### 4.13 Protea welwitchii-Tristachya leucothrix Low Open Shrubland

The size of this plant community is 438 ha and covers $1,5 \%$ of the study area. The grazing capacity is $10,9 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.9)

The ecological index of the veld is at present 739, which shows that it is in a good condition, because of the high percentage Decreaser species and Increaser 1 species. In the past this veld was extensively grazed by cattle and since it has been part of MNP, little or no grazing occurred in this veld, because of the lack of grazing animals.

Grazing animals were recently introduced into this part of MNP. During the time that little or no grazing occurred in this veld, MNP burnt every second year because of lightning fires. The accumulation of moribund material did not occur which helped to maintain the present status of this veld.

During a year with below average rainfall, the grazing capacity would decrease from 10,9 ha/LSU to 17,9 ha/LSU (Table 4.9). The grasses with the highest frequency are as follows:

| Species | \% Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Andropogon schirensis | $14 \%$ |
| Trachypogon spicatus | $12 \%$ |
| Panicum dregeana | $10 \%$ |
| Loudetia simplex | $9 \%$ |
| Themeda triandra | $8 \%$ |
| Tristachya rehmannii | $8 \%$ |
| Schizachyrium sanguineum | $7 \%$ |
| Hyparrhenia hirta | $4 \%$ |
| Panicum coloratum | $4 \%$ |

Table 4.9 Grazing capacity of Protea welwitchii-Tristachya leucothrix Low Open Shrubland

$$
\text { SIZE (ha) }=438
$$

AVERAGE RAINFALL BELOW AVERAGE RAINFALL
\% BUSH COVER ..... TREES: 0 ..... 0
SHRUBS: 10 ..... 10
1.0 ..... 1.0

| \% DECREASERS | 31 | 31 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \% INCREASERS 1 | 57 | 57 |
| \% INCREASERS 2a\&b | 6 | 6 |
| \% INCREASERS 2c | 6 | 6 |
| TOTAL | ---7 | 100 |

ECOLOGICAL INDEX ..... 739 ..... 665
\% GRASS COVER ..... 18 ..... 14
AVERAGE RAINFALL (mm/year) ..... 551 ..... 468
ACCESSIBILITY
(. 9 =hills / 1 =plains) .....  9 .....  9
(1 =regular/ never= .8) ..... 1 ..... 1
GRAZING CAPACITY FOR GAME 10.9 (ha/LSU) ..... 17.9 (ha/LSU)

### 4.14 Andropogon schirensis-Dicoma anomala Short Closed Grassland

The size of this plant community is 9635 ha and covers $33,2 \%$ of the study area. The grazing capacity is $10,5 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.10).

The ecological index of the veld is at present 718 , which shows that this veld is in good condition, because of the moderate percentage Decreaser species and high percentage Increaser 1 species present in this veld. The condition of the vegetation for this veld (plant community) was described by Westfall (1981) and during that time the vegetation was grazed by cattle that were driven to the summit by a road.

This veld was grazed periodically because the cattle could not reach the summit of their own accord, due to fences. Westfall (1981) found low proportion Decreaser species and a high proportion Increaser $2 a+2 b$ and Increaser 2c species. Since this veld became part of MNP, no or very little grazing occurred in this veld, because the only grazing animals that occurred in this veld was Mountain Rhebuck. The grass was not moribund due to periodically natural fires (lightning), which changed the species composition from high Increaser 2a+2b \& 2c species to high Increaser 1 species (Table 4.10).

Grass species like Loudetia simplex, Trachypogon spicatus, Tristachya leucothrix and Andropogon schirensis become dominant as a result of undergrazing. Grasses became unpalatable in the absence of regular burning or with protection from fire (Trollope et al. 1989). During a year with below average rainfall, the grazing capacity would decrease from 10,5 ha/LSU to 15,8 ha/LSU (Table 4.10).

The grasses with the highest frequency are as follows:

## Species

Trachypogon spicatus
Andropogon schirensis
Loudetia simplex

## \% Frequency

23 \%
20 \%
17 \%
AVERAGE RAINFALL BELOW AVERAGE RAINFALL
\% BUSH COVER TREES: 1 ..... 1 ..... SHRUBS: 2
1.0 ..... 1.0

| \% DECREASERS | 13 | 13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \% INCREASERS 1 | 81 | 81 |
| \% INCREASERS 2a\&b | 5 | 5 |
| \% INCREASERS 2c | 1 | 1 |
|  | --- | -100 |

ECOLOGICAL INDEX ..... 718 ..... 646
\% GRASS COVER ..... 29 ..... 23
AVERAGE RAINFALL (mm/year) ..... 551 ..... 468
ACCESSIBILITY

| (.9 =hills / 1 =plains $)$ | .9 | .9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FIRE |  |  |
| $(1$ =regular/ never= 8$)$ | 1 | 1 |


| Species | \% Frequency |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tristachya leucothrix | $10 \%$ |
| Panicum natalense | $8 \%$ |
| Urelytrum agropyroides | $7 \%$ |
| Eragrostis racemosa | $4 \%$ |
| Schizachyrium sanguineum | $4 \%$ |
| Monocymbium ceresiiforme | $3 \%$ |
| Themeda triandra | $3 \%$ |

4.15 Burkea africana-Diplorhynchus condylocarpon variation

The size of this plant community is 3106 ha and covers 10,7 \% of the study area. The grazing capacity is 7,9 ha/LSU (Table 4.11).

The ecological index of the veld is at present 556. The frequency of Decreaser species is 19 \%, Increaser 1 species 44 \% and Increaser 2c species 30 \% (Table 4.11). This veld occurs on gentle to moderate slopes and it was heavily grazed on the gentle slopes whereas, on the moderate slopes, no grazing or little grazing occurred. With the correct veld management and adequate rainfall the grass species composition may improve from Increaser species to Decreaser species.

During a year with below average rainfall, the grazing capacity would decrease from $7,9 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ to $12,4 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.11). The grasses with the highest frequency are as follows:

| Species | \% Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Schizachyrium sanguineum | $15 \%$ |
| Andropogon schirensis | $14 \%$ |
| Melinis repens | $12 \%$ |
| Aristida transvaalensis | $11 \%$ |
| Setaria sphacelata | $10 \%$ |
| Loudetia simplex | $9 \%$ |
| Aristida scabrivalvis | $6 \%$ |

Grazing capacity of Burkea africana-Diplorhynchus condylocarpon variation
$\operatorname{SIZE}(h a)=3106$
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| Species | \% Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Trachypogon spicatus | $5 \%$ |
| Digitaria eriantha | $3 \%$ |

4.16 Burkea africana-Englerophytum magalismontanum variation

The size of this plant community is 1428 ha and covers $4,9 \%$ of the study area. The grazing capacity is $8,3 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.12).

The ecological index of this veld is at present 664. The veld is in a moderate condition, because the frequency of Decreaser species are $37 \%$ and the Increaser 1 species are 31 \% (Table 4.12). The percentage Increaser 2a+2b \& 2c species is also moderately high, which shows that this veld was extensively grazed in the past. The grass species composition in this veld will improve through correct veld management and adequate rainfall.

During a year with below average rainfall, the grazing capacity would decrease from $8,3 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ to $12,8 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSu}$ (Table 4.12).

The grasses with the highest frequency are as follows:
Species
\% Frequency
Setaria sphacelata ..... 13 \%
Digitaria eriantha ..... 10 \%
Brachiaria nigropedata ..... 8 \%
Melinis repens ..... 8 \%
Schizachyrium sanguineum ..... 8 \%
Andropogon schirensis ..... 7 \%
Eragrostis rigidior ..... 6 \%
Loudetia simplex ..... 5 \%
Trachypogon spicatus ..... 5 \%
Enneapogon cenchroides ..... 4 \%
Eragrostis curvula ..... 4 \%
Tristachya leucothrix ..... 4 \%
Diheteropogon amplectens ..... 3 \%
Eragrostis chloromelas ..... $3 \%$
Pogonarthria squarrosa ..... 3 \%

The size of this plant community is 408 ha and covers $1,4 \%$ of the study area. The grazing capacity is $9,2 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.13).

The ecological index of the veld is at present 562 . There is an equally high percentage Decreaser species (40 \%) and Increaser 2c species (42 \%) present in this veld. In the past this veld was severely grazed by cattle and it was frequently burned. The fact that it is a wetland that have permanent water, it was suitable for grazing just after the winter months when the farmers burned the veld. New grass would emerge shortly after the fire and the cattle were driven onto the veld.

This plant community must not be burned frequently, because it could lead to the drying out of the wetland. During a year with below average rainfall, the grazing capacity would decrease from $9,2 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ to $14,0 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.13). The grasses with the highest frequency are as follows:

## Species

Aristida junciformis
Panicum dregeanum
Monocymbium ceresiiforme
Andropogon huilensis
Miscanthus junceus
Brachiaria bovonei 6 \%
Panicum volutans 4 \%
Aristida bipartita 3 \%

Grazing capacity of Burkea africana-Englerophyton magalismontanum variation

$$
\operatorname{SIZE}(h a)=1428
$$

AVERAGE RAINFALL BELOW AVERAGE RAINFALL
\% BUSH COVER TREES: ..... 13 ..... 13
SHRUBS ..... 1212
.9 .....  9

| \% DECREASERS | 37 | 37 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \% INCREASERS 1 | 31 | 31 |
| \% INCREASERS 2a\&b | 15 | 15 |
| \% INCREASERS 2c | 17 | 17 |
|  | - |  |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 |

ECOLOGICAL INDEX ..... 664 ..... 598
\% GRASS COVER ..... 29 ..... 23
AVERAGE RAINFALL (mm/year) ..... 551 ..... 468
ACCESSIBILITY
(. 9 =hills / 1 =plains) .....  9 .....  9
FIRE(1 =regular/ never= .8) 11
GRAZING CAPACITY FOR GAME 8.3 (ha/LSU) ..... 12.8 (ha/LSU)

Table 4.13 Grazing capacity of Fuirena pubescens-Aristida junciformis Low Closed Grassland.

$$
\text { SIZE (ha) = } 408
$$

## AVERAGE RAINFALL BELOW AVERAGE RAINFALL

| \% BUSH COVER | TREES: | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SHRUBS: | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | -1.0 | 1.0 |


| \% DECREASERS | 40 | 40 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \% INCREASERS 1 | 16 | 16 |
| \% INCREASERS 2a\&b | 2 | 2 |
| \% INCREASERS 2c | 42 | 42 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 |

ECOLOGICAL INDEX ..... 562 ..... 506
\% GRASS COVER ..... 78 ..... 63
AVERAGE RAINFALL (mm/year) ..... 551 ..... 468
ACCESSIBILITY
(. 9 =hills / 1 =plains) .....  9 .....  9
FIRE(1 =regular/ never= .8) 11
GRAZING CAPACITY FOR GAME 9.2 (ha/LSU) 14.0 (ha/LSU)

## Species

Arundinella nepalensis
Pennisetum sphacelatum
\% Frequency
$3 \%$
3 \%
4.18 Fuirena pubescens-Chironia purpurascens Low CLosed Grassland

The size of this plant community is 45 ha and covers $0,3 \%$ of the study area. The grazing capacity is $8,2 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.14).

The ecological index of the veld is at present 634. The frequency Decreaser species is $29 \%$, the Increaser 1 species $36 \%$, the Increaser $2 a+2 b$ species $19 \%$ and the Increaser 2c species 16 \% (Table 4.14). The grass cover for this veld is high, but a fair amount of the grass species become unpalatable for grazing animals. To increase the Decreaser species this veld could be burned more often, but from a management point of view, this would be detrimental for the wetland community. Care should be taken not to attract large numbers of grazing animals to this veld because it could be detrimental for the veld.

During a year with below rainfall, the grazing capacity would decrease from 8,2 ha/LSU to 12,1 ha/LSU (Table 4.14). The grasses with the highest frequency are as follows:

| Species | \% Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Miscanthus junceus | $22 \%$ |
| Eragrostis inamoena | $19 \%$ |
| Panicum dregeanum | $17 \%$ |
| Andropogon huilensis | $14 \%$ |
| Monocymbium ceresiiforme | $12 \%$ |
| Aristida bipartita | $9 \%$ |
| A. junciformis | $7 \%$ |

The total grazing capacity for the study area as a whole, was determined from the grazing capacities for each plant community (except for the six plant communities discussed in section 4.7), and is summarized in Tables 4.15 and 4.16. The present average grazing capacity for the study area is $7,8 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ (Table 4.15). The results show that the veld is at present in a moderate to good condition. It must be emphasized that the grazing capacity decreases during years with low rainfall, so the numbers of the game must be adjusted in accordance with the veld condition at that specific stage. If the rainfall decrease to 468 mm per annum, the grazing capacity decreases from $7,8 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$ to $11,7 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$.

The game numbers are at present very low for the study area, because a relocation program of game for MNP was only introduced two years ago and the numbers reintroduced are still low. Aerial censuses must be conducted annually to accurately determine the number of game present in the study area. This is necessary for effective veld management and nature conservation of MNP.

The game species composition and game numbers recommended for the study area (MNP) are shown in Table 4.17. According to Table 4.17 the study area is understocked with game so the veld will not be overutilised and might get a chance to further improve. Presently only $73,86 \%$ of the grazing capacity of the area is achieved, giving opportunity for further increases in game numbers.

Table 4.14 Grazing capacity of Fuirena pubescens-Chironia purpurascens Low Closed Grassland.

SIZE $(h a)=45$

## AVERAGE RAINFALL BELOW AVERAGE RAINFALL

| \% BUSH COVER | TREES: | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | SHRUBS: | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $---\overline{1.0}$ | -1.0 |


| \% DECREASERS | 29 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| \% INCREASERS 1 | 36 | 36 |
| \% INCREASERS 2a\&b | 19 | 19 |
| \% INCREASERS 2c | 16 | 16 |
| TOTAL | ---- |  |

ECOLOGICAL INDEX 634
\% GRASS COVER $88 \quad 71$

AVERAGE RAINFALL (mm/year) 551468
ACCESSIBILITY
(. 9 =hills / 1 =plains) 9 . 9

FIRE
(1 =regular/ never= .8) 1

[^0]Table 4.15 Total present grazing capacity for Marakele National Park.

| Unit <br> Number | Hectare | Grazing Cap. <br> ha/LSU: Game | LSU <br> Game |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 385 | 5.40 | 71 |
| 2 | 1675 | 7.40 | 226 |
| 3 | 743 | 5.10 | 146 |
| 4 | 2458 | 5.40 | 455 |
| 5 | 180 | 6.70 | 27 |
| 6 | 5555 | 6.70 | 829 |
| 7 | 438 | 10.90 | 40 |
| 8 | 9635 | 10.50 | 918 |
| 9 | 3106 | 7.90 | 393 |
| 10 | 1428 | 8.30 | 172 |
| 11 | 408 | 9.20 | 44 |
| 12 | 45 | 8.20 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 26056 |  | 3326 |

Total Grazing capacity for game $=7,8 \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{LSU}$

Table 4.16 Total grazing capacity for an under average year for Marakele National Park.

| Unit <br> Number | Hectare | Grazing Cap. <br> ha/LSU: Game | LSU <br> Game |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 385 | 7.80 | 49 |
| 2 | 1675 | 11.50 | 146 |
| 3 | 743 | 7.30 | 102 |
| 4 | 2458 | 7.80 | 315 |
| 5 | 180 | 9.80 | 18 |
| 6 | 5555 | 9.90 | 561 |
| 7 | 438 | 17.90 | 24 |
| 8 | 9635 | 15.80 | 610 |
| 9 | 3106 | 12.40 | 250 |
| 10 | 1428 | 12.80 | 112 |
| 11 | 408 | 14.00 | 29 |
| 12 | 45 | 12.10 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 26056 |  | 2220 |

Total Grazing capacity for game $=11,7$ ha/LSU

Table 4.17 Current numbers of game for Marakele National Park (Modified from Graze, Bredenkamp \& van Rooyen 1991 a\&b)

Maximum LSU Game:- 3326

| Species | Number LSU | LSU |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~$ |  |  |$\quad$| \% of Max. |
| :--- |
| equivalent |$\quad$ Capacity

A. Grazers
Non-selective Feeders

| Buffalo |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bushpig | 20 | 1.00 | 20.00 | 0.60 |
| Hippo | 50 | 4.00 | 200.00 | 6.01 |
| Ostrich | 3 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| White Rhino | 12 | 3.50 | 42.00 | 1.26 |
| Zebra | 29 | 0.41 | 11.89 | 0.36 |
|  | 280 | 1.84 | 515.20 | 15.49 |
|  | 391 |  | $* * * * * *$ | 23.72 |

## Selective Feeders

| Blue wildebeest | 35 | 2.65 | 92.75 | 2.79 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reedbuck | 20 | 6.14 | 122.80 | 3.69 |
| Redhartebeest | 35 | 2.61 | 91.35 | 2.75 |
| Roan antilope | 0 | 2.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sable antilope | 80 | 1.95 | 156.00 | 4.69 |
| Gemsbuck | 0 | 2.30 | 6.09 | 1.78 |
| Waterbuck | 15 | 2.17 | 32.55 | 0.98 |
|  | $* * *$ |  | $\boxed{*} \times * * *$ | $* * * * *$ |
|  | 185 |  | 501.54 | 16.68 |

Mixed Feeders

| Eland | 24 | 1.23 | 29.52 | 0.89 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Impala | 60 | 6.14 | 368.40 | 11.08 |
| Njala | 6 | 3.91 | 23.46 | 0.71 |
| Warthog | 30 | 5.62 | 168.60 | 5.07 |
|  | $* * *$ |  | $* * * * * *$ | $* * * * *$ |
|  | 120 |  | 589.98 | 17.75 |

B. Browsers

| Black Rhino | 10 | 0.64 | 6.40 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bushbuck | 20 | 7.62 | 152.40 | 4.58 |
| Duiker | 12 | 12.00 | 144.00 | 4.33 |
| Giraffe | 19 | 0.68 | 12.92 | 0.39 |
| Kudu | 60 | 2.45 | 147.00 | 4.42 |
| Steenbuck | 4 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 1.80 |
|  | *** |  | ****** | ***** |
|  | 125 |  | 522.72 | 15.71 |
| Total | 821 |  | 2403.33 | 73.86 |


[^0]:    GRAZING CAPACITY FOR GAME
    8.2 (ha/LSU)
    12.1 (ha/LSU)

