Comparisons of allozyme variation of narrow endemic and widespread species of Far East *Euphorbia* (Euphorbiaceae)

Ki-Ryong PARK*

Department of Biology, Kyung-Nam University, Masan 631-701, Korea

(Received January 2, 2004; Accepted April 23, 2004)

Abstract. Genetic variation from 19 populations representing four species of *Euphorbia* was compared using isozyme markers. *Euphorbia fauriei* and *E. garanbiensis* are rare endemic species in Korea and Taiwan, respectively while *E. pekinensis* and *E. jolkinii* are widespread in Korea and Taiwan. A low level of genetic variation and high genetic differentiation among populations was found in *E. garanbiensis*, which has a restricted distribution, small population sizes, gravity-dispersed seeds, and is possibly a self-pollinator. Alternatively, *E. garanbiensis* may have recently evolved from a continental progenitor or may be a relictual lineage from the continental flora. The high genetic diversity found in *E. fauriei* is unusual and may be explained by its recent origin from a widespread continental progenitor, *E. pekinensis*. An alternative explanation is that *E. fauriei* survived in refugia during the last glaciation in the Korean peninsula. The idea of progenitor-derivative species pairs between *E. pekinensis* and *E. fauriei* is supported by the high genetic identity values between the two species and the putative derivative *E. fauriei* having no unique allele and lacking some rare alleles present in the putative progenitor *E. pekinensis*.

Keywords: Allozyme variation; Euphorbia fauriei; Euphorbia garanbiensis; Euphorbia jolkinii; Euphorbia pekinensis.

Introduction

Most rare and endemic plant species have low levels of genetic variability due to the effects of small population sizes (Hamrick and Godt, 1989; Ellstrand and Elam, 1993; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000; Smith and Pham, 1996; Sherman-Broyles et al., 1992). When compared with wideranging taxa, rare species are heavily impacted by genetic drift, the founder effect, and directional selection with high levels of inbreeding decreasing genetic diversity by eliminating polymorphic loci and reducing alleles per polymorphic locus (Karron, 1987; Barrett and Kohn, 1991; Sherman-Broyles et al., 1992; Dodd and Helenurm, 2002; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000). However, recent comparative studies of genetic variation between rare and widespread species have demonstrated that several rare species were as polymorphic as their widespread congeners (Dodd and Helenurm, 2002; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000). Thus, it is difficult to state that species with small populations and limited geographic range always have low genetic diversity. A common approach to determining the cause of differences in genetic variation between rare and widespread species has been to compare phylogenetically related species pairs (Edwards and Wyatt, 1994; Dodd and Helenurm, 2002; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000).

Euphorbia jolkinii, E. pekinensis, and *E. fauriei* are herbaceous perennial species of Far Eastern *Euphorbia* subg. *Esula*. Phylogenetic studies of these species using morphological and chemical data found them to be closely related and to form a distinct clade (Park et al., 1999a). The Korean endemic E. fauriei is a rare plant with a narrow distribution on Mt. Halla of Jeju Island and seemingly restricted to the open, rocky areas of the mountaintop (ca. 1,500 m). This species is diploid (2n = 28), insect pollinated, and perennial, growing to 10-20 cm in height. Euphorbia *pekinensis* is morphologically most similar to *E. fauriei*. It is a more widespread and morphologically variable species in Korea, Japan, and northeast China. Despite a high degree of morphological and cytological similarity between the two species, E. fauriei is well distinguished from E. pekinensis by several quantitative characters (Park et al., 2002). Recent cladistic analyses of morphological data indicate that these two species form a sister-group relationship (Park et al., 1999a). Euphorbia jolkinii, a sister group of E. pekinensis + E. fauriei, is a salt-tolerant species inhabiting rocky areas along the seacoasts of Korea, Japan, and Taiwan (Lin and Hsieh, 1991).

Euphorbia garanbiensis, a member of *Euphorbia* subg. *Chamaesyce*, is a rare endemic species of the Oluanpi Peninsula, the southernmost tip of Taiwan (Lin et al., 1991). It inhabits sandy areas and sea-cliffs along the coast with known populations restricted to a few localities in Pingtung County Taiwan (Lin et al., 1991). This species is a perennial, distinguished from the other three species by its prostrate habit and bearing conspicuous appendages on the rim of the cyathium. There have been few studies of the reproductive biology in *Euphorbia*, although selfpollination has been reported in several weedy species of the subg. *Chamaesyce* (Krombein, 1961; Ehrenfeld, 1976).

In the genus *Euphorbia*, the structure of the cyathium consists of four or five nectar-producing glands that have been considered an adaptation to insect pollination (Cronquist, 1968). A diverse array of insects, such as ants,

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: park@kyungnam.ac.kr

bees, beetles and flies, were observed on the cyathium of *E. pekinensis* and *E. jolkinii* (Personal observation). Seeds of the three species are ovate, carunculate, and dispersed explosively.

This study investigates and compares the amount and distribution of genetic diversity in two island endemic *Euphorbia* species with two widespread relatives to evaluate the association between genetic diversity and geographic range.

Material and Methods

About 25 individuals per population from 19 populations representing four *Euphorbia* species were examined for isozyme variation (Table 1; Figure 1). Voucher specimens were deposited at the Herbarium of Kyung-Nam University (KNUH). Soluble enzymes were isolated from the fresh leaf tissue of field-collected plants and ground in an extracting buffer containing 0.1 M tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA (tetrasodium salt), 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 14 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 5-10 mg/ml solid polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (Gottlieb, 1981). Leaf extracts were centrifuged in 1.5 ml tubes, and the was supernatant absorbed onto wicks of Whatman 17 MM chromatography paper.

Eight enzymes were resolved on 12.5% starch gels utilizing two buffer systems. System I had an electrode buffer of 0.065 M L-histidine and 0.007 M citric acid, adjusted to pH 6.5 with NaOH. This gel buffer was a 1:3 dilution of the electrode buffer. System II consisted of an electrode buffer with 0.18 M tris, 0.1 M boric acid, and 0.004 M EDTA, pH 8.6. System I was used to resolve aldolase (ALD), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), 6phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (6PGD), malic enzyme (ME) and phosphoglucomutase (PGM). System II was used to resolve the enzyme systems alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI), and triosephosphate isomerase (TPI). Enzyme-activity staining and agarose overlays generally followed the protocols of Soltis et al. (1983). Loci and alleles were numbered sequentially and lettered alphabetically, beginning with the most anodal form. The BIOSYS-1 program (Swofford and Selander, 1981) was used to calculate the mean number of alleles per locus (A), percentage of polymorphic loci (P), mean observed heterozygosity (H_{1}) , and mean expected heterozygosity (H_e) within the populations studied. For the analysis of population differentiation, Wright's (1965) *F* statistics were calculated. The *F* statistics include F_{IS} , an index of inbreeding, F_{IT} , the overall inbreeding coefficient, and F_{ST} , a measure of the genetic differentiation among subpopulations (Wright, 1965). Gene flow was estimated using Wright's (1951) formula $Nm = (1-F_{ST})/4F_{ST}$. A UPGMA phenogram was produced by input of Nei's (1972) genetic identity values into the BIOSYS-1 program.



Figure 1. Collection localities for 19 numbered populations (Table 1) representing four species of *Euphorbia* from Taiwan and Korea.

Table 1. Collection data for 19 populations representing four species of *Euphorbia* from Taiwan and Korea examined for electrophoretic studies. The number of individuals examined is in parentheses.

- *Euphorbia pekinensis* (PEK). PEK 01 = Mokpo, Korea (26); PEK 02 = Isl. Koje, Korea (18); PEK 03 = Mt. Moohak, Korea (24); PEK 04 = Isl. Daechung, Korea (3); PEK 05 = Yongjibong, Daegu, Korea (15); PEK 06 = Dodamsambong, Korea (15).
- *E. fauriei* (FAU). FAU 07 = Mt. Halla, Cheju-do, Korea (9); FAU 08 =Mt. Halla, 1,600 m, Cheju-do, Korea (23); FAU 09 = Mt. Halla, 1,500 m, Cheju-do, Korea (24).
- E. jolkinii (JOL). JOL 10 = Wan-li, Taipei Co., Taiwan (27); JOL 11 = Homei, Taipei Co., Taiwan (28); JOL 12 = Isl. Beian, Cheju-do, Korea (35); JOL 13 = Isl. Bogil, Korea (10); JOL 14 = Suwul-bong, Cheju-do, Korea (35); JOL 15 = Jungmoon, Cheju-do, Korea (13); JOL 16 = Seiwha, Cheju-do, Korea (18); JOL 17 = Supgikogi, Cheju-do, Korea (35).
- *E. garanbiensis* (GAR). GAR 18 = Oluanpi, Pingtung Co., Taiwan (26); GAR 19 = Northern coast of the Oluanpi, Pingtung Co., Taiwan (18).

Results

Eleven loci coding for eight enzymes were scored from 19 populations of four Euphorbia species. Two isozyme loci each of MDH, 6PGD and TPI could be scored. Only one isozyme locus of the remaining enzymes could be scored. Allele frequencies of 11 polymorphic loci are summarized in Table 2. Nine of 11 loci were polymorphic in E. pekinensis, E. fauriei and E. jolkinii, while eight loci (ADH-1, ALD-1, MDH-1, ME-1, 6-PGD-1, 6-PGD-2, TPI-1 and TPI-2) were monomorphic in Taiwan endemic *E. garanbiensis* (Table 2). Euphorbia pekinensis and E. fauriei consistently shared the highest-frequency alleles while E. jolkinii and these two species did not share the same high frequency allele in PGI-2 and PGM-1. Euphorbia garanbiensis (subg. *Chamesyce*), the most remotely related species among the four Euphorbia, only shared high-frequency alleles with the above three species of subg. Esula for ALD-1, 6-PGD-1 and PGM-1.

The mean number of alleles per locus in a population (*A*) ranged from 1.1 (GAR19) to 2.3 (JOL12); the proportion of polymorphic loci in a population (*P*) ranged from 81.8 (PEK01) to 9.1 (GAR19) (Table 3). The mean observed proportion of heterozygous loci in a population (H_o) ranged from 0.502 (JOL11) to 0.013 (GAR19) while the mean expected proportion of heterozygous loci (H_e) ranged from 0.315 (JOL11) to 0.012 (GAR19). The populations of *Euphorbia pekinensis* showed the highest mean values of *A* (1.8) and *P* (68.2) among species, in contrast to *E. garanbiensis*, which had the lowest mean values of *A* (1.2) and *P* (13.7) among species (Table 3). *Euphorbia jolkinii* had the highest mean values of H_o (0.368) and H_e (0.239), and *E. garanbiensis* the lowest mean values of H_o (0.019) and H_e (0.026).

Mean values of Nei's (1972) identity ranged within species from 0.832 for *E. pekinensis* to 0.921 for *E. fauriei* (Table 4). The pair-wise genetic identity values ranged between species from 0.264 to 0.881. Genetic identities ranged between species within subg. *Esula* from 0.881 to 0.664 while those of species pairs ranged between subg. *Esula* and subg. *Chamesyce* from 0.387 to 0.264. A UPGMA phenogram based on genetic identity values was constructed to examine the genetic relationship among populations of four *Euphorbia* species (Figure 2). Conspecific populations clustered together, with the exception of *E. fauriei* populations, which were nested in the *E. pekinensis* populations.

The positive values of F_{IS} and F_{IT} in *E. fauriei* and *E. garanbiensis* indicate a deficiency of heterozygotes while the significant negative values of them in *E. jolkinii* indicate an excess of heterozygotes when compared to the Hardy-Weinberg expectation (Table 5). Values of F_{ST} ranged from 0.237 in *E. fauriei* to 0.652 in *E. garanbiensis*. Mean F_{ST} was substantially higher for *E. garanbiensis* mong populations, indicating a large differentiation among populations. Gene flow among populations of each species using Wright's (1951) method ranged from Nm = 0.133 in *E. garanbiensis*, 0.463 in *E. pekinensis*, and 0.770 in *E. jolkinii* to 0.804 in *E. fauriei*.



Figure 2. UPGMA phenogram derived from Nei's (1978) genetic identity among 19 populations of four *Euphorbia* species. The cophenetic correlation is 0.943. Species and population abbreviations refer to Table 1.

Discussion

Low Levels of Genetic Variation in Taiwan Endemic Euphorbia garanbiensis

In general, rare species exhibit significantly lower levels of genetic variation than their widespread congeners (Hamrick and Godt, 1989; Ellstrand and Elam, 1993; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000; Sherman-Broyles et al., 1992). This reduced amount of genetic variation in rare species may be due to chance events such as genetic drift and founder effects, strong directional selection, and high levels of inbreeding in small populations (Karron, 1991). Euphorbia garanbiensis, a rare endemic species in Taiwan, exhibits less genetic variation and higher levels of genetic differentiation among populations than E. pekinensis, E. fauriei or E. jolkinii. This agrees with previous studies of many rare species of flowering plants (Hamrick and Godt, 1989; Karron, 1991). The extremely low levels of genetic diversity shown in the E. garanbiensis populations are comparable to those reported for North American endemic Euphorbia species, E. curtisii, and E. gracilior (Park and Elisens, 1997). Some of the attributes of *E. garanbiensis*such as restricted distribution, small population sizes, and gravity-dispersed seeds-may be responsible for the low levels of genetic diversity within, and high levels of differentiation among, populations. Euphorbia garanbiensis has much lower values of genetic variation in comparison to other species with similar life history characters, such as herbaceous perennials (P = 28; A = 1.4; $H_a = 0.1$), dicots (P = 31.2; A = 1.46; $H_e = 0.113$), endemic species ($H_e =$

g	
<u> </u>	
5	
Ň	
\Box	
<u> </u>	
Ъ	
員	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
5	
.в.	
Ë	
·	
H	
0	
÷	
.a	
ã	
5	
0	
4	
- 8-	
-3	
Ч	
·=	
ŝ	
<u>e</u> .	
.2	
õ	
P.	
S	
H	
Ę	
9	
1	
Ę	
S	
H	
. Ξ	
Ħ	
12	
7	
q	
Q	
9	
6	
-	
50	
õ	
ů	
guon	
guoun	
among	
ci among	
oci among	
loci among	
c loci among	
nic loci among	
phic loci among	
rphic loci among	
orphic loci among	
norphic loci among	
ymorphic loci among	
Iymorphic loci among	
olymorphic loci among	
polymorphic loci among	
1 polymorphic loci among	
11 polymorphic loci among	
r 11 polymorphic loci among	
or 11 polymorphic loci amon	
for 11 polymorphic loci among	
a for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ata for 11 polymorphic loci among	
data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
y data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ncy data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
sncy data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
uency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
quency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
equency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
le-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
llele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
q allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ry allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
mary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
nmary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ummary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
hummary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
2. Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
2. Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
le 2. Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
ble 2. Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
able 2. Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	
Table 2. Summary allele-frequency data for 11 polymorphic loci among	

E. garanbiensis	18 19	1.000 1.000		1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000   1.000 1.000	1.000       1.000                             0.948       1.000             0.052	1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         0.948       1.000         0.948       1.000         0.052          0.052          1.000       1.000         0.052          0.052          0.052	1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         0.948       1.000         0.948       1.000         0.052          0.052          1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         0.052          1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000                                                                                          <	1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         0.948       1.000         0.052          0.052          1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000	1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         0.052          0.052          1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       1.000         1.000       0.031         1.000       0.031         1.000       0.0639	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	17	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.125	 0.762 0.237	1.000	 0.600 0.400	0.500	0.500	0.500
	16	0.500	1.000	1.000	 0.675 0.125 0.200	0.500	1.000	1.000	0.500	0.500	0.500 1.000 
	15	1.000	0.045 0.955 	1.000	0.900	0.667 0.333	1.000	0.700	0.333	0.333	0.333 1.000 
kini	14	0.014 0.986 	0.028	1.000	0.973 0.973 0.027	0.500	$0.203 \\ 0.797$	1.000	0.500	00000	1.000
E. jol	13	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.500 0.500	1.000	0.500  0.500	0.500		1.000
	12	0.029 0.735 0.235	0.103 0.897 	1.000	0.950 0.013 0.038	0.553 0.447	$0.934 \\ 0.066$	0.463  0.538	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026\\ 0.487\\ 0.026\\ 0.026\\ 0.461\end{array}$		1.000
	11	0.704 0.296	1.000	0.037	0.685	0.500	$\begin{array}{c} 0.500 \\ 0.500 \end{array}$	0.500 0.500	0.500		1.000
	10	0.048 0.952 	0.045 0.955 	1.000	0.645 0.645 0.355	 0.881 0.119	$0.711 \\ 0.289$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.158 \\ 0.132 \\ 0.711 \end{array}$	0.881 0.881 0.119		1.000
	6	1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ 0.900 \\ 0.083 \end{array}$	1.000	0.241 0.690 0.069 	 0.967 0.033	1.000	 0.024 0.976	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 0.074 \\ 0.630 \\ 0.296 \end{array}$		0.071 0.929 
E. fauriei	× ×	0.083 0.917 	 0.904 0.096	0.056 0.944 	0.171 0.629 0.200 	0.981 0.019	1.000	0.038 0.962	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 0.080 \\ 0.780 \\ 0.140 \end{array}$		1.000
	L	1.000	0.500 0.500	1.000	1.000	 0.857 0.143	$0.300 \\ 0.700$	0.300	0.071 0.500 0.429 		1.000
	9	1.000	0.250 0.750 	0.111 0.889 	0.111 0.611 0.278 	1.000	0.056 0.944	 0.389 0.611	0.083 0.917 		 0.889 0.1111
	5	1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.088\\ 0.853\\ 0.059\end{array}$	0.500	0.467 0.533  	$\begin{array}{c} 0.067\\ 0.867\\ 0.067\end{array}$	1.000	${0.500}$	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 0.438 \\ 0.375 \\ 0.188 \end{array}$		1.000
inensis	4	0.667 0.333 0.333	0.333 0.667 	0.333 0.667 	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.500		1.000
E. pek	, ω	1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.060 \\ 0.900 \\ 0.040 \end{array}$	0.117 0.883 	0.625 0.375 	0.050	1.000	0.448 0.552	0.019 0.981 		1.000
	5	0.909 0.091	$\begin{array}{c} 0.048 \\ 0.881 \\ 0.071 \end{array}$	1.000	0.100	1.000	0.050 0.956	0.525 0.475	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 0.200 \\ 0.500 \\ 0.300 \end{array}$		0.100
	-	0.167 0.833 	1.000	0.054 0.946 	0.875 0.875 0.125	0.200	0.636 0.364	0.473 0.527	1.000	$0.054 \\ 0.946$	

**Table 3.** Average values for mean sample size per locus (N), mean number of alleles per locus (A), proportion of polymorphic loci (P), mean observed proportion of heterozygous loci ( $H_o$ ), and mean expected proportion of heterozygous loci ( $H_o$ ) in 19 populations of four *Euphorbia* species from Taiwan and Korea.

Species/population	Ν	Α	Р	$H_{_o}$	$H_{_{e}}$
E. pekinensis					
PEK01	25.4	1.8	81.8	0.225	0.240
PEK02	18.0	2.0	81.8	0.255	0.236
PEK03	23.9	1.8	54.5	0.168	0.179
PEK04	3.0	1.5	45.5	0.303	0.230
PEK05	14.5	1.9	63.6	0.167	0.271
PEK06	14.7	2.0	81.8	0.267	0.233
Mean	16.6	1.8	68.2	0.231	0.232
E. fauriei					
FAU07	8.7	1.6	45.5	0.215	0.217
FAU08	23.0	1.8	45.5	0.093	0.134
FAU09	23.5	1.9	36.4	0.107	0.136
Mean	18.4	1.8	42.5	0.138	0.162
E. jolkinii					
JOL10	26.9	1.8	54.5	0.312	0.224
JOL11	27.4	1.8	63.6	0.502	0.315
JOL12	34.5	2.3	81.8	0.437	0.279
JOL13	9.5	1.4	36.4	0.364	0.192
JOL14	34.6	1.6	36.4	0.297	0.181
JOL15	12.9	1.6	45.5	0.239	0.217
JOL16	17.5	1.6	54.5	0.423	0.279
JOL17	35.5	1.6	54.5	0.373	0.229
Mean	24.9	1.7	53.4	0.368	0.239
E. garanbiensis					
GAR18	25.7	1.2	18.2	0.025	0.040
GAR19	17.4	1.1	9.1	0.013	0.012
Mean	21.5	1.2	13.7	0.019	0.026

0.063), early successional species ( $H_e = 0.14$ ), and outcrossed species (P = 36; A = 1.5;  $H_e = 0.12$ ) (Hamrick and Godt, 1989; Hamrick et al., 1991). Besides, *E. garanbiensis* has also lower mean values for all measures of genetic variation when compared to other *Euphorbia* species (Table 6).

One alternative hypothesis for the low genetic diversity found in Taiwan endemic *E. garanbiensis* is that it may have recently evolved from a continental progenitor, or, alternatively, it may be a relictual lineage from the continental flora. Genetic drift and bottleneck after colonization events may have reduced the genetic variation of the species (Sherman-Broyles et al., 1992; Ayres and Ryan, 1999). Comparisons of insular endemic and mainland plant species show that most of the insular endemic species are less

**Table 4.** Mean values for Nei's (1978) unbiased genetic identity coefficient for pair-wise comparisons of populations among four species in *Euphorbia* from Taiwan and Korea.

Species	PEK	FAU	JOL	GAR
PEK	0.832			
FAU	0.881	0.921		
JOL	0.664	0.711	0.894	
GAR	0.308	0.264	0.387	0.902

variable than their continental congeners (Dejoode and Wendel, 1992; Frankham, 1997).

The heterozygote deficiencies in populations of *E. garanbiens* may be explained by the somewhat inefficient sexual reproduction and seed dispersal mechanism of this species (Pleasants and Wendel, 1989). Although most of the *Euphorbia* species are insect pollinated, a few cases of self-pollination have been reported in *Euphorbia* subg. *Chamaesyce* as a result of their mostly small, prostrate habits and their inconspicuous inflorescences (Ehrenfeld, 1976). Inefficient seed dispersal mechanisms along with the isolation of populations in *E. garanbiensis* would prevent efficient gene flow between populations and, therefore, promote genetic differentiation among populations. Comparison of isozyme variation among *Euphorbia* species

**Table 5.** Summary of *F*-statistics for mean values of 11 loci

 from four species of *Euphorbia*.

Species	F _{IS}	F _{IT}	$F_{\rm st}$
E. pekinensis	-0.057	0.314	0.351
E. fauriei	0.109	0.320	0.237
E. jolkinii	-0.575	-0.189	0.245
E. garanbiensis	0.267	0.745	0.652

Species	Distribution	Α	Р	$H_{e}$	$F_{\rm ST}/G_{\rm ST}$	Reference
E. corollata	Widespread	1.5	36.9	0.147	0.307	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. pubentissima	Widespread	1.5	33.3	0.144	0.399	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. curtisii	Rare	1.2	15.0	0.070	0.332	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. discoidalis	Rare	1.6	35.4	0.145	0.425	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. gracilior	Rare	1.2	14.6	0.052	0.601	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. mercurialina	Rare	1.3	27.1	0.117	0.160	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. polyphylla	Rare	1.5	33.4	0.138	0.538	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. strictior	Rare	1.2	19.5	0.098	0.337	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. wrightii	Widespread	1.2	10.4	0.043	0.785	Park & Elisens, 1997
E. ebracteolata	Widespread	2.3	86.7	0.358	0.163	Park et al., 1999
E. octoradiata	Widespread	1.8	54.5	0.227		Jung, 2000
E. esula	Widespread	1.6	41.8	0.192		Jung, 2000
E. pekinensis	Widespread	1.8	68.2	0.232	0.351	
E. fauriei	Rare	1.8	42.5	0.162	0.237	
E. jolkinii	Widespread	1.7	53.4	0.239	0.245	
E. garanbiensis	Rare	1.2	13.7	0.026	0.652	

Table 6. Comparison of Genetic diversity data for rare and widespread *Euphorbia* species of this study (indicated in bold) and compiled from the literature.

(Table 6) clearly demonstrates that high levels of genetic differentiation is a general pattern within the genus. Although outcrossing is predominant within the genus, high genetic differentiation values may be related to the typical seed-dispersal mechanism (eg. gravity-dispersed, ant-dispersed) for *Euphorbia* species.

### High Genetic Variation in Korean Endemic Euphorbia fauriei

The results of this analysis indicate that genetic variation of the Korean endemic species, E. fauriei, is nearly as high as the genetic variability in previously reported widespread Euphorbia species such as E. corollata and E. pubentissima in North America, and E. octoradiata and E. esula in Far East Asia (Table 6). Although the genetic variation of E. fauriei is less variable than that of the widespread E. pekinensis, the values greatly exceed those of the Taiwan endemic, E. garanbiensis, and previously reported insular endemic species (DeJoode and Wendel, 1992). Although, theoretically, restricted species maintain less genetic diversity than more widespread species (Hamrick and Godt, 1989), some rare species maintain levels of diversity equal to or exceeding that of widespread congeners (Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000). Thus, geographic distribution is not always a good indicator of the genetic diversity of the species (Lewis and Crawford, 1995; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000). High genetic diversity in rare species is usually associated with the unique history of the species such as its recent origin from a widespread ancestor, multiple origin, hybridization, refugia origin, and ecological traits such as the ability to survive in diverse habitats (Smith and Pham, 1996; Godt and Hamrick, 1998; Gitzendanner and Soltis, 2000).

The relatively high genetic diversity and high intraspecific values of genetic identity found in the island endemic *E. fauriei* suggests that it may have recently originated from a widespread continental progenitor, *E. pekinensis*. Cladistic analyses using morphological and chemical data proposed a sister-group relationship between the two species (Park et al., 1999a). Isozyme data suggest that genetic identity between E. fauriei and E. pekinensis (I = 0.881) is higher than within *E. pekinensis* (I = 0.832), supporting the recent origin of E. fauriei. One alternative explanation for the high genetic variation is occupation of refugia in the south coastal areas of the Korean peninsula during the last glaciations. Species that resided in refugia tended to maintain high levels of genetic diversity due to population stability during the glacial cycles (Qiu and Parks, 1994; Lewis and Crawford, 1995). Present populations of E. fauriei appear to be of multiple origin from widely distributed refugia during the last glaciations on the Korean peninsula. The importance of refugia in the southern part of the Korean peninsula has been proposed for such species as Eurya japonica (Chung and Kang, 1994), Hemerocallis hakuunensis (Kang and Chung, 1997), and Euphorbia ebracteolata (Park et al., 1999b).

## Progenitor-Derivative Species Pairs between Euphorbia pekinensis and E. fauriei

Among the species pairs within the subgenus *Esula*, *E. pekinensis* and *E. fauriei* showed the highest identity values in comparison to those of any other pairs. Mean genetic identity values between *E. pekinensis* and *E. fauriei* (I = 0.881) were higher than those within *E. pekinensis* (I = 0.832), strongly supporting the sister-group relationship between *E. pekinensis* and *E. fauriei* proposed by a phylogenetic analysis using morphological and chemical data (Park et al., 1999a). The genetic variation pattern of the two species is very similar to those for progenitorderivative species pairs (Gottlieb, 1973; Crawford and Smith, 1982). *Euphorbia pekinensis*, widespread in its distribution, exhibits more genetic variation than *E. fauriei*, which is restricted in its distribution. *Euphorbia fauriei* has no unique allele and lacks some rare alleles present in *E. pekinensis* (Table 2), suggesting that the island endemic *E. fauriei* may be a derived species, probably from the wide-spread continental progenitor species, *E. pekinensis*.

### Euphorbia jolkinii: a Disjunct Population System in Korea and Taiwan

The typical seed dispersal mechanism, by gravity or ant, for *Euphorbia* species probably contributes to low levels of gene flow among populations, resulting in high differentiation among populations (Table 6). Although the populations of E. jolkinii are separately distributed in Korea and Taiwan, E. jolkinii has lower values of  $F_{\rm st}$  relative to the other three Euphorbia species (Table 5). In addition to this, Euphorbia jolkinii has much lower values of  $F_{st}$ in comparison to previously reported Euphorbia species (Table 6). Relative to the previous reports of Euphorbia species, the genetic differentiation among E. jolkinii populations was low and indicated little genetic divergence among populations. Euphorbia jolkinii, a salt-tolerant species, inhabits rocky areas along the seacoasts in Korea and Taiwan. Long distance seed dispersal in E. jolkinii along the sea current could result in increasing gene flow among populations. Even though few cases have been reported of plant species dispersing by water, Asclepias perennis, an inhabitant of floodplains, has significantly high Nm values and relatively low  $G_{st}$  values (Edwards and Wyatt, 1994). Edwards and Wyatt (1994) suggested that the multidirectional dispersal along interconnected floodplains may be similar to wind dispersal, and therefore could increase gene flow.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Korean Research Foundation Grant KRF-99-003-D00160.

### **Literature Cited**

- Ayres, D.R. and F.J. Ryan. 1999. Genetic diversity and structure of the narrow endemic *Wyethia reticulata* and its congener *W. bolanderi* (Asteraceae) using RAPD and allozyme techniques. Amer. J. Bot. 86: 344-353.
- Barrett, S.C.H. and J.R. Kohn. 1991. Genetic and evolutionary consequences of small population size in plants: implications for conservation. *In* D.A. Falk and K.E. Holsinger (eds.), Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants, Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 3-30.
- Chung, M.G. and S.S. Kang. 1994. Genetic variation and population structure in populations of *Eurya japonica* (Theaceae) in Korea. Amer. J. Bot. 81: 1077-1082.
- Crawford, D.J. and E. Smith. 1982. Allozyme variation in *Coreopsis nuccensoides* and *C. nuccensis* (Compositae), a progenitor-derivative species pair. Evolution **36**: 379-386.
- Cronquist, A. 1968. The evolution and classification of flowering plants. Houghton-Mifflin Co., Boston.
- Dodd, S.C. and K. Helenurm. 2002. Genetic diversity in *Delphinium variegatum* (Ranunculaceae): a comparison of two insular endemic subspecies and their widespread mainland relative. Amer. J. Bot. **89:** 613-622.
- DeJoode, D.R. and F.J. Wendel. 1992. Genetic diversity and origin of the Hawaiian islands cotton, *Gossypium tomentosum*.

Amer. J. Bot. 79: 1311-1319.

- Edwards, A.L. and R. Wyatt. 1994. Population genetics of the rare *Asclepias texana* and its widespread sister species, *A. perennis*. Syst. Bot. **19:** 291-307.
- Ehrenfeld, J. 1976. Reproductive biology of three species of *Euphorbia* subgenus *Chamaesyce* (Euphorbiaceae). Amer. J. Bot. **63:** 406-413.
- Ellstrand, N.C. and D.R. Elam. 1993. Population genetic consequences of small population size: Implications for plant conservation. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. **23**: 237-261.
- Frankham, R. 1997. Do island populations have less genetic variation than mainland populations? Heredity **78**: 311-327.
- Gitzendanner, M.A. and P.S. Soltis. 2000. Patterns of genetic variation in rare and widespread plant congeners. Amer. J. Bot. 87: 783-792.
- Godt, M.J.W. and J.L. Hamrick. 1998. Allozyme diversity in the endangered pitcher plant *Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *alabamensis* (Sarraceniaceae) and its close relative *S. rubra* ssp. *rubra*. Amer. J. Bot. **85:** 802-810.
- Gottlieb, L.D. 1981. Electrophoretic evidence and plant populations. Prog. Phytochem. **7:** 1-46.
- Hamrick, J.L. and M.J.W. Godt. 1989. Allozyme diversity in plant species. *In* A.H.D. Brown, M.T. Clegg, A.L. Kahler and B.S. Weir (eds.), Plant population genetics, breeding, and genetic resources, Sinauer, Sunderland, Mass., pp. 43-63.
- Hamrick, J.L. and M.J.W. Godt, D.A. Murawski, and M.D. Loveless. 1991. Correlation between species traits and allozyme diversity: implications for conservation biology. *In* D.A. Falk and K.E. Holsinger (eds.), Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants, Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 75-86.
- Kang, S.S. and M.G. Chung. 1997. Genetic variation and population structure in Korean endemic species: IV. *Hemero-callis hakuunensis* (Liliaceae). J. Plant Res. **110**: 209-217.
- Karron, J.D. 1987. A comparison of levels of genetic polymorphism and self-compatibility in geographically restricted and widespread plant congeners. Evol. Ecol. **1:** 47-58.
- Karron, J.D. 1991. Patterns of genetic variation and breeding systems in rare plant species. *In* D.A. Falk and K.E. Holsinger (eds.), Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants, Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 87-98.
- Krombein, K.V. 1961. Some insect visitors of the mat euphorbia in southeastern Arizona (Hymenoptera, Diptera). Entomol. News. 72: 80-83.
- Lewis, P.O. and D.J. Crawford. 1995. Pleistocene refugium endemics exhibit greater allozymic diversity than widespread congeners in the genus *Polygonella* (Polygonaceae). Amer. J. Bot. **82:** 141-149.
- Lin, S. and C.F. Hsieh. 1991. A taxonomic study of the genus *Euphorbia* L. (Euphorbiaceae) in Taiwan. Taiwania **36:** 57-79.
- Lin, S., S. Chaw, and C. Hsieh. 1991. A taxonomic study of the genus *Chamaesyce* S. F. Gray (Euphorbiaceae) in Taiwan. Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin. **32:** 215-251.
- Nei, I. 1972. Genetic distance between populations. Amer. Nat. **106:** 283-292.
- Park, K. and W.J. Elisens. 1997. Isozyme and morphological evidence within *Euphorbia* section *Tithymalopsis* (Euphorbiaceae). Int. J. Plant Sci. 158: 465-475.

- Park, K., B. Ahn and K. Lee. 1999a. Reexamination of sectional classification in Far Eastern *Euphorbia* subgenus *Esula* (Euphorbiaceae) using morphological and phenolic data. J. Plant Biol. **42**: 199-204.
- Park, K., H. Jung, B. Ahn, K. Lee and J. Kim. 1999b. Genetic and morphological divergence in Korean *Euphorbia ebracteolata* (Euphorbiaceae). Kor. J. Plant Tax. 29: 249-262 (Korean).
- Park, K., H. Jung, J. Kim, G. Chung, and B. Oh. 2002. Comparisons of morphological and genetic diversity between endemic *Euphorbia fauriei* and widespread *E. pekinensis* (Euphorbiaceae). Kor. J. Plant Tax. **32**: 177-191 (Korean).
- Pleasants, J.M. and J.F. Wendel. 1989. Genetic diversity in a clonal narrow endemic, *Erythronium propullans*, and in its widespread progenitor, *Erythronium albidum*. Amer. J. Bot. **76:** 1136-1151.
- Qiu, Y. and C.R. Parks. 1994. Disparity of the allozyme variation levels in three *Magnolia* (Magnoliaceae) species from the southeastern United States. Amer. J. Bot. 81: 1300-1308.

- Sherman-Broyles, S.L., J.P. Gibson, J.L. Hamrick, M.A. Bucher, and M.J. Gibson. 1992. Comparison of allozyme diversity among rare and widespread *Rhus* species. Syst. Bot. **17:** 551-559.
- Smith, J.F. and T.V. Pham. 1996. Genetic diversity of the narrow endemic *Allium aaseae* (Alliaceae). Amer. J. Bot. 83: 717-726.
- Swofford, D.L. and R.B. Selander. 1981. BIOSYS-1:a FOR-TRAN program for the comprehensive analysis of electrophoretic data in population genetics and systematics. J. Hered. 72: 281-283.
- Wright, S. 1951. The genetic structure of populations. Ann. Eugenics 15: 323-354.
- Wright, S. 1965. The interpretation of population structure by *F*-statistics with special regard to systems of mating. Evolution **19:** 395-420.
- Wright, S. 1978. Evolution and the genetics of population. Vol 4. Variability within and among natural populations. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

### 遠東大戟科植物窄小地區分佈及廣為分佈之物種間同功異構酶 之比較

### Ki-Ryong PARK

#### Department of Biology, Kyung-Nam University, Masan 631-701, Korea

採自大戰科四個物種共 19個族群之基因變異經由若干異構酶標誌加以分析。Euphorbia fauriei 及 E. garanbiensis 分別為韓國及台灣之稀有在地種,而 E. pekinensis 及 E. jolkinii 則在韓國及台灣廣為分佈。 E. garanbiensis 具有限地區分佈,族群小,藉重力傳播之種子,及可能為自花授粉諸特點;我們發現此物 種具低階的遺傳變異但有高階的遺傳分化。或者, E. garanbiensis 最近剛從某一大陸祖先物演化過 來,或可能是古大陸物種之遺留後代。E. fauriei 之高遺傳變異乃不尋常且可解釋為它的最近源生自某 一分佈廣闊之大陸種, E. pekinensis。另一交替的說法是: E. fauriei 乃上次冰河期在韓國半島避難物種 之殘存後代。支持 E. pekinensis 及 E. fauriei 兩者間有祖先—後代關係的數據來自於:兩者間存在之高 遺傳等同性,以及假想後代 E. fauriei 缺少獨特之等位基因,也缺少某些存在於假想祖先 E. pekinensis 之稀有等位基因。

**關鍵詞:** Euphorbia fauriei; Euphorbia garanbiensis; Euphorbia jolkinii; Euphorbia pekinensis; 異構酶之 變異。