

Government of Western Australia Department of Mines and Petroleum

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1.	Ann	ligatio	an de	taile
1.	App	licalie	on ae	etails

22 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	ion details				
Permit application No.:	3863/2				
Permit type:	Purpose Pe	ermit			
I.2. Proponent deta Proponent's name:		Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd			
1.3. Property details	S				
Property:	the second se	Iron Ore (Hamersley Range) Agreement Act 1963, Mineral Lease 4SA (AML 70/4)			
.ocal Government Area:		Shire of Ashburton			
Colloquial name:	Brockman	2 Project			
I.4. Application					
Clearing Area (ha) 18.3		lethod of Clearing lechanical Removal	For the purpose of: Development of a landfill site/landfarm		
1.5. Decision on ap	plication				
Decision on Permit Applic	tion: Grant				
Decision Date:	13 Septem	ber 2012			
2. Site Information		and the second			
	nment and infor				
2.1.1. Description of th	e native vegetation	on under application	aned for the whole of Western Australia and are useful to look at		
/egetation Description	Beard vegetation associations have been mapped for the whole of Western Australia and are useful to look at vegetation in a regional context. The following Beard vegetation associations have been mapped within the application area (GIS Database):				
	82: Hummock grasslands, low tree steppe; snappy gum over Triodia wiseana; and				
	175: Short bunch grassland: savannah/grass plan (Pilbara).				
	175. Onone barron gre		piùn (ribuid).		
	A flora and vegetation	on survey of the wider Bro	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application rsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A		
	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony	on survey of the wider Bro en by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have beer	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application nsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010		
	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony Open tall shrubs dor B4: Drainage Lines	on survey of the wider Bro ten by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have been Plains minated by <i>Acacia exilis</i> o	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application nsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010		
Clearing Description	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony I Open tall shrubs dor B4: Drainage Lines Open <i>Eucalyptus</i> ov	on survey of the wider Bro ten by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have been Plains minated by <i>Acacia exilis</i> o er mixed tall shrubland. Ltd has applied to clear up	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application nsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010		
Clearing Description	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony I Open tall shrubs dor B4: Drainage Lines Open <i>Eucalyptus</i> ov Hamersley Iron Pty I developing a landfill	on survey of the wider Bro ten by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have been Plains minated by <i>Acacia exilis</i> o eer mixed tall shrubland. Ltd has applied to clear up site/landfarm.	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application nsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010 ver <i>T. wiseana;</i> and		
Clearing Description	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony I Open tall shrubs dor B4: Drainage Lines Open Eucalyptus ov Hamersley Iron Pty I developing a landfill Clearing will be done	on survey of the wider Bro ten by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have been Plains minated by <i>Acacia exilis</i> o ser mixed tall shrubland. Ltd has applied to clear up site/landfarm. e using a dozer, blade doo	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application isell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010 ver <i>T. wiseana;</i> and p to 18.3 hectares of native vegetation for the purpose of		
	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony I Open tall shrubs dor B4: Drainage Lines Open Eucalyptus ov Hamersley Iron Pty I developing a landfill Clearing will be done Good: Structure sign	on survey of the wider Bro ten by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have been Plains minated by <i>Acacia exilis</i> o ser mixed tall shrubland. Ltd has applied to clear up site/landfarm. e using a dozer, blade doo	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application nsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010 ver <i>T. wiseana;</i> and p to 18.3 hectares of native vegetation for the purpose of wn. Vegetation will be stockpiled and used for rehabilitation.		
	A flora and vegetatic areas, was undertak total of two vegetatic These are: A5: Hill and Stony I Open tall shrubs dor B4: Drainage Lines Open <i>Eucalyptus</i> ov Hamersley Iron Pty I developing a landfill Clearing will be done Good: Structure sign (Keighery, 1994);	on survey of the wider Bro ten by Halpern Glick Maur on communities have been Plains minated by <i>Acacia exilis</i> o rer mixed tall shrubland. Ltd has applied to clear up site/landfarm. e using a dozer, blade dow nificantly altered by multip	ckman 2 area, which included the vegetation within the application nsell between 28 August and 7 September 1998 (HGM, 1999). A n identified within the application area (HGM, 1999; Rio Tinto, 2010 ver <i>T. wiseana;</i> and p to 18.3 hectares of native vegetation for the purpose of wn. Vegetation will be stockpiled and used for rehabilitation.		

3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

Comments

Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd has applied to amend Condition 4(a) on the Clearing Permit to enable vegetative material and topsoil to be stockpiled outside of the area clearing permit boundary on existing cleared areas. The permit has been extended by another 5 years to allow the implementation of a rehabilitation condition. There are no additional environmental impacts associated with this amendment. Therefore, the assessment of the clearing principles is consistent with the assessment in the clearing permit decision report CPS 3863/1.

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

There is one native title claim over the area under application (GIS Database). This claim (WC97/89) was determined by the Federal Court on 1 March 2007 (GIS Database). However, the mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act* 1993 and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore, the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act* 1993.

There are no registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance within the application area (GIS Database). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Water to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

Methodology GIS Database:

- Aboriginal Sites of Signficance

- Native Title claims - Determined by the Federal Court

4. References

HGM (1999) Nammuldi/Silvergrass Soils, Vegetation and Flora Survey. Prepared for Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd by Halpern Glick Maunsell, Report ES995117A, February 1999.

- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Rio Tinto (2010) Summary and Background to the Brockman 2 Landfarm Facility (Purpose Permit). Rio Tinto, Western Australia, April 2010.

5. Glossary

Acronyms:

BoM	Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government				
CALM	Department of Conservation and Land Management (now DEC), Western Australia				
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia				
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia				
DEH	Department of Environment and Heritage (federal based in Canberra) previously Environment Australia				
DEP	Department of Environment Protection (now DEC), Western Australia				
DIA	Department of Indigenous Affairs				
DLI	Department of Land Information, Western Australia				
DMP	Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia				
DoE	Department of Environment (now DEC), Western Australia				
DolR	Department of Industry and Resources (now DMP), Western Australia				
DOLA	Department of Land Administration, Western Australia				
DoW	Department of Water				
EP Act	Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia				
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)				
GIS	Geographical Information System				
ha	Hectare (10,000 square metres)				
IBRA	Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia				
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the World Conservation Union				
RIWI Act					
s.17	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia Section 17 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia				
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community				
	modeled Ecological Community				

Definitions:

(Atkins, K (2005). Declared rare and priority flora list for Western Australia, 22 February 2005. Department of Conservation and

Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

- P1 Priority One Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations which are under threat, either due to small population size, or being on lands under immediate threat, e.g. road verges, urban areas, farmland, active mineral leases, etc., or the plants are under threat, e.g. from disease, grazing by feral animals, etc. May include taxa with threatened populations on protected lands. Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P2 Priority Two Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P3 Priority Three Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from several populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in need of further survey.
- P4 Priority Four Rare taxa: taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed and which, whilst being rare (in Australia), are not currently threatened by any identifiable factors. These taxa require monitoring every 5–10 years.
- R Declared Rare Flora Extant taxa (= Threatened Flora = Endangered + Vulnerable): taxa which have been adequately searched for, and are deemed to be in the wild either rare, in danger of extinction, or otherwise in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.
- X Declared Rare Flora Presumed Extinct taxa: taxa which have not been collected, or otherwise verified, over the past 50 years despite thorough searching, or of which all known wild populations have been destroyed more recently, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

{Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2005} [Wildlife Conservation Act 1950] :-

- Schedule 1 Fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct: being fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 2 Schedule 2 Fauna that is presumed to be extinct: being fauna that is presumed to be extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 3 Birds protected under an international agreement: being birds that are subject to an agreement between the governments of Australia and Japan relating to the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 4 Other specially protected fauna: being fauna that is declared to be fauna that is in need of special protection, otherwise than for the reasons mentioned in Schedules 1, 2 or 3.

{CALM (2005). Priority Codes for Fauna. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

- P1 Priority One: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, active mineral leases. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P2 Priority Two: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves, State forest, vacant Crown land, water reserves, etc. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P3 Priority Three: Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from several localities, some of which are on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P4 Priority Four: Taxa in need of monitoring: Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands.
- P5 Priority Five: Taxa in need of monitoring: Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years.

Categories of threatened species (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999)

- EX

EX(W)

died

- Extinct in the wild: A native species which:
 - (a) is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or

Extinct: A native species for which there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has

(b) has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.

- CR Critically Endangered: A native species which is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- EN Endangered: A native species which:
 - (a) is not critically endangered; and
 - (b) is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- VU Vulnerable: A native species which:
 - (a) is not critically endangered or endangered; and
 - (b) is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- CD Conservation Dependent: A native species which is the focus of a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered within a period of 5 years.

Principles for clearing native vegetation:

- (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.
- (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.
- (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.
- (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.
- (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.
- (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.
- (g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.
- (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.
- (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.
- (j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.