H}-24), 1.025(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-29), 1.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-27), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ); for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1.

Compound 2:
White amorphous powder ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00105 \%$ ), ESIMS m/z 446[M+ NH $\left.\mathrm{N}_{4}\right]^{+}$, $467[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3409(\mathrm{~s}), 1622(\mathrm{~m}), 1457(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}, 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-24), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-27), 3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 10.76,5.13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 3.42 \mathrm{~Hz})-$ Note: only clearly identifiable peaks are mentioned; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 2. Compound 3:

Yellowish amorphous powder ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.000525 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z $321[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$, $343[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]{ }^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3.

## Compound 4:

White amorphous powder ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00062 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z $321 \quad[\mathrm{M}+$ $1]^{+} 343[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-1.71$ (c 0.4, acetone); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3.

Compound 5:
White crystals ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00105 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z $323[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 345[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25} 6.86$ (c 0.81, acetone); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3.

Compound 6:
White crystals ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0105 \%$ ), mp $200.7^{0} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS m/z $339[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$, $361[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23.2}-27.93$ (c 1.00, Acetone); IR (chloroform) 3419 ( s ), 1634 (m), $1453(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 3, X-ray crystallography:

Crystals of the compound were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in $10 \%$ acetone: petroleum ether. Randomly collected colourless crystal of approximate size $0.39 \times 0.07 \times 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was used for data collection on Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Exposure / frame $=$ $20.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame, Crystals belong to Monoclinic, space group P21, $\mathrm{a}=10.9350$ (8), $b=7.4522(6), c=12.3825(9) \AA, V=915.24(12) \AA^{3}, Z=2, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.228 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, \mu$ $\left(\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}\right)=0.71073 \AA, T=296 \mathrm{~K}, 8640$ reflections measured, R value 0.0438 , $w R 2=0.1005$. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarisation and absorption effects. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL)[7] was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $F^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement as per the riding model. Data collection and refinement parameters are listed in Tables 4a-4d.

## Compound 7:

Greenish low melting crystaline solid (10mg, $0.000885 \%$ ); ESIMS m/z $173 \cdot[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 189\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}^{+} ;\right.$IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3430(\mathrm{~s}), 1635(\mathrm{~m}), 1605(\mathrm{~m}), 1525(\mathrm{~m})$, 1444(m), 1281(m), 1114(m) cm ${ }^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 5.

### 5.6. Tables:

Table 1: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for compound 1

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ (Pyridine-d $_{5}$ ) | Ursolic acid* <br> (Pyridine-d ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$| 1 | 39.10 | 39.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 28.21 | 28.2 |
| 3 | 78.15 | 78.2 |
| 4 | 39.51 | 39.6 |
| 5 | 55.84 | 55.91 |
| 6 | 18.80 | 18.8 |
| 7 | 33.60 | 33.7 |
| 8 | 39.98 | 40.1 |
| 9 | 48.07 | 48.1 |
| 10 | 37.47 | 37.5 |
| 11 | 23.71 | 23.7 |
| 12 | 125.67 | 125.7 |
| 13 | 139.29 | 139.3 |
| 14 | 42.52 | 42.6 |
| 15 | 28.78 | 28.8 |
| 16 | 25.00 | 25.0 |
| 17 | 48.07 | 48.1 |
| 18 | 53.57 | 53.6 |
| 19 | 39.51 | 39.5 |
| 20 | 39.43 | 39.4 |
| 21 | 31.08 | 31.1 |
| 22 | 37.48 | 37.4 |
| 23 | 28.84 | 28.8 |
| 24 | 16.62 | 16.5 |
| 25 | 15.70 | 15.7 |
| 26 | 17.55 | 17.5 |
| 27 | 23.94 | 24.0 |
| 28 | 179.93 | 179.7 |
| 29 | 17.48 | 17.5 |
| 30 | 21.45 | 21.4 |
| 1 | 81 |  |

* as given in Literature [3]

Table 2: Tabulation of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks of compound 2 and multiplicities from DEPT.

| No. | Peaks | DEPT | No. | peaks | DEPT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 15.61 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16 | 32.92 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 2 | 15.67 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 17 | 33.74 | C |
| 3 | 16.85 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18 | 36.88 | C |
| 4 | 17.46 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19 | 38.76 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 5 | 18.33 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 20 | 39.60 | CH |
| 6 | 21.39 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 21 | 39.64 | CH |
| 7 | 23.25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 22 | 40.00 | C |
| 8 | 23.35 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 23 | 41.51 | $\mathrm{CH} H_{2}$ |
| 9 | 26.60 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 24 | 42.06 | C |
| 10 | 27.26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 25 | 47.69 | CH |
| 11 | 28.08 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 26 | 55.15 | CH |
| 12 | 28.10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 27 | 59.05 | CH |
| 13 | 28.74 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 28 | 79.05 | CH |
| 14 | 29.69 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 29 | 124.40 | CH |
| 15 | 31.24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 30 | 139.57 | C |

Table 3: Compounds 3, 4, 5 and 6

| no | 6 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{C}{ }^{1}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{1}$ | $\delta_{H}{ }^{2}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{1}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{1}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | 28.29 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.02(\mathrm{~m}) \beta, \\ & 1.19(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 25.64 | 2.11, 1.33 | 34.34 | 1.42 (m) | 31.32 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.18(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 17.64 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85(\mathrm{~m}) \beta \\ & 1.55(\mathrm{~m}) * \end{aligned}$ | 17.52 | 1.57, 1.48 | 17.58 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84(\mathrm{~m}), 1.47 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 19.54 | 1.62 (m) |
| 3 | 37.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.08(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 37.12 | 1.77, 1.07 | 37.74 | 1.60, 1.15 | 41.54 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.42(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.23(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 39.64 | - | 37.91 | - | 38.89 | - | 32.79 | - |
| 5 | 79.79 | - | 85.25 | - | 77.23 | - | 51.89 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 10.62 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 73.70 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.31(\mathrm{bt}, \\ 2.82 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 29.67 | 1.27 (m) | 72.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.11 \text { (bt, } 3.02 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 73.84 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 10.65,6.54) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 38.54 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.6(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 15.22,2.77 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 50.97 | 1.91, 3.69 | 43.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.14(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 14.28, \\ & 3.43 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 1.73(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 49.30 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 10.65,6.54), \\ & 2.33(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 10.62 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 77.21 | - | 81.01 | - | 74.65 | - | 213.09 | - |
| 9 | 78.71 | - | 78.93 | - | 49.02 | 1.73 (m) | 107.66 | - |
| 10 | 43.23 | - | 48.40 | - | 40.12 | - | 46.77 | - |
| 11 | 23.39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.29(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.43 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 23.79 | 2.29, 1.47 | 17.04 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.40(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 29.85 | 1.63 (m) |
| 12 | 31.43 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 13.82,3.87 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29 \\ & (\mathrm{~d}, 13.82 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 31.25 | 1.80, 1.38 | 37.78 | 1.59, 1.40 | 31.96 | 1.85 (m) |
| 13 | 35.36 | - | 35.64 | - | 36.32 | - | 43.05 | - |
| 14 | 46.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 14.14 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 1.15(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 14.14, \\ & 2.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 46.76 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.16(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.06(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 51.09 | 1.43, 1.39 | 55.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.21(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 14.21), 3.05 \\ & (\mathrm{~d}, 14.21) \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 151.04 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.79 \text { (dd, } \\ & 10.65, \\ & 17.65) \end{aligned}$ | 150.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.78 \text { (dd, } \\ & 10.82, \\ & 17.42) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 151.12 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.74(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 11.06,17.65) \end{aligned}$ | 145.29 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.29(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 11.03,17.65) \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | 108.90 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4.92 \text { (dd, } \\ 17.65,1.01 \\ \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ 4.87(\mathrm{dd}, \\ 10.65,1.01 \\ \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 109.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 10.82), \\ & 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 17.42) \end{aligned}$ | 108.90 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.9(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 17.65,1.08 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 11.06,1.08 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 110.44 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 11.03), \\ & 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 17.65) \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | 24.96 | 1.28 (s) | 25.02 | 1.26 (s) | 24.02 | 1.22 (s) | 32.79 | 1.047 (s) |
| 18 | 27.37 | 0.99 (s) | 27.94 | 0.99 (s) | 27.66 | 1.00 (s) | 34.63 | 1.06 (s) |
| 19 | 25.91 | 1.47 (s) | 24.54 | 1.40 (s) | 25.26 | 1.44 (s) | 22.30 | 1.00 (s) |
| 20 | 19.68 | 1.54 (s) | 19.48 | 1.23 (s) | 18.07 | 1.42 (s) | 14.57 | 1.11 (s) |

* Multiplicity in bracket

Table 4a: Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 6.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature | $296(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P21 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.9350(8) \AA \alpha=90^{\circ}$. <br> $\mathrm{b}=7.4522(6) \AA \beta=114.9020(10)^{\circ}$. <br> $\mathrm{c}=12.3825(9) \AA \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | $915.24(12) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.228 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Crystal size | $0.39 \mathrm{x} 0.07 \times 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{3}$ |
| Reflections collected | 8640 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $3202 / 1 / 225$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0438, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1005$ |

Table 4b. Bond lengths [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for compound 6.

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [$]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.528(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.547(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.520(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.544(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.544(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.551(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.570(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.548(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.590(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.527(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.524(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.566(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.537(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.587(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.552(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.533(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.536(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.517(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.539(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.548(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ |

Table 4c. Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for compound 6.

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon <br> (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [] | Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.)- <br> Carbon (no.) | angles [$]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $113.85(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $115.40(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $112.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $113.42(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $113.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $106.17(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $110.10(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $105.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $109.84(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $108.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.29(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $117.03(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $113.75(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.87(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109.57(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $112.23(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $115.13(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $114.03(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $107.68(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $111.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $112.91(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $107.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $114.21(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $109.92(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.38(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $105.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $113.31(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $111.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $109.48(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $111.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $110.27(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $117.31(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $111.28(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $131.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $107.17(17)$ |  |  |

Table 4d. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for compound 6.

| Connectivity | angles [ ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | Connectivity | angles [ ${ }$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -54.6(3) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -48.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 54.8(3) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 75.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 73.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -174.20(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -172.6(2) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -50.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -54.3(3) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 170.69(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 66.3(3) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -55.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -171.01(19) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -72.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -53.3(3) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 61.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -66.7(3) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 51.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 56.0(2) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -174.76(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 173.63(18) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 60.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 171.75(19) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -172.12(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -54.6(2) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -56.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 56.4(2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 164.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -177.04(17) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 45.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -54.9(2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -78.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -57.5(2) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 175.84(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -179.18(17) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 53.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 173.98(18) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -166.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 52.3(2) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -45.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -69.0(2) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 78.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 172.19(19) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 5.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 53.0(2) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 125.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 68.0(2) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -115.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -167.80(17) |  |  |

Table 5: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of compound 7.

|  | $7^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  | Hydroxychavicol* |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. $^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{b}}$. | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ in <br> $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | H <br> $\mathrm{H}(\delta)$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ <br> 1 |
| 2 | 141.64 | - | 1 | 115.53 | - |
| 3 | 1153.42 | - | 2 | 121.01 | $6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})^{*}$ |
| 4 | 133.20 | - | 3 | 133.27 | $6.60(\mathrm{dd}, 8,2 \mathrm{~Hz})^{*}$ |
| 5 | 120.98 | $6.65(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 5 | 143.46 | - |
| 6 | 115.32 | $6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 6 | 137.60 | $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 7 | 39.47 | $3.295(\mathrm{~d}$, | 1 | 39.45 | $3.37(\mathrm{~d}, 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 8 | 137.60 | 5.95 m | $2{ }^{\prime}$ | 115.70 | 5.90 |
| 9 | 115.56 | 5.08 | $3^{\prime}$ | 115.37 | $5.01,5.04$ |
| OH | - | 5.40 bs | - | - | - |
| OH | - | 5.40 bs | - | - | - |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ numbering as given in $7,{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ literature numbering, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ both spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$,

* as given in literature [6]


### 5.7. Spectral data:

Compound 1:
i. Spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(3: 1)$
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Compound 1:
ii. Spectra in Pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
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Compound 6:
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Compound 5:
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Compound 4:
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Compound 3:
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## Chapter 6

## Phytochemical investigation on

Plectranthus mollis (Aiton) Spreng.


Figure 1: Plectranthus mollis (Aiton) Spreng..; P. incanus L.

### 6.1. Introduction:

Plectranthus is a genus of perennial or annual, sometimes succulent, subshrubs or herbs or geoxylic herbs, usually aromatic and is represented by around three hundred species in the Old World tropics [1]. Genus Plectranthus is represented in Maharashtra by fourteen species [2]. Plectranthus mollis ( $=P$. incanus ) called Soft-stem mintleaf or Lal aghada in Marathi, is a small, erect, fleshy, annual herb, growing up to $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall. Oppositely arranged leaves are broadly ovate, $5-12 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, pointed, heart-shaped at base, with a toothed margin. Leaf stalks are 3-7 cm long. Flowers (July-August) are borne in branched racemes, $7-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ long and at the end of branches. Flowers are pale blue, carried on 3-4 cm long stalk. Sepal cup is 2 -lipped. Fruits are round, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, brown, dotted with purple. [3]

In India, $P$. mollis leaves are cooked as vegetable [4]. P. mollis is used as febrifuge, insect repellent and also in treatment of rheumatism, as cardiac depressant, as a cure for haemorrhage, in treatment of mental retardation, snakebites and as tonic. P. mollis is also reported to exhibit relaxant activity on smooth and skeletal muscles [4]. It also has tumour inhibiting property. In India, Kenya and Tanzania, it is used to drive evil spirits away [4]. Earlier chemical investigations on $P$. mollis have reported isolation of fatty acids [5], while analysis of essential oil has revealed piperitone and piperitone oxide as some of the major constituents [5, 6].

### 6.2. Collection and processing:

P. mollis, whole plants, in flowering, were collected along roadside from Kas area, District Satara, in September, 2010. A herbarium is deposited in Botanical Survey of India, Western Circle, Pune (No. SPJ11). Roots were separated and aerial parts were cleaned off adhering dust and unwanted plant material. Cleaned aerial parts were divided into two parts. One part was cut into small pieces and processed for obtaining essential oil. Second part was dried in shade, cut and pulverized.

### 6.3. Extraction and Isolation:

## i) Distillation of essential oil:

Oil was isolated from fresh aerial parts by hydro-distillation using Clevenger-type apparatus with $0.034 \%$ yield on fresh plant material basis.

## ii) Extraction and isolation of compounds from acetone extract:

Pulverized aerial parts ( 2.0 kg ) were extracted with acetone $(3 \mathrm{~L} \times 3 \times 14 \mathrm{~h})$ at room temperature. The acetone solubles were filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a greenish acetone extract $(50.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \%$ based on dry plant weight). Acetone extract, 48 g , was separated by column chromatography (CC) in acetone: petroleum ether gradient to collect 20 fractions (PM1-PM20).

From fraction PM3, $1(20 \mathrm{mg})$, was isolated as white precipitate. PM8 ( 990 mg ) was subjected to CC in $10 \%$ acetone in pet-ether to collect 8 fractions (PM8a-PM8h). Fraction PM9 (1.6g) was subjected to CC in acetone: petroleum ether gradient from 10-25\% to collect nine fractions (PM9a-PM9i). Fractions, PM8f, PM8g and PM9f were combined and compound 2 ( 12 mg ) was isolated from it by CC in $10 \%$ acetone in petroleum ether. Compound $\mathbf{2}$ was purified by crystallization from acetone. From combined fractions, PM9g, PM9h and PM9i, compound 3 ( 30 mg ) was isolated by CC using elution system $5 \%$ methanol in chloroform and purifying by washing with chloroform. Fractions PM16 and PM17 had compound 4. It was isolated by CC of these fractions separately in 5\% methanol in chloroform. From fractions PM16a and PM17a, compound 4 ( 35 mg ) was obtained as white precipitate. It was purified by washing the precipitate successively with chloroform and acetone. Fractions PM18 (1.0g) and PM19 $(1.1 \mathrm{~g})$ were separately subjected to CC in chloroform with gradient of methanol from 1-5\% to collect seven and six fractions (PM18a-PM18f and PM19a-PM19f) respectively. From fractions PM18a and PM18b, compound $\mathbf{5}$ was obtained as yellow precipitate $(40 \mathrm{mg})$ which was purified further by washing with acetone. From PM19b and PM19c, compound $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained as yellow precipitate ( 20 mg ) which was washed successively with chloroform and acetone and finally purified by preparative TLC using $30 \%$ ethylacetate in benzene as developing system.


Chart 1: Chromatographic separation of $P$. mollis.
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Figure 2: Compounds isolated from P. mollis

### 6.4. Structure elucidation:

## Compound 1:

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was isolated as white needles. It was found to be a phytosterol mixture with stigmasterol as major constituent. It was identified by comparison of its TLC pattern ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ value, charring pattern) with authentic samples and literature comparison of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data [7].


Figure 3: Structure of compound 1.

## Compound 2

Compound $\mathbf{2}$ was obtained as brown needles. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{2}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 355,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 377$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ with twelve degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed a stretching frequency of aromatic rings $\left(1443 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and ether linkages (1245, $1037 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR revealed 10 resonance signals indicating compound 2 to be an symmetric dimer (Table 1). Presence of methylene carbon at $\delta 101.07$ and two carbons at $\delta 147.09$ and 147.95 indicated methylene dioxy fused with benzene ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR revealed 1, 2, 4-trisubstitution pattern with signals at $\delta 6.87$ (bs), $6.82(\mathrm{dd}, 8,2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Signal of methine at $\delta 85.78$ was assigned for 3 and $3^{\prime}$. This was supported by its HMBC correlation with protons at $\delta 6.87$, 6.82. HMBC of proton at $\delta 3.07$ (methine at $\delta 54.30$ ) with carbon at $\delta 135.02$ (positions $4 / 4^{\prime}$ ) confirmed location of $\delta 54.30$ methine at $2 / 2^{\prime}$. From these spectral characteristics, compound $\mathbf{2}$ was identified as sesamin [8]. Compound $\mathbf{2}$ had mp $119.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and optical rotation of $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24.9}+47\left(\mathrm{c}, 1.15 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The reported values were in accordance with reported values of $[+]$ sesamin (reported: mp $119.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $[10] ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+64.5$ (c, $\left.1.75 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}[10]\right)$. This structure was also confirmed by single crystal X-ray crystallography of crystal grown in acetone (Figure 5, Tables $2 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{~d}$ ).

This is the first report of isolation of $[+]$ sesamin from genus Plectranthus. Sesamin is mainly reported from diverse families such as Asteraceae, Brassicaceae, Rutaceae as well as from few Lamiaceae species [11, 12].


Figure 4: 2D spectra of compound 2


Figure 5: ORTEP diagram for compound 2. Ellipsoids are drawn at $50 \%$ probability.


Figure 6: Structure of compound 2.

## Compound 3:

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained as white amorphous powder. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{3}$ exhibited an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 455,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 479$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with seven degrees of unsaturation. Carbonyl resonance at $\delta$ 180.01, olefinic carbons at $\delta 139.36$ and 125.75 along with methine at $\delta 78.22$ in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Table 3) indicated it to be a pentacyclic triterpene acid. Presence of 7 quaternary carbons and two doublet methyl groups at $\delta 1.025$ and 0.97 indicated it to be Ursolic acid. Comparison of its NMR data with that reported in the literature confirmed this assignment [13]. Ursolic acid reported earlier from P. rugosus and P. strigosus [5]. This is the first report of its isolation from P. mollis.It has also been reported from A. heyneana as described in Chapter 5. Here ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR values are given in Table 3 for comparison with compound $\mathbf{4}$ while spectral compilation is omitted.


Figure 7: Structure of compound 3.

## Compound 4:

Compound 4 was obtained as white amorphous powder. The ESIMS of 4 showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 473,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 495$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with seven degrees of unsaturation. Carbonyl resonance at $\delta 180.01$, olefinic carbons at $\delta 139.36$ and 125.64 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Table 3) indicated it to be a pentacyclic triterpenes acid. Presence of 7 quaternary carbons and two doublet methyl groups at $\delta 1.025$ and 0.97 indicated it to be ursolic acid derivative, with one additional hydroxyl group. Methines at $\delta 68.69$ and 83.90 are typical of $2 \alpha, 3 \beta$ dihydroxy pattern. Comparison of its NMR data with that reported in literature confirmed this assignment and compound $\mathbf{4}$ was identified as corosolic acid [14]. This is the first report of corosolic acid isolation from $P$. mollis.


Figure 8: Structure of compound 4.

## Compound 5:

Compound $\mathbf{5}$ was obtained as yellow crystals. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{5}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 359,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 381$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ with eleven degrees of unsaturation. From the above data, compound 6 was found to be a flavonoid. Presence of singlet at $\delta 12.75$ and carbonyl carbon at $\delta 182.46$ indicated it to be 5-hydroxyflavone (Table 4). Presence of four methoxyl groups in spectrum and a singlet proton at $\delta 6.54$ along with protons at $\delta 7.48$ (dd, $8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.295(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicated it to be a $6,7,3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-$ tetramethoxy or $7,8,3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-tetramethoxy derivative of 5-hydroxyflavone. Presence of one methoxyl resonance at around $\delta 60$ (here $\delta 60.72$ ) is characteristic of methoxyl at position 6 . Thus the compound 5 was identified as $6,7,3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ -tetramethoxy-5-hydroxyflavone ( 3 '-O-methyleupatorin). This was confirmed by comparison of its spectral characteristics with that reported in the literature [15] (Table 4). This assignment was additionally confirmed by analysis of its UV spectral data. Large bathochromic shift in Band II from 241 to 290 nm on addition of NaOMe indicated hydroxyl group at 5 position. Similar bathochromic shift in Band II from 241 to 288 on addition of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ which remained unchanged on addition of HCl , also supported presence 5-hydroxyl group. This is the first report of 3'-O-methyleupatorin isolation from P. mollis.


Figure 9: Structure of compound 5.
Compound 6:
Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained as yellow amorphous powder. The ESIMS of $\mathbf{6}$ showed an $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$at $m / z 345,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$at $m / z 367$ suggesting the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ with eleven degrees of unsaturation. Presence of singlet at $\delta 12.77$ and carbonyl carbon at $\delta 182.68$ indicated it to be a 5-hydroxyflavone (Table 5). Presence of three methoxyl groups in the spectrum and a singlet proton at $\delta 6.56$ along with protons at $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, 8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz})$
indicated it to be a 6,7,3',-trimethoxy (cirsilineol) or 6,7,4'-trimethoxy (eupatorin) derivative of 5-hydroxyflavone. Presence of one methoxyl resonance at around $\delta$ 60 (here $\delta 60.86$ ) is characteristic of methoxyl at position 6 . By comparison with literature data, compound $\mathbf{6}$ was identified as eupatorin ( $6,7,4$, -trimethoxy-5, 3'-dihydroxyflavone) [16a] (Table 5). This assignment was confirmed by analysis of its UV spectral data. Large bathochromic shift in Band II from 241 to 293 nm on addition of AlCl 3 which remained unchanged on addition of HCl indicated hydroxyl group at 5 position while absence of shift in Band I at 347 nm on addition of NaOMe indicated absence of hydroxyl at position 4'. Eupatorin is earlier reported from P. fruticosus [17]. This is the first report of eupatorin isolation from $P$. mollis.


Figure 10: Structure of compound 6.

### 6.5. Experimental:

## A. Collection and processing:

Plectranthus mollis, whole plant, in flowering, was collected and processed for preparation of acetone extract as described earlier.

## B. Extraction and Isolation:

Extraction and isolation of compounds from acetone extract and separation of essential oil from fresh aerial parts is described earlier.
Compound 1:
White amorphous powder ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \%$ based on dry plant weight); IR (chloroform) $3421,1641,1093 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ ESIMS at $m / z 435[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$and at $m / z 451$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ); $0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-18), 0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-26), 0.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-29), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-27), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-21), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, H-6), $5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-23), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{H}-6) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ); 12.08 (C-29), 12.31 (C-18), 19.02 (C-27), 19.44 (C-19), 21.10 (C-11), 21.2 (C-21), 21.26 (C-26), 24.41 (C-15), 25.46 (C-28), 28.4 (C-16), 31.65(C-2), $31.91(\mathrm{C}-8), 31.91(\mathrm{C}-25)$, 31.92 (C-7), 36.53 (C-10), 37.28 (C-1), 39.70 (C-12), 40.56 (C-20), 42.24 (C-13), 42.29 (C-4), 50.15 (C-9), 51.27 (C-24), 55.91 (C-17), 56.89 (C-14), 71.6 (C-3), 121.73 (C-6), 129.28 (C-23), 138.37 (C-22), 140.79 (C-5).

## Compound 2:

Brown crystals ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0006 \%$ ); mp $119.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ESIMS at $m / z 377[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ and at $m / z 393[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24.3}+40(1.15 \%$ chloroform); IR (chloroform) 1503 , 1443, 1245, $1037 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1.

X-ray crystallography:
Single crystals of the compound were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in acetone. Crystal of approximate size $0.14 \times 0.13 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was used for data collection on Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Exposure / frame $=10.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame. Crystals belong to Monoclinic, space group P21, a $=9.8827(8), b=6.9772(5), c=11.8465(9) \AA, V=815.47(11) \AA^{3}, Z=2, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}$ $=1.443 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)=0.71073 \AA, T=200(2) \mathrm{K}, 7946$ reflections measured, R value $0.0431, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1021$. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarisation and absorption effects. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL)[18] was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms
were included in the refinement as per the riding model. Data collection and refinement parameters as well as compound bond angles, bond lengths and torsion angles are listed in Tables 2a-2d.

X-ray analysis revealed the relative conformation of the molecule at $\mathrm{C} 2 / 2$, and $C 3 / 3$ as $R$ and $S$ configurations.

## Compound 3:

White amorphous powder ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0015 \%$ ); ESIMS at $m / z 479[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ and at $m / z 495[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}$; IR (ATR) $1688, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 3.

Compound 4:
White amorphous powder (35mg, $0.00175 \%$ ); ESIMS at $m / z 495[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ and at $m / z 511[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-29), 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-$ 26), $1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-30), 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-25), 1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-24), 1.23$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-27$ ), 1.29 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23$ ), 2.64 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18$ ), 3.42 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $9.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=10.98,9.3,4.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} 3.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-$ 12); for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 3.

## Compound 5:

Yellow crystals ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \%$ ), mp $193.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 359[\mathrm{M}+$ $1]^{+}, m / z$ and $381[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}), 241,278,339 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{NaOMe}), 290$, 298, $310 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}\right), 262,288,348,368 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}-\mathrm{HCl}\right), 257,287$, 348 nm ; IR (chloroform) $3421,1658,1602,1515,1496,1456,1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 4.
Compound 6:
Yellow amorphous powder ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \%$ ); ESIMS at $345[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ and $367[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}), 281,346 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{NaOMe}), 280,347,368 \mathrm{~nm} ;$ $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}\right), 293,347,368 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}-\mathrm{HCl}\right), 282,347,363 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{NaOAc})$, 276, 344. 633; IR (ATR) $1649,1596,1453 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 5.

### 6.6. Analysis of essential oil of $\boldsymbol{P}$. mollis:

## Distillation of essential oil:

It is described earlier.

## GC analysis of essential oil:

The GC-FID analyses of the essential oils was carried out with an Varian CP 3800 apparatus equipped with FID and a GsBP5 capillary column ( 30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm ). Oven temperature was programmed rising from 50 to $260^{\circ}$ at $3^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ hold at $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min ; injector temperature, $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detector temperature, $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, carrier gas, $\mathrm{He}(1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$; injection volume, $1 \mu 1$; split ratio, 6:4. The Linear Retention Indices (LRIs) of the constituents (Table 6) were determined relative to the retention times of a series of n -alkanes (C9-C38), and the relative percentages of the individual components of the oils were obtained from the GC-FID peak-area percentages after applying correction factors.

## GC/MS Analysis of essential oil:

The GC/MS analyses of essential oil was performed with an Perkin Elmer Clarus 500 gas chromatograph coupled to a Perkin Elmer Clarus 500 quadruple mass spectrometer equipped with a GsBP5 capillary column ( 30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm ). Oven temperature was programmed rising from 50 to $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ with hold at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min and at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .; injector temp., $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detector temperature, $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; carrier gas, $\mathrm{He}(1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$; injection volume, $1 \mu$; mass spectra, positive electron impact mode at 70 eV .

## Identification of oil constituents:

The identification of the individual constituents of the oils was based on the comparison of their LRI and mass spectra with those of authentic compounds by means of the NBS and NIST databases and published data on http://www.webbook.nist.gov/ (Table 6).
Mosquito larvicidal assay of essential oil and acetone extract:
Standard WHO method of testing the susceptibility of mosquito larvae to insecticides [19] was followed in all the experiments with slight modification. Larvicidal assay was carried on larvae of three species of mosquitoes viz. Aedes aegypti, Culex quinquefasciatus and Anopheles stephensi. Test samples were
dissolved in analar grade acetone to prepare stock solution. Ten early $4^{\text {th }}$ instar mosquito larvae were introduced in 100 ml glass beaker containing 50 ml water. A known volume of stock solution was added in beaker to get various concentrations of oil/extract. Acetone control was run simultaneously. For each concentration and control, 5 replicates were used and each test was repeated three times. The beakers were kept at $26 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The corrected mortality was analyzed using Abbott's formula wherever required. Results are given in Tables 7 and 8. The mortality were analyzed by $\log$ probit method and Lethal Concentrations ( $\mathrm{LC}_{50 \&} \mathrm{LC}_{95}$ ) were calculated (Table 9).

## Mosquito repellency assay:

Mosquito repellent activity was assessed on the basis of the protection period offered by repellent test sample [20]. For the study, 4-6 days old, blood starved, sucrose fed ( 0.5 M solution) females of $A$. aegypti were taken.

Human hand covered with snugly fitting glove was introduced in the cage containing 100 hungry females. Mosquitoes were allowed to bite on the back of the hand through muslin cloth screen stuck over a small window ( 2 cm X 2 cm ) cut out in the glove. Test sample was loaded on the muslin cloth screen at concentrations, $0.5,1.0$ and $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Control muslin cloth screen was treated with solvent alone. After introduction of the hand covered with the glove with treated muslin screen into the mosquito cage, number of bites received in subsequent 5 min was counted. In the event of no bites in the initial 5 min exposure, the test hand was exposed repeatedly after every consecutive 30 min for 5 min test till the time a confirmed bite was received. Protection period was recorded as the time elapsed between repellent application and the time at which a confirmed bite was observed. A control arm was placed in the cage randomly before or after the treated arm to asses mosquitoes bite (Table 10).


Figure 11: GC-FID analysis of essential oil.


Figure 12: GC-MS analysis of essential oil.

## Results and Discussion:

Analysis of Essential Oil: The oil was obtained by hydrodistillation and characterized using GC-FID and GC/MS analyses. The identified oil components with their relative contents are reported in Table 6 . The essential oil contained
piperitone oxide (23.76\%), fenchone (19.19\%) and $\beta$-caryophyllene (10.39\%) as main components and to a lesser extent limonene (2.83\%), copaene ( $2.90 \%$ ), germacrene $\mathrm{D}(2.58 \%), \delta$-cadinene ( $2.42 \%$ ). The oil had significant percentage of sesquetrpenes and also less percentage of aromatic compounds.

Mosquito larvicidal activity: Eseential oil was the most potent with $100 \%$ mortality at $>125 \mathrm{pmm}$ against $A$. stephensi, 100 ppm against $A$. aegypti and 125ppm against C. quinquefasciatus (Table 7) with $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ in the range of 25 to 31 ppm (Table 9). The acetone extract exhibited $100 \%$ mortality at 500 ppm (Table 8) with $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values in the range of $195-215 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Table 9).

Mosquito repellent activity: The essential oil was evaluated for repellent activity against $A$. aegypti at $0.5,1.0$ and $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ concentrations (Table 5). The oil did not show any significant repellency.

Conclusion: The results demonstrated that essential oil of $P$. mollis was more potent than acetone extract in mosquito larvicidal assay. Also the oil did not show significant repellency for $A$. aegypti. These results were roughly reversed in case of essential oil of L. gibsoni, later oil being potent repellent and moderated larvicidal. Certain observations may be noted that could correlate observed activity pattern with chemical compositions of the oils. Thus $P$. mollis oil was characterized by less percentage of aromatic monoterpenes and high percentage of lesser volatile sesqueterpenes while significant percentage of L. gibsoni oil is composed of aromatic monoterpenes and very less percentage of sesqueterpenes.

### 6.7. Tables:

Table 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for Compound 2

| No. | $\mathbf{2}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |  | Literature (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{*}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Ca}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Hb}(\delta)$ |  |
| $1 / 1^{\prime}$ | 71.69 | $4.255,3.885$ | 71.7 | $4.23,3.84$ (both m) |  |
| $2 / 2^{\prime}$ | 54.30 | 3.07 | 54.4 | $3.04(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| $3 / 3^{\prime}$ | 85.78 | 4.735 | 85.8 | $4.71(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| $4 / 4^{\prime}$ | 135.02 | - | 135.1 | - |  |
| $5 / 5^{\prime}$ | 106.48 | $6.79-6.83(\mathrm{~m})$ | 106.5 | $6.76-6.84(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| $6 / 6^{\prime}$ | 147.95 | - | 148.0 | - |  |
| $7 / 7^{\prime}$ | 147.09 | - | 147.1 | - |  |
| $8 / 8^{\prime}$ | 108.18 | $6.87(\mathrm{bs})$ | 108.2 | $6.76-6.84(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| $9 / 9^{\prime}$ | 119.35 | $6.79-6.83(\mathrm{~m})$ | 119.4 | $6.76-6.84(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| $10 / 10^{\prime}$ | 101.06 | 5.97 | 101.1 | $5.92(\mathrm{~s})$ |  |
| * As red |  |  |  |  |  |

* As reported in the literatures [8]

Table 2a. Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 2.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature | $200(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P 21 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=9.8827(8) \mathrm{A} \quad \alpha=90$ deg. <br> $\mathrm{b}=6.9772(5) \mathrm{A} \quad \beta=93.3380(10) \mathrm{deg}$. <br> $\mathrm{c}=11.8465(9) \mathrm{A} \quad \gamma=90$ deg. |
| Volume | $815.47(11) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.443 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Crystal size | $0.14 \times 0.13 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm} 3$ |
| Reflections collected | 7946 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $2878 / 1 / 235$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0431, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1021$ |

Table 2b. Bond lengths for compound 2

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.) | Bond lengths $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.385(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.405(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.509(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.367(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.374(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.367(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.399(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.517(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.541(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.542(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.401(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.504(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.373(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.374(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.362(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.395(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.516(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.519(3)$ |

Table 2c. Bond angles for compound 2

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.) | angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $120.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $117.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $122.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $121.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $116.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $121.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $118.20(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $115.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $102.68(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $104.18(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $121.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $117.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $122.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $122.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $116.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $122.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $116.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $116.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $102.96(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $103.65(19)$ |

Table 2d. Torsion angles for compound 2

| Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.)-Carbon (no.)- <br> Carbon (no.) | angles [$]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $174.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-0.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-0.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-174.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-0.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-37.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $146.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $89.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $-157.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $178.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $-177.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $-53.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $128.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $91.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-155.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $-106.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $13.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $135.9(3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ |  |
|  |  |

Table 3: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for compounds 3 and $\mathbf{4}^{* * *}$

|  | 3 (Pyridine-d5) | Ursolic acid* (Pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$ ) | 4 (Pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ ) | Corosolic acid** <br> (Pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 39.10 | 39.2 | 48.08 | 48.4 |
| 2 | 28.21 | 28.2 | 68.69 | 69.0 |
| 3 | 78.15 | 78.2 | 83.9 | 84.2 |
| 4 | 39.51 | 39.6 | 39.95 | 40.2 |
| 5 | 55.84 | 55.91 | 55.99 | 56.3 |
| 6 | 18.80 | 18.8 | 18.94 | 19.2 |
| 7 | 33.60 | 33.7 | 33.60 | 33.9 |
| 8 | 39.98 | 40.1 | 40.12 | 40.4 |
| 9 | 48.07 | 48.1 | 48.18 | 48.5 |
| 10 | 37.47 | 37.5 | 38.52 | 38.8 |
| 11 | 23.71 | 23.7 | 24.99 | 25.3 |
| 12 | 125.67 | 125.7 | 125.65 | 125.9 |
| 13 | 139.29 | 139.3 | 139.39 | 139.7 |
| 14 | 42.52 | 42.6 | 42.63 | 42.9 |
| 15 | 28.78 | 28.8 | 28.73 | 29.0 |
| 16 | 25.00 | 25.0 | 23.83 | 24.1 |
| 17 | 48.07 | 48.1 | 48.12 | 48.5 |
| 18 | 53.57 | 53.6 | 53.60 | 53.9 |
| 19 | 39.51 | 39.5 | 39.50 | 39.9 |
| 20 | 39.43 | 39.4 | 39.57 | 39.8 |
| 21 | 31.08 | 31.1 | 31.17 | 31.5 |
| 22 | 37.48 | 37.4 | 37.54 | 37.8 |
| 23 | 28.84 | 28.8 | 29.47 | 29.8 |
| 24 | 16.62 | 16.5 | 17.07 | 17.2 |
| 25 | 15.70 | 15.7 | 17.57 | 17.9 |
| 26 | 17.55 | 17.5 | 17.82 | 18.1 |
| 27 | 23.94 | 24.0 | 24.01 | 24.3 |
| 28 | 179.93 | 179.7 | 180.01 | 180.3 |
| 29 | 17.48 | 17.5 | 17.62 | 17.4 |
| 30 | 21.45 | 21.4 | 21.52 | 21.8 |

Table 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 5.

|  | $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |  | 3'-O-Methyl eupatorin( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ |
| 2 | 163.78 | - | 163.85 | - |
| 3 | 104.20 | 6.54 | 104.21 | 6.55 |
| 4 | 182.46 | - | 182.46 | - |
| 5 | 153.04 | - | 153.07 | - |
| 6 | 132.43 | - | 132.55 | - |
| 7 | 158.60 | - | 158.66 | - |
| 8 | 90.47 | 6.51 | 90.54 | 6.51 |
| 9 | 152.86 | - | 152.90 | - |
| 10 | 105.93 | - | 105.98 | - |
| 1 ' | 123.52 | - | 123.58 | - |
| 2, | 108.51 | 7.29 (d, 2Hz) | 108.71 | 7.29 (d, 2.1Hz) |
| 3' | 149.14 | - | 149.25 |  |
| 4' | 152.13 | - | 152.26 |  |
| 5, | 110.98 | 6.94(d, 8Hz) | 111.11 | 6.94(d, 8.5Hz) |
| 6' | 119.92 | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 7.48 & (\mathrm{dd}, & 8, \\ 2 \mathrm{~Hz}) & & \end{array}$ | 119.98 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.48 \quad(\mathrm{dd}, \quad 8.5, \\ & 2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6-OMe | 60.72 | 3.97 | 60.72 | 3.95 |
| 7- OMe | 56.22 | 3.97 | 56.25 | 3.94 |
| 3'- OMe | 55.98 | 3.95 | 56.04 | 3.93 |
| 4'- OMe | 55.98 | 3.91 | 56.04 | 3.90 |
| 5-OH | - | 12.75 | - | 12.30 |

* As reported in the literatures [15]

Table 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for compound 6

|  | $\mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |  | Eupatorin ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{*}$ |  | Cirsilineol( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{\delta})$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(8)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{\delta})$ |
| 2 | 163.80 |  | 163.9 | - | 165.1 | - |
| 3 | 104.50 | 6.60 | 104.8 | 6.59 | 104.1 | 6.55 |
| 4 | 182.68 |  | 182.8 | - | 183.4 |  |
| 5 | 153.23 |  | 153.3 | - | 153.9 | - |
| 6 | 132.61 |  | 132.9 | - | 133.4 | - |
| 7 | 158.75 |  | 158.9 | - | 160.0 | - |
| 8 | 90.56 | 6.56 | 90.7 | 6.56 | 91.9 | 6.58 |
| 9 | 153.03 |  | 153.4 | - | 154.0 | - |
| 10 | 106.16 |  | 106.4 | - | 106.4 | - |
| 1 ' | 124.48 |  | 124.8 | - | 121.3 | - |
| 2' | 110.68 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \\ & 2.3, \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 110.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \\ & \mathrm{d}, 2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 110.5 | $7.33(1 \mathrm{H},$ <br> d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, |
| 3 ' | 146.03 |  | 146.3 | - | 148.7 | - |
| 4, | 149.57 |  | 149.7 | - | 151.4 | - |
| 5, | 112.32 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 6.975 \\ 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{array}$ | 112.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.97 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \\ & 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 123.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.04 \text { (, d, } 8.4 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}, \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 ' | 119.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, \\ & 8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 119.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.45 \text { (dd, } \\ & 8.5, \quad 2.3 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 116.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, 8.4 \\ & 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz},) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6-OMe | 60.86 | 3.94 | 61.0 | 3.94 | 60.5 | 3.93 |
| 7- OMe | 56.30 | 3.99 | 56.4 | 3.98 | 56.7 | 3.98 |
| 3'- OMe |  |  |  |  | 56.5 | 4.01 |
| 4'- OMe | 56.14 | 4.00 | 56.3 | 4.00 |  |  |
| 5-OH |  | 12.77 |  | 12.75 bs |  | 12.81 |
| 3'-OH |  | 5.79 |  | 5.75 bs |  | - |

* as reported in the literatures [16a, 16b]

Table 6: Chemical composition of essential oil of aerial parts of $P$. mollis

| Compound | $\%$ | LRI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha$-Pinene | 0.36 | 936 |
| 1 -Octen-3-ol | 0.16 | 980 |
| $\beta$-Myrcene | 1.06 | 988 |
| $\alpha$-Phellandrene | 0.31 | 1008 |
| 3 -Carene | 0.74 | 1013 |
| $\alpha$-Terpinene | 0.37 | 1019 |
| Limonene | 2.83 | 1032 |
| Fenchone | 19.19 | 1096 |
| Fenchol alpha/exo | 1.35 | 1118 |
| Camphor | 0.49 | 1149 |
| Borneol | 0.54 | 1170 |
| p-Cymenol | 1.22 | 1189 |
| Piperitone oxide | 23.76 | 1265 |
| Bornyl acetate | 0.62 | 1290 |
| Copaene | 2.90 | 1383 |
| $\beta$-Caryophyllene | 10.39 | 1411 |
| Germacrene D | 2.58 | 1488 |
| $\delta$-Cadinene | 2.42 | 1528 |
| $\gamma$-Eudesmol | 0.87 | 1649 |
| Total | 72.16 |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 7: Mosquito larvicidal activities for $P$. mollis essential oil.

| Concentration* $^{*}$ | A. stephensi** | A. aegypti** | C. quinquefasciatus** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 125 | $95.33 \pm 1.33$ | $100 \pm 0$ | $100 \pm 0$ |
| 100 | $83.33 \pm 1.26$ | $100 \pm 0$ | $90.61 \pm 1.53$ |
| 50 | $71.33 \pm 1.65$ | $91.33 \pm 2.15$ | $82.66 \pm 1.18$ |
| 30 | $61.33 \pm 1.65$ | $70.66 \pm 1.81$ | $64.0 \pm 1.31$ |
| 25 | $30.66 \pm 0.66$ | $40.66 \pm 1.53$ | $35.33 \pm 1.33$ |
| 15 | $10.66 \pm 0.66$ | $15.33 \pm 1.33$ | $11.33 \pm 0.90$ |

*ppm; ** \%mortality $\pm$ S. D.
Table 8: Mosquito larvicidal activities for $P$. mollis aerial acetone extract.

| Concentration* $^{*}$ | A. stephensi $^{* *}$ | A. aegypti** $^{*}$ | C. quinquefasciatus $^{* *}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 500 | $100 \pm 0$ | $100 \pm 0$ | $100 \pm 0$ |
| 300 | $82.0 \pm 1.74$ | $89.33 \pm 1.81$ | $84.61 \pm 1.34$ |
| 250 | $69.33 \pm 1.81$ | $74.66 \pm 12.15$ | $71.33 \pm 1.65$ |
| 200 | $48.66 \pm 1.92$ | $56.0 \pm 1.31$ | $51.33 \pm 1.65$ |
| 150 | $10.0 \pm 1.38$ | $21.33 \pm 0.90$ | $11.33 \pm 0.90$ |

*ppm; ** \%mortality $\pm$ S. D.

Table 9: $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{LC}_{95}$ values of $P$. mollis essential oil and acetone extract.

|  | A. stephensi | A. aegypty | C. quinquefasciatus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Essential oil |  |  |  |
| Regression equation | $\mathrm{Y}=2.34 \mathrm{X}+1.52$ | $\mathrm{Y}=4.76 \mathrm{X}-1.69$ | $\mathrm{Y}=3.34 \mathrm{X}+9.50$ |
| $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.68 \pm 0.80 \\ & (18.03-52.20)^{\text {b }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.37 \pm 0.86^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (23.68-25.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.48 \pm 0.84 \\ & (20.25-29.48) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{LC}_{95}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 155.32 \\ & (55.17-437.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 56.19 \\ & (48.27-65.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 91.71 \\ & (37.69-223.17) \end{aligned}$ |
| Acetone extract |  |  |  |
| Regression equation | $\mathrm{Y}=7.18 \mathrm{X}-11.76$ | $\mathrm{Y}=6.69 \mathrm{X}-10.33$ | $\mathrm{Y}=7.35 \mathrm{X}-12.06$ |
| $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 215.01 \pm 1.34 \\ & (205.45-225.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195.19 \pm 1.29 \\ & (185.53-205.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 209.49 \pm 1.33 \\ & (200.30-219.10) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{LC}_{95}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 364.28 \\ & (328.47-403.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 343.73 \\ & (309.62-381.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350.79 \\ & (318.56-386.28) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a} \pm$ SE, ${ }^{b}$ Fiducial Limits

Table 10: Repellent activity of essential oil against $A$. aegypty

| Dose $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Protection time offered (min) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.5 | 10 |
| 1 | 40 |
| 2 | 120 |

### 6.8 NMR data:

Compound 1:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:
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## DEPT:



Compound 2:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:


DEPT:


Compound 4:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:


DEPT:


Compound 5:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:



DEPT:


Compound 6:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR:

${ }^{13}$ C NMR:
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