



de maximis, inc.

450 Montbrook Lane
Knoxville, TN 37919
(865) 691-5052
(865) 691-6485 FAX
(865) 691-9835 ACCT. FAX

**VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY
AND REGULAR MAIL**

April 11, 2019

Karen Mason-Smith
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA, Superfund Division
Mail Code SR-6J
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

**Re: Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment Addendum;
Gary Development Landfill Superfund Site**

Dear Ms. Mason-Smith:

On behalf of the Gary Development Landfill Site PRP Group (Respondents), the Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment (SLERA) Addendum is attached for United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) review and approval. The SLERA Addendum is being provided in accordance with EPA's letter dated March 15, 2019, in which EPA provided Conditional Approval of the Revised Final Remedial Investigation (RI) Report. Consistent with EPA's direction during resolution of EPA's comments on the RI Report, the SLERA was terminated after the screening level step, and additional refinement steps were to be provided in a separate SLERA Addendum, following EPA approval of the RI Report. A hard copy of the SLERA Addendum is being mailed.

It is the Respondents' understanding that EPA has no further comments on the Revised Final RI Report, and once the attached submittal, along with the Remedial Action Objectives Technical Memorandum and the Alternatives Screening Technical Memorandum (forthcoming, respectively) are submitted to EPA and approved, the RI portion of the project will be complete and fully approved by EPA and development of the Feasibility Study Report can be initiated.

If you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Mr. Bennie Underwood or me at (865) 691-5052.

Karen Mason-Smith
April 11, 2019
Page 2 of 2

Sincerely,
de maximis, inc.



Michael H. Samples
Alternate Project Coordinator

MHS/jr

cc: (via email)

Leslie Blake, EPA
Stephanie Andrews, IDEM
Jeff Cahn, Esq., EPA
David Rieser, Esq., K&L Gates
B. Underwood, *de maximis, inc.*
Jason Price, Parsons
GDL Site Technical Committee

Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment Addendum

Gary Development Landfill Superfund Site
Gary, Indiana

Prepared for:

THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 5

Prepared on behalf of:

THE GARY DEVELOPMENT LANDFILL NOTICE PARTIES GROUP

Prepared by:

PARSONS

40 La Riviere Drive, Suite 350
Buffalo, New York 14202

APRIL 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND 1-1

2.0 DATA USED IN THE REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT 2-2

 2.1 Northern Pond..... 2-2

 2.2 Southern Wetland..... 2-2

3.0 IDENTIFICATION OF COPECS..... 3-1

 3.1 Northern Pond Sediment COPECS..... 3-1

 3.2 Southern Wetland Sediment COPECS..... 3-1

4.0 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT 4-1

 4.1 Exposure Point Concentrations..... 4-1

 4.2 Exposure Pathways..... 4-1

 4.3 Exposure Assumptions..... 4-2

 4.4 Estimated Intakes 4-2

 4.4.1 American Robin 4-2

 4.4.2 Song Sparrow 4-2

 4.4.3 Bobwhite 4-3

 4.4.4 American Kestrel 4-3

 4.4.5 Osprey..... 4-3

 4.4.6 Deer Mouse..... 4-4

 4.4.7 Short-Tailed Shrew..... 4-4

 4.4.8 Meadow Vole 4-4

 4.4.9 Red Fox..... 4-4

 4.4.10 Wetland Plants and Invertebrates 4-4

 4.4.11 Aquatic Life in the Northern Pond 4-5

5.0 TOXICITY ASSESSMENT 5-1

6.0 RISK CHARACTERIZATION 6-1

 6.1 Northern Pond..... 6-1

 6.2 Southern Wetlands..... 6-2

7.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS..... 7-1

 7.1 Northern Pond..... 7-2

 7.2 Southern Wetland..... 7-2

8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS..... 8-1

9.0 REFERENCES..... 9-1

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.2* Sediment Sample Location Map
* from Remedial Investigation Report

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 3.1A Refinement of Constituents of Potential Ecological Concern in the Northern Pond Sediment
- Table 3.1B Calculation of ESBS for PAHs in Northern Pond Sediment
- Table 3.2 Refinement of Constituents of Potential Ecological Concern in the Southern Wetland Sediment
- Table 4.1 COPEC Exposure Point Concentrations by Media – 95% UCLs
- Table 4.2 Determination of Plant Tissue Concentrations – Southern Wetlands – 95% UCLs
- Table 4.3 Determination of Invertebrate Tissue Concentrations – Southern Wetlands – 95% UCLs
- Table 4.4 Determination of Small Prey Tissue Concentrations – Southern Wetlands – 95% UCLs
- Table 4.5 Estimated Fish Tissue Concentrations in the Northern Pond – 95% UCLs
- Table 4.6 Intake Parameters for the Key Ecological Receptor Species
- Table 4.7 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the American Robin
- Table 4.8 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Song Sparrow
- Table 4.9 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Bobwhite
- Table 4.10 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the American Kestrel
- Table 4.11 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Osprey
- Table 4.12 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Deer Mouse
- Table 4.13 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Short-Tail Shrew
- Table 4.14 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Meadow Vole
- Table 4.15 Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Red Fox
- Table 4.16 Direct Sediment Comparison for Toxicity to Wetland Plants
- Table 4.17 Direct Sediment Comparison for Toxicity to Wetland Invertebrates
- Table 4.18 Direct Sediment Comparison for Toxicity to Northern Pond Aquatic Life

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A 95% UCL Printouts

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

| Acronym | Definition / Description |
|----------------|--|
| AUF | Area use factor |
| BEHP | Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate |
| BSV | Background screening value |
| BTAG | Biological Technical Assistance Group |
| Calumet | Calumet Ecotoxicology Round Table Technical Team |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act |
| cm | Centimeter |
| COPEC | Constituent of potential ecological concern |
| COSR | Calumet Open Space Reserve |
| CSM | Conceptual site model |
| DDD | Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane |
| EPC | Exposure point concentration |
| ERAGS | Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund |
| ESB | Equilibrium sediment benchmark |
| GDL | Gary Landfill Development |
| HQ | Hazard quotient |
| HMW | High molecular weight |
| LANL | Los Alamos National Laboratory |
| LMW | Low molecular weight |
| LOAEL | Lowest observed adverse effects level |
| mg/kg | Milligram(s) per kilogram |
| mg/L | Milligram(s) per liter |
| NOAEL | No observed adverse effect level |
| PAH | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon |
| PCB | Polychlorinated biphenyl |
| ppm | Part(s) per million |
| RI | Remedial Investigation |
| RME | Reasonable maximum exposure |
| RSV | USEPA Region 4 Refinement Screening Value |
| SLERA | Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment |
| SSL | Soil screening Level |
| SVOC | Semi-volatile organic compound |
| TRRP | Texas Risk Reduction Program |
| TRV | Toxicity reference value |
| UCL | Upper confidence limit |
| USEPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| UTL | Upper tolerance limit |
| VOC | Volatile organic compound |

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Parsons has prepared this refined Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment (SLERA) Addendum on behalf of Gary Development Landfill (GDL) located at 479 North Cline Avenue, Gary, Lake County, Indiana (the Site). An initial SLERA was previously prepared for the Site in January 2019 as part of an overall Remedial Investigation (RI) of the Site (Parsons 2019). The initial SLERA represents the first two steps in the ecological risk assessment process and is intended to allow a rapid determination as to whether a site poses no or negligible ecological risk, or to identify which constituents and exposure pathways require further evaluation. Specifically, in the initial SLERA, maximum detections of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) (particularly polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAHs]), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and selected metals in the sediment of the northern pond and southern wetland indicated potential risk to various receptors inhabiting these areas. Therefore, consistent with United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) guidance, further evaluation and refinement of the ecological risk was recommended in the initial SLERA to better characterize the risk to ecological receptors under site-specific circumstances in these two habitats at the Site.

For this SLERA Addendum, a refinement of the identified constituents of potential ecological concern (COPECs) was conducted to accomplish two goals: (1) represent more realistic site-specific conditions and (2) identify those COPECs that significantly contribute to potentially unacceptable levels of ecological risk and eliminate from further consideration those chemicals that have minimal associated risk. The refined ecological risk assessment presented in this Addendum corresponds to Step 3A of the USEPA Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (ERAGS) (1997) and USEPA Region 4 Supplemental Guidance (2018). Specifically, within Step 3A, the refinement process addresses COPECs based on a weight-of-evidence approach considering the following criteria:

- Background concentrations
- Nutrients and dietary considerations
- Frequency, magnitude, and pattern of detected chemicals
- Mode of toxicity and potential for bioaccumulation
- Multiple contaminant effects
- Exposure considerations

Per the Risk Assessment Scoping Memorandum (Parsons 2017) and subsequent comments received on the draft RI report in 2018, some of the Step 3A refinement criteria were incorporated in the initial SLERA. These include background concentrations, nutrient and dietary considerations, and exposure considerations.

Since this Addendum is a follow-up to the initial SLERA, the Site background/history, setting, and a discussion of the conceptual site model (CSM) are not repeated herein.

2.0 DATA USED IN THE REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Based on the results of the initial SLERA, the identified medium for further evaluation for both the northern pond and southern wetland is sediment. This section presents a brief summary of the analytical data selected for use in this SLERA Addendum. Sample analytical results were presented in the initial SLERA, and full data summary tables were presented in the main body of the RI report.

2.1 Northern Pond

Sediment samples were collected from 10 locations in the northern pond as shown in Figure 2-2 of the main RI report (Parsons 2019). (Figure 2.2 is also provided with this Addendum for reference.) The samples were collected in October and November 2016 and analyzed for metals, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs and pesticides. Samples were collected at depths of 0-1 foot at each location and also at a depth of 1-2 feet at one location (SD30). Because aquatic receptors would typically only be exposed to the top 10-15 centimeters (cm), which is considered to be the biological active zone (Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council 2011) and is the sediment interval that is sampled for the determination of site-specific biota-sediment accumulation factors, only the samples collected from the upper 0-1 foot depth interval were considered for use in this Addendum.

2.2 Southern Wetland

Sediment samples were collected from 15 locations in the southern wetland (Figure 2-2). The samples were collected in September and October 2016 and analyzed for metals, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs and pesticides. Samples were collected at depths of 0-1 foot and 1-2 feet, with two locations sampled at depths of 2-5 feet for PCBs only. Because ecological receptors would typically only be exposed to the surficial sediment due to the high-water table in the wetland and because the top 10-15 cm is the bioavailable zone, only the samples collected from the upper 0-1-foot depth interval were considered for use in this SLERA Addendum.

3.0 IDENTIFICATION OF COPECS

COPECS were identified in the initial SLERA by comparing the maximum detected chemical concentrations from all depths in the sediment to conservative screening levels in accordance with the 2017 Risk Assessment Memorandum and supplemental correspondence (Parsons 2017) and current USEPA guidance (2018). Following this screening evaluation, estimated intakes for key receptor species were calculated and compared to No Observed Adverse Effect Levels (NOAELs) and Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Levels (LOAELs) for chemicals that were identified during the screening process. In addition, a comparison was made to regional background concentrations for identified COPECS.

For this SLERA Addendum, these initial COPECS were further evaluated through comparison to background screening values (BSVs) and refined screening values (RSVs) to focus further assessment on the COPECS that are driving the potential risk. The results of this refinement of the screening process are summarized for each area in the following subsections and presented on Tables 3.1 (A and B) and 3.2.

3.1 Northern Pond Sediment COPECS

Following comparisons to BSVs and RSVs using 95% upper confidence levels (UCLs) on the mean for the 0-1-foot depth range, the following COPECS were identified in the northern pond sediments (Table 3.1A):

- 1,4-dichlorobenzene
- PAHs
- Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (BEHP)
- Butyl benzyl phthalate
- Di-n-butyl phthalate
- Phenol
- Total PCBs
- Copper

USEPA “Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures” (2003) were used for the PAHs. Per this guidance, the individual PAH concentrations were corrected for total organic carbon and then compared to ESBs and summed to determine potential effects on benthic organisms. The results of this comparison are presented on Table 3.2B. BSVs were obtained from the Calumet Ecotoxicology Area Protocol (Calumet Ecotoxicology Round Table Technical Team [Calumet] 2007), which in turn are from the “Chicago Area Background Contaminants in Wetland Sediments and Surface Waters: Supporting the Calumet Wetlands Ecotoxicological Assessment.” (Piwoni et al. 2006). The RSVs are from USEPA Region 4 Ecological Risk Assessment Supplemental Guidance (2018).

3.2 Southern Wetland Sediment COPECS

Following comparisons to BSVs and RSVs using 95% upper confidence limits (UCLs) on the mean for the 0-1-foot depth range, the following COPECS were identified in the southern wetland sediments (Table 3.2):

- BEHP
- Dibenzofuran
- High molecular weight (HMW) PAHs
- Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (DDD)
- Total PCBs

- Arsenic
- Cadmium
- Chromium
- Copper
- Lead
- Manganese
- Selenium
- Silver
- Thallium
- Vanadium
- Zinc

BSVs for metals were obtained from the Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol (Calumet 2007) and are derived from established soil background levels for metropolitan areas. For PAHs, 95% upper threshold limits established for the City of Chicago were used as background levels. RSVs were preferentially obtained from the Calumet Open Space Reserve (COSR) Benchmarks (Calumet 2007). These benchmarks are chemical concentrations that are derived from toxicity studies that identified LOAELs. If a COSR benchmark was unavailable, then the USEPA Region 4 soil screening benchmarks were used (2018).

4.0 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

The objective of the exposure assessment is to estimate the type and magnitude of potential exposures to COPECs at the Site. The exposure assessment includes identifying potentially complete exposure pathways as well as exposure quantification. The exposure assessment was accomplished in a three-step process involving characterization of the exposure setting, identification of exposure pathways, and quantification of exposure. Completion of these three steps involved developing a CSM (Section 3 of the initial SLERA), estimating exposure point concentrations (EPCs), determining exposure assumptions, and quantitatively estimating exposure. These last three elements of the exposure assessment are described in the following subsections.

4.1 Exposure Point Concentrations

EPCs are the concentrations of constituents in a given medium to which a receptor may be exposed at a specific location known as the "exposure point." For this SLERA Addendum, a 95% UCL concentration for the upper 0-1-foot depth interval was calculated for each COPEC identified in initial SLERA for the sediments of the northern pond and southern wetland using Version 5.1 of the ProUCL software in accordance with USEPA guidance (2002 and 2018).

EPC concentration summary tables for the sediment of the northern pond and the southern wetland are presented on Table 4.1. Printouts of the 95% UCL calculations are provided in Appendix A.

4.2 Exposure Pathways

Ecological exposure pathways evaluated in this SLERA Addendum remained consistent with those identified in the initial SLERA. Biota may be exposed directly or through the food web via consumption of contaminated organisms. Direct exposure pathways include dermal contact, absorption, inhalation, and ingestion. Because there is little toxicological data on dermal and inhalation exposure, these exposure routes are not addressed quantitatively.

Food web (indirect) exposure pathways for biota can occur when fauna consume contaminated biota. Examples of food web exposure include animals at higher trophic levels consuming plants or animals that bioaccumulate contaminants. Contamination of biota could result from exposure to one or more COPECs. Bioavailability is an important characteristic that influences the degree of chemical-receptor interaction. Bioavailable compounds are those that a receptor can take in from the environment, and bioavailability is a function of several physical and chemical environmental factors. These properties directly affect a contaminant's behavior relative to receptor exposure.

In accordance with USEPA guidance (2018), the models used in the SLERA Addendum to estimate concentrations in food items remained consistent with those utilized in the initial SLERA and are presented in Tables 4.2 through 4.5.

4.3 Exposure Assumptions

Reasonable maximum estimates (RMEs) were used in this SLERA Addendum to represent a conservative upper bound of potential exposures. RMEs were also used in the initial SLERA. The RME is designed to be an estimate of “high-end” exposure and is the maximum exposure reasonably expected to occur in a population. This approach is intended to account for both uncertainty in the chemical concentration and variability in the exposure parameters (such as food ingestion rates or body weight).

The values and assumptions used to estimate intake are presented for each key receptor on Table 4.6 and include values for body weight, typical diet composition, food and water ingestion rates, foraging territory size, and estimated soil/sediment intake percentages. The exposure assumptions selected receptor species and parameter values were developed in consultation with USEPA Region 5 during development of the 2017 Risk Assessment Approach Memorandum (Parsons 2017) and generally follow the values presented in the Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA 1993).

4.4 Estimated Intakes

In general, a total daily intake, expressed as milligrams of chemical per kilogram of body weight per day (mg/kg-day), was estimated by combining the EPC with the exposure factors specific to a key receptor. Ingestion of sediment was calculated by multiplying the EPC in sediment by the fraction of sediment ingested and the normalized food ingestion rate for each species. Food intakes were calculated by multiplying the estimated tissue concentration in the food item by the species-specific normalized food ingestion rate. Calculated intakes for each key receptor for only the preliminary COPECs identified in the initial SLERA for each receptor are provided in Tables 4.7 through 4.15 and are summarized in the following subsections.

4.4.1 American Robin

The American Robin is a representative avian omnivore, with a typical diet composition of 50% plants and 50% invertebrates. The robin was also assumed to migrate part of the year; thus, a temporal use factor of 0.75 was applied to the intake model to account for a robin being present on site nine months per year.

Table 4.7 presents the total estimated intake calculated for an American Robin.

4.4.2 Song Sparrow

The Song Sparrow is a representative avian invertivore, with a typical diet composition of 100% invertebrates. No additional adjustments were made to the intake model for the Song Sparrow since it is expected to be on site year-round and its foraging territory is smaller than the size of the southern wetland.

Table 4.8 presents the total estimated intake calculated for a Song Sparrow.

4.4.3 Bobwhite

The Bobwhite is a representative avian herbivore, with a typical diet composition of 100% plants. An area use factor (AUF) of 0.25 was applied to the intake model for a Bobwhite to reflect that its foraging territory is larger than the size of the southern wetland.

Table 4.9 presents the total estimated intake calculated for a Bobwhite.

4.4.4 American Kestrel

The American Kestrel is a representative avian carnivore, with a typical diet composition of 100% small prey. An AUF of 0.006 was applied to the intake model for the American Kestrel to reflect that the foraging territory is much larger than the size of the southern wetland.

Table 4.10 presents the total estimated intake calculated for an American Kestrel.

4.4.5 Osprey

The Osprey is a representative avian piscivore. An AUF of 0.002 was applied to the intake model for an Osprey to reflect that its foraging territory is much larger than the size of the northern pond.

Table 4.11 presents the total estimated intake calculated for an Osprey.

Fish have not been observed in the northern pond and are not expected. Therefore, the fish ingestion pathway is currently incomplete for piscivores. The northern pond has neither inlets nor outlets and no surface water connection with nearby water bodies. Although no COPECs were identified in the surface water of the northern pond, the relatively high hardness of the water of the northern pond and associated high dissolved cation concentrations of magnesium, potassium and sodium can increase the pH and put further stress on aquatic life. Additionally, the average dissolved oxygen content in the pond was 1.19 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and ranged from 0.04 to 3.61 mg/L, with only one location having a dissolved oxygen measurement over 3 mg/L. Dissolved oxygen concentrations below 3 mg/L stress most warmwater species of fish, and concentrations below 2 parts per million (ppm) are lethal to some species. Often fish that have been stressed by dissolved oxygen concentrations in the range of 2 or 3 ppm will also become susceptible to disease (Texas A&M 2017). Thus, although it was assumed that fish could inhabit the pond in future, the presence of a sustainable game fish population in the northern pond is unlikely due to the low dissolved oxygen content, high pH (8.7), and high hardness.

Additionally, although the northern pond was evaluated for its potential as wildlife habitat, it is believed that the northern pond does not meet the definition of waters of the state and is therefore not subject to typical water quality regulations or protection under state water laws. This is because the pond is isolated, has no surface water connections to surrounding water bodies, originated during quarry operations, and was subsequently used as a surface water retention pond to control landfill runoff (Indiana Code 13-11-2-265).

4.4.6 Deer Mouse

The Deer Mouse is a representative mammalian omnivore, with a typical diet composition of 50% plants and 50% invertebrates. No additional adjustments were made to the intake model for the Deer Mouse since it is expected to be on site year-round and its foraging territory is smaller than the size of the southern wetland.

Table 4.12 presents the total estimated intake calculated for a Deer Mouse.

4.4.7 Short-Tailed Shrew

The Short-tailed Shrew is a representative mammalian invertivore, with a typical diet composition of 100% invertebrates. No additional adjustments were made to the intake model for the Short-tailed Shrew since it is expected to be on site year-round and its foraging territory is smaller than the size of the southern wetland.

Table 4.13 presents the total estimated intake calculated for a Short-tailed Shrew.

4.4.8 Meadow Vole

The Meadow Vole is a representative mammalian herbivore, with a typical diet composition of 100% plants. No additional adjustments were made to the intake model for the Meadow Vole since it is expected to be on site year-round and its foraging territory is smaller than the size of the southern wetland.

Table 4.14 presents the total estimated intake calculated for a Meadow Vole.

4.4.9 Red Fox

The Red Fox is a representative mammalian carnivore, with a typical diet composition of 100% small prey. No additional adjustments were made to the intake model for the Red Fox since it is expected to be on site year-round.

Table 4.15 presents the total estimated intake calculated for a Red Fox.

4.4.10 Wetland Plants and Invertebrates

Tables 4.16 and 4.17 summarize the HQs calculated for plants and invertebrates, respectively. However, toxicity reference values (TRVs) are not intended to be directly protective of receptors with limited mobility or range (e.g., plants, soil invertebrates, and small rodents with a home range of less than 2.5 acres) because the habitat and foraging areas of wildlife that depend on them are frequently large enough to compensate for any localized losses in food or shelter (Texas Risk Reduction Program [TRRP] 2018). Thus, these tables are presented only as additional weight-of-evidence to assist in identifying COPECs that are driving ecological risk on an ecological community or population-level scale.

Wetland plants would be exposed to COPECs in the southern wetland sediments via uptake and direct contact. Wetland invertebrates would be exposed to COPECs in the sediments via uptake/direct contact, incidental ingestion and potential food chain transfer. Because intake calculations are not applicable for these receptors, the wetland sediment concentrations were

compared directly to sediment TRVs developed specifically for plants and invertebrates by the USEPA. Tables 4.16 and 4.17 present the results of this comparison.

4.4.11 Aquatic Life in the Northern Pond

Aquatic plants and invertebrates would be exposed to sediments in the northern pond via uptake/direct contact and potential food chain transfers. Because intake calculations are not applicable for these receptors, sediment concentrations were compared directly to sediment TRVs developed specifically for sediment effects on aquatic life. Table 4.18 presents the results of this comparison.

5.0 TOXICITY ASSESSMENT

Identified LOAELs for site COPECs did not change from those used in the initial SLERA and are presented in Tables 6.1 and 6.2 of the initial SLERA for mammals and birds, respectively. LOAELs were preferentially obtained from USEPA Ecological Soil Screening Levels (SSLs) (2005 and 2007). Supplemental LOAELs were obtained from the USEPA Biological Technical Assistance Group (BTAG) (2002 and 2009) and the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) EcoRisk database, Release 4.1 (2017).

Because calculation of intakes is not applicable for terrestrial plants, invertebrates or aquatic life, sediment concentrations were compared directly to sediment TRVs developed specifically for these receptors as presented on Tables 4.16 through 4.18.

6.0 RISK CHARACTERIZATION

Comparisons were made between projected intakes of substances and the TRVs to characterize potential adverse effects. The equations for calculating hazard quotients (HQs) and summaries of these results by pathway and receptor for potential future receptors are presented below.

The potential for adverse ecological effects is evaluated by comparing an exposure level or intake over a specified time period with a TRV derived for a similar exposure period. This ratio is termed the HQ. In other words, HQ equals the intake divided by the corresponding reference value, or:

$$\text{HQ} = \text{Total Intake} / \text{TRV}$$

HQs greater than 1 will be generated any time intake for any COPEC exceeds its TRV. Tables 4.7 through 4.15 summarize the ecological hazards for estimated exposures by receptor when using the 95% UCL as the EPC and LOAEL as the TRV. For direct comparison of sediment concentrations, the HQ is the concentration in the sediment divided by the sediment TRV concentration. Tables 4.16 through 4.18 summarize the HQs calculated for plants, invertebrates, and aquatic life, respectively. However, as mentioned previously, the TRVs are not intended to be directly protective of receptors with limited mobility or range (e.g., plants, soil invertebrates, and small rodents with a home range of less than 2.5 acres) because the habitat and foraging areas of wildlife that depend on them are frequently large enough to compensate for any localized losses in food or shelter (TRRP 2018). Results are discussed for each medium in the following subsections.

6.1 Northern Pond

Potential adverse effects to key receptor species from COPECs detected in the northern pond sediment and food chain transfers for the northern pond when using LOAELs as the TRVs and 95% UCLs concentrations are as follows:

| COPEC | Receptor HQ Based on NOAEL as the TRV | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Osprey | Aquatic Life |
| 1,4-dichlorobenzene | | 1.3 |
| LMW PAHs | | 17 |
| HMW PAHs | | 84 |
| BEHP | | 2.3 |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | | 33 |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | | 1.7 |
| Phenol | | 1.9 |
| Total PCBs | | 5.6 |
| Copper | | 1.1 |

Note: A blank indicates that the calculated HQ was 1 or less for the COPEC-receptor combination

6.2 Southern Wetlands

Potential adverse effects to key receptor species from exposure to COPECs detected in the southern wetland sediment and food chain transfers using LOELs as the TRVs and 95% UCL concentrations are as follows:

| COPEC | Receptor HQ Based on NOAEL as the TRV | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|------|-----|--------|---------|
| | Robin | Sparrow | Bob-white | Kestrel | Mouse | Shrew | Vole | Fox | Plants | Inverts |
| HMW PAHs | | | | | 4.7 | 5.4 | | | 55 | 3.4 |
| BEHP | | | | | | | | | 53 | |
| Dibenzofuran | | | | | | | | | | 4.5 |
| DDD | | | | | | | | | 11 | 10 |
| Total PCBs | 154 | 341 | | | 141 | 167 | | | | 102 |
| Arsenic | | | | | | | | | 2.1 | |
| Cadmium | 1.4 | 3 | | | 1.6 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Chromium | 9 | 13 | | | 3.1 | 4.1 | | | 65 | |
| Copper | | | | | | | | | 3.5 | |
| Lead | 3.4 | 4.7 | | | | 1.4 | | | 9.9 | |
| Manganese | | | | | | | | | 6.4 | |
| Selenium | 1.4 | 1.5 | | | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | | | |
| Silver | | | | | | | | | | 3.7 |
| Thallium | | | | | | | | | 7.2 | 72 |
| Vanadium | 1.3 | 1.2 | | | | | | | | 4.8 |
| Zinc | 2.3 | 3 | | | 2.1 | 2.3 | | | 27 | 4.6 |

Note: A blank indicates that the calculated HQ was 1 or less for the COPEC-receptor combination

7.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The northern pond and southern wetland do not provide any unique habitat in the area, and the habitat is likely to be of lesser quality than other wetlands and water bodies in the area (refer to Appendix F of the RI report). In addition, Menzie et al. (1993) recommended the following guidelines for interpreting HQs:

- Adverse effects are not expected for HQ values less than 1.
- A low potential for adverse effects may be indicated by HQ values between 1 and 10.
- A significant potential for adverse effects on ecological receptors and communities may be indicated by HQ values greater than 10, particularly if they exceed a value of 100.

Thus, given the conservative assumptions and the low potential for adverse effects based on calculated HQs below 10, most COPECs can be eliminated as significant risk drivers, and the calculated HQs presented above likely overestimate the ecological risk to populations.

Additionally, the assumption that COPECs are 100% bioavailable likely overestimates the potential for adverse effects. The duration that has lapsed since the contaminant release affects bioavailability as the contaminant becomes sequestered or transformed within the environmental media. Sequestration, transformation, and bioavailability are influenced by medium characteristics such as pH, temperature, and organic carbon content.

For instance, metals occur naturally in soils primarily as amorphous oxides and hydroxides, and to a lesser extent as carbonates, phosphates, sulfates, and sulfides, which are relatively insoluble. The same is generally true for metal contaminated soils because metals quickly undergo precipitation and coprecipitation reactions forming relatively insoluble solid phases, and/or they are strongly complexed by soil minerals or organic matter. Therefore, many elements are not very bioavailable or toxic to animals even when element-rich soils are ingested. Toxicity testing, on the other hand, usually employs very soluble metals not commonly found in any appreciable amounts in soils relative to total metal concentrations. Antimony is a metal that typically exist as cationic species. As such, it can complex with inorganic soil constituents (e.g., carbonates, sulfates, hydroxides, sulfides) to form either precipitates or positively charged complexes. Both complexation and precipitation reactions are pH dependent. Therefore, although antimony can form complexes with a net negative charge, it either precipitates or exists as a cation under most environmentally relevant scenarios (pH = 4 to 8.5). Metals existing as cationic species have a greater propensity to associate with the soil and are less bioavailable (USEPA 2009).

In addition, the use of laboratory-derived or empirically estimated partitioning and transfer factors to predict COPEC concentrations in plants, invertebrates, and prey species likely overestimates potential risks. As discussed previously, the incorporation of COPECs into the food chain is influenced by the characteristics of the exposure medium, which likely differs from that used in the laboratory to derive partitioning and transfer factors.

The calculation of HQs also introduces uncertainty. The following limitations associated with HQs (Tannenbaum et al. 2003) are noted:

- HQs are not measures of risk.
- HQs are not population based.
- HQs are not linearly scaled.
- HQs are often produced that are unrealistically high and toxicologically impossible (i.e., estimated HQs greater than 1,000).
- Trace soil concentrations of inorganic chemicals (including concentrations well below background levels) can lead to HQ threshold exceedances.

Therefore, HQs greater than 1 do not necessarily mean that adverse ecological effects are occurring or may occur in the future.

7.1 Northern Pond

For this SLERA Addendum, the only receptor that showed a potential ecological risk (calculated HQ greater than 1) as a result of exposure to the northern pond sediment is aquatic life. No other key receptor had a calculated HQ greater than 1. For aquatic life, three COPECs had calculated HQs greater than 10: low molecular weight (LMW) PAHs, HMW PAHs, and butyl benzyl phthalate.

PAHs are prevalent throughout the Grand Calumet River watershed, and regional background levels have been established for the area. For sediment, the regional background concentrations for total LMW and HMW PAHs are unavailable; however, background levels have been determined for 16 individual PAHs. Background levels for HMW PAHs range from 0.691 mg/kg for dibenzo(a,h)anthracene to 9.07 mg/kg for fluoranthene (Calumet 2007). For LMW PAHs, background levels range from 0.1 mg/kg for acenaphthylene to 3.7 mg/kg for phenanthrene (Calumet 2007). Except for sediment sample locations SD26 and SD30, concentrations of PAHs are generally similar to these background levels. The concentration of PAHs at SD26 and SD30 are an order or more of magnitude greater than the concentrations detected at the other eight locations. In comparison to ESBs, only locations SD26 and SD30 have summed toxic units greater than one. Thus, elevated PAH concentrations appear to be limited in extent.

Butyl benzyl phthalate was detected in two of the 10 sediment samples from the northern pond. The highest concentration was detected at location SD28 at 16 mg/kg at SD28 in the 0-1 foot depth. Butyl benzyl phthalate was also detected at a concentration of 0.28 mg/kg at location SD30. Butyl benzyl phthalate was not detected in the other sediment sample locations (detection limits ranged from 0.26 to 1.1 mg/kg), which is similar to the sediment RSV for butyl benzyl phthalate of 0.481 mg/kg and the Region 4 soil screening value of 0.59 mg/kg. Thus, elevated phthalate concentrations appear to be limited in extent to one location in the pond sediment.

7.2 Southern Wetland

Total PCBs had the highest calculated HQs (range of 102–341) for five of the 10 receptor species. When looking at the individual results for the southern wetland, four PCB aroclors were detected in the southern wetland sediment (PCB-1242, PCB-1248, PCB-1254, and

PCB-1260). PCB-1248 and PCB-1254 were the most commonly detected aroclors, with PCB-1248 and PCB-1254 being detected at seven locations each in the 0-1 foot depth. Locations SD-16, SD-17 and SD-21 have the highest concentrations of PCBs (at least one congener with a detection over 10 mg/kg). SD-16 is the farthest upstream location that was sampled and has the highest total PCB concentration (132 mg/kg). PCBs have been widely detected throughout the river watershed, and concentrations of PCBs in river sediment directly upstream of the Site are comparable to or higher than concentrations detected in the sediment adjacent to the Site or in the wetland. Thus, their origin in the wetland sediment could be from deposition of river sediment onto the wetland. However, as discussed in the RI Report, the landfill was not definitively ruled out as a potential source.

PAH concentrations in the wetland sediment show a similar pattern to PCB's, with the highest concentrations of PAHs at locations SD-16, SD-17, SD-18 and SD-21. The highest concentrations of PAHs appear on the upstream end of the southern wetland and closest to the river shore. However, the only calculated HQ over 10 is for HMW PAHs for wetland plants, and the only receptors that show a potential adverse effect due to detected concentrations of HMW PAHs in the southern wetland are all receptors with very limited home ranges (mouse, shrew and invertebrates). Because the TRVs are not intended to be directly protective of receptors with limited mobility or range (e.g., plants, soil invertebrates, and small rodents with a home range of less than 2.5 acres), the potential for adverse effects to populations of wetland plants, invertebrates and small mammals due to the concentration of PAHs in the southern wetland sediment is expected to be minimal.

BEHP was identified as a COPEC for terrestrial plants in wetlands. BEHP was detected in eight of 15 samples from the southern wetland, with a 95% UCL of 1.06 mg/kg; however, many of the detection limits for BEHP were elevated (i.e., detection limits ranged from 0.22 to 8.4 mg/kg). Additionally, the Region 4 SSL (0.02 mg/kg) was used as the comparison value for terrestrial plants. Because the wetland sediment is regularly saturated, the Region 4 RSV for sediments for BEHP was also considered as an alternative screening value for evaluating exposure of plants. The Region 4 RSV for BEHP is 2 mg/kg, two orders of magnitude higher than the Region 4 initial screening level for soil. No other receptor species had calculated HQs above 1 due to exposure to BEHP in the wetland sediment, and the average concentration of BEHP in the sediments is 25% to 50% lower than the Region 4 sediment RSV. Therefore, adverse effects to populations of wetland plants due to the concentration of BEHP in the sediments are expected to be minimal.

DDD was detected at one location (SD17) in the wetland sediments at depths of 0-1 and 1-2 feet that may have an adverse effect on wetlands plants or invertebrates. The detections ranged from 0.22 to 0.28 mg/kg, with the higher detection in the 1-2 foot depth. The Region 4 SSL for DDD for invertebrates is 0.001 mg/kg; however, the Region 4 RSV for sediment is 0.028 mg/kg for DDD and 0.572 mg/kg for total DDD/DDE/DDT¹. Because DDE and DDT were not detected in the wetland sediment and because DDD was only detected at one of 15

¹ DDE - dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; DDT - dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane

locations, wetland plants and invertebrates are unlikely to show adverse effects due to direct contact with DDD.

Total chromium concentrations in the southern wetland sediments ranged from 23 to 1,500 mg/kg, with a mean concentration of 330 mg/kg in the upper 1 foot. Calculated HQs were greater than 10 for the sparrow and terrestrial plants. The Calumet area background Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL) is 68.9 mg/kg for chromium in sediment, and the sediment benchmark is 111 mg/kg (Calumet 2007). The metropolitan soil background concentration for total chromium is 16.2 mg/kg, and the soil benchmark for total chromium is 131 mg/kg (Calumet 2007). For comparison, the Region 4 RSV is 110 mg/kg for total chromium. Using a benchmark of 110 mg/kg of total chromium for comparison for the shallow wetland sediment, chromium was detected at greater than this benchmark in four locations (SD14, SD16, SD17, and SD18) at concentrations ranging from 540 to 1500 mg/kg. These four locations are nearest to the river, furthest upstream, in the far eastern portion of the wetlands.

Thallium had calculated HQs greater than 10 only for terrestrial plants, and terrestrial invertebrates were the only other receptor that had a calculated HQ greater than one. Thallium was detected in two locations, SD17 and SD16, at concentrations of 1.1 J² and 3.6 J mg/kg, respectively, in the 0-1 foot depth, with a mean concentration of 1.65 mg/kg. The Calumet area background UTL is 1.1 mg/kg for thallium in sediments (Calumet 2007). The metropolitan soil background concentration for thallium is 0.32 mg/kg, and the soil threshold for thallium is 1.3 mg/kg (Calumet 2007). Using a threshold value of 1.3 mg/kg of thallium for comparison for the shallow wetland sediment, thallium was detected at concentrations greater than this benchmark in only one location (SD16). This location is closest to the river, furthest upstream, in the far eastern portion of the wetlands. Because thallium was only detected at one of 15 locations above the soil threshold value, wetland plants and invertebrate populations are unlikely to show adverse effects due to direct contact with the thallium.

Zinc concentrations in the southern wetland sediment ranged from 100 to 9600 mg/kg with a mean concentration of 1354 mg/kg in the upper 1 foot. The Calumet area background UTL is 761 mg/kg for zinc in sediments, and the sediment benchmark is 459 mg/kg (Calumet 2007). The metropolitan soil background concentration for zinc is 95 mg/kg, and the soil threshold for zinc is 250 mg/kg (Calumet 2007). For comparison, the Region 4 RSV is also 459 mg/kg for zinc. Using a benchmark of 459 mg/kg of zinc for comparison for the shallow wetland sediment, zinc was detected at greater than this benchmark in four locations (SD14, SD16, SD17 and SD18) at concentrations ranging from 1200 to 9600 mg/kg. These four locations are all closest to the river, furthest upstream, in the far eastern portion of the wetlands.

HQs were less than 10 for the other COPECs for all the other receptor species evaluated, and no higher trophic level receptors (such as carnivorous birds or mammals) had HQs greater than 1, indicating that population-level effects are not likely. In addition, based on the ecological evaluation and wetland delineation conducted in 2016 (Appendix F of the RI report), there was no evidence that COPEC concentrations are at levels where wetland plants could not support

² J is a laboratory qualifier indicating an estimated concentration.

upper trophic level receptors in terms of habitat, shelter, and forage because there were no observations of bare ground or other effects on the vegetation. Most of the locations with concentrations of COPECs that show a potential adverse effect on ecological receptors are collocated along the upstream portion of the Site, closest to the river shore, and are generally limited to four locations (SD14, SD16, SD17, and SD18).

8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In accordance with USEPA guidance, the purpose of this SLERA Addendum is to quantitatively characterize and refine the potential ecological risk associated with future exposures to COPECs in sediments of the northern pond and southern wetland under a future presumptive remedy scenario of capping/containment. The exposure pathways evaluated in this refined SLERA Addendum were focused on the COPECs identified in the initial SLERA (Parsons 2019). These initial COPECs were identified by comparing maximum detected concentrations of constituents to established ecological screening levels. COPECs were eliminated from further consideration in the initial SLERA if they were not detected in a medium or the maximum detected concentration was below its screening level.

In accordance with USEPA guidance, those initial COPECs were refined in this SLERA Addendum using the 95% UCL on the mean as the EPC and including site-specific information such as the size of the areas and the frequency, magnitude and distribution of the detections.

Based on the estimated intakes and calculated hazard quotients, the COPECs that may have a potential adverse effect on ecological receptors inhabiting the northern pond and southern wetland appear to be collocated and limited in extent. Most of the hazards to key ecological receptors were associated with PCBs and chromium in the southern wetland sediment and with PAHs in the northern pond sediment. The following table summarizes the key receptors and COPECs that are driving potential unacceptable ecological risk (HQs > 10) in the sediment of the southern wetland and northern pond.

| Key Receptor | Wetland Sediment | Northern Pond Sediment |
|---|---|--|
| Invertivorous Birds (Song Sparrow) | Total PCBs Chromium | -- |
| Omnivorous Birds (American Robin) | Total PCBs | -- |
| Invertivorous Mammals (Short-tail Shrew) | Total PCBs | -- |
| Omnivorous Mammals (Deer Mouse) | Total PCBs | -- |
| Terrestrial Plants | HMW PAHs BEHP DDD Chromium Zinc | -- |
| Terrestrial Invertebrates | DDD Total PCBs Thallium | -- |
| Aquatic Life | -- | LMW PAHs HMW PAHs Butyl benzyl phthalate |

-- = No COCs identified for the receptor in this medium or no exposure by the receptor to this medium.

Based on the results of this SLERA Addendum that was conducted to determine the risks to ecological receptors associated with exposure to constituents detected in sediment of the northern pond and southern wetland, key findings are as follows:

- Most of the identified hazards under the presumptive remedy for the southern wetland are associated with food chain transfers (>80%). However, when considering the attractiveness and size of the southern wetland in relation to other nearby habitats and waterbodies, the risk to wetland species is expected to be minimal.
- The elevated COPEC concentrations are generally collocated and isolated to a few locations (i.e., SD26, SD27, SD28, and SD30 in the sediments in the northern pond and SD14, SD16, SD17, and SD18 in the southern wetland sediment). Given the total size of each area, the limited extent of the elevated detections, and conservative uptake models used to predict intake, population and/or community level effects are unlikely.
- Due to the lack of protected species or critical habitat at the Site and no evidence of community level effects to species inhabiting the Site, ecological risks are expected to be minimal under the presumed remedy of a cap/containment.

9.0 REFERENCES

- Calumet Ecotoxicology Roundtable Technical Team, 2007. Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol.
- Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, 2011. Incorporating Bioavailability Considerations into the Evaluation of Contaminated Sediment Sites. February.
- LANL, 2017. Los Alamos National Laboratory EcoRisk Database, Release 4.1, September.
- Menzie, CA, J. Cura, J. Freshman, and S. Svirsky, 1993. Evaluating ecological risks and developing remedial objectives at forested wetland systems in New England. In: Application of Ecological Risk Assessment to Hazardous Waste Site Remediation. Workshop Proceedings, USEPA Science Advisory Board.
- Parsons, 2017. 2017 Risk Assessment Scoping Memorandum and Supplemental Responses to USEPA Comments.
- Parsons, 2019. Remedial Investigation Report for the Gary Development Landfill Superfund Site, Gary, Indiana. January.
- Piwoni, Marvin; Teresa Chow; Gary Bordson, Jonathan Talbott; Luann Wiedenmann; Monte Wilcoxon; and William Bogner, 2006. Chicago area background contaminants in wetland sediments and surface waters: Supporting the Calumet Wetlands Ecotoxicological Assessment. October 25.
- Tannenbaum, L.V., M.S. Johnson, and M. Bazar, 2003. Application of the Hazard Quotient Method in Remedial Decisions: A Comparison of Human and Ecological Risk Assessments, Human and Ecological Risk Assessment, Volume 9, No. 1, Pages 387–401.
- Texas A&M, 2017. AquaPlant Pond Manager Diagnostic Tool, Dissolved Oxygen. Department of Wildlife & Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service.
- Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP), 2018. Conducting Ecological Risk Assessments at Remediation Sites in Texas. August.
- USEPA-BTAG, 2002. USEPA Biological Technical Assistance Group (BTAG) Recommended Toxicity Reference Values for Mammals. Revised November 21, 2002.
- USEPA-BTAG, 2009. USEPA Biological Technical Assistance Group (BTAG) Recommended Toxicity Reference Values for Birds. Revised February 25, 2009.
- USEPA, 1993. Presumptive Remedy for CERCLA Municipal Landfill Sites. EPA 540-F-93-035. September.

- USEPA, 1993. Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook, Washington D.C., EPA/600/R-93/87.
- USEPA, 1997. Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Process for Designing and Conducting Ecological Risk Assessments “ERAGS.”
- USEPA, 2002. Supplemental Guidance to RAGS: Calculating Upper Confidence Limits for Exposure Point Concentrations at Hazardous Waste Sites. Publication Number 9285 .6-10. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. December.
- USEPA, 2003. Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures. November.
- USEPA, 2005. Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco SSLs), updated March 15, 2005. Available on-line at <https://www.epa.gov/risk/ecological-soil-screening-level-eco-ssl-guidance-and-documents>.
- USEPA, 2007. Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs), Attachment 4-1, Exposure Factors and Bioaccumulation Models for Derivation of Wildlife Eco-SSLs. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. Revised April 2007.
- USEPA, 2009 - Estimation of Biota Sediment Accumulation Factor (BSAF) From Paired Observations of Chemical Concentrations in Biota and Sediment. February.
- USEPA, 2018. Region 4 Ecological Risk Assessment Supplemental Guidance. March.

FIGURES



Figure 2-2
 Sediment Sample Location Map
 Gary Development Landfill Superfund Site
 Gary, Indiana

| Legend | | |
|--------|------------------------------|--|
| | Sediment Sample | |
| | Wetland Delineation Boundary | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| C. Oneal | 2/2/2018 | |
| Revision: 2/2/2018 | | Parsons Project No. 449595 |
| File Name: Sediment_samples.mxd | | |

TABLES

Table 3.1A

Refinement of Constituents of Potential Ecological Concern in the Northern Pond Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| CAS Number | Constituent | Freq. of Detection | Maximum Detected Conc. | Background Screening Value (BSV) | Freq. Exceeding BSV | Refinement Screening Value (RSV) | RSV Source | Freq. Exceeding RSV | Refinement Hazard Quotient (HQ) | 95% UCL Conc. | 95% UCL HQ | COPC (Y/N) Basis |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|
| Volatiles, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 106-46-7 | 1,4-DICHLORO BENZENE | 1/10 | 0.61 | NA | NA | 0.468 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.3 | 0.61 | 1.3 | Yes |
| Semi-Volatiles, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83-32-9 | ACENAPHTHENE | 6/10 | 0.86 | 0.12 | NA | 4.91 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.2 | 0.404 | 0.1 | No |
| 208-96-8 | ACENAPHTHYLENE | 6/10 | 0.24 | 0.1 | NA | 4.52 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.1 | 0.089 | 0.0 | No |
| 120-12-7 | ANTHRACENE | 8/10 | 2.7 | 1.06 | NA | 5.94 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 0.5 | No |
| 56-55-3 | BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | 10/10 | 7.7 | 2.91 | NA | 8.41 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 0.9 | No |
| 50-32-8 | BENZO(A)PYRENE | 10/10 | 7.8 | 2.99 | NA | 9.65 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.8 | 7.8 | 0.8 | No |
| 205-99-2 | BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | 10/10 | 11 | 2.69 | NA | 9.79 | Region 4 | 1/11 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.1 | Yes |
| 191-24-2 | BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE | 9/10 | 4.2 | 2.2 | NA | 10.9 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 0.4 | No |
| 207-08-9 | BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE | 8/10 | 3.9 | 2.7 | NA | 9.81 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 0.4 | No |
| 218-01-9 | CHRYSENE | 10/10 | 7.5 | 3.76 | NA | 8.44 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 0.9 | No |
| 53-70-3 | DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE | 4/10 | 1.3 | 0.691 | NA | 11.2 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.1 | 0.446 | 0.04 | No |
| 206-44-0 | FLUORANTHENE | 10/10 | 20 | 9.07 | NA | 7.07 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 3 | 20 | 2.8 | Yes |
| 86-73-7 | FLUORENE | 9/10 | 1.5 | 0.429 | NA | 5.38 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | No |
| 193-39-5 | INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE | 7/10 | 3.9 | 3.48 | NA | 11.2 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 0.3 | No |
| 117-81-7 | NAPHTHALENE | 10/10 | 1.4 | 0.2 | NA | 3.85 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.4 | 0.671 | 0.2 | No |
| 85-01-8 | PHENANTHRENE | 10/10 | 11 | 3.7 | NA | 5.96 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 2 | 11 | 1.8 | Yes |
| 129-00-0 | PYRENE | 10/10 | 14 | 7.77 | NA | 6.97 | Region 4 | 2/10 | 2 | 14 | 2.0 | Yes |
| 117-81-7 | BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE | 4/10 | 15 | NA | NA | 2.6 | Region 4 | 2/10 | 6 | 6.08 | 2.3 | Yes |
| 85-68-7 | BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE | 2/10 | 16 | NA | NA | 0.481 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 33 | 16 | 33.3 | Yes |
| 86-74-8 | CARBAZOLE | 2/10 | 1.6 | NA | NA | 4.561 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | No |
| 132-64-9 | DIBENZOFURAN | 3/10 | 0.73 | NA | NA | 2.313 | Region 4 | 0/10 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.2 | No |
| 84-74-2 | DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE | 1/10 | 0.55 | NA | NA | 0.319 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.7 | 0.55 | 1.7 | Yes |
| 108-95-2 | PHENOL | 1/10 | 0.39 | NA | NA | 0.21 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.9 | 0.39 | 1.9 | Yes |
| PCBs, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12674-11-2 | PCB-1016 (AROCLOR 1016) | 0/10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 11104-28-2 | PCB-1221 (AROCLOR 1221) | 0/10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 11141-16-5 | PCB-1232 (AROCLOR 1232) | 0/10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 53469-21-9 | PCB-1242 (AROCLOR 1242) | 0/10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 12672-29-6 | PCB-1248 (AROCLOR 1248) | 4/10 | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.882 | NA | NA |
| 11097-69-1 | PCB-1254 (AROCLOR 1254) | 1/10 | 0.53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.53 | NA | NA |
| 11096-82-5 | PCB-1260 (AROCLOR 1260) | 0/10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| - | Total PCBs | 5/10 | 6.4 | 0.134 | 5/10 | 0.676 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 9 | 3.76 | 6 | Yes |
| Inorganics, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7440-39-3 | BARIUM | 10/10 | 2400 | 213 | 6/10 | 60 | Region 4 | 10/10 | 40 | 1.27 | 0.02 | No |
| 7440-47-3 | CHROMIUM | 10/10 | 130 | 69.9 | 1/10 | 111 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.2 | 77.11 | 0.7 | No |
| 7440-50-8 | COPPER | 10/10 | 320 | 99.9 | 4/10 | 149 | Region 4 | 2/10 | 2.1 | 165.4 | 1.1 | Yes |
| 7439-92-1 | LEAD | 10/10 | 140 | 538 | 0/10 | 128 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.1 | 83.55 | 0.7 | No |
| 7440-02-0 | NICKEL | 10/10 | 75 | 49.2 | 1/10 | 48.6 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.5 | 43.23 | 0.9 | No |
| 7782-49-2 | SELENIUM | 9/10 | 3.5 | 5.03 | 1/10 | 2.9 | Region 4 | 3/10 | 1.2 | 2.64 | 0.9 | No |
| 7440-66-6 | ZINC | 10/10 | 640 | 761 | 0/10 | 459 | Region 4 | 1/10 | 1.4 | 401.3 | 0.9 | No |

Table 3.1A

Refinement of Constituents of Potential Ecological Concern in the Northern Pond Sediment
 Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| CAS Number | Constituent | Freq. of Detection | Maximum Detected Conc. | Background Screening Value (BSV) | Freq. Exceeding BSV | Refinement Screening Value (RSV) | RSV Source | Freq. Exceeding RSV | Refinement Hazard Quotient (HQ) | 95% UCL Conc. | 95% UCL HQ | COPC (Y/N) Basis |
|------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|
|------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|

Notes:

BSV from Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol, 2007.

RSV USEPA Region 4 Ecological Risk Assessment Supplemental Guidance, 2018

Table 3.1B

Calculation of ESBs for PAHs in Northern Pond Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| (TOC=3.7%; f _{OC} =0.037) | COC _{PAHI,FCVI} (µg/g _{OC}) | COC _{PAHI,Maxi} (µg/g _{OC}) | SD22 | | | SD23 | | | SD24 | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} |
| ACENAPHTHENE | 491 | 33400 | 0.1 | 2.70 | 0.0055 | 0.076 | 2.05 | 0.0042 | 0.056 | 1.51 | 0.0031 |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE | 452 | 24000 | 0.029 | 0.78 | 0.0017 | 0.105 | 2.84 | 0.0063 | 0.08 | 2.16 | 0.0048 |
| ANTHRACENE | 594 | 1300 | 0.1 | 2.70 | 0.0046 | 0.045 | 1.22 | 0.0020 | 0.04 | 1.08 | 0.0018 |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | 841 | 4153 | 0.12 | 3.24 | 0.0039 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0035 | 0.093 | 2.51 | 0.0030 |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE | 965 | 3840 | 0.14 | 3.78 | 0.0039 | 0.12 | 3.24 | 0.0034 | 0.046 | 1.24 | 0.0013 |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | 979 | 2169 | 0.27 | 7.30 | 0.0075 | 0.22 | 5.95 | 0.0061 | 0.15 | 4.05 | 0.0041 |
| BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE | 1095 | 648 | 0.86 | 23.24 | 0.0212 | 0.1 | 2.70 | 0.0025 | 0.07 | 1.89 | 0.0017 |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE | 981 | 1220 | 0.96 | 25.95 | 0.0264 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0030 | 0.059 | 1.59 | 0.0016 |
| CHRYSENE | 844 | 826 | 0.2 | 5.41 | 0.0064 | 0.2 | 5.41 | 0.0064 | 0.42 | 11.35 | 0.0134 |
| DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE | 1123 | 2389 | 0.1 | 2.70 | 0.0024 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0026 | 0.08 | 2.16 | 0.0019 |
| FLUORANTHENE | 707 | 23870 | 0.28 | 7.57 | 0.0107 | 0.34 | 9.19 | 0.0130 | 0.25 | 6.76 | 0.0096 |
| FLUORENE | 538 | 26000 | 0.055 | 1.49 | 0.0028 | 0.089 | 2.41 | 0.0045 | 0.069 | 1.86 | 0.0035 |
| INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE | 1115 | - | 0.079 | 2.14 | 0.0019 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0027 | 0.08 | 2.16 | 0.0019 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 385 | 61700 | 0.073 | 1.97 | 0.0051 | 0.58 | 15.68 | 0.0407 | 0.34 | 9.19 | 0.0239 |
| PHENANTHRENE | 596 | 34300 | 0.19 | 5.14 | 0.0086 | 0.25 | 6.76 | 0.0113 | 0.21 | 5.68 | 0.0095 |
| PYRENE | 697 | 9090 | 0.27 | 7.30 | 0.0105 | 0.35 | 9.46 | 0.0136 | 0.24 | 6.49 | 0.0093 |
| SUM TOTAL ESBTU_{FCVI,13} = | | | | | 0.1231 | | | 0.1258 | | | 0.0945 |
| SUM WITH ALL PAH MULTIPLIER (2.75 FOR 50% CONFIDENCE LEVEL) | | | | | 0.34 | | | 0.35 | | | 0.26 |

Table 3.1B

Calculation of ESBs for PAHs in Northern Pond Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| (TOC=3.7%; f _{OC} =0.037) | COC _{PAHI,FCVI} (µg/g _{OC}) | COC _{PAHI,Maxi} (µg/g _{OC}) | SD25 | | | SD26 | | | SD27 | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} |
| ACENAPHTHENE | 491 | 33400 | 0.026 | 0.70 | 0.0014 | 0.57 | 15.41 | 0.0314 | 0.0315 | 0.85 | 0.0017 |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE | 452 | 24000 | 0.026 | 0.70 | 0.0016 | 0.021 | 0.57 | 0.0013 | 0.0315 | 0.85 | 0.0019 |
| ANTHRACENE | 594 | 1300 | 0.0088 | 0.24 | 0.0004 | 1.3 | 35.14 | 0.0592 | 0.0315 | 0.85 | 0.0014 |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | 841 | 4153 | 0.024 | 0.65 | 0.0008 | 2.3 | 62.16 | 0.0739 | 0.037 | 1.00 | 0.0012 |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE | 965 | 3840 | 0.026 | 0.70 | 0.0007 | 2.5 | 67.57 | 0.0700 | 0.045 | 1.22 | 0.0013 |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | 979 | 2169 | 0.051 | 1.38 | 0.0014 | 3.9 | 105.41 | 0.1077 | 0.066 | 1.78 | 0.0018 |
| BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE | 1095 | 648 | 0.018 | 0.49 | 0.0004 | 1.4 | 37.84 | 0.0346 | 0.027 | 0.73 | 0.0007 |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE | 981 | 1220 | 0.026 | 0.70 | 0.0007 | 1.4 | 37.84 | 0.0386 | 0.026 | 0.70 | 0.0007 |
| CHRYSENE | 844 | 826 | 0.045 | 1.22 | 0.0014 | 2.5 | 67.57 | 0.0801 | 0.049 | 1.32 | 0.0016 |
| DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE | 1123 | 2389 | 0.026 | 0.70 | 0.0006 | 0.31 | 8.38 | 0.0075 | 0.015 | 0.41 | 0.0004 |
| FLUORANTHENE | 707 | 23870 | 0.045 | 1.22 | 0.0017 | 4.2 | 113.51 | 0.1606 | 0.096 | 2.59 | 0.0037 |
| FLUORENE | 538 | 26000 | 0.011 | 0.30 | 0.0006 | 0.63 | 17.03 | 0.0316 | 0.0315 | 0.85 | 0.0016 |
| INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE | 1115 | - | 0.023 | 0.62 | 0.0006 | 1.4 | 37.84 | 0.0339 | 0.032 | 0.86 | 0.0008 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 385 | 61700 | 0.015 | 0.41 | 0.0011 | 0.27 | 7.30 | 0.0190 | 0.014 | 0.38 | 0.0010 |
| PHENANTHRENE | 596 | 34300 | 0.049 | 1.32 | 0.0022 | 4 | 108.11 | 0.1814 | 0.049 | 1.32 | 0.0022 |
| PYRENE | 697 | 9090 | 0.083 | 2.24 | 0.0032 | 9.3 | 251.35 | 0.3606 | 0.075 | 2.03 | 0.0029 |
| SUM TOTAL ESBTU_{FCVI,13} = | | | | | 0.0188 | | | 1.2911 | | | 0.0248 |
| SUM WITH ALL PAH MULTIPLIER (2.75 FOR 50% CONFIDENCE LEVEL) | | | | | 0.05 | | | 3.55 | | | 0.07 |

Table 3.1B

Calculation of ESBs for PAHs in Northern Pond Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| (TOC=3.7%; f _{OC} =0.037) | COC _{PAHI,FCVI} (µg/g _{OC}) | COC _{PAHI,Maxi} (µg/g _{OC}) | SD28 | | | SD29 | | | SD30 | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} | Conc. (µg/g dry wt.) | COC (µg/g _{OC}) | ESBTU _{FCVI} |
| ACENAPHTHENE | 491 | 33400 | 0.46 | 12.43 | 0.0253 | 0.043 | 1.16 | 0.0024 | 0.86 | 23.24 | 0.0473 |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE | 452 | 24000 | 0.021 | 0.57 | 0.0013 | 0.071 | 1.92 | 0.0042 | 0.24 | 6.49 | 0.0144 |
| ANTHRACENE | 594 | 1300 | 0.057 | 1.54 | 0.0026 | 0.058 | 1.57 | 0.0026 | 2.7 | 72.97 | 0.1229 |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | 841 | 4153 | 0.16 | 4.32 | 0.0051 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0035 | 7.7 | 208.11 | 0.2475 |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE | 965 | 3840 | 0.28 | 7.57 | 0.0078 | 0.14 | 3.78 | 0.0039 | 7.8 | 210.81 | 0.2185 |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | 979 | 2169 | 0.5 | 13.51 | 0.0138 | 0.22 | 5.95 | 0.0061 | 11 | 297.30 | 0.3037 |
| BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE | 1095 | 648 | 0.32 | 8.65 | 0.0079 | 0.07 | 1.89 | 0.0017 | 4.2 | 113.51 | 0.1037 |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE | 981 | 1220 | 0.15 | 4.05 | 0.0041 | 0.083 | 2.24 | 0.0023 | 3.9 | 105.41 | 0.1074 |
| CHRYSENE | 844 | 826 | 0.35 | 9.46 | 0.0112 | 0.16 | 4.32 | 0.0051 | 7.5 | 202.70 | 0.2402 |
| DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE | 1123 | 2389 | 0.08 | 2.16 | 0.0019 | 0.07 | 1.89 | 0.0017 | 1.3 | 35.14 | 0.0313 |
| FLUORANTHENE | 707 | 23870 | 0.27 | 7.30 | 0.0103 | 0.31 | 8.38 | 0.0119 | 20 | 540.54 | 0.7646 |
| FLUORENE | 538 | 26000 | 0.21 | 5.68 | 0.0105 | 0.075 | 2.03 | 0.0038 | 1.5 | 40.54 | 0.0754 |
| INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE | 1115 | - | 0.23 | 6.22 | 0.0056 | 0.07 | 1.89 | 0.0017 | 3.9 | 105.41 | 0.0945 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 385 | 61700 | 1.4 | 37.84 | 0.0983 | 0.5 | 13.51 | 0.0351 | 0.86 | 23.24 | 0.0604 |
| PHENANTHRENE | 596 | 34300 | 0.25 | 6.76 | 0.0113 | 0.3 | 8.11 | 0.0136 | 11 | 297.30 | 0.4988 |
| PYRENE | 697 | 9090 | 1.1 | 29.73 | 0.0427 | 0.33 | 8.92 | 0.0128 | 14 | 378.38 | 0.5429 |
| SUM TOTAL ESBTU_{FCVI,13} = | | | | | 0.2598 | | | 0.1124 | | | 3.4732 |
| SUM WITH ALL PAH MULTIPLIER (2.75 FOR 50% CONFIDENCE LEVEL) | | | | | 0.71 | | | 0.31 | | | 9.55 |

Table 3.1B

Calculation of ESBs for PAHs in Northern Pond Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| (TOC=3.7%; $f_{OC}=0.037$) | COC _{PAHI, FCVi} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{g}_{OC}$) | COC _{PAHI, Maxi} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{g}_{OC}$) | SD31 | | |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ dry wt.) | COC ($\mu\text{g}/\text{g}_{OC}$) | ESBTU _{FCVi} |
| PAH | | | | | |
| ACENAPHTHENE | 491 | 33400 | 0.095 | 2.57 | 0.0052 |
| ACENAPHTHYLENE | 452 | 24000 | 0.031 | 0.84 | 0.0019 |
| ANTHRACENE | 594 | 1300 | 0.052 | 1.41 | 0.0024 |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | 841 | 4153 | 0.15 | 4.05 | 0.0048 |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE | 965 | 3840 | 0.2 | 5.41 | 0.0056 |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | 979 | 2169 | 0.35 | 9.46 | 0.0097 |
| BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE | 1095 | 648 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0027 |
| BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE | 981 | 1220 | 0.11 | 2.97 | 0.0030 |
| CHRYSENE | 844 | 826 | 0.21 | 5.68 | 0.0067 |
| DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE | 1123 | 2389 | 0.19 | 5.14 | 0.0046 |
| FLUORANTHENE | 707 | 23870 | 0.31 | 8.38 | 0.0119 |
| FLUORENE | 538 | 26000 | 0.06 | 1.62 | 0.0030 |
| INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE | 1115 | - | 0.077 | 2.08 | 0.0019 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 385 | 61700 | 0.078 | 2.11 | 0.0055 |
| PHENANTHRENE | 596 | 34300 | 0.2 | 5.41 | 0.0091 |
| PYRENE | 697 | 9090 | 0.34 | 9.19 | 0.0132 |
| SUM TOTAL ESBTU_{FCV,13} = | | | | | 0.0910 |
| SUM WITH ALL PAH MULTIPLIER (2.75 FOR 50% CONFIDENCE LEVEL) | | | | | 0.25 |

Table 3.1B

Calculation of ESBs for PAHs in Northern Pond Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

Notes:

From: USEPA, 2003. Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures
Percent TOC (3.7%) is the median value detected in background sediments from Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol, 2007.

Estimated ESTBU value exceeds 1 indicating potential for adverse effects from PAHs.

Table 3.2

Refinement of Constituents of Potential Ecological Concern in the Southern Wetland Sediment
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| CAS Number | Constituent | Freq. of Detection | Maximum Detected Conc. | Background Screening Value (BSV) | Freq. Exceeding BSV | Refinement Screening Value (RSV) | RSV Source | Freq. Exceeding RSV | Refinement Hazard Quotient (HQ) | 95% UCL Conc. | 95% UCL HQ | COPC (Y/N) Basis |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|
| Volatiles, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67-64-1 | ACETONE | 8/15 | 0.93 | NA | NA | 1.2 | Region 4 | 0/15 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 0.22 | No |
| 71-43-2 | BENZENE | 4/15 | 0.04 | NA | NA | 0.12 | Region 4 | 0/15 | 0.33 | 0.016 | 0.13 | No |
| Semi-Volatiles, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | LMW PAHs | 15/15 | 9.99 | NA | NA | 29 | Region 4 | 0/15 | 0.34 | 5.12 | 0.18 | No |
| - | HMW PAHs | 15/15 | 60.7 | NA | NA | 1.1 | Region 4 | 12/15 | 55 | 60.74 | 55 | Yes |
| 117-81-7 | BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE | 8/15 | 2.2 J+ | NA | NA | 0.02 | Region 4 | 8/15 | 110 | 1.06 | 53 | Yes |
| 132-64-9 | DIBENZOFURAN | 3/15 | 2.7 | NA | NA | 0.15 | Region 4 | 1/15 | 18 | 0.68 | 4.5 | Yes |
| Pesticides, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60-57-1 | DIELDRIN | 2/15 | 0.12 J | NA | NA | 0.54 | Calumet, 2007 | 0/15 | 0.2 | 0.54 | 1 | No |
| 72-54-8 | P,P'-DDD | 1/15 | 0.22 | NA | NA | 0.04 | Calumet, 2007 | 1/15 | 6 | 0.22 | 6 | Yes |
| PCBs, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12674-11-2 | PCB-1016 (AROCLOR 1016) | 0/13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | No |
| 11104-28-2 | PCB-1221 (AROCLOR 1221) | 0/13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | No |
| 11141-16-5 | PCB-1232 (AROCLOR 1232) | 0/13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | No |
| 53469-21-9 | PCB-1242 (AROCLOR 1242) | 1/13 | 150 | NA | NA | 0.041 | Region 4 | 1/13 | 3659 | NA | NA | Yes |
| 12672-29-6 | PCB-1248 (AROCLOR 1248) | 7/13 | 48 | NA | NA | 0.0072 | Region 4 | 7/13 | 6667 | NA | NA | Yes |
| 11097-69-1 | PCB-1254 (AROCLOR 1254) | 7/13 | 26 | NA | NA | 0.014 | Region 4 | 7/13 | 1857 | NA | NA | Yes |
| 11096-82-5 | PCB-1260 (AROCLOR 1260) | 2/13 | 0.05 | NA | NA | 0.88 | Region 4 | 0/13 | 0.1 | NA | NA | No |
| - | Total PCBs | 11/13 | 132 | NA | NA | 13 | Region 4 | 11/13 | 10 | 33.7 | 3 | Yes |
| Inorganics, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7440-36-0 | ANTIMONY | 12/15 | 13 | 4 | 4/15 | 65 | Calumet, 2007 | 0/15 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 0.1 | No |
| 7440-38-2 | ARSENIC | 15/15 | 71 | 13 | 4/15 | 31 | Calumet, 2007 | 3/15 | 2.3 | 37.31 | 1.2 | Yes |
| 7440-39-3 | BARIUM | 15/15 | 400 | 110 | 8/15 | 585 | Calumet, 2007 | 0/15 | 0.7 | 171.8 | 0.3 | No |
| 7440-41-7 | BERYLLIUM | 15/15 | 2.8 | 0.59 | 13/15 | 48 | Calumet, 2007 | 0/15 | 0.06 | 1.37 | 0.03 | No |
| 7440-43-9 | CADMIUM | 15/15 | 13 | 0.6 | 8/15 | 3.37 | Calumet, 2007 | 4/15 | 4 | 6.21 | 1.8 | Yes |
| 7440-47-3 | CHROMIUM | 15/15 | 1500 | 16.2 | 15/15 | 131 | Calumet, 2007 | 4/15 | 11 | 1500 | 11 | Yes |
| 7440-50-8 | COPPER | 15/15 | 640 | 19.6 | 15/15 | 190 | Calumet, 2007 | 4/15 | 3 | 246.5 | 1.3 | Yes |
| 7439-92-1 | LEAD | 15/15 | 2300 | 36 | 13/15 | 430 | Calumet, 2007 | 4/15 | 5 | 1182 | 3 | Yes |
| 7439-96-5 | MANGANESE | 15/15 | 4200 | 636 | 6/15 | 500 | Calumet, 2007 | 9/15 | 8 | 1417 | 3 | Yes |
| 7440-02-0 | NICKEL | 15/15 | 140 | 18 | 15/15 | 210 | Calumet, 2007 | 0/15 | 0.7 | 95.4 | 0.5 | No |
| 7782-49-2 | SELENIUM | 13/15 | 9.3 | 0.48 | 12/15 | 1 | Calumet, 2007 | 8/15 | 9 | 4.62 | 5 | Yes |
| 7440-22-4 | SILVER | 10/15 | 18 | 0.55 | 4/15 | 2 | Calumet, 2007 | 3/15 | 9 | 15.43 | 8 | Yes |
| 7440-28-0 | THALLIUM | 2/15 | 3.6 J | 0.32 | 2/15 | 1.3 | Calumet, 2007 | 1/15 | 3 | 3.6 | 3 | Yes |
| 7440-62-2 | VANADIUM | 15/15 | 69 | 25.2 | 8/15 | 43 | Calumet, 2007 | 3/15 | 2 | 37.7 | 0.9 | Yes |
| 7440-66-6 | ZINC | 15/15 | 9600 | 95 | 15/15 | 250 | Calumet, 2007 | 8/15 | 38 | 4256 | 17 | Yes |
| 7439-97-6 | MERCURY | 15/15 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 11/15 | 1.3 | Calumet, 2007 | 1/15 | 2 | 1.14 | 0.9 | No |
| 57-12-5 | CYANIDE | 10/15 | 3.4 | 0.51 | 5/15 | 9 | Calumet, 2007 | 0/15 | 0 | 2.03 | 0.2 | No |

References:

Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol, 2007 (soil background for BSV and soil threshold for RSV).

USEPA Region 4 Ecological Risk Assessment Supplemental Guidance, 2018

TABLE 4.1

COPEC EXPOSURE POINT CONCENTRATIONS BY MEDIA
 REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT
 95% UCL Concentrations

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | No Pond Sediment (mg/kg) | So Wetlands Sed Conc. (mg/kg) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.61 | |
| Total LMW PAHs | 9.78 | |
| Total HMW PAHs | 81.3 | 60.74 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 6.08 | 1.06 |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 16 | |
| Dibenzofuran | | 0.68 |
| Di-N-Butyl Phthalate | 0.55 | |
| Phenol | 0.39 | |
| DDD | | 0.22 |
| Total PCBs | 3.75 | 33.7 |
| Arsenic | | 37.31 |
| Cadmium | | 6.21 |
| Chromium | | 1500 |
| Copper | 165.4 | 246.5 |
| Lead | | 1182 |
| Manganese | | 1417 |
| Selenium | | 4.62 |
| Silver | | 15.43 |
| Thallium | | 3.6 |
| Vanadium | | 37.7 |
| Zinc | | 4256 |

A blank indicates that the compound was not identified as a COPEC for that medium.
 95% UCLs calculated per ProUCL version 5.1 as presented in Attachment A.

Table 4.2

DETERMINATION OF PLANT TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS - SOUTHERN WETLANDS - 95% UCLs
REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Gary Development Landfill

| COPEC | Exposure Point Concentration ⁽¹⁾ (mg/kg) | Soil to Plant Uptake Equation ⁽²⁾ | Reference | Concentration in Plant (mg/kg) |
|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 1.06 | $C_p = 0.0015 * C_s$ | RAIS, 2017 | 1.59E-03 |
| Dibenzofuran | 0.68 | $C_p = 0.157 * C_s$ | RAIS, 2017 | 1.07E-01 |
| Total HMW PAHs | 60.74 | $\ln(C_p) = 0.9469 * \ln(C_s) - 1.7026$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 8.90E+00 |
| DDD | 0.22 | $\ln(C_p) = 0.7524 * \ln(C_s) - 2.5119$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 2.60E-02 |
| Total PCBs (high risk) | 33.7 | $C_p = 0.00293 * C_s$ | RAIS, 2017 | 5.42E-02 |
| Arsenic | 37.31 | $C_p = 0.03752 * C_s$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1.40E+00 |
| Cadmium | 6.21 | $\ln(C_p) = 0.546 * \ln(C_s) - 0.475$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1.69E+00 |
| Chromium | 1500 | $C_p = 0.041 * C_s$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 6.15E+01 |
| Copper | 246.5 | $\ln(C_p) = 0.394 * \ln(C_s) + 0.668$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 9.43E+00 |
| Lead | 1182 | $\ln(C_p) = 0.561 * \ln(C_s) - 1.328$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1.40E+01 |
| Manganese | 1417 | $C_p = 0.079 * C_s$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1.12E+02 |
| Selenium | 4.62 | $\ln(C_p) = 1.104 * \ln(C_s) - 0.677$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 2.75E+00 |
| Silver | 15.43 | $C_p = 0.014 * C_s$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 2.16E-01 |
| Thallium | 3.6 | $C_p = 0.004 * C_s$ | Bechtel-Jacobs, 1998a | 1.44E-02 |
| Vanadium | 37.7 | $C_p = 0.00485 * C_s$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1.83E-01 |
| Zinc | 4256 | $\ln(C_p) = 0.554 * \ln(C_s) + 1.575$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 4.95E+02 |

(1) EPCs are the 95% UCL concentrations concentrations as provided in Table 4.1.

(2) Where:

C_p = concentration in the plant (mg/kg dry weight)

C_s = concentration in sediment (mg/kg)

References:

EcoSSLs, 2007 - USEPA, 2007. Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs), Attachment 4-1, Exposure Factors and Bioaccumulation Models for Derivation of Wildlife Eco-SSLs. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. Revised April 2007.

RAIS, 2017 - Risk Assessment Information System (RAIS), 2017. Database maintained by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, available at <https://rais.ornl.gov/>

Bechtel-Jacobs, 1998a - Empirical Models for the Uptake of Inorganic Chemicals from Soil by Plants. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Management. BJC/OR-133.

Table 4.3

DETERMINATION OF INVERTEBRATE TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS - SOUTHERN WETLANDS - 95% UCLs
REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Gary Development Landfill

| COPEC | Exposure Point Concentration ⁽¹⁾ (mg/kg) | Soil to Invertebrate Uptake Equation ⁽²⁾ | Reference | Concentration in Invertebrate (mg/kg) |
|----------------------------|---|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 1.06 | $C_i = (C_s / \% \text{TOC}) * \text{BSAF} * \% \text{Lipid}$ | USEPA, 2009a | 1.94E+00 |
| Dibenzofuran | 0.68 | Not expected to bioaccumulate | USEPA, 2000 | 1.07E-01 |
| Total HMW PAHs | 60.74 | $C_i = 2.6 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 1.58E+02 |
| DDD | 0.22 | $C_i = 11.2 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 2.46E+00 |
| Total PCBs (high risk) | 33.7 | $C_i = 64.122 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 2.16E+03 |
| Arsenic | 37.31 | $\ln(C_i) = 0.706 * \ln(C_s) - 1.421$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 3.11E+00 |
| Cadmium | 6.21 | $\ln(C_i) = 0.795 * \ln(C_s) + 2.114$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 3.54E+01 |
| Chromium | 1500 | $C_i = 0.306 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 4.59E+02 |
| Copper | 246.5 | $C_i = 0.515 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 1.27E+02 |
| Lead | 1182 | $\ln(C_i) = 0.807 * \ln(C_s) - 0.218$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 2.43E+02 |
| Manganese | 1417 | $\ln(C_i) = 0.682 * \ln(C_s) - 0.809$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 6.28E+01 |
| Selenium | 4.62 | $\ln(C_i) = 0.733 * \ln(C_s) - 0.075$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 2.85E+00 |
| Silver | 15.43 | $C_i = 2.045 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 3.16E+01 |
| Thallium | 3.6 | $C_i = C_s$ | Default Assumption | 3.60E+00 |
| Vanadium | 37.7 | $C_i = 0.042 * C_s$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 1.58E+00 |
| Zinc | 4256 | $\ln(C_i) = 0.328 * \ln(C_s) + 4.449$ | EcoSSLs, 2007 | 1.33E+03 |

(1) EPCs are the 95% UCL concentrations concentrations as provided in Table 4.1.

(2) Where:

C_i = concentration in the invertebrate (mg/kg dry weight)

C_s = concentration in sediment (mg/kg)

Percent total organic carbon (TOC) for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is 3.7 from Calumet Ecotoxicology Roundtable Technical Team, 2007. Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol. 2007.

Biota sediment accumulation factor for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is 1.367 from the Biota Sediment Accumulation Factor Database, 2018, available at: <https://bsaf.el.erc.dren.mil/>. The average reported BSAF for three fish species was utilized.

Percent lipid for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is 4.9 and is based on the average reported percent lipid for the three fish species in the BSAF database, 2018.

References:

EcoSSLs, 2007 - USEPA, 2007. Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs), Attachment 4-1, Exposure Factors and Bioaccumulation Models for Derivation of Wildlife Eco-SSLs. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. Revised April 2007.

Bechtel-Jacobs, 1998b - Biota Sediment Accumulation Factors for Invertebrates: Review and Recommendations for the Oak Ridge Reservation. BJC/OR-112.

USEPA, 2000 - Bioaccumulation Testing and Interpretation for the Purpose of Sediment Quality Assessment, Status and Needs, February 2000.

USEPA, 2009a - Estimation of Biota Sediment Accumulation Factor (BSAF) From Paired Observations of Chemical Concentrations in Biota and Sediment. February, 2009.

Table 4.4

**DETERMINATION OF SMALL PREY TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS - SOUTHERN WETLANDS - 95% UCLs
REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT**

Gary Development Landfill

| COPEC | Exposure Point Concentration (mg/kg _{sediment}) | Concentration in Diet (mg/kg) | Log Kow | Transfer Factor (kg _{sediment} /kg _{tissue}) | Reference | Dry Weight to Wet Weight Conversion Factor ⁽¹⁾ | Concentration in Tissue ⁽²⁾ (mg/kg _{tissue}) |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------|---|---------------------|---|---|
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 1.06 | 1.94E+00 | 7.6 | 1.00E+00 | Travis & Arms, 1988 | 1 | 1.06E+00 |
| Dibenzofuran | 0.68 | 1.07E-01 | 4.12 | 3.31E-04 | Travis & Arms, 1988 | 1 | 2.25E-04 |
| Total HMW PAHs | 60.74 | 1.58E+02 | - | Cm = 0 | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 0.00E+00 |
| DDD | 0.22 | 2.46E+00 | 6.02 | Cm = 4.83 * Cd | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 1.19E+01 |
| Total PCBs (high risk) | 33.7 | 2.16E+03 | 7.1 | 3.16E-01 | Travis & Arms, 1988 | 1 | 1.07E+01 |
| Arsenic | 37.31 | 3.11E+00 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.8188 * \ln(Cs) - 4.8471$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 1.52E-01 |
| Cadmium | 6.21 | 3.54E+01 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.4723 * \ln(Cs) - 1.2571$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 8.76E+00 |
| Chromium | 1500 | 4.59E+02 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.7338 * \ln(Cs) - 1.4599$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 4.97E+01 |
| Copper | 246.5 | 1.27E+02 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.1444 * \ln(Cs) + 2.042$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 1.14E+02 |
| Lead | 1182 | 2.43E+02 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.4422 * \ln(Cs) + 0.0761$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 2.46E+01 |
| Manganese | 1417 | 6.28E+01 | NA | Cm = 0.0205 * Cs | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 2.90E+01 |
| Selenium | 4.62 | 2.85E+00 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.3764 * \ln(Cs) - 0.4158$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 1.17E+00 |
| Silver | 15.43 | 3.16E+01 | NA | Cm = 0.004 * Cs | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 6.17E-02 |
| Thallium | 3.6 | 3.60E+00 | NA | Cm = 0.001 * 50 * Cd | Default assumption | 1 | 1.80E-01 |
| Vanadium | 37.7 | 1.58E+00 | NA | Cm = 0.0123 * Cs | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 4.64E-01 |
| Zinc | 4256 | 1.33E+03 | NA | $\ln(Cm) = 0.0706 * \ln(Cs) + 4.3632$ | Eco SSLs, 2007 | 1 | 1.42E+02 |

(1) EPCs are 95% UCL concentrations concentrations as provided in Table 4.1.

(2) Where:

Cm = concentration in the mammal (mg/kg dry weight)

Cs = concentration in sediment (mg/kg)

Cd = Concentration in the diet (mg/kg) (assumed to be 100% invertebrates; from Table 2.2).

For organics, concentration in tissue = sediment concentration x sediment-to-animal transfer factor x conversion factor (default of 1 assumed)

Transfer factor based on equation from Travis & Arms, 1988:

$\log Bb = -7.6 + \log kow$ Where: Bb = BAF, kow = Octanol to water partition coefficient for the organic COPEC

References:

EcoSSLs, 2007 - USEPA, 2007. Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs), Attachment 4-1, Exposure Factors and Bioaccumulation Models for Derivation of Wildlife Eco-SSLs. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. Revised April 2007.

Baes, C. F., III, R. D. Sharp, A. L. Sjoreen, and R. W. Shor, 1984. A Review and Analysis of Parameters for Assessing Transport of Environmentally Released Radionuclides Through Agriculture. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL-5786

Travis and Arms, 1988. Bioconcentration of Organics in Beef, Milk, and Vegetation. Environmental Science and Technology. 22(3):271-274.

TABLE 4.5

**ESTIMATED FISH TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE NORTHERN POND - 95% UCLs
REFINED ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT**

Gary Development Landfill

| COPECs in Sediment ⁽¹⁾ | Exposure Point Concentration (mg/kg) | % TOC ⁽²⁾ | BSAF ⁽³⁾ (unitless) | % Lipid ⁽⁴⁾ | Fish Conc. ⁽⁵⁾ (mg/kg) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.61 | 3.7 | 4.394 | 3.73 | 2.70E+00 |
| Total LMW PAHs ⁽⁶⁾ | 9.78 | 3.7 | 0.91 | 3.73 | 8.97E+00 |
| Total HMW PAHs ⁽⁶⁾ | 81.3 | 3.7 | 0.27 | 3.73 | 2.21E+01 |
| Total PCBs | 3.75 | 3.7 | 8.69 | 3.73 | 3.29E+01 |

(1) Selected COPECs based on being potentially site-related and bioaccumulative. 95% UCL concentrations in the 0 - 1 foot depth used as the Exposure Point Concentrations.

(2) Percent TOC is the median value detected in background sediments from Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol, 2007.

(3) Data from USACE BSAF database, 2018, <https://bsaf.el.ercd.dren.mil/>

(4) Percent lipid (wet weight) based on mean value for common carp from USACE BSAF database, 2018.

(5) Fish conc. = (Exposure Point Conc./% TOC) * BSAF * % Lipid

(6) Total LMW PAHs and Total HMW PAHs based on the maximum detected concentrations in the 0 - 1 foot depth at location SD30.

BSAF for LMW PAHs based on average for freshwater molluscs and lake trout for all listed LMW PAHs. BSAF for HMW PAHs based on average for HMW PAHs for freshwater molluscs and lake trout for all listed HMW PAHs.

Table 4.6
 Intake Parameters for the Key Ecological Receptor Species
 Refined Ecological Risk Assessment
 Gary Development Landfill
 Gary, Indiana

| Receptor Species | Body Weight (kg) | Typical Diet Composition | Food Ingestion Rate (FIR)* (kg/kg dry wt-day) | Water Ingestion Rate (WIR) (kg/kg dry wt-day) | Soil/Sediment Intake (% of diet) | Foraging Territory (acres) |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bird Species | | | | | | |
| American Robin | 0.077 | 50% plants 50% invertebrates | 0.24 | 0.14 | 10.4% | 0.37 |
| Song Sparrow | 0.02 | 100% invertebrates | 0.2 | 0.21 | 6.1% | 0.5 |
| Bobwhite | 0.18 | 100% plants | 0.024 | 0.11 | 10.4% | 8.9 |
| American Kestrel | 0.12 | 100% small prey | 0.096 | 0.12 | 2.55% | 380 |
| Osprey | 1.9 | 100% fish | 0.21 | 0.053 | 0 | 2243 |
| Mammal Species | | | | | | |
| Deer Mouse | 0.02 | 50% plants 50% invertebrates | 0.17 | 0.19 | 2% | 0.13 |
| Short-tailed Shrew | 0.018 | 100% invertebrates | 0.1 | 0.223 | 13% | 0.25 |
| Meadow Vole | 0.037 | 100% plants | 0.105 | 0.21 | 2% | 0.05 |
| Red Fox | 4.5 | 100% small prey | 0.08 | 0.086 | 2.80% | 240 |

*FIR - Adjusted for percent moisture in food (USEPA, 1993).

TABLE 4.7

Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the American Robin
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Invert Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Plant Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Temporal Use Factor (unitless) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total HMW PAHs | 6.07E+01 | 1.52E+00 | 1.58E+02 | 1.90E+01 | 8.90E+00 | 1.07E+00 | 7.50E-01 | 1.62E+01 | 2.00E+02 | 8.08E-02 |
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 8.41E-01 | 2.16E+03 | 2.59E+02 | 5.42E-02 | 6.51E-03 | 7.50E-01 | 1.95E+02 | 1.27E+00 | 1.54E+02 |
| Cadmium | 6.21E+00 | 1.55E-01 | 3.54E+01 | 4.24E+00 | 1.69E+00 | 2.02E-01 | 7.50E-01 | 3.45E+00 | 2.39E+00 | 1.44E+00 |
| Chromium | 1.50E+03 | 3.74E+01 | 4.59E+02 | 5.51E+01 | 6.15E+01 | 7.38E+00 | 7.50E-01 | 7.49E+01 | 8.32E+00 | 9.01E+00 |
| Copper | 2.47E+02 | 6.15E+00 | 1.27E+02 | 1.52E+01 | 9.43E+00 | 1.13E+00 | 7.50E-01 | 1.69E+01 | 2.80E+01 | 6.03E-01 |
| Lead | 1.18E+03 | 2.95E+01 | 2.43E+02 | 2.91E+01 | 1.40E+01 | 1.68E+00 | 7.50E-01 | 4.52E+01 | 1.33E+01 | 3.40E+00 |
| Selenium | 4.62E+00 | 1.15E-01 | 2.85E+00 | 3.42E-01 | 2.75E+00 | 3.30E-01 | 7.50E-01 | 5.91E-01 | 4.25E-01 | 1.39E+00 |
| Vanadium | 3.77E+01 | 9.41E-01 | 1.58E+00 | 1.90E-01 | 1.83E-01 | 2.19E-02 | 7.50E-01 | 8.65E-01 | 6.70E-01 | 1.29E+00 |
| Zinc | 4.26E+03 | 1.06E+02 | 1.33E+03 | 1.59E+02 | 4.95E+02 | 5.94E+01 | 7.50E-01 | 2.44E+02 | 1.05E+02 | 2.32E+00 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; plant concentrations from Table 4.2; invertebrate concentrations from Table 4.3.
Temporal use factor based on migratory behavior assuming on-site 9 months per year.

TABLE 4.8

**Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Song Sparrow
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment**

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Invert Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Total HMW PAHs | 6.07E+01 | 7.41E-01 | 1.58E+02 | 3.16E+01 | 3.23E+01 | 2.00E+02 | 1.62E-01 |
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 4.11E-01 | 2.16E+03 | 4.32E+02 | 4.33E+02 | 1.27E+00 | 3.41E+02 |
| Cadmium | 6.21E+00 | 7.58E-02 | 3.54E+01 | 7.07E+00 | 7.15E+00 | 2.39E+00 | 2.99E+00 |
| Chromium | 1.50E+03 | 1.83E+01 | 4.59E+02 | 9.18E+01 | 1.10E+02 | 8.32E+00 | 1.32E+01 |
| Copper | 2.47E+02 | 3.01E+00 | 1.27E+02 | 2.54E+01 | 2.84E+01 | 2.80E+01 | 1.01E+00 |
| Lead | 1.18E+03 | 1.44E+01 | 2.43E+02 | 4.85E+01 | 6.29E+01 | 1.33E+01 | 4.73E+00 |
| Selenium | 4.62E+00 | 5.64E-02 | 2.85E+00 | 5.70E-01 | 6.26E-01 | 4.25E-01 | 1.47E+00 |
| Vanadium | 3.77E+01 | 4.60E-01 | 1.58E+00 | 3.17E-01 | 7.77E-01 | 6.70E-01 | 1.16E+00 |
| Zinc | 4.26E+03 | 5.19E+01 | 1.33E+03 | 2.65E+02 | 3.17E+02 | 1.05E+02 | 3.02E+00 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; invertebrate concentrations from Table 4.3.

TABLE 4.9

Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Bobwhite
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Plant Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Area Use Factor (unitless) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 4.11E-01 | 5.42E-02 | 1.30E-03 | 2.50E-01 | 1.03E-01 | 1.27E+00 | 8.12E-02 |
| Chromium | 1.50E+03 | 1.83E+01 | 6.15E+01 | 1.48E+00 | 2.50E-01 | 4.94E+00 | 8.32E+00 | 5.94E-01 |
| Lead | 1.18E+03 | 1.44E+01 | 1.40E+01 | 3.37E-01 | 2.50E-01 | 3.69E+00 | 1.33E+01 | 2.77E-01 |
| Vanadium | 3.77E+01 | 4.60E-01 | 1.83E-01 | 4.39E-03 | 2.50E-01 | 1.16E-01 | 6.70E-01 | 1.73E-01 |
| Zinc | 4.26E+03 | 5.19E+01 | 4.95E+02 | 1.19E+01 | 2.50E-01 | 1.59E+01 | 1.05E+02 | 1.52E-01 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; plant concentrations from Table 4.2.

Area use factor based on a foraging territory of 8.9 acres and site area of 2.2 acres ($2.2 / 8.9 = 0.25$).

TABLE 4.10

Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the American Kestrel
 Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Small Prey Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Area Use Factor (unitless) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| DDD | 2.20E-01 | 5.39E-04 | 1.19E+01 | 1.14E+00 | 6.00E-03 | 6.86E-03 | 8.20E-01 | 8.36E-03 |
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 8.25E-02 | 1.07E+01 | 1.02E+00 | 6.00E-03 | 6.63E-03 | 1.27E+00 | 5.22E-03 |
| Chromium | 1.50E+03 | 3.67E+00 | 4.97E+01 | 4.77E+00 | 6.00E-03 | 5.07E-02 | 8.32E+00 | 6.09E-03 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; small prey concentrations from Table 4.4.

Area use factor based on a foraging territory of 380 acres and site area of 2.2 acres ($2.2 / 380 = 0.006$).

TABLE 4.11

**Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Osprey
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment**

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | No. Pond Fish Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Area Use Factor (unitless) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|-----------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total PCBs | 2.98E+01 | 6.26E+00 | 2.00E-03 | 1.25E-02 | 1.27E+00 | 9.86E-03 |

Fish tissue concentrations from Table 4.5

Area use factor based on a foraging territory of 2243 acres and site area of 4.8 acres ($4.8 / 2243 = 0.002$).

TABLE 4.12

**Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Deer Mouse
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment**

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Invert Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Plant Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total HMW PAHs | 6.07E+01 | 2.07E-01 | 1.58E+02 | 1.34E+01 | 8.90E+00 | 7.56E-01 | 1.44E+01 | 3.07E+00 | 4.69E+00 |
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 1.15E-01 | 2.16E+03 | 1.84E+02 | 5.42E-02 | 4.61E-03 | 1.84E+02 | 1.30E+00 | 1.41E+02 |
| Cadmium | 6.21E+00 | 2.11E-02 | 3.54E+01 | 3.01E+00 | 1.69E+00 | 1.43E-01 | 3.17E+00 | 2.01E+00 | 1.58E+00 |
| Chromium | 1.50E+03 | 5.10E+00 | 4.59E+02 | 3.90E+01 | 6.15E+01 | 5.23E+00 | 4.93E+01 | 1.61E+01 | 3.06E+00 |
| Lead | 1.18E+03 | 4.02E+00 | 2.43E+02 | 2.06E+01 | 1.40E+01 | 1.19E+00 | 2.58E+01 | 2.87E+01 | 9.00E-01 |
| Manganese | 1.42E+03 | 4.82E+00 | 6.28E+01 | 5.34E+00 | 1.12E+02 | 9.52E+00 | 1.97E+01 | 7.10E+01 | 2.77E-01 |
| Selenium | 4.62E+00 | 1.57E-02 | 2.85E+00 | 2.42E-01 | 2.75E+00 | 2.34E-01 | 4.92E-01 | 2.37E-01 | 2.08E+00 |
| Zinc | 4.26E+03 | 1.45E+01 | 1.33E+03 | 1.13E+02 | 4.95E+02 | 4.21E+01 | 1.69E+02 | 8.23E+01 | 2.06E+00 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; plant concentrations from Table 4.2; invertebrate concentrations from Table 4.3.

TABLE 4.13

**Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Short-Tail Shrew
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment**

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Invert Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Total HMW PAHs | 6.07E+01 | 7.90E-01 | 1.58E+02 | 1.58E+01 | 1.66E+01 | 3.07E+00 | 5.40E+00 |
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 4.38E-01 | 2.16E+03 | 2.16E+02 | 2.17E+02 | 1.30E+00 | 1.67E+02 |
| Cadmium | 6.21E+00 | 8.07E-02 | 3.54E+01 | 3.54E+00 | 3.62E+00 | 2.01E+00 | 1.80E+00 |
| Chromium | 1.50E+03 | 1.95E+01 | 4.59E+02 | 4.59E+01 | 6.54E+01 | 1.61E+01 | 4.06E+00 |
| Copper | 2.47E+02 | 3.20E+00 | 1.27E+02 | 1.27E+01 | 1.59E+01 | 3.12E+01 | 5.10E-01 |
| Lead | 1.18E+03 | 1.54E+01 | 2.43E+02 | 2.43E+01 | 3.96E+01 | 2.87E+01 | 1.38E+00 |
| Manganese | 1.42E+03 | 1.84E+01 | 6.28E+01 | 6.28E+00 | 2.47E+01 | 7.10E+01 | 3.48E-01 |
| Selenium | 4.62E+00 | 6.01E-02 | 2.85E+00 | 2.85E-01 | 3.45E-01 | 2.37E-01 | 1.46E+00 |
| Zinc | 4.26E+03 | 5.53E+01 | 1.33E+03 | 1.33E+02 | 1.88E+02 | 8.23E+01 | 2.28E+00 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; invertebrate concentrations from Table 4.3.

TABLE 4.14

Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Meadow Vole
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Wetland Plant Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total HMW PAHs | 6.07E+01 | 1.28E-01 | 8.90E+00 | 9.34E-01 | 1.06E+00 | 3.07E+00 | 3.46E-01 |
| Manganese | 1.42E+03 | 2.98E+00 | 1.12E+02 | 1.18E+01 | 1.47E+01 | 7.10E+01 | 2.07E-01 |
| Selenium | 4.62E+00 | 9.70E-03 | 2.75E+00 | 2.89E-01 | 2.99E-01 | 2.37E-01 | 1.26E+00 |
| Zinc | 4.26E+03 | 8.94E+00 | 4.95E+02 | 5.20E+01 | 6.09E+01 | 8.23E+01 | 7.40E-01 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; plant concentrations from Table 4.2.

TABLE 4.15

**Estimated Exposure Intake and Hazard Quotient Calculations for the Red Fox
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment**

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | Sediment Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Sediment (mg/kg-day) | Small Prey Food Conc. (mg/kg) | Est. Intake via Food (mg/kg-day) | Total Estimated Intake (mg/kg-day) | Ecological LOAEL TRV (mg/kg-day) | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Total HMW PAHs | 6.07E+01 | 1.36E-01 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.36E-01 | 3.07E+00 | 4.43E-02 |
| DDD | 2.20E-01 | 4.93E-04 | 1.19E+01 | 9.52E-01 | 9.53E-01 | 9.80E-01 | 9.72E-01 |
| Total PCBs | 3.37E+01 | 7.55E-02 | 1.07E+01 | 8.53E-01 | 9.28E-01 | 1.30E+00 | 7.14E-01 |

Sediment concentrations from Table 4.1; small prey concentrations from Table 4.4.

TABLE 4.16

Direct Sediment Comparison for Toxicity to Wetland Plants
 Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | So Wetlands 95% UCL Sed Conc. (mg/kg) | Regional Background (mg/kg) | Wetland Plant LOAEL (mg/kg) | Reference | Calculated LOAEL HQ (unitless) |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| High Molecular Weight PAHs | 60.74 | - | 1.1 | Region 4 SL, 2018 | 55 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 1.06 | - | 0.02 | Region 4 SL, 2018 | 53 |
| Dibenzofuran | 0.68 | - | 61 | LANL, 2017 | 0.01 |
| DDD | 0.22 | - | 0.021 | Region 4 SL, 2018 | 10 |
| Total PCBs | 27.64 | - | 40 | Region 4 Plants, 2018 | 0.7 |
| Arsenic | 37.31 | 13 | 91 | LANL, 2017 | 0.4 |
| Cadmium | 6.21 | 0.6 | 32 | Region 4 Plants, 2018 | 0.2 |
| Chromium | 1500 | 16.2 | - | | |
| Copper | 246.5 | 19.6 | 490 | LANL, 2017 | 0.5 |
| Lead | 1182 | 36 | 570 | LANL, 2017 | 2.1 |
| Manganese | 1417 | 636 | 1100 | LANL, 2017 | 1.3 |
| Thallium | 3.6 | 0.32 | 0.5 | LANL, 2017 | 7.2 |
| Vanadium | 37.7 | 25.2 | 80 | LANL, 2017 | 0.5 |
| Zinc | 4256 | 95 | 810 | LANL, 2017 | 5.3 |

Regional background from Illinois TACO, 2007 for Metropolitan Areas.

Region 4 Plants, 2018 is specific to plant exposures.

Region 4 SL, 2018 is generic for all soils.

LANL, 2017 - Los Alamos National Laboratory EcoRisk database.

TABLE 4.17

Direct Sediment Comparison for Toxicity to Wetland Invertebrates
 Refined Ecological Risk Assessment

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | So Wetlands 95% UCL Sed Conc. (mg/kg) | Regional Background (mg/kg) | Wetland Invert. LOAEL (mg/kg) | Reference | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| High Molecular Weight PAHs | 60.74 | - | 18 | Region 4 Inv., 2018 | 3.4 |
| Dibenzofuran | 0.68 | - | 0.15 | Region 4 Inv., 2018 | 4.5 |
| DDD | 0.22 | - | 0.021 | Region 4 Inv., 2018 | 10 |
| Total PCBs | 33.7 | - | 0.33 | Region 4 Inv., 2018 | 102 |
| Arsenic | 37.31 | 13 | 68 | LANL, 2017 | 0.5 |
| Chromium | 1500 | 16.2 | - | | |
| Copper | 246.5 | 19.6 | 530 | LANL, 2017 | 0.5 |
| Lead | 1182 | 36 | 8400 | LANL, 2017 | 0.1 |
| Manganese | 1417 | 636 | 4500 | LANL, 2017 | 0.3 |
| Silver | 15.43 | 0.55 | 4.2 | Region 4 SL, 2018 | 3.7 |
| Thallium | 3.6 | 0.32 | 0.05 | Region 4 SL, 2018 | 72 |
| Vanadium | 37.7 | 25.2 | 7.8 | Region 4 SL, 2018 | 4.8 |
| Zinc | 4256 | 95 | 930 | LANL, 2017 | 4.6 |

Regional background from Illinois TACO, 2007 for Metropolitan Areas.

Region 4 Inv., 2018 is specific to invertebrate exposures.

Region 4 SL, 2018 is generic for all soils.

LANL, 2017 - Los Alamos National Laboratory EcoRisk database; based on earthworm exposure.

TABLE 4.18

**Direct Sediment Comparison for Toxicity to Northern Pond Aquatic Life
Refined Ecological Risk Assessment**

Gary Development Landfill

| Compound | No. Pond 95% UCL Sed Conc. (mg/kg) | Regional Background (mg/kg) | Sediment RSV (mg/kg) | Reference | Calculated HQ (unitless) |
|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.61 | - | 0.468 | Region 4, 2018 | 1.3 |
| Low Molecular Weight PAHs | 9.78 | - | 0.584 | Region 4, 2018 | 17 |
| High Molecular Weight PAHs | 81.3 | - | 0.9675 | Region 4, 2018 | 84 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 6.08 | - | 2.6 | Region 4, 2018 | 2.3 |
| Butyl Benzyl Phthalate | 16 | - | 0.481 | Region 4, 2018 | 33 |
| Di-N-Butyl Phthalate | 0.55 | - | 0.319 | Region 4, 2018 | 1.7 |
| Phenol | 0.39 | - | 0.21 | Region 4, 2018 | 1.9 |
| Total PCBs | 3.76 | 0.134 | 0.676 | Region 4, 2018 | 5.6 |
| Copper | 165.4 | 99.9 | 149 | Region 4, 2018 | 1.1 |

Regional background from Calumet Area Ecotoxicology Protocol, 2007, Appendix A, Table A-2.

Sediment RSV from USEPA Region 4, 2018.

APPENDIX A

95% UCL Printouts

Northern Pond 95% UCLs

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------|---|---|--|---|---|--------|---|---|
| 1 | UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Date/Time of Computation | | ProUCL 5.13/20/2019 3:00:22 PM | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | From File | | ProUCL Setup No Pond Sed-eco-rev2.xls | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Full Precision | | OFF | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Confidence Coefficient | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 1,4-DCB | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | |
| 14 | Number of Detects | | | 1 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 9 | | |
| 15 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 1 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 9 | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | s suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | The data set for variable 1,4-DCB was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Acenaphthene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | |
| 27 | Number of Detects | | | 6 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 4 | | |
| 28 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 6 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 4 | | |
| 29 | Minimum Detect | | | 43 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 52 | | |
| 30 | Maximum Detect | | | 860 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 200 | | |
| 31 | Variance Detects | | | 115231 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 40% | | |
| 32 | Mean Detects | | | 344.2 | | | SD Detects | | | 339.5 | | |
| 33 | Median Detects | | | 268 | | | CV Detects | | | 0.986 | | |
| 34 | Skewness Detects | | | 0.612 | | | Kurtosis Detects | | | -1.301 | | |
| 35 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 5.225 | | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.327 | | |
| 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 37 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.858 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 39 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.788 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 40 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.285 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 41 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.325 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 42 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | KM Mean | | | 226.1 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 97.12 | | |
| 46 | KM SD | | | 280.3 | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | 385.8 | | |
| 47 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 404.2 | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | 387.5 | | |
| 48 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 385.9 | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | 495.2 | | |
| 49 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 517.5 | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 649.5 | | |
| 50 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 832.7 | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1193 | | |
| 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.531 | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 54 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.716 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 55 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.289 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 56 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.341 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 57 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.944 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.583 |
| 61 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 364.7 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 590.4 |
| 62 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 11.32 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 6.995 |
| 63 | | | | Mean (detects) | | 344.2 | | | | | | |
| 64 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | Minimum | | 0.01 | | | | | Mean | 209.9 |
| 72 | | | | Maximum | | 860 | | | | | Median | 49.5 |
| 73 | | | | SD | | 306.8 | | | | | CV | 1.462 |
| 74 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.273 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.258 |
| 75 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 769.4 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 814.7 |
| 76 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 5.456 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 5.152 |
| 77 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | 0.0267 | | | | | | |
| 78 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.15, α) | | 1.223 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.15, β) | 0.926 |
| 79 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 884 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 1168 |
| 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 81 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 226.1 | | | | | SD (KM) | 280.3 |
| 83 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 78552 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 97.12 |
| 84 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 0.651 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.522 |
| 85 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 13.02 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 10.45 |
| 86 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 347.3 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 432.9 |
| 87 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 372 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 606.3 |
| 88 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 855.2 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 1465 |
| 89 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (10.45, α) | | 4.224 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (10.45, β) | 3.562 |
| 92 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 559.4 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 663.3 |
| 93 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.85 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 96 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.788 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 97 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.253 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 98 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.325 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 99 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 224.5 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 4.642 |
| 103 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 296.5 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.26 |
| 104 | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | 396.4 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 372.1 |
| 105 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 410.1 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 526.1 |
| 106 | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 1066 | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 4.685 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 108.3 | |
| 110 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.154 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.427 | |
| 111 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.402 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 788 | |
| 112 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.154 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.427 | |
| 113 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.402 | | | | | | | |
| 114 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 117 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 231.8 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 4.722 | |
| 118 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 292.6 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.253 | |
| 119 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 401.4 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 1129 | |
| 120 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | Detected Data appear Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 404.2 | | | | | | | |
| 127 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 131 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 132 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 133 | Acenaphthylene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 136 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 9 | |
| 137 | Number of Detects | | | | 6 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 4 | |
| 138 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 5 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 4 | |
| 139 | Minimum Detect | | | | 21 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 52 | |
| 140 | Maximum Detect | | | | 240 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 210 | |
| 141 | Variance Detects | | | | 7379 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 40% | |
| 142 | Mean Detects | | | | 68.83 | | SD Detects | | | | 85.9 | |
| 143 | Median Detects | | | | 30 | | CV Detects | | | | 1.248 | |
| 144 | Skewness Detects | | | | 2.214 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | 4.977 | |
| 145 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 3.772 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 0.948 | |
| 146 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.652 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 149 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.788 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 150 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.337 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 151 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.325 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 152 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 153 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 154 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 155 | KM Mean | | | | 52.8 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 22.5 | |
| 156 | KM SD | | | | 64.33 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 95.94 | |
| 157 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 94.04 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 91.37 | |
| 158 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 89.81 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 353.2 | |
| 159 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 120.3 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 150.9 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|--|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 160 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 193.3 | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 276.7 | | |
| 161 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.763 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 164 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.712 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 165 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.345 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | |
| 166 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.339 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 167 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 1.228 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.725 | | |
| 171 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 56.04 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 94.91 | | |
| 172 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 14.74 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 8.703 | | |
| 173 | Mean (detects) | | | | 68.83 | | | | | | | |
| 174 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 175 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 176 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 177 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 178 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | Minimum | | | | 19.74 | Mean | | | | 50.96 | | |
| 182 | Maximum | | | | 240 | Median | | | | 28.55 | | |
| 183 | SD | | | | 68.12 | CV | | | | 1.337 | | |
| 184 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 1.391 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 1.04 | | |
| 185 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 36.64 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 48.99 | | |
| 186 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 27.81 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 20.8 | | |
| 187 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | | 0.0267 | | | | | | | |
| 188 | Approximate Chi Square Value (20.80, α) | | | | 11.45 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (20.80, β) | | | | 10.26 | | |
| 189 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 92.62 | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 103.3 | | |
| 190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | Mean (KM) | | | | 52.8 | SD (KM) | | | | 64.33 | | |
| 193 | Variance (KM) | | | | 4138 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 22.5 | | |
| 194 | k hat (KM) | | | | 0.674 | k star (KM) | | | | 0.538 | | |
| 195 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 13.47 | nu star (KM) | | | | 10.77 | | |
| 196 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 78.37 | theta star (KM) | | | | 98.09 | | |
| 197 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 86.93 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 140.6 | | |
| 198 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 197.6 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 336.5 | | |
| 199 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | Approximate Chi Square Value (10.77, α) | | | | 4.426 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (10.77, β) | | | | 3.745 | | |
| 202 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 128.4 | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 151.8 | | |
| 203 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.815 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 206 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.788 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 207 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.306 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 208 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.325 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 209 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 53.13 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 3.617 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------|
| 213 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 67.18 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.736 |
| 214 | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | 92.07 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 92.02 |
| 215 | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 111.4 | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 483 |
| 216 | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 92.32 | | | | | | | | |
| 217 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 218 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | | | KM Mean (logged) | | 3.582 | | | | | | KM Geo Mean | | 35.95 |
| 220 | | | KM SD (logged) | | 0.738 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 2.598 |
| 221 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.269 | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 89.43 |
| 222 | | | KM SD (logged) | | 0.738 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 2.598 |
| 223 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.269 | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 225 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 226 | | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 227 | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 65.55 | | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | 3.838 |
| 228 | | | SD in Original Scale | | 67.86 | | | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.815 |
| 229 | | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | 104.9 | | | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | 136 |
| 230 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 231 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 232 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 233 | Detected Data appear Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 235 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 236 | | | KM H-UCL | | 89.43 | | | | | | | | |
| 237 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 238 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 241 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 242 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 243 | Anthracene | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 244 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 245 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 246 | | Total Number of Observations | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 10 | | | | |
| 247 | | Number of Detects | | 8 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 2 | | | | |
| 248 | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 8 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 2 | | | | |
| 249 | | Minimum Detect | | 8.8 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 63 | | | | |
| 250 | | Maximum Detect | | 2700 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 200 | | | | |
| 251 | | Variance Detects | | 960531 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 20% | | | | |
| 252 | | Mean Detects | | 532.6 | | | SD Detects | | 980.1 | | | | |
| 253 | | Median Detects | | 54.5 | | | CV Detects | | 1.84 | | | | |
| 254 | | Skewness Detects | | 2.009 | | | Kurtosis Detects | | 3.558 | | | | |
| 255 | | Mean of Logged Detects | | 4.6 | | | SD of Logged Detects | | 1.922 | | | | |
| 256 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 257 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 258 | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.613 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 259 | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.818 | | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 260 | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.436 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 261 | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.283 | | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 262 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 263 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 264 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 265 | | KM Mean | | 434.8 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 285 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--|--------|---|---|---|---|--|-------|
| 266 | | | | | KM SD | 843 | | | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | 960.6 |
| 267 | | | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | 957.2 | | | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | 949.1 |
| 268 | | | | | 95% KM (z) UCL | 903.6 | | | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | 19026 |
| 269 | | | | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | 1290 | | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | 1677 |
| 270 | | | | | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | 2215 | | | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | 3271 |
| 271 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 272 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 273 | | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 1.154 | | | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | |
| 274 | | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.781 | | | | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 275 | | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.428 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | |
| 276 | | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.313 | | | | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 277 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 278 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 279 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 280 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.393 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.329 |
| 281 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 1355 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 1618 |
| 282 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 6.291 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 5.265 |
| 283 | | | | | Mean (detects) | 532.6 | | | | | | |
| 284 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 285 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 286 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 287 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 288 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 289 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 290 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 291 | | | | | Minimum | 0.01 | | | | | Mean | 426.1 |
| 292 | | | | | Maximum | 2700 | | | | | Median | 48.5 |
| 293 | | | | | SD | 893 | | | | | CV | 2.096 |
| 294 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.22 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.221 |
| 295 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 1937 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 1931 |
| 296 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 4.399 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 4.413 |
| 297 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0267 | | | | | | |
| 298 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.41, α) | 0.891 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.41, β) | 0.654 |
| 299 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 2110 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 2877 |
| 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 301 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 302 | | | | | Mean (KM) | 434.8 | | | | | SD (KM) | 843 |
| 303 | | | | | Variance (KM) | 710708 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 285 |
| 304 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 0.266 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.253 |
| 305 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 5.319 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 5.057 |
| 306 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 1635 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 1720 |
| 307 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 634 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 1304 |
| 308 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 2097 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 4206 |
| 309 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 311 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.06, α) | 1.179 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.06, β) | 0.889 |
| 312 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 1865 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 2474 |
| 313 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 314 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 315 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.824 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 316 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.818 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 317 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.36 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 318 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.283 | | | | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|--|
| 319 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 320 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 321 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 322 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 436 | Mean in Log Scale | | | 4.461 | | | | | |
| 323 | SD in Original Scale | | | 888 | SD in Log Scale | | | 1.72 | | | | | |
| 324 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | 950.8 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 963.6 | | | | | |
| 325 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | 1111 | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | 24676 | | | | | |
| 326 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | 5709 | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 328 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 329 | KM Mean (logged) | | | 4.404 | KM Geo Mean | | | 81.76 | | | | | |
| 330 | KM SD (logged) | | | 1.681 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | 4.631 | | | | | |
| 331 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | 0.58 | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | 4505 | | | | | |
| 332 | KM SD (logged) | | | 1.681 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | 4.631 | | | | | |
| 333 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | 0.58 | | | | | | | | | |
| 334 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 335 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 336 | DL/2 Normal | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | | | | |
| 337 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 439.2 | Mean in Log Scale | | | 4.485 | | | | | |
| 338 | SD in Original Scale | | | 886.6 | SD in Log Scale | | | 1.734 | | | | | |
| 339 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | 953.2 | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | 6231 | | | | | |
| 340 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 341 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 342 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 343 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 344 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 345 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 346 | 97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | 2215 | 99% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | 3271 | | | | | |
| 347 | Warning: Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 350 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 353 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 354 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 355 | BaA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 356 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 357 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 358 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 9 | | | | | |
| 359 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | | | | |
| 360 | Minimum | | | 24 | Mean | | | 1080 | | | | | |
| 361 | Maximum | | | 7700 | Median | | | 115 | | | | | |
| 362 | SD | | | 2427 | Std. Error of Mean | | | 767.4 | | | | | |
| 363 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 2.246 | Skewness | | | 2.763 | | | | | |
| 364 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 365 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 366 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.504 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 367 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.448 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 369 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 370 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 371 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 372 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 373 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 374 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | 2487 | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | 3059 | | |
| 375 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | 2599 | | |
| 376 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 378 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.529 | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 379 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.799 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 380 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.427 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 381 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.285 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 382 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 383 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 384 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.378 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.331 | | |
| 386 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 2858 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 3261 | | |
| 387 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 7.561 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 6.626 | | |
| 388 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 1080 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 1877 | | |
| 389 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | 1.968 | | |
| 390 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | 0.0267 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 1.558 | | |
| 391 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 392 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 393 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | 3638 | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 4594 | | |
| 394 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 395 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 396 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.824 | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 397 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 398 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.334 | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 399 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 400 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 401 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 402 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 403 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | 3.178 | | | Mean of logged Data | | | 5.229 | | |
| 404 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | 8.949 | | | SD of logged Data | | | 1.773 | | |
| 405 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 407 | 95% H-UCL | | | 15755 | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 1833 | | |
| 408 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 2363 | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 3097 | | |
| 409 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 4540 | | | | | | | | |
| 410 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 411 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 412 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 413 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 414 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 415 | 95% CLT UCL | | | 2343 | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | 2487 | | |
| 416 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | 2282 | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | 54369 | | |
| 417 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | 28880 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 2396 | | |
| 418 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | 3350 | | | | | | | | |
| 419 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 3383 | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 4426 | | |
| 420 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 5873 | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 8716 | | |
| 421 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 422 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 423 | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 8716 | | | | | | | | |
| 424 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 425 | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 426 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 428 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 429 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 430 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 431 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 432 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 433 | BaP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 434 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 435 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 436 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 9 | | |
| 437 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 438 | Minimum | | | 26 | | | Mean | | | 1130 | | |
| 439 | Maximum | | | 7800 | | | Median | | | 140 | | |
| 440 | SD | | | 2461 | | | Std. Error of Mean | | | 778.2 | | |
| 441 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 2.178 | | | Skewness | | | 2.713 | | |
| 442 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 443 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 444 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.521 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 445 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 446 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.435 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 447 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 448 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 449 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 450 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 451 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 452 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | 2556 | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | 3123 | | |
| 453 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | 2667 | | |
| 454 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 455 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 456 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.223 | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 457 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.797 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 458 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.358 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 459 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.285 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 460 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 461 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 462 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 463 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.389 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.339 | | |
| 464 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 2907 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 3336 | | |
| 465 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 7.772 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 6.773 | | |
| 466 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 1130 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 1941 | | |
| 467 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | 2.047 | | |
| 468 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | 0.0267 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 1.627 | | |
| 469 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 470 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 471 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | 3738 | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 4703 | | |
| 472 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 473 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 474 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.879 | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 475 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 476 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.232 | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 477 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-------|---|--|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 478 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 480 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 481 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 3.258 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 5.328 | |
| 482 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 8.962 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.794 | |
| 483 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 19302 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 2098 | |
| 486 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 2706 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 3549 | |
| 487 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 5207 | | | | | | | |
| 488 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 489 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 490 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 491 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 492 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 493 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 2410 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 2556 | |
| 494 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 2326 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 32044 | |
| 495 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 12877 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 2634 | |
| 496 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 3408 | | | | | | | |
| 497 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 3464 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 4522 | |
| 498 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 5990 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 8873 | |
| 499 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 501 | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 8873 | | | | | | | |
| 502 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 503 | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 504 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 505 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 506 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 507 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 508 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 509 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 510 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 511 | BbF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 512 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 513 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 514 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 9 | |
| 515 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 516 | Minimum | | | | 51 | | Mean | | | | 1673 | |
| 517 | Maximum | | | | 11000 | | Median | | | | 245 | |
| 518 | SD | | | | 3477 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 1100 | |
| 519 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 2.079 | | Skewness | | | | 2.636 | |
| 520 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 521 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 522 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.536 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 523 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 524 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.432 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 525 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 526 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 527 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 528 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 529 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 530 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 3688 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 4461 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 531 | | | | | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | 3841 | |
| 532 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 533 | | | | | | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 534 | | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 1.188 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 535 | | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.789 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 536 | | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.351 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 537 | | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.283 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 538 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 539 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 540 | | | | | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 541 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.432 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.369 | |
| 542 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 3875 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 4535 | |
| 543 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 8.634 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 7.377 | |
| 544 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 1673 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 2754 | |
| 545 | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 2.38 | |
| 546 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 1.917 | |
| 547 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 548 | | | | | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 549 | | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | 5185 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 6437 | |
| 550 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 551 | | | | | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 552 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.884 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 553 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.842 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 554 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.23 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 555 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.262 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 556 | | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 557 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 558 | | | | | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 559 | | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | 3.932 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 5.916 | |
| 560 | | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | 9.306 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.683 | |
| 561 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | | | | | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | | | | | 95% H-UCL | 20630 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 3157 | |
| 564 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 4053 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 5296 | |
| 565 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 7738 | | | | | | | |
| 566 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 567 | | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 568 | | | | | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 569 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | |
| 571 | | | | | 95% CLT UCL | 3481 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 3688 | |
| 572 | | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | 3408 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 39577 | |
| 573 | | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | 18104 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 3542 | |
| 574 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 4874 | | | | | | | |
| 575 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 4971 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 6465 | |
| 576 | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 8539 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 12613 | |
| 577 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 578 | | | | | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | |
| 579 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | 12613 | | | | | | | |
| 580 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 581 | | | | | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | |
| 582 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 583 | | | | | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|-------|---------|--|---|---|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| 584 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 585 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 586 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 587 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 588 | BghiP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 589 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 590 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | | |
| 592 | Number of Detects | | | 9 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 1 | | | |
| 593 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 9 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 1 | | | |
| 594 | Minimum Detect | | | 18 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 140 | | | |
| 595 | Maximum Detect | | | 4200 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 140 | | | |
| 596 | Variance Detects | | | 1910543 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 10% | | | |
| 597 | Mean Detects | | | 703.4 | | SD Detects | | | 1382 | | | |
| 598 | Median Detects | | | 100 | | CV Detects | | | 1.965 | | | |
| 599 | Skewness Detects | | | 2.524 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | 6.489 | | | |
| 600 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 5.061 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.776 | | | |
| 601 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 602 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 603 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.573 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 604 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.829 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 605 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.387 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 606 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.274 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 607 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 608 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 609 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 610 | KM Mean | | 640 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 419.6 | | | | |
| 611 | KM SD | | 1251 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | 1458 | | | | |
| 612 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | 1409 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | 1341 | | | | |
| 613 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | 1330 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | 8854 | | | | |
| 614 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 1899 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 2469 | | | | |
| 615 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 3260 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 4815 | | | | |
| 616 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 617 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 618 | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.846 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 619 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.783 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 620 | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.323 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | |
| 621 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.297 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 622 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 623 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 624 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 625 | k hat (MLE) | | 0.434 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.364 | | | | |
| 626 | Theta hat (MLE) | | 1619 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1934 | | | | |
| 627 | nu hat (MLE) | | 7.819 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 6.546 | | | | |
| 628 | Mean (detects) | | 703.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 629 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 630 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 631 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 632 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 633 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 634 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 635 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 636 | Minimum | | 0.01 | | Mean | | | 633.1 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--|---------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
| 637 | | | | | Maximum | 4200 | | | | | Median | 93 | |
| 638 | | | | | SD | 1322 | | | | | CV | 2.088 | |
| 639 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.294 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.272 | |
| 640 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 2156 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 2325 | |
| 641 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 5.874 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 5.445 | |
| 642 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0267 | | | | | | | |
| 643 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.44, α) | 1.363 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.44, β) | 1.043 | |
| 644 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 2529 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 3306 | |
| 645 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 646 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 647 | | | | | Mean (KM) | 640 | | | | | SD (KM) | 1251 | |
| 648 | | | | | Variance (KM) | 1564840 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 419.6 | |
| 649 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 0.262 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.25 | |
| 650 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 5.234 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 4.997 | |
| 651 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 2445 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 2561 | |
| 652 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 929.2 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 1921 | |
| 653 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 3098 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 6232 | |
| 654 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 655 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 656 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.00, α) | 1.151 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.00, β) | 0.866 | |
| 657 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 2779 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 3694 | |
| 658 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 659 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 660 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.919 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 661 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.829 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 662 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.247 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 663 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.274 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 664 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 665 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 666 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 667 | | | | | Mean in Original Scale | 639.8 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 4.975 | |
| 668 | | | | | SD in Original Scale | 1319 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.696 | |
| 669 | | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 1404 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 1439 | |
| 670 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 1849 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 9042 | |
| 671 | | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 8540 | | | | | | | |
| 672 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 673 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 674 | | | | | KM Mean (logged) | 4.958 | | | | | KM Geo Mean | 142.3 | |
| 675 | | | | | KM SD (logged) | 1.633 | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 4.517 | |
| 676 | | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.553 | | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 6306 | |
| 677 | | | | | KM SD (logged) | 1.633 | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 4.517 | |
| 678 | | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.553 | | | | | | | |
| 679 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 680 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 681 | | | | | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | |
| 682 | | | | | Mean in Original Scale | 640.1 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 4.98 | |
| 683 | | | | | SD in Original Scale | 1318 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.694 | |
| 684 | | | | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | 1404 | | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | 8490 | |
| 685 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 686 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 687 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 688 | Detected Data appear Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 689 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---------|--|------|------------------------|-------|---|---|---|------|
| 690 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 691 | 97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | | 3260 | 99% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | | 4815 |
| 692 | Warning: Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 693 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 694 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 695 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 696 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 697 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 698 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 699 | BkF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 700 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 701 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 702 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | | | |
| 703 | Number of Detects | | | 8 | Number of Non-Detects | | | 2 | | | | |
| 704 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 8 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 | | | | |
| 705 | Minimum Detect | | | 26 | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 52 | | | | |
| 706 | Maximum Detect | | | 3900 | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 210 | | | | |
| 707 | Variance Detects | | | 1854999 | Percent Non-Detects | | | 20% | | | | |
| 708 | Mean Detects | | | 728 | SD Detects | | | 1362 | | | | |
| 709 | Median Detects | | | 103 | CV Detects | | | 1.871 | | | | |
| 710 | Skewness Detects | | | 2.309 | Kurtosis Detects | | | 5.31 | | | | |
| 711 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 5.193 | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.687 | | | | |
| 712 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 713 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 714 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.596 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 715 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.818 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 716 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.414 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 717 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.283 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 718 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 719 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 720 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 721 | KM Mean | | | 592.9 | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 396 | | | | |
| 722 | KM SD | | | 1171 | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | 1241 | | | | |
| 723 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 1319 | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | 1255 | | | | |
| 724 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 1244 | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | 15552 | | | | |
| 725 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1781 | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 2319 | | | | |
| 726 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 3066 | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 4533 | | | | |
| 727 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 728 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 729 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.996 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 730 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.769 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 731 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.379 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | |
| 732 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.311 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 733 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 734 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 735 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 736 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.46 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.371 | | | | |
| 737 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 1581 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1962 | | | | |
| 738 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 7.367 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 5.938 | | | | |
| 739 | Mean (detects) | | | 728 | | | | | | | | |
| 740 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 741 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 742 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---------|---|---|-------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 743 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 744 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 746 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | |
| 747 | Minimum | 0.01 | | Mean | 582.4 | | | | | | |
| 748 | Maximum | 3900 | | Median | 89.5 | | | | | | |
| 749 | SD | 1240 | | CV | 2.129 | | | | | | |
| 750 | k hat (MLE) | 0.23 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.227 | | | | | | |
| 751 | Theta hat (MLE) | 2535 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 2560 | | | | | | |
| 752 | nu hat (MLE) | 4.595 | | nu star (bias corrected) | 4.55 | | | | | | |
| 753 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0267 | | | | | | | | | |
| 754 | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.55, α) | 0.95 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.55, β) | 0.701 | | | | | | |
| 755 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 2789 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 3779 | | | | | | |
| 756 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 757 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | |
| 758 | Mean (KM) | 592.9 | | SD (KM) | 1171 | | | | | | |
| 759 | Variance (KM) | 1371868 | | SE of Mean (KM) | 396 | | | | | | |
| 760 | k hat (KM) | 0.256 | | k star (KM) | 0.246 | | | | | | |
| 761 | nu hat (KM) | 5.124 | | nu star (KM) | 4.92 | | | | | | |
| 762 | theta hat (KM) | 2314 | | theta star (KM) | 2410 | | | | | | |
| 763 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 856.1 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 1781 | | | | | | |
| 764 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 2883 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 5823 | | | | | | |
| 765 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 766 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | |
| 767 | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.92, α) | 1.115 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.92, β) | 0.836 | | | | | | |
| 768 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 2615 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 3487 | | | | | | |
| 769 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 770 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | |
| 771 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.862 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 772 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.818 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 773 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.293 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 774 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.283 | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 775 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| 776 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 777 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | Mean in Original Scale | 591.6 | | Mean in Log Scale | 4.851 | | | | | | |
| 779 | SD in Original Scale | 1235 | | SD in Log Scale | 1.704 | | | | | | |
| 780 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 1308 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 1245 | | | | | | |
| 781 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 1617 | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 16350 | | | | | | |
| 782 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 7812 | | | | | | | | | |
| 783 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 784 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | |
| 785 | KM Mean (logged) | 4.898 | | KM Geo Mean | 134.1 | | | | | | |
| 786 | KM SD (logged) | 1.557 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 4.338 | | | | | | |
| 787 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.531 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 4278 | | | | | | |
| 788 | KM SD (logged) | 1.557 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 4.338 | | | | | | |
| 789 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.531 | | | | | | | | | |
| 790 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 791 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | |
| 792 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 793 | Mean in Original Scale | 595.5 | | Mean in Log Scale | 4.945 | | | | | | |
| 794 | SD in Original Scale | 1233 | | SD in Log Scale | 1.611 | | | | | | |
| 795 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | 1310 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | 5652 | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| 796 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 797 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 798 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 799 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 800 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 801 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 802 | 97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | 3066 | | | | 99% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | 4533 | | | |
| 803 | Warning: Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 804 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 805 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 806 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 807 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 808 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 809 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 810 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 811 | Chrysene | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 812 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 813 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 814 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 9 | | | |
| 815 | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | | | |
| 816 | Minimum | | | | 45 | | | | Mean | | | | 1163 | | | |
| 817 | Maximum | | | | 7500 | | | | Median | | | | 205 | | | |
| 818 | SD | | | | 2343 | | | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 741 | | | |
| 819 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 2.014 | | | | Skewness | | | | 2.692 | | | |
| 820 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 821 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 822 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.539 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 823 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 824 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.424 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 825 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 826 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 827 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 828 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 829 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | | | | | |
| 830 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 2522 | | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 3056 | | | |
| 831 | | | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 2627 | | | |
| 832 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 833 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 834 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.091 | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 835 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.78 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 836 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.34 | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 837 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.281 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 838 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 839 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 840 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 841 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.483 | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.405 | | | |
| 842 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 2410 | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 2875 | | | |
| 843 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 9.656 | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 8.092 | | | |
| 844 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 1163 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 1829 | | | |
| 845 | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 2.789 | | | |
| 846 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 2.277 | | | |
| 847 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 848 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 849 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
| | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | | 3376 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | | 4135 |
| 850 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 851 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 852 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.894 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 853 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.842 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 854 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.224 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 855 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.262 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 856 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 857 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 858 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 859 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | | 3.807 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 5.736 |
| 860 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | | 8.923 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 1.589 |
| 861 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 862 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 863 | 95% H-UCL | | | | | 11333 | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 2268 |
| 864 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 2899 | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 3774 |
| 865 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 5493 | | | | | | |
| 866 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 867 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 868 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 869 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 870 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 871 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | | 2382 | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | | 2522 |
| 872 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 2311 | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | | 19388 |
| 873 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 10148 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 2581 |
| 874 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 3320 | | | | | | |
| 875 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 3386 | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 4393 |
| 876 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 5791 | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 8536 |
| 877 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 878 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 879 | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 8536 | | | | | | |
| 880 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 881 | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 882 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 883 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 884 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 885 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 886 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 887 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 888 | DahA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 889 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 890 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 891 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 10 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 10 |
| 892 | Number of Detects | | | | | 4 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 6 |
| 893 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | | 4 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | 6 |
| 894 | Minimum Detect | | | | | 15 | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | 52 |
| 895 | Maximum Detect | | | | | 1300 | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | 210 |
| 896 | Variance Detects | | | | | 355323 | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | 60% |
| 897 | Mean Detects | | | | | 426.3 | SD Detects | | | | | 596.1 |
| 898 | Median Detects | | | | | 195 | CV Detects | | | | | 1.398 |
| 899 | Skewness Detects | | | | | 1.745 | Kurtosis Detects | | | | | 3.027 |
| 900 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | | 4.999 | SD of Logged Detects | | | | | 1.905 |
| 901 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--------|-------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 902 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 903 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.797 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 904 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 905 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.327 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 906 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 907 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 908 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 909 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 910 | KM Mean | | | 190.3 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 139.3 | | |
| 911 | KM SD | | | 379.7 | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 912 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 445.6 | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 913 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 419.4 | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 914 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 608.1 | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 797.4 | | |
| 915 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1060 | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1576 | | |
| 916 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 917 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 918 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.222 | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 919 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.677 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 920 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.205 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 921 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.408 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 922 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 923 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 924 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 925 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.587 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.313 | | |
| 926 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 726 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1360 | | |
| 927 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 4.697 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 2.508 | | |
| 928 | Mean (detects) | | | 426.3 | | | | | | | | |
| 929 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 930 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 931 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 932 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 933 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 934 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 935 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 936 | Minimum | | | 0.01 | | | Mean | | | 170.5 | | |
| 937 | Maximum | | | 1300 | | | Median | | | 0.01 | | |
| 938 | SD | | | 408.5 | | | CV | | | 2.396 | | |
| 939 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.133 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.159 | | |
| 940 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 1286 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1069 | | |
| 941 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 2.651 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 3.189 | | |
| 942 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0267 | | | | | | | | |
| 943 | Approximate Chi Square Value (3.19, α) | | | 0.431 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (3.19, β) | | | 0.297 | | |
| 944 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 1263 | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | N/A | | |
| 945 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 946 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 947 | Mean (KM) | | | 190.3 | | | SD (KM) | | | 379.7 | | |
| 948 | Variance (KM) | | | 144210 | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 139.3 | | |
| 949 | k hat (KM) | | | 0.251 | | | k star (KM) | | | 0.243 | | |
| 950 | nu hat (KM) | | | 5.024 | | | nu star (KM) | | | 4.85 | | |
| 951 | theta hat (KM) | | | 757.7 | | | theta star (KM) | | | 784.8 | | |
| 952 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 273.4 | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 572.4 | | |
| 953 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 929.6 | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 1884 | | |
| 954 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 955 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 956 | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.85, α) | | | | 1.084 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.85, β) | | | | 0.81 | |
| 957 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 851.9 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 1139 | |
| 958 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 959 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 960 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.996 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 961 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 962 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.151 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 963 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 964 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 965 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 966 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 967 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 184.9 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 3.886 | |
| 968 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 402 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.476 | |
| 969 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | 417.9 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 411 | |
| 970 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 561.9 | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 3546 | |
| 971 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | 1117 | | | | | | | |
| 972 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 973 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 974 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 3.904 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 49.58 | |
| 975 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.492 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 4.189 | |
| 976 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.638 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 1212 | |
| 977 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.492 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 4.189 | |
| 978 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.638 | | | | | | | |
| 979 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 980 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 981 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 982 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 218.1 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 4.57 | |
| 983 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 388.6 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.224 | |
| 984 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 443.4 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 881.2 | |
| 985 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 986 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 987 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 988 | Detected Data appear Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 989 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 990 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 991 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 445.6 | | | | | | | |
| 992 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 993 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 994 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 995 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 996 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 997 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 998 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 999 | Fluoranthene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1001 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1002 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 9 | |
| 1003 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 1004 | Minimum | | | | 45 | | Mean | | | | 2610 | |
| 1005 | Maximum | | | | 20000 | | Median | | | | 295 | |
| 1006 | SD | | | | 6237 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 1972 | |
| 1007 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 2.389 | | Skewness | | | | 2.952 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 1008 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1009 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1010 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.47 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1011 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1012 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.442 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1013 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1014 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1015 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1016 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1017 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1018 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 6225 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 7821 | |
| 1019 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 6532 | |
| 1020 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1021 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1022 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.595 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1023 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.801 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1024 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.439 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1025 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.285 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1026 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1027 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1028 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1029 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.37 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.326 | |
| 1030 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 7050 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 8011 | |
| 1031 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 7.405 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 6.517 | |
| 1032 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 2610 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 4573 | |
| 1033 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 1.909 | |
| 1034 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 1.508 | |
| 1035 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1036 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | 8908 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 11280 | |
| 1038 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1039 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1040 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.826 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1041 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1042 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.354 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1043 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1044 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1045 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1046 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1047 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 3.807 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 6.067 | |
| 1048 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 9.903 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.772 | |
| 1049 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1050 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1051 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 36251 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 4234 | |
| 1052 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 5456 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 7152 | |
| 1053 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 10483 | | | | | | | |
| 1054 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1055 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1056 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1057 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1058 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1059 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 5854 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 6225 | |
| 1060 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 5699 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 147523 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|
| 1061 | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 101658 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | 6519 | | | |
| 1062 | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 8492 | | | | | | | |
| 1063 | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 8527 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 11207 | | | |
| 1064 | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 14926 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 22233 | | | |
| 1065 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1066 | | | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | |
| 1067 | | | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | 22233 | | | | | | | |
| 1068 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1069 | | | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | |
| 1070 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1071 | | | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1072 | | | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1073 | | | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | |
| 1074 | | | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1075 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1076 | | | Fluorene | | | | | | | | | |
| 1077 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1078 | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 1079 | | | Total Number of Observations | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 10 | | | |
| 1080 | | | Number of Detects | | 9 | | Number of Non-Detects | | 1 | | | |
| 1081 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 9 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 1 | | | |
| 1082 | | | Minimum Detect | | 11 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 63 | | | |
| 1083 | | | Maximum Detect | | 1500 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 63 | | | |
| 1084 | | | Variance Detects | | 238389 | | Percent Non-Detects | | 10% | | | |
| 1085 | | | Mean Detects | | 299.8 | | SD Detects | | 488.3 | | | |
| 1086 | | | Median Detects | | 75 | | CV Detects | | 1.629 | | | |
| 1087 | | | Skewness Detects | | 2.318 | | Kurtosis Detects | | 5.366 | | | |
| 1088 | | | Mean of Logged Detects | | 4.737 | | SD of Logged Detects | | 1.451 | | | |
| 1089 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1090 | | | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1091 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.629 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1092 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.829 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1093 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.351 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1094 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.274 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1095 | | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 1096 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1097 | | | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | |
| 1098 | | | KM Mean | | 274 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | 148.8 | | | |
| 1099 | | | KM SD | | 443.6 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | 527.9 | | | |
| 1100 | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | | 546.8 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | 520.8 | | | |
| 1101 | | | 95% KM (z) UCL | | 518.7 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | 2153 | | | |
| 1102 | | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 720.4 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 922.6 | | | |
| 1103 | | | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 1203 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 1755 | | | |
| 1104 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1105 | | | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1106 | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.754 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1107 | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.76 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1108 | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.307 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 1109 | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.292 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1110 | | | Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 1111 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1112 | | | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1113 | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.634 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | 0.497 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------|-------|--|
| 1114 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 472.6 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 603.3 | |
| 1115 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 11.42 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 8.945 | |
| 1116 | | | | Mean (detects) | | 299.8 | | | | | | | |
| 1117 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1118 | | | | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | |
| 1119 | | | | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | |
| 1120 | | | | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1121 | | | | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | |
| 1122 | | | | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1123 | | | | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1124 | | | | Minimum | | 0.01 | | | | | Mean | 269.8 | |
| 1125 | | | | Maximum | | 1500 | | | | | Median | 71.5 | |
| 1126 | | | | SD | | 470 | | | | | CV | 1.742 | |
| 1127 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.371 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.326 | |
| 1128 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 727.1 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 826.6 | |
| 1129 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 7.421 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 6.528 | |
| 1130 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | 0.0267 | | | | | | | |
| 1131 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (6.53, α) | | 1.915 | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (6.53, β) | | 1.513 | |
| 1132 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 919.5 | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | 1164 | |
| 1133 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1134 | | | | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1135 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 274 | | | | | SD (KM) | 443.6 | |
| 1136 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 196740 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 148.8 | |
| 1137 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 0.382 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.334 | |
| 1138 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 7.632 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 6.676 | |
| 1139 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 718 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 820.9 | |
| 1140 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 430.1 | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | 796.8 | |
| 1141 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 1210 | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | 2272 | |
| 1142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1143 | | | | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 1144 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (6.68, α) | | 1.994 | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (6.68, β) | | 1.581 | |
| 1145 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 917.2 | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | 1157 | |
| 1146 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1147 | | | | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1148 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.93 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | |
| 1149 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.829 | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1150 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.235 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | |
| 1151 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.274 | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1152 | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 1153 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1154 | | | | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | |
| 1155 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 272.4 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | 4.589 | |
| 1156 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 468.4 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 1.446 | |
| 1157 | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | 543.9 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | 517.1 | |
| 1158 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 708.4 | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | 1936 | |
| 1159 | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 2000 | | | | | | | |
| 1160 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1161 | | | | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
| 1162 | | | | KM Mean (logged) | | 4.613 | | | | KM Geo Mean | | 100.8 | |
| 1163 | | | | KM SD (logged) | | 1.372 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | 3.913 | |
| 1164 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.47 | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | 1549 | |
| 1165 | | | | KM SD (logged) | | 1.372 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | 3.913 | |
| 1166 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.47 | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 1167 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1168 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1169 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 1170 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 273 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 4.608 | |
| 1171 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 468.1 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.428 | |
| 1172 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 544.3 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 1899 | |
| 1173 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1174 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1175 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1176 | Detected Data appear Approximate Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1177 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1178 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1179 | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 2023 | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap | | | | 1549 | |
| 1180 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1181 | When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1182 | When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1183 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1184 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1185 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1186 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1187 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1188 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1189 | IP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1191 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1192 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 1193 | Number of Detects | | | | 7 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 3 | |
| 1194 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 7 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 3 | |
| 1195 | Minimum Detect | | | | 23 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 140 | |
| 1196 | Maximum Detect | | | | 3900 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 210 | |
| 1197 | Variance Detects | | | | 2088030 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 30% | |
| 1198 | Mean Detects | | | | 820.1 | | SD Detects | | | | 1445 | |
| 1199 | Median Detects | | | | 79 | | CV Detects | | | | 1.762 | |
| 1200 | Skewness Detects | | | | 2.118 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | 4.405 | |
| 1201 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 5.181 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 1.93 | |
| 1202 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1203 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1204 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.65 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1205 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.803 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1206 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.373 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1207 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.304 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1208 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1209 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1210 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1211 | KM Mean | | | | 589.9 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 400.8 | |
| 1212 | KM SD | | | | 1173 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 1348 | |
| 1213 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 1325 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 1243 | |
| 1214 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 1249 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 10406 | |
| 1215 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1792 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2337 | |
| 1216 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 3093 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 4578 | |
| 1217 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1218 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1219 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.616 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|--|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 1220 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.764 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1221 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.285 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 1222 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.33 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1223 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1224 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1225 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1226 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.426 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.339 |
| 1227 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 1925 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 2421 |
| 1228 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 5.966 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 4.742 |
| 1229 | | | | Mean (detects) | | 820.1 | | | | | | |
| 1230 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1231 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1232 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1233 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1234 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1235 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1236 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1237 | | | | Minimum | | 0.01 | | | | | Mean | 574.1 |
| 1238 | | | | Maximum | | 3900 | | | | | Median | 54.5 |
| 1239 | | | | SD | | 1245 | | | | | CV | 2.168 |
| 1240 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.182 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.194 |
| 1241 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 3158 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 2960 |
| 1242 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 3.636 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 3.879 |
| 1243 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | 0.0267 | | | | | | |
| 1244 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (3.88, α) | | 0.674 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (3.88, β) | 0.481 |
| 1245 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 3302 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 4625 |
| 1246 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1247 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1248 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 589.9 | | | | | SD (KM) | 1173 |
| 1249 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 1376680 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 400.8 |
| 1250 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 0.253 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.244 |
| 1251 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 5.056 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 4.872 |
| 1252 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 2334 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 2421 |
| 1253 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 848.8 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 1774 |
| 1254 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 2877 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 5826 |
| 1255 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1256 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1257 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.87, α) | | 1.094 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.87, β) | 0.818 |
| 1258 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 2628 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 3512 |
| 1259 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1260 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1261 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.906 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 1262 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.803 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1263 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.234 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 1264 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.304 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1265 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1266 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1267 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1268 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 589.9 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 4.815 |
| 1269 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 1237 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.682 |
| 1270 | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | 1307 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 1341 |
| 1271 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 1609 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 11394 |
| 1272 | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 6817 | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 1273 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1274 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1275 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 4.775 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 118.5 | |
| 1276 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.645 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 4.546 | |
| 1277 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.578 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 5545 | |
| 1278 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.645 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 4.546 | |
| 1279 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.578 | | | | | | | |
| 1280 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1281 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1282 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 1283 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 599.6 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 4.955 | |
| 1284 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 1232 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.62 | |
| 1285 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 1314 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 5942 | |
| 1286 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1287 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1288 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1289 | Detected Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1290 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1291 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1292 | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 9708 | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap | | | | 5545 | |
| 1293 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1294 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1295 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1296 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1297 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1298 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1299 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1300 | Naphthalene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1301 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1302 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1303 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 1304 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 1305 | Minimum | | | | 14 | | Mean | | | | 413 | |
| 1306 | Maximum | | | | 1400 | | Median | | | | 305 | |
| 1307 | SD | | | | 444.8 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 140.7 | |
| 1308 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 1.077 | | Skewness | | | | 1.34 | |
| 1309 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1310 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1311 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.859 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1312 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1313 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.185 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1314 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1315 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1316 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1317 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1318 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1319 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 670.8 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 708.1 | |
| 1320 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 680.8 | |
| 1321 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1322 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1323 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.256 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1324 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.759 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1325 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.157 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 1326 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.276 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1327 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1328 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1329 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1330 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.73 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.577 |
| 1331 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 566 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 715.2 |
| 1332 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 14.59 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 11.55 |
| 1333 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 413 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 543.5 |
| 1334 | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 4.932 |
| 1335 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 4.206 |
| 1336 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1337 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1338 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 967.2 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 1134 |
| 1339 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1340 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1341 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.909 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 1342 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.842 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1343 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.197 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 1344 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.262 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1345 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1346 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1347 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1348 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | 2.639 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 5.2 |
| 1349 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 7.244 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.628 |
| 1350 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1351 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1352 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 7857 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1411 |
| 1353 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 1807 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 2356 |
| 1354 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 3435 | | | | | | |
| 1355 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1356 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1357 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1358 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1359 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1360 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 644.4 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 670.8 |
| 1361 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 638.6 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 822.7 |
| 1362 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 990.9 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 649.4 |
| 1363 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 673.5 | | | | | | |
| 1364 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 835 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1026 |
| 1365 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 1291 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1813 |
| 1366 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1367 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1368 | | | | 95% Student's-t UCL | | 670.8 | | | | | | |
| 1369 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1370 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1371 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1372 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1373 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1374 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1375 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1376 | Phenanthrene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1377 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1378 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 1379 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 10 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 8 |
| 1380 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 0 |
| 1381 | Minimum | | | | | 49 | Mean | | | | | 1650 |
| 1382 | Maximum | | | | | 11000 | Median | | | | | 230 |
| 1383 | SD | | | | | 3498 | Std. Error of Mean | | | | | 1106 |
| 1384 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 2.12 | Skewness | | | | | 2.613 |
| 1385 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1386 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1387 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.527 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1388 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.842 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1389 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.45 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1390 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.262 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1391 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1392 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1393 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1394 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1395 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | | 3678 | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | | 4446 |
| 1396 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | | 3830 |
| 1397 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1398 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1399 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | | 1.467 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1400 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | | 0.794 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1401 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | | 0.418 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1402 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | | 0.284 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1403 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1404 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1405 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1406 | k hat (MLE) | | | | | 0.408 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.353 |
| 1407 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | | 4040 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 4680 |
| 1408 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | | 8.167 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 7.05 |
| 1409 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | | 1650 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 2779 |
| 1410 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | | 2.198 |
| 1411 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | | 0.0267 | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | | 1.758 |
| 1412 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1413 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1414 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | | 5292 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | | 6615 |
| 1415 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1416 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1417 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.822 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1418 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.842 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1419 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.323 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1420 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.262 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1421 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1422 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1423 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1424 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | | 3.892 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 5.802 |
| 1425 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | | 9.306 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 1.723 |
| 1426 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1427 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1428 | 95% H-UCL | | | | | 22106 | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 3002 |
| 1429 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 3861 | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 5052 |
| 1430 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 7393 | | | | | | |
| 1431 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 1432 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1433 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1434 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1435 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1436 | 95% CLT UCL | | | 3469 | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | 3678 | | |
| 1437 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | 3382 | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | 60426 | | |
| 1438 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | 37884 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 3481 | | |
| 1439 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | 4219 | | | | | | | | |
| 1440 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 4968 | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 6471 | | |
| 1441 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 8558 | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 12656 | | |
| 1442 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1443 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1444 | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 12656 | | | | | | | | |
| 1445 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1446 | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1447 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1448 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1449 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1450 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1451 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1452 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1453 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1454 | Pyrene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1455 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1456 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1457 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | |
| 1458 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 1459 | Minimum | | | 75 | | | Mean | | | 2609 | | |
| 1460 | Maximum | | | 14000 | | | Median | | | 335 | | |
| 1461 | SD | | | 4900 | | | Std. Error of Mean | | | 1550 | | |
| 1462 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 1.878 | | | Skewness | | | 1.984 | | |
| 1463 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1464 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1465 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.582 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1466 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1467 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.421 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1468 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1469 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1470 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1471 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1472 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1473 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | 5450 | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | 6196 | | |
| 1474 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | 5612 | | |
| 1475 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1476 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1477 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.266 | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1478 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.792 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1479 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.366 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1480 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.284 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1481 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1482 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1483 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1484 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.417 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.359 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|--|---|-------|
| 1485 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 6257 | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | 7276 |
| 1486 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 8.339 | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | 7.171 |
| 1487 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 2609 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 4357 |
| 1488 | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | 2.265 |
| 1489 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0267 | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | 1.816 |
| 1490 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1491 | | | | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 1492 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 8260 | | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | 10299 |
| 1493 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1494 | | | | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 1495 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.85 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | |
| 1496 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.842 | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 1497 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.298 | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | |
| 1498 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.262 | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 1499 | | | | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 1500 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1501 | | | | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 1502 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | 4.317 | | | | Mean of logged Data | | 6.299 |
| 1503 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 9.547 | | | | SD of logged Data | | 1.776 |
| 1504 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1505 | | | | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 1506 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 46706 | | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 5377 |
| 1507 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 6930 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 9085 |
| 1508 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 13319 | | | | | | |
| 1509 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1510 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 1511 | | | | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 1512 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1513 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | |
| 1514 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 5158 | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | 5450 |
| 1515 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 4984 | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | 40519 |
| 1516 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 44211 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | 5298 |
| 1517 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 5810 | | | | | | |
| 1518 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 7258 | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 9364 |
| 1519 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 12286 | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 18028 |
| 1520 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1521 | | | | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | |
| 1522 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | 18028 | | | | | | |
| 1523 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1524 | | | | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | |
| 1525 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1526 | | | | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | |
| 1527 | | | | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | |
| 1528 | | | | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | |
| 1529 | | | | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | |
| 1530 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1531 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1532 | | | | LMWPAH | | | | | | | | |
| 1533 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1534 | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 1535 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 10 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 10 |
| 1536 | | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 |
| 1537 | | | | Minimum | | 147.8 | | | | Mean | | 3205 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 1591 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1592 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 6005 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 6325 | |
| 1593 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 5847 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 18464 | |
| 1594 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 17477 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 6142 | |
| 1595 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 7450 | | | | | | | |
| 1596 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 8311 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 10624 | |
| 1597 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 13833 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 20139 | |
| 1598 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1599 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1600 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL | | | | 9777 | | | | | | | |
| 1601 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1602 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1603 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1604 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1605 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1606 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1607 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1608 | HMWPAH | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1609 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1610 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1611 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 1612 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 1613 | Minimum | | | | 367 | | Mean | | | | 12318 | |
| 1614 | Maximum | | | | 81300 | | Median | | | | 1698 | |
| 1615 | SD | | | | 25759 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 8146 | |
| 1616 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 2.091 | | Skewness | | | | 2.624 | |
| 1617 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1618 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1619 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.532 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1620 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1621 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.435 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1622 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1623 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1624 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1625 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1626 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1627 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 27251 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 32940 | |
| 1628 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 28377 | |
| 1629 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1630 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1631 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.342 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1632 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.791 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1633 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.36 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1634 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.283 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1635 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1636 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1637 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1638 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.426 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.365 | |
| 1639 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 28920 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 33765 | |
| 1640 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 8.519 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 7.297 | |
| 1641 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 12318 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 20394 | |
| 1642 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 2.335 | |
| 1643 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 1.878 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 1644 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1645 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1646 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | | 38497 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | | 47871 |
| 1647 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1648 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1649 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.853 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1650 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.842 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1651 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.274 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1652 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.262 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1653 | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1654 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1655 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1656 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | | 5.905 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 7.889 |
| 1657 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | | 11.31 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 1.685 |
| 1658 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1659 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1660 | 95% H-UCL | | | | | 149869 | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 22786 |
| 1661 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 29254 | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 38231 |
| 1662 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | | 55864 | | | | | | |
| 1663 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1664 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1665 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1666 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1667 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1668 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | | 25717 | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | | 27251 |
| 1669 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 24591 | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | | 328834 |
| 1670 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 139062 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 27822 |
| 1671 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 33565 | | | | | | |
| 1672 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 36756 | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 47825 |
| 1673 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 63189 | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 93368 |
| 1674 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1675 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1676 | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | | 93368 | | | | | | |
| 1677 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1678 | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1679 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1680 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1681 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1682 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1683 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1684 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1685 | BEHP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1686 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1687 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1688 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 10 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 9 |
| 1689 | Number of Detects | | | | | 4 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 6 |
| 1690 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | | 4 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | 6 |
| 1691 | Minimum Detect | | | | | 380 | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | 260 |
| 1692 | Maximum Detect | | | | | 15000 | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | 1000 |
| 1693 | Variance Detects | | | | | 50064267 | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | 60% |
| 1694 | Mean Detects | | | | | 6520 | SD Detects | | | | | 7076 |
| 1695 | Median Detects | | | | | 5350 | CV Detects | | | | | 1.085 |
| 1696 | Skewness Detects | | | | | 0.456 | Kurtosis Detects | | | | | -3.362 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|----------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-------|-------|-------|---|
| 1697 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 7.911 | SD of Logged Detects | | | | | 1.771 | |
| 1698 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1699 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1700 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.881 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 1701 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 1702 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.282 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 1703 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 1704 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1705 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1706 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1707 | KM Mean | | | 2780 | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | | 1802 | | |
| 1708 | KM SD | | | 4934 | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | | N/A | | |
| 1709 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 6083 | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | | N/A | | |
| 1710 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 5744 | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | | N/A | | |
| 1711 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 8185 | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | | 10634 | | |
| 1712 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 14032 | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | | 20708 | | |
| 1713 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1714 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1715 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.382 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 1716 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.673 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1717 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.277 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | |
| 1718 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.406 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1719 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1720 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1721 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1722 | k hat (MLE) | | 0.694 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.34 | | | |
| 1723 | Theta hat (MLE) | | 9394 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 19166 | | | |
| 1724 | nu hat (MLE) | | 5.553 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 2.722 | | | |
| 1725 | Mean (detects) | | 6520 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1726 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1727 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1728 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1729 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1730 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1731 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1732 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1733 | Minimum | | 0.01 | Mean | | | | | 2608 | | | |
| 1734 | Maximum | | 15000 | Median | | | | | 0.01 | | | |
| 1735 | SD | | 5294 | CV | | | | | 2.03 | | | |
| 1736 | k hat (MLE) | | 0.108 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.142 | | | |
| 1737 | Theta hat (MLE) | | 24203 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 18354 | | | |
| 1738 | nu hat (MLE) | | 2.155 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 2.842 | | | |
| 1739 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0267 | | | | | | | | |
| 1740 | Approximate Chi Square Value (2.84, α) | | | 0.327 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (2.84, β) | | | | | 0.224 | | |
| 1741 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 22654 | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | | N/A | | |
| 1742 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1743 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1744 | Mean (KM) | | 2780 | SD (KM) | | | | | 4934 | | | |
| 1745 | Variance (KM) | | 24345840 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | | 1802 | | | |
| 1746 | k hat (KM) | | 0.317 | k star (KM) | | | | | 0.289 | | | |
| 1747 | nu hat (KM) | | 6.349 | nu star (KM) | | | | | 5.778 | | | |
| 1748 | theta hat (KM) | | 8757 | theta star (KM) | | | | | 9623 | | | |
| 1749 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 4222 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 8235 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|--|---|---|---|---------|---|----------------------|---|---|-------|---|---|--|
| 1750 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 12871 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 24978 | | | |
| 1751 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1752 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1753 | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.78, α) | | | | 1.527 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.78, β) | | | | 1.181 | | | |
| 1754 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 10517 | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 13599 | | | |
| 1755 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1756 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1757 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.898 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 1758 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1759 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.263 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 1760 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1761 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1762 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1763 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1764 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 2630 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 5.262 | | | |
| 1765 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 5282 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 2.535 | | | |
| 1766 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | 5692 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 5489 | | | |
| 1767 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 6461 | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 43431 | | | |
| 1768 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | 1389450 | | | | | | | | |
| 1769 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1770 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1771 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 6.551 | KM Geo Mean | | | | 700.2 | | | |
| 1772 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.479 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 4.158 | | | |
| 1773 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.544 | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 16246 | | | |
| 1774 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.479 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 4.158 | | | |
| 1775 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.544 | | | | | | | | |
| 1776 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1777 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1778 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | | |
| 1779 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 2811 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 6.585 | | | |
| 1780 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 5186 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.592 | | | |
| 1781 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 5817 | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 26871 | | | |
| 1782 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1783 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1784 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1785 | Detected Data appear Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1786 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1787 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1788 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 6083 | | | | | | | | |
| 1789 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1790 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1791 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1792 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1793 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1794 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1795 | BBP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1796 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1797 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1798 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | | | |
| 1799 | Number of Detects | | | | 2 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 8 | | | |
| 1800 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 2 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 8 | | | |
| 1801 | Minimum Detect | | | | 280 | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 260 | | | |
| 1802 | Maximum Detect | | | | 16000 | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 1100 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|---|---|---|--|---|--------|--|
| 1803 | | | | Variance Detects | | 1.236E+8 | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 80% | |
| 1804 | | | | Mean Detects | | 8140 | | | | SD Detects | | 11116 | |
| 1805 | | | | Median Detects | | 8140 | | | | CV Detects | | 1.366 | |
| 1806 | | | | Skewness Detects | | N/A | | | | Kurtosis Detects | | N/A | |
| 1807 | | | | Mean of Logged Detects | | 7.658 | | | | SD of Logged Detects | | 2.861 | |
| 1808 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1809 | | | | Warning: Data set has only 2 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1810 | | | | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1811 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1812 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1813 | | | | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1814 | | | | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 1815 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1816 | | | | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | |
| 1817 | | | | KM Mean | | 1843 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | 2110 | |
| 1818 | | | | KM SD | | 4719 | | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | N/A | |
| 1819 | | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | | 5712 | | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | N/A | |
| 1820 | | | | 95% KM (z) UCL | | 5314 | | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | N/A | |
| 1821 | | | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 8174 | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 11042 | |
| 1822 | | | | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 15023 | | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 22841 | |
| 1823 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1824 | | | | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1825 | | | | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 1826 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1827 | | | | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1828 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.475 | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | N/A | |
| 1829 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 17126 | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | N/A | |
| 1830 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 1.901 | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | N/A | |
| 1831 | | | | Mean (detects) | | 8140 | | | | | | | |
| 1832 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1833 | | | | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1834 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 1843 | | | | SD (KM) | | 4719 | |
| 1835 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 22269051 | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | 2110 | |
| 1836 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 0.153 | | | | k star (KM) | | 0.173 | |
| 1837 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 3.051 | | | | nu star (KM) | | 3.469 | |
| 1838 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 12083 | | | | theta star (KM) | | 10626 | |
| 1839 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 2233 | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | 5546 | |
| 1840 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 9835 | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | 21936 | |
| 1841 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1842 | | | | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 1843 | | | | | | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | 0.0267 | |
| 1844 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (3.47, α) | | 0.524 | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (3.47, β) | | 0.366 | |
| 1845 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 12204 | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | 17462 | |
| 1846 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1847 | | | | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 1848 | | | | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 1849 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1850 | | | | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | |
| 1851 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 1656 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | 4.251 | |
| 1852 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 5041 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 2.14 | |
| 1853 | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | 4578 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | N/A | |
| 1854 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | N/A | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | N/A | |
| 1855 | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 41342 | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 1856 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1857 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1858 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 6.006 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 405.9 | |
| 1859 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.225 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.584 | |
| 1860 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.549 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 3716 | |
| 1861 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.225 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.584 | |
| 1862 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.549 | | | | | | | |
| 1863 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1864 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1865 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 1866 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 1906 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 6.111 | |
| 1867 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 4954 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.35 | |
| 1868 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 4778 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 6377 | |
| 1869 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1870 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1871 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1872 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1873 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1874 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1875 | KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 1876 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1877 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1878 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1879 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1880 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1881 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1882 | Carbazole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1883 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1884 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1885 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 1886 | Number of Detects | | | | 2 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 8 | |
| 1887 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 2 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 8 | |
| 1888 | Minimum Detect | | | | 800 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 260 | |
| 1889 | Maximum Detect | | | | 1600 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 1100 | |
| 1890 | Variance Detects | | | | 320000 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 80% | |
| 1891 | Mean Detects | | | | 1200 | | SD Detects | | | | 565.7 | |
| 1892 | Median Detects | | | | 1200 | | CV Detects | | | | 0.471 | |
| 1893 | Skewness Detects | | | | N/A | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | N/A | |
| 1894 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 7.031 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 0.49 | |
| 1895 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1896 | Warning: Data set has only 2 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1897 | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1898 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1899 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1900 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1901 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1902 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1903 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1904 | KM Mean | | | | 491.2 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 206 | |
| 1905 | KM SD | | | | 422.6 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | N/A | |
| 1906 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 868.8 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | N/A | |
| 1907 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 830 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | N/A | |
| 1908 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1109 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1389 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------|--------|-------|---|------|---|---|
| 1909 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1778 | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2541 | | |
| 1910 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1911 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1912 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1913 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1914 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1915 | k hat (MLE) | | | 8.653 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 1916 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 138.7 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 1917 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 34.61 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 1918 | Mean (detects) | | | 1200 | | | | | | | | |
| 1919 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1921 | Mean (KM) | | | 491.2 | SD (KM) | | | 422.6 | | | | |
| 1922 | Variance (KM) | | | 178595 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 206 | | | | |
| 1923 | k hat (KM) | | | 1.351 | k star (KM) | | | 1.012 | | | | |
| 1924 | nu hat (KM) | | | 27.02 | nu star (KM) | | | 20.25 | | | | |
| 1925 | theta hat (KM) | | | 363.6 | theta star (KM) | | | 485.2 | | | | |
| 1926 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 789.8 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 1128 | | | | |
| 1927 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 1465 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 2248 | | | | |
| 1928 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1929 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1930 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0267 | | | | | |
| 1931 | Approximate Chi Square Value (20.25, α) | | | 11.03 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (20.25, β) | | | 9.871 | | | | |
| 1932 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 901.4 | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | 1007 | | | | |
| 1933 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1934 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1935 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1936 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1937 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1938 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 473.9 | Mean in Log Scale | | | 5.944 | | | | |
| 1939 | SD in Original Scale | | | 427.6 | SD in Log Scale | | | 0.604 | | | | |
| 1940 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | 721.8 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | N/A | | | | |
| 1941 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | N/A | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | N/A | | | | |
| 1942 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | 738.4 | | | | | | | | |
| 1943 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1944 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1945 | KM Mean (logged) | | | 5.945 | KM Geo Mean | | | 381.7 | | | | |
| 1946 | KM SD (logged) | | | 0.64 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | 2.432 | | | | |
| 1947 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | 0.333 | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | 787.6 | | | | |
| 1948 | KM SD (logged) | | | 0.64 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | 2.432 | | | | |
| 1949 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | 0.333 | | | | | | | | |
| 1950 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1951 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1952 | DL/2 Normal | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | | | |
| 1953 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 513.5 | Mean in Log Scale | | | 5.963 | | | | |
| 1954 | SD in Original Scale | | | 434.8 | SD in Log Scale | | | 0.788 | | | | |
| 1955 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | 765.5 | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | 1074 | | | | |
| 1956 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1957 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1958 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1959 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1960 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1961 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|------------------|---|-------|--|---|---|-------|----------|-------|
| 1962 | | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | | 868.8 | | | | | KM H-UCL | 787.6 |
| 1963 | | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | N/A | | | | | | |
| 1964 | Warning: One or more Recommended UCL(s) not available! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1965 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1966 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1967 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1968 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1969 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1970 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1971 | Dibenzofuran | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1972 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1973 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1974 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 9 | | |
| 1975 | Number of Detects | | | 3 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 7 | | |
| 1976 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 3 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 7 | | |
| 1977 | Minimum Detect | | | 170 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 260 | | |
| 1978 | Maximum Detect | | | 730 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 1100 | | |
| 1979 | Variance Detects | | | 84033 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 70% | | |
| 1980 | Mean Detects | | | 406.7 | | | SD Detects | | | 289.9 | | |
| 1981 | Median Detects | | | 320 | | | CV Detects | | | 0.713 | | |
| 1982 | Skewness Detects | | | 1.225 | | | Kurtosis Detects | | | N/A | | |
| 1983 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 5.832 | | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 0.731 | | |
| 1984 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1985 | Warning: Data set has only 3 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1986 | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1987 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1988 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1989 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1990 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.933 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1991 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.767 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1992 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.284 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1993 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.425 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1994 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1995 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1996 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1997 | KM Mean | | | 294.6 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 102.8 | | |
| 1998 | KM SD | | | 203.6 | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 1999 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 483.1 | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 2000 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 463.8 | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 2001 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 603.1 | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 742.9 | | |
| 2002 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 936.9 | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1318 | | |
| 2003 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2004 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | k hat (MLE) | | | 3.004 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | |
| 2009 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 135.4 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | |
| 2010 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 18.02 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | |
| 2011 | Mean (detects) | | | 406.7 | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|--|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------|---|--|
| 2015 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | | Minimum | 126.2 | | | | | | | Mean | 260.4 | | |
| 2020 | | Maximum | 730 | | | | | | | Median | 236.4 | | |
| 2021 | | SD | 175.1 | | | | | | | CV | 0.673 | | |
| 2022 | | k hat (MLE) | 3.832 | | | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 2.749 | | |
| 2023 | | Theta hat (MLE) | 67.95 | | | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 94.72 | | |
| 2024 | | nu hat (MLE) | 76.65 | | | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 54.99 | | |
| 2025 | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0267 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026 | | Approximate Chi Square Value (54.99, α) | 38.95 | | | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (54.99, β) | 36.61 | | |
| 2027 | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 367.7 | | | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | N/A | | |
| 2028 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2029 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2030 | | Mean (KM) | 294.6 | | | | | | | SD (KM) | 203.6 | | |
| 2031 | | Variance (KM) | 41433 | | | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 102.8 | | |
| 2032 | | k hat (KM) | 2.094 | | | | | | | k star (KM) | 1.533 | | |
| 2033 | | nu hat (KM) | 41.89 | | | | | | | nu star (KM) | 30.66 | | |
| 2034 | | theta hat (KM) | 140.7 | | | | | | | theta star (KM) | 192.2 | | |
| 2035 | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 454.7 | | | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 610.6 | | |
| 2036 | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 761.8 | | | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 1103 | | |
| 2037 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2038 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2039 | | Approximate Chi Square Value (30.66, α) | 19.01 | | | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (30.66, β) | 17.43 | | |
| 2040 | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 475.1 | | | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 518.1 | | |
| 2041 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2042 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2043 | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.994 | | | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | |
| 2044 | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.767 | | | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| 2045 | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.202 | | | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | |
| 2046 | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.425 | | | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| 2047 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2048 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2049 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2050 | | Mean in Original Scale | 266.8 | | | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 5.473 | | |
| 2051 | | SD in Original Scale | 169.7 | | | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 0.448 | | |
| 2052 | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 365.1 | | | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 360.3 | | |
| 2053 | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 417 | | | | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 596.3 | | |
| 2054 | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 363.1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2055 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2056 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2057 | | KM Mean (logged) | 5.51 | | | | | | | KM Geo Mean | 247.3 | | |
| 2058 | | KM SD (logged) | 0.545 | | | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 2.284 | | |
| 2059 | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.28 | | | | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 434.3 | | |
| 2060 | | KM SD (logged) | 0.545 | | | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 2.284 | | |
| 2061 | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.28 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2062 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2063 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2064 | | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | |
| 2065 | | Mean in Original Scale | 380 | | | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 5.802 | | |
| 2066 | | SD in Original Scale | 192.7 | | | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 0.585 | | |
| 2067 | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | 491.7 | | | | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | 620.2 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 2068 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2069 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2070 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2071 | Detected Data appear Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2072 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2073 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2074 | 95% KM (t) UCL 483.1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2075 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2076 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2077 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2078 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2079 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2080 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2081 | DNBP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2082 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2083 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2084 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | |
| 2085 | Number of Detects | | | 1 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 9 | | |
| 2086 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 1 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 9 | | |
| 2087 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2088 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2089 | s suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2090 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2091 | The data set for variable DNBP was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2092 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2093 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2094 | Phenol | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2095 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2096 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2097 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 9 | | |
| 2098 | Number of Detects | | | 1 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 9 | | |
| 2099 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 1 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 9 | | |
| 2100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2101 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2102 | s suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2103 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2104 | The data set for variable Phenol was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2105 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2106 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2107 | PCB-1248 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2108 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2109 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2110 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | |
| 2111 | Number of Detects | | | 4 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 6 | | |
| 2112 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 4 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 6 | | |
| 2113 | Minimum Detect | | | 120 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 43 | | |
| 2114 | Maximum Detect | | | 1900 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 110 | | |
| 2115 | Variance Detects | | | 718833 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 60% | | |
| 2116 | Mean Detects | | | 635 | | | SD Detects | | | 847.8 | | |
| 2117 | Median Detects | | | 260 | | | CV Detects | | | 1.335 | | |
| 2118 | Skewness Detects | | | 1.937 | | | Kurtosis Detects | | | 3.782 | | |
| 2119 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 5.846 | | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.209 | | |
| 2120 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|--------|-------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 2121 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2122 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.721 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2123 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2124 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.39 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2125 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2126 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2127 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2128 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2129 | KM Mean | | | 279.8 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 199.9 | | |
| 2130 | KM SD | | | 547.5 | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 2131 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 646.3 | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 2132 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 608.6 | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | N/A | | |
| 2133 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 879.6 | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1151 | | |
| 2134 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1528 | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 2269 | | |
| 2135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2136 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2137 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.489 | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2138 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.667 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2139 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.336 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 2140 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.403 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2141 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2142 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2143 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2144 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.955 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.405 | | |
| 2145 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 665 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1566 | | |
| 2146 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 7.639 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 3.243 | | |
| 2147 | Mean (detects) | | | 635 | | | | | | | | |
| 2148 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2149 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2150 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2151 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2152 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2153 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2154 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2155 | Minimum | | | 0.01 | | | Mean | | | 254 | | |
| 2156 | Maximum | | | 1900 | | | Median | | | 0.01 | | |
| 2157 | SD | | | 589.2 | | | CV | | | 2.32 | | |
| 2158 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.131 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.159 | | |
| 2159 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 1934 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1601 | | |
| 2160 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 2.627 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 3.172 | | |
| 2161 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0267 | | | | | | | | |
| 2162 | Approximate Chi Square Value (3.17, α) | | | 0.425 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (3.17, β) | | | 0.293 | | |
| 2163 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 1895 | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | N/A | | |
| 2164 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2165 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2166 | Mean (KM) | | | 279.8 | | | SD (KM) | | | 547.5 | | |
| 2167 | Variance (KM) | | | 299761 | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 199.9 | | |
| 2168 | k hat (KM) | | | 0.261 | | | k star (KM) | | | 0.249 | | |
| 2169 | nu hat (KM) | | | 5.223 | | | nu star (KM) | | | 4.99 | | |
| 2170 | theta hat (KM) | | | 1071 | | | theta star (KM) | | | 1122 | | |
| 2171 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 406.1 | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 840 | | |
| 2172 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 1355 | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 2727 | | |
| 2173 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|--|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 2174 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2175 | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.99, α) | | | | 1.147 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.99, β) | | | | 0.863 | |
| 2176 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 1217 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 1618 | |
| 2177 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2178 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2179 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.904 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2180 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2181 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.265 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2182 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2183 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2184 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2185 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2186 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 257.3 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 3.355 | |
| 2187 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 587.6 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 2.255 | |
| 2188 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | 597.9 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 610.7 | |
| 2189 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 795.7 | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 2258 | |
| 2190 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | 33429 | | | | | | | |
| 2191 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2192 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2193 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 4.595 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 98.99 | |
| 2194 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.217 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.566 | |
| 2195 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.444 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 882.1 | |
| 2196 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.217 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.566 | |
| 2197 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.444 | | | | | | | |
| 2198 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2199 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2200 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 2201 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 279.1 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 4.551 | |
| 2202 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 577.5 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.339 | |
| 2203 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 613.8 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 1287 | |
| 2204 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2205 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2206 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2207 | Detected Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2208 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2209 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2210 | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | N/A | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap | | | | 882.1 | |
| 2211 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2212 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2213 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2214 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2215 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2216 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2217 | PCB-1254 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2218 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2219 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2220 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 2221 | Number of Detects | | | | 1 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 9 | |
| 2222 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 1 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 9 | |
| 2223 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2224 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2225 | It is suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2226 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---------|---|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|
| 2227 | The data set for variable PCB-1254 was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2228 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2229 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2230 | T-PCBs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2231 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2232 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2233 | Total Number of Observations | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | | |
| 2234 | Number of Detects | | | 5 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 5 | | | |
| 2235 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 5 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 5 | | | |
| 2236 | Minimum Detect | | | 286 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 249 | | | |
| 2237 | Maximum Detect | | | 6400 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 385 | | | |
| 2238 | Variance Detects | | | 7034203 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 50% | | | |
| 2239 | Mean Detects | | | 1662 | | SD Detects | | | 2652 | | | |
| 2240 | Median Detects | | | 510 | | CV Detects | | | 1.596 | | | |
| 2241 | Skewness Detects | | | 2.222 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | 4.95 | | | |
| 2242 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 6.652 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.219 | | | |
| 2243 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2244 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2245 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.601 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2246 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.762 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2247 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.447 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2248 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.343 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2249 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2250 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2251 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2252 | KM Mean | | | 962.7 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 642.5 | | | |
| 2253 | KM SD | | | 1817 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | 2198 | | | |
| 2254 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 2141 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | 2143 | | | |
| 2255 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 2020 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | 11113 | | | |
| 2256 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 2890 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 3763 | | | |
| 2257 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 4975 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 7356 | | | |
| 2258 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2259 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2260 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.883 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2261 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.697 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2262 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.421 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | |
| 2263 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.366 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2264 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2265 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2266 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2267 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.78 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.445 | | | |
| 2268 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 2130 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 3731 | | | |
| 2269 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 7.801 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 4.454 | | | |
| 2270 | Mean (detects) | | | 1662 | | | | | | | | |
| 2271 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2272 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2273 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2274 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2275 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2276 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2277 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2278 | Minimum | | | 0.01 | | Mean | | | 830.8 | | | |
| 2279 | Maximum | | | 6400 | | Median | | | 143 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|--|---|---|---|--|---------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|
| 2280 | | | | | SD | 1973 | | | | | CV | 2.375 | |
| 2281 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.137 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.162 | |
| 2282 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 6079 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 5118 | |
| 2283 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 2.734 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 3.247 | |
| 2284 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0267 | | | | | | | |
| 2285 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (3.25, α) | 0.449 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (3.25, β) | 0.311 | |
| 2286 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 6006 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 8685 | |
| 2287 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2288 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2289 | | | | | Mean (KM) | 962.7 | | | | | SD (KM) | 1817 | |
| 2290 | | | | | Variance (KM) | 3302307 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 642.5 | |
| 2291 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 0.281 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.263 | |
| 2292 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 5.613 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 5.262 | |
| 2293 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 3430 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 3659 | |
| 2294 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 1423 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 2878 | |
| 2295 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 4589 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 9110 | |
| 2296 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2297 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2298 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.26, α) | 1.275 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.26, β) | 0.969 | |
| 2299 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 3972 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 5228 | |
| 2300 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2301 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2302 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.783 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 2303 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.762 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 2304 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.353 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 2305 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.343 | | | | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 2306 | | | | | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 2307 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2308 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2309 | | | | | Mean in Original Scale | 868.7 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 5.462 | |
| 2310 | | | | | SD in Original Scale | 1956 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.52 | |
| 2311 | | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 2002 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 2069 | |
| 2312 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 2695 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 8416 | |
| 2313 | | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 6458 | | | | | | | |
| 2314 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2315 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2316 | | | | | KM Mean (logged) | 6.113 | | | | | KM Geo Mean | 451.5 | |
| 2317 | | | | | KM SD (logged) | 0.942 | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 2.986 | |
| 2318 | | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.334 | | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 1797 | |
| 2319 | | | | | KM SD (logged) | 0.942 | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 2.986 | |
| 2320 | | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.334 | | | | | | | |
| 2321 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2322 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2323 | | | | | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | |
| 2324 | | | | | Mean in Original Scale | 911 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 5.859 | |
| 2325 | | | | | SD in Original Scale | 1937 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.171 | |
| 2326 | | | | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | 2034 | | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | 2692 | |
| 2327 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2328 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2329 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2330 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2331 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2332 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-------|------|--|
| 2333 | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | | 3763 | | | | | | | |
| 2334 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2335 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2336 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2337 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2338 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2339 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2340 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2341 | Barium | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2342 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2343 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2344 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 9 | | |
| 2345 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | | |
| 2346 | Minimum | | | | 74 | | Mean | | | | 537.3 | | |
| 2347 | Maximum | | | | 2400 | | Median | | | | 245 | | |
| 2348 | SD | | | | 720.7 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 227.9 | | |
| 2349 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 1.341 | | Skewness | | | | 2.336 | | |
| 2350 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2351 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2352 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.668 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2353 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2354 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.31 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2355 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2356 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2357 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2358 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2359 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | | | |
| 2360 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 955.1 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 1092 | | |
| 2361 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 983.1 | | |
| 2362 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2363 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2364 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.581 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2365 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.749 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2366 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.213 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2367 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.274 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2368 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2369 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2370 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2371 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.984 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.756 | | |
| 2372 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 546 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 711.1 | | |
| 2373 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 19.68 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 15.11 | | |
| 2374 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 537.3 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 618.1 | | |
| 2375 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 7.339 | | |
| 2376 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 6.42 | | |
| 2377 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2378 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2379 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50) | | | | | 1106 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 1265 | |
| 2380 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2381 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2382 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.949 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2383 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2384 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.151 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2385 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|--|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 2386 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2387 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2388 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2389 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 4.304 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 5.699 | |
| 2390 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 7.783 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.081 | |
| 2391 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2392 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2393 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 1741 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1027 | |
| 2394 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1268 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1603 | |
| 2395 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 2261 | | | | | | | |
| 2396 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2397 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2398 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2399 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2400 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2401 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 912.2 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 955.1 | |
| 2402 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 901 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 2120 | |
| 2403 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 2539 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 948.9 | |
| 2404 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 1136 | | | | | | | |
| 2405 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1221 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1531 | |
| 2406 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1961 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 2805 | |
| 2407 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2408 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2409 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL | | | | 1265 | | | | | | | |
| 2410 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2411 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2412 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2413 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2414 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2415 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2416 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2417 | Chromium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2418 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2419 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2420 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 2421 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 2422 | Minimum | | | | 11 | | Mean | | | | 49.6 | |
| 2423 | Maximum | | | | 130 | | Median | | | | 42 | |
| 2424 | SD | | | | 31.34 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 9.911 | |
| 2425 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.632 | | Skewness | | | | 2.053 | |
| 2426 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2427 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2428 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.777 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2429 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2430 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.269 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2431 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2432 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2433 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2434 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2435 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 2436 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 67.77 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 72.78 | |
| 2437 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 68.84 | |
| 2438 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|-------|--------|------------------------------|---|---|-------|-------|-------|---|---|
| 2439 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2440 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.592 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2441 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.731 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2442 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.193 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2443 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.268 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2444 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2445 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2446 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2447 | k hat (MLE) | | | 3.294 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 2.373 | | | |
| 2448 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 15.06 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 20.91 | | | |
| 2449 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 65.88 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 47.45 | | | |
| 2450 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 49.6 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 32.2 | | | |
| 2451 | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 32.64 | | |
| 2452 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 30.52 | | | |
| 2453 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2454 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2455 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50) | | | 72.1 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 77.11 | | | |
| 2456 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2457 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2458 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.892 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2459 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2460 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.225 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 2461 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 2462 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2463 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2464 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2465 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | 2.398 | | Mean of logged Data | | | 3.745 | | | |
| 2466 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | 4.868 | | SD of logged Data | | | 0.614 | | | |
| 2467 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2468 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2469 | 95% H-UCL | | 83.32 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 79.86 | | | | |
| 2470 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 93.39 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 112.2 | | | | |
| 2471 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 149 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2472 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2473 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2474 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2475 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2476 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2477 | 95% CLT UCL | | 65.9 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | 67.77 | | | | |
| 2478 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 65.12 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | 83.92 | | | | |
| 2479 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 151.9 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 66.9 | | | | |
| 2480 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 70.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2481 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 79.33 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 92.8 | | | | |
| 2482 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 111.5 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 148.2 | | | | |
| 2483 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2484 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2485 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL | | 77.11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2486 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2487 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2488 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2489 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2490 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2491 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 2492 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2493 | Copper | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2494 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2495 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2496 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 2497 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 2498 | Minimum | | | | 10 | | Mean | | | | 115 | |
| 2499 | Maximum | | | | 320 | | Median | | | | 94 | |
| 2500 | SD | | | | 86.9 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 27.48 | |
| 2501 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.756 | | Skewness | | | | 1.57 | |
| 2502 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2503 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2504 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.868 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2505 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2506 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.231 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2507 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2508 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2509 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2510 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2511 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 2512 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 165.4 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 174.8 | |
| 2513 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 167.6 | |
| 2514 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2515 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2516 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.283 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2517 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.737 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2518 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.17 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2519 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.27 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2520 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2521 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2522 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2523 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 1.842 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 1.356 | |
| 2524 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 62.42 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 84.79 | |
| 2525 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 36.85 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 27.13 | |
| 2526 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 115 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 98.74 | |
| 2527 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 16.25 | |
| 2528 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 14.8 | |
| 2529 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2530 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2531 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | 192 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 210.7 | |
| 2532 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2533 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2534 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.899 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2535 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2536 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.223 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2537 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2538 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2539 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2540 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2541 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 2.303 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 4.45 | |
| 2542 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 5.768 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.922 | |
| 2543 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2544 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | |
|------|--|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------|-------|
| 2598 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2599 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 4.272 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 3.057 |
| 2600 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 15.03 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 21 |
| 2601 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 85.45 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 61.15 |
| 2602 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 64.2 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 36.72 |
| 2603 | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 44.16 | |
| 2604 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 41.66 |
| 2605 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2606 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2607 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | 88.89 | | | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 94.22 |
| 2608 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2609 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2610 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.974 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 2611 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 2612 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.153 | | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 2613 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 2614 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2615 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2616 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2617 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 3.045 | | | | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 4.04 |
| 2618 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 4.942 | | | | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.533 |
| 2619 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2620 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2621 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 98.03 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 97.78 |
| 2622 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 112.9 | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 133.8 |
| 2623 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 174.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2624 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2625 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2626 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2627 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2628 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2629 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 81.56 | | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 83.55 |
| 2630 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 80.94 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 89.21 |
| 2631 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 102.6 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 81.9 |
| 2632 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 84.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2633 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 95.86 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 110.2 |
| 2634 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 130.1 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 169.2 |
| 2635 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2636 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2637 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 83.55 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2638 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2639 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2640 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2641 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2642 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2643 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2644 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2645 | Nickel | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2646 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2647 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2648 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 8 |
| 2649 | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 2650 | Minimum | | | | 7.1 | | | | | Mean | | | | 32.91 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 2704 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2705 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 42.17 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 43.23 | |
| 2706 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 41.66 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 46.47 | |
| 2707 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 95.29 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 42.01 | |
| 2708 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 44.3 | | | | | | | |
| 2709 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 49.79 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 57.44 | |
| 2710 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 68.05 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 88.9 | |
| 2711 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2712 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2713 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 43.23 | | | | | | | |
| 2714 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2715 | When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2716 | When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2717 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2718 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2719 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2720 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2721 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2722 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2723 | Selenium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2724 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2725 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2726 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 10 | |
| 2727 | Number of Detects | | | | 9 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 1 | |
| 2728 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 9 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | |
| 2729 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.77 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 6.1 | |
| 2730 | Maximum Detect | | | | 3.5 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 6.1 | |
| 2731 | Variance Detects | | | | 0.816 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 10% | |
| 2732 | Mean Detects | | | | 2.086 | | SD Detects | | | | 0.903 | |
| 2733 | Median Detects | | | | 2 | | CV Detects | | | | 0.433 | |
| 2734 | Skewness Detects | | | | 0.237 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | -0.815 | |
| 2735 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 0.64 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 0.484 | |
| 2736 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2737 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2738 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.973 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2739 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.829 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2740 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.114 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2741 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.274 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2742 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2743 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2744 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2745 | KM Mean | | | | 2.086 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 0.301 | |
| 2746 | KM SD | | | | 0.852 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 2.544 | |
| 2747 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 2.637 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 2.56 | |
| 2748 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 2.581 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 2.694 | |
| 2749 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2.989 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 3.398 | |
| 2750 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 3.966 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 5.081 | |
| 2751 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2752 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2753 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.153 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2754 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.723 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2755 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.128 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 2756 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.28 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 2757 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2758 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2759 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2760 | k hat (MLE) | | | 5.409 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 3.68 | | |
| 2761 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.386 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.567 | | |
| 2762 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 97.37 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 66.24 | | |
| 2763 | Mean (detects) | | | 2.086 | | | | | | | | |
| 2764 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2765 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2766 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2767 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2768 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2769 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2770 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2771 | Minimum | | | 0.77 | | | Mean | | | 2.076 | | |
| 2772 | Maximum | | | 3.5 | | | Median | | | 1.995 | | |
| 2773 | SD | | | 0.852 | | | CV | | | 0.41 | | |
| 2774 | k hat (MLE) | | | 5.986 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 4.257 | | |
| 2775 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.347 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.488 | | |
| 2776 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 119.7 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 85.14 | | |
| 2777 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0267 | | | | | | | | |
| 2778 | Approximate Chi Square Value (85.14, α) | | | 64.87 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (85.14, β) | | | 61.81 | | |
| 2779 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 2.725 | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | 2.86 | | |
| 2780 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2781 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2782 | Mean (KM) | | | 2.086 | | | SD (KM) | | | 0.852 | | |
| 2783 | Variance (KM) | | | 0.725 | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 0.301 | | |
| 2784 | k hat (KM) | | | 5.998 | | | k star (KM) | | | 4.265 | | |
| 2785 | nu hat (KM) | | | 120 | | | nu star (KM) | | | 85.3 | | |
| 2786 | theta hat (KM) | | | 0.348 | | | theta star (KM) | | | 0.489 | | |
| 2787 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 2.854 | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 3.439 | | |
| 2788 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 3.975 | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 5.117 | | |
| 2789 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2790 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2791 | Approximate Chi Square Value (85.30, α) | | | 65.01 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (85.30, β) | | | 61.94 | | |
| 2792 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 2.736 | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | 2.872 | | |
| 2793 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2794 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2795 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.964 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2796 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.829 | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2797 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.131 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2798 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.274 | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2799 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2800 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2801 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2802 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 2.067 | | | Mean in Log Scale | | | 0.64 | | |
| 2803 | SD in Original Scale | | | 0.854 | | | SD in Log Scale | | | 0.456 | | |
| 2804 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | 2.561 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 2.489 | | |
| 2805 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | 2.49 | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | 2.597 | | |
| 2806 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | 2.922 | | | | | | | | |
| 2807 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2808 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2809 | KM Mean (logged) | | | 0.64 | | | KM Geo Mean | | | 1.896 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|--|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|-------|
| 2863 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2864 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 4.376 | | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | 3.13 |
| 2865 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 62.8 | | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | 87.8 |
| 2866 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 87.52 | | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | 62.6 |
| 2867 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 274.8 | | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 155.3 |
| 2868 | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | 45.4 | |
| 2869 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0267 | | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | 42.86 |
| 2870 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2871 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2872 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50) | | | | 378.9 | | | | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | 401.3 |
| 2873 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2874 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2875 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.949 | | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | |
| 2876 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 2877 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.195 | | | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | |
| 2878 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 2879 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2880 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2881 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2882 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 4.477 | | | | | | Mean of logged Data | | 5.497 |
| 2883 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 6.461 | | | | | | SD of logged Data | | 0.517 |
| 2884 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2885 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2886 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 410.5 | | | | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 412.1 |
| 2887 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 474.3 | | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 560.6 |
| 2888 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 730.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 2889 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2890 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2891 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2892 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2893 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2894 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 352.3 | | | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | 361.2 |
| 2895 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 348.4 | | | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | 412.6 |
| 2896 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 798 | | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | 353 |
| 2897 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 377 | | | | | | | | |
| 2898 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 416.2 | | | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 480.3 |
| 2899 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 569.2 | | | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 743.8 |
| 2900 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2901 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2902 | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL | | | | 401.3 | | | | | | | | |
| 2903 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2904 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2905 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2906 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2907 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2908 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Southern Pond 95% UCLs

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|--|---|---|----------|---|---|--|---|---|--------|---|---|
| 1 | UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Date/Time of Computation | | ProUCL 5.13/19/2019 8:00:20 PM | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | From File | | ProUCL Setup Sed-RERA-eco-0-1ft-rev-Mar-19-2019_a.xls | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Full Precision | | OFF | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Confidence Coefficient | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | T-PCBs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Total Number of Observations | | | 13 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 12 | | |
| 14 | Number of Detects | | | 11 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 2 | | |
| 15 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 11 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 1 | | |
| 16 | Minimum Detect | | | 116 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 84 | | |
| 17 | Maximum Detect | | | 132000 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 84 | | |
| 18 | Variance Detects | | | 1.586E+9 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 15.38% | | |
| 19 | Mean Detects | | | 17887 | | | SD Detects | | | 39821 | | |
| 20 | Median Detects | | | 300 | | | CV Detects | | | 2.226 | | |
| 21 | Skewness Detects | | | 2.811 | | | Kurtosis Detects | | | 8.262 | | |
| 22 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 7.132 | | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 2.51 | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.531 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 26 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.85 | | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 27 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.378 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 28 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.251 | | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 29 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | KM Mean | | | 15148 | | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 10330 | | |
| 33 | KM SD | | | 35511 | | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | 35632 | | |
| 34 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 33558 | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | 33729 | | |
| 35 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 32139 | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | 93573 | | |
| 36 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 46137 | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 60174 | | |
| 37 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 79657 | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 117928 | | |
| 38 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.291 | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 41 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.835 | | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 42 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.323 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 43 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.278 | | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 44 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.265 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.253 | | |
| 48 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 67602 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 70689 | | |
| 49 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 5.821 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 5.567 | | |
| 50 | Mean (detects) | | | 17887 | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|--|----------|---|--|---------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 54 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 57 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | | Minimum | 0.01 | | Mean | 15135 | | | | | | |
| 59 | | Maximum | 132000 | | Median | 262 | | | | | | |
| 60 | | SD | 36967 | | CV | 2.443 | | | | | | |
| 61 | | k hat (MLE) | 0.175 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.186 | | | | | | |
| 62 | | Theta hat (MLE) | 86592 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 81488 | | | | | | |
| 63 | | nu hat (MLE) | 4.544 | | nu star (bias corrected) | 4.829 | | | | | | |
| 64 | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0301 | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.83, α) | 1.074 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.83, β) | 0.847 | | | | | | |
| 66 | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 68050 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 86273 | | | | | | |
| 67 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | | Mean (KM) | 15148 | | SD (KM) | 35511 | | | | | | |
| 70 | | Variance (KM) | 1.261E+9 | | SE of Mean (KM) | 10330 | | | | | | |
| 71 | | k hat (KM) | 0.182 | | k star (KM) | 0.191 | | | | | | |
| 72 | | nu hat (KM) | 4.731 | | nu star (KM) | 4.972 | | | | | | |
| 73 | | theta hat (KM) | 83250 | | theta star (KM) | 79205 | | | | | | |
| 74 | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 19480 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 45784 | | | | | | |
| 75 | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 78950 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 170940 | | | | | | |
| 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | | Approximate Chi Square Value (4.97, α) | 1.139 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.97, β) | 0.903 | | | | | | |
| 79 | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 66102 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 83404 | | | | | | |
| 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 81 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.818 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 83 | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.85 | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 84 | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.261 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 85 | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.251 | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 86 | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 89 | | Mean in Original Scale | 15136 | | Mean in Log Scale | 6.244 | | | | | | |
| 90 | | SD in Original Scale | 36967 | | SD in Log Scale | 3.164 | | | | | | |
| 91 | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 33409 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 33706 | | | | | | |
| 92 | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 42236 | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 93640 | | | | | | |
| 93 | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 60687224 | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | | KM Mean (logged) | 6.716 | | KM Geo Mean | 825.7 | | | | | | |
| 97 | | KM SD (logged) | 2.408 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 5.679 | | | | | | |
| 98 | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.7 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 776317 | | | | | | |
| 99 | | KM SD (logged) | 2.408 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 5.679 | | | | | | |
| 100 | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 104 | | Mean in Original Scale | 15141 | | Mean in Log Scale | 6.61 | | | | | | |
| 105 | | SD in Original Scale | 36964 | | SD in Log Scale | 2.622 | | | | | | |
| 106 | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | 33413 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | 2389457 | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|------|--|--|
| 107 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 113 | KM Bootstrap t UCL 33729 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 114 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 118 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | LMWPAHs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | Total Number of Observations | | | 15 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 15 | | | | | |
| 125 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | | | | |
| 126 | Minimum | | | 150.7 | | | Mean | | | 1772 | | | | | |
| 127 | Maximum | | | 9990 | | | Median | | | 282 | | | | | |
| 128 | SD | | | 2974 | | | Std. Error of Mean | | | 767.9 | | | | | |
| 129 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 1.679 | | | Skewness | | | 2.147 | | | | | |
| 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 131 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 132 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.614 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 133 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.881 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.359 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.22 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 136 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 139 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | | | | |
| 140 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | 3124 | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | 3490 | | | | | |
| 141 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | 3195 | | | | | |
| 142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 144 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.552 | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.787 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 146 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.269 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.233 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 151 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.581 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.509 | | | | | |
| 152 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 3051 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 3480 | | | | | |
| 153 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 17.42 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 15.27 | | | | | |
| 154 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 1772 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 2483 | | | | | |
| 155 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | 7.451 | | | | | |
| 156 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | 0.0324 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 6.787 | | | | | |
| 157 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 158 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 159 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | | | 3631 | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 3986 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 160 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.84 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 163 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 164 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.241 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 165 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 166 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 5.015 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 6.411 | |
| 170 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 9.209 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.41 | |
| 171 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 172 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 173 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 6017 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 3283 | |
| 174 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 4106 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 5248 | |
| 175 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 7492 | | | | | | | |
| 176 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 177 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 178 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 3035 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 3124 | |
| 182 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 2984 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 5798 | |
| 183 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 4926 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 3074 | |
| 184 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 3510 | | | | | | | |
| 185 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 4076 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 5119 | |
| 186 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 6568 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 9413 | |
| 187 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 189 | 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 5119 | | | | | | | |
| 190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 193 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 194 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 195 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 196 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 197 | HMWPAHs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 198 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 199 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 15 | |
| 201 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 202 | Minimum | | | | 280.5 | | Mean | | | | 13711 | |
| 203 | Maximum | | | | 60740 | | Median | | | | 1794 | |
| 204 | SD | | | | 22567 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 5827 | |
| 205 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 1.646 | | Skewness | | | | 1.546 | |
| 206 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 207 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 208 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.616 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 209 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 210 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.426 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 211 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 212 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 213 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 214 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 215 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 216 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | 23974 | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | 25781 | | |
| 217 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | 24362 | | |
| 218 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.893 | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 221 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.804 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 222 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.386 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 223 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.235 | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 224 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 226 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 227 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.449 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.404 | | |
| 228 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 30517 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 33949 | | |
| 229 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 13.48 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 12.12 | | |
| 230 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 13711 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 21575 | | |
| 231 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | 5.304 | | |
| 232 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | 0.0324 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 4.76 | | |
| 233 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 235 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | 31323 | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 34904 | | |
| 236 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 237 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 238 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.83 | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 239 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.881 | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 240 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.309 | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 241 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.22 | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 242 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 243 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 244 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 245 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | 5.637 | | | Mean of logged Data | | | 8.088 | | |
| 246 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | 11.01 | | | SD of logged Data | | | 1.725 | | |
| 247 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 248 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 249 | 95% H-UCL | | | 92691 | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 29969 | | |
| 250 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 38194 | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 49610 | | |
| 251 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 72036 | | | | | | | | |
| 252 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 253 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 254 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 255 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 256 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 257 | 95% CLT UCL | | | 23296 | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | 23974 | | |
| 258 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | 23128 | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | 27975 | | |
| 259 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | 21462 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 23179 | | |
| 260 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | 25923 | | | | | | | | |
| 261 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 31192 | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 39110 | | |
| 262 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 50100 | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 71688 | | |
| 263 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 264 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 265 | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 71688 | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--------|---|-------|---|
| 319 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 320 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 39.01 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | N/A | |
| 321 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 2.653 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | N/A | |
| 322 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 156 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | N/A | |
| 323 | Mean (detects) | | | | 103.5 | | | | | | | |
| 324 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 325 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 326 | Mean (KM) | | | | 15.97 | | SD (KM) | | | | 35.38 | |
| 327 | Variance (KM) | | | | 1252 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 13.11 | |
| 328 | k hat (KM) | | | | 0.204 | | k star (KM) | | | | 0.207 | |
| 329 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 6.111 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 6.222 | |
| 330 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 78.39 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 76.99 | |
| 331 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 21.44 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 48.3 | |
| 332 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 81.5 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 172.4 | |
| 333 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 334 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 335 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | | 0.0324 | | | |
| 336 | Approximate Chi Square Value (6.22, α) | | | | 1.755 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (6.22, β) | | | | 1.479 | |
| 337 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 56.63 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 67.17 | |
| 338 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 339 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 340 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 341 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 342 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 343 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 47.48 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 3.779 | |
| 344 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 24.27 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.38 | |
| 345 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | 58.52 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 58.82 | |
| 346 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 62.45 | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 87.5 | |
| 347 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | 57.34 | | | | | | | |
| 348 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 350 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 1.237 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 3.445 | |
| 351 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.358 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.353 | |
| 352 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.505 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 29.24 | |
| 353 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.358 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.353 | |
| 354 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.505 | | | | | | | |
| 355 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 356 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 357 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 358 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 24.59 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 2.405 | |
| 359 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 34.8 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.336 | |
| 360 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 40.42 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 88.29 | |
| 361 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 362 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 363 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 364 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 365 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 366 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 367 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 39.06 | | KM H-UCL | | | | 29.24 | |
| 368 | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 369 | Warning: One or more Recommended UCL(s) not available! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 370 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 371 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|
| 372 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 373 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 374 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 375 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 376 | Acetone | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 378 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 379 | Total Number of Observations | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 13 | | | |
| 380 | Number of Detects | | | 8 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 7 | | | |
| 381 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 8 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 6 | | | |
| 382 | Minimum Detect | | | 22 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 19 | | | |
| 383 | Maximum Detect | | | 930 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 31 | | | |
| 384 | Variance Detects | | | 102048 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 46.67% | | | |
| 385 | Mean Detects | | | 246.4 | | SD Detects | | | 319.4 | | | |
| 386 | Median Detects | | | 90.5 | | CV Detects | | | 1.297 | | | |
| 387 | Skewness Detects | | | 1.731 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | 2.663 | | | |
| 388 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 4.726 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.377 | | | |
| 389 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 390 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 391 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.762 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 392 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.818 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 393 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.279 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 394 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.283 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 395 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 396 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 397 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 398 | KM Mean | | | 140.7 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 67.83 | | | |
| 399 | KM SD | | | 245.7 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | 242.9 | | | |
| 400 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 260.2 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | 254.2 | | | |
| 401 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 252.3 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | 485.1 | | | |
| 402 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 344.2 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 436.4 | | | |
| 403 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 564.3 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 815.6 | | | |
| 404 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 405 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.383 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 407 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.745 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 408 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.22 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | |
| 409 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.304 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 410 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 411 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 412 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 413 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.764 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.561 | | | |
| 414 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 322.3 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 439.1 | | | |
| 415 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 12.23 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 8.978 | | | |
| 416 | Mean (detects) | | | 246.4 | | | | | | | | |
| 417 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 418 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 419 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 420 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 421 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 422 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 423 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 424 | Minimum | | | 0.01 | | Mean | | | 131.4 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 478 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 479 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | 260.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 480 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 481 | When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 482 | When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 483 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 486 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 487 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 488 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 489 | Benzene | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 490 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 491 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 492 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 13 | |
| 493 | Number of Detects | | | | 4 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 11 | |
| 494 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 4 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 10 | |
| 495 | Minimum Detect | | | | 5.4 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 2.1 | |
| 496 | Maximum Detect | | | | 40 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 17 | |
| 497 | Variance Detects | | | | 234.4 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 73.33% | |
| 498 | Mean Detects | | | | 17.6 | | SD Detects | | | | 15.31 | |
| 499 | Median Detects | | | | 12.5 | | CV Detects | | | | 0.87 | |
| 500 | Skewness Detects | | | | 1.7 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | 3.209 | |
| 501 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 2.606 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 0.824 | |
| 502 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 503 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 504 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.815 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 505 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 506 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.368 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 507 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.375 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 508 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 509 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 510 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 511 | KM Mean | | | | 6.446 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 2.904 | |
| 512 | KM SD | | | | 9.67 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | N/A | |
| 513 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 11.56 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | N/A | |
| 514 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 11.22 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | N/A | |
| 515 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 15.16 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 19.11 | |
| 516 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 24.58 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 35.34 | |
| 517 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 518 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 519 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.373 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 520 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.66 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 521 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.319 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 522 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.398 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 523 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 524 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 525 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 2.062 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.682 | |
| 527 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 8.534 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 25.8 | |
| 528 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 16.5 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 5.458 | |
| 529 | Mean (detects) | | | | 17.6 | | | | | | | |
| 530 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|--------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 531 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 532 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 533 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 534 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 535 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 536 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 537 | Minimum | 0.01 | | | | | | | | Mean | 4.701 | |
| 538 | Maximum | 40 | | | | | | | | Median | 0.01 | |
| 539 | SD | 10.73 | | | | | | | | CV | 2.282 | |
| 540 | k hat (MLE) | 0.177 | | | | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.186 | |
| 541 | Theta hat (MLE) | 26.52 | | | | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 25.24 | |
| 542 | nu hat (MLE) | 5.317 | | | | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 5.587 | |
| 543 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0324 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 544 | Approximate Chi Square Value (5.59, α) | 1.433 | | | | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (5.59, β) | 1.192 | |
| 545 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 18.33 | | | | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | N/A | |
| 546 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 547 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 548 | Mean (KM) | 6.446 | | | | | | | | SD (KM) | 9.67 | |
| 549 | Variance (KM) | 93.52 | | | | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 2.904 | |
| 550 | k hat (KM) | 0.444 | | | | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.4 | |
| 551 | nu hat (KM) | 13.33 | | | | | | | | nu star (KM) | 12 | |
| 552 | theta hat (KM) | 14.51 | | | | | | | | theta star (KM) | 16.12 | |
| 553 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 10.4 | | | | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 18.21 | |
| 554 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 26.78 | | | | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 48.35 | |
| 555 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 556 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 557 | Approximate Chi Square Value (12.00, α) | 5.224 | | | | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (12.00, β) | 4.685 | |
| 558 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 14.8 | | | | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 16.5 | |
| 559 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 560 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 561 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.949 | | | | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 562 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.748 | | | | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 563 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.27 | | | | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 564 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.375 | | | | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 565 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 566 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 567 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 568 | Mean in Original Scale | 5.596 | | | | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 0.814 | |
| 569 | SD in Original Scale | 10.32 | | | | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.209 | |
| 570 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 10.29 | | | | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 10.09 | |
| 571 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 12.72 | | | | | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 17.74 | |
| 572 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 12.73 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 573 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 574 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 | KM Mean (logged) | 1.288 | | | | | | | | KM Geo Mean | 3.624 | |
| 576 | KM SD (logged) | 0.909 | | | | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 2.602 | |
| 577 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.281 | | | | | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 10.31 | |
| 578 | KM SD (logged) | 0.909 | | | | | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 2.602 | |
| 579 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 0.281 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 580 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 581 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 582 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 583 | Mean in Original Scale | 6.87 | | | | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | 1.335 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|-------|
| 584 | | | | | | SD in Original Scale | 9.934 | | | | SD in Log Scale | 1.045 |
| 585 | | | | | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | 11.39 | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | 14.42 |
| 586 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 587 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 588 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 589 | Detected Data appear Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 590 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 592 | | | | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | 11.56 | | | | | |
| 593 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 594 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 595 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 596 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 597 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 598 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 599 | Carb Disul | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 600 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 601 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 602 | | | | | | Total Number of Observations | 15 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 11 |
| 603 | | | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | Number of Non-Detects | 15 |
| 604 | | | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | 11 |
| 605 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 606 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 607 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 608 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 609 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 610 | The data set for variable Carb Disul was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 611 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 612 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 613 | Benzoic A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 614 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 615 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 616 | | | | | | Total Number of Observations | 15 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 13 |
| 617 | | | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | Number of Non-Detects | 15 |
| 618 | | | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | 13 |
| 619 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 620 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 621 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 622 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 623 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 624 | The data set for variable Benzoic A was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 625 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 626 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 627 | 3-4-MP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 628 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 629 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 630 | | | | | | Total Number of Observations | 15 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 13 |
| 631 | | | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | Number of Non-Detects | 15 |
| 632 | | | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | 13 |
| 633 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 634 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 635 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 636 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--------|---|--|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 637 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 638 | The data set for variable 3-4-MP was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 639 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 640 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 641 | BEHP | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 642 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 643 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 644 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 14 | |
| 645 | Number of Detects | | | | 8 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 7 | |
| 646 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 7 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 7 | |
| 647 | Minimum Detect | | | | 75 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 220 | |
| 648 | Maximum Detect | | | | 2200 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 8400 | |
| 649 | Variance Detects | | | | 559780 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 46.67% | |
| 650 | Mean Detects | | | | 506.8 | | SD Detects | | | | 748.2 | |
| 651 | Median Detects | | | | 170 | | CV Detects | | | | 1.476 | |
| 652 | Skewness Detects | | | | 2.125 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | 4.285 | |
| 653 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 5.504 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 1.174 | |
| 654 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 655 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 656 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.638 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 657 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.818 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 658 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.409 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 659 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.283 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 660 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 661 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 662 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 663 | KM Mean | | | | 355.7 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 162 | |
| 664 | KM SD | | | | 561.6 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 653.3 | |
| 665 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 641 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 624.6 | |
| 666 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 622.1 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 2658 | |
| 667 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 841.6 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1062 | |
| 668 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1367 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1967 | |
| 669 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 670 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 671 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.994 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 672 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.743 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 673 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.382 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 674 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.303 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 675 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 676 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 677 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 678 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.817 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.594 | |
| 679 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 620.3 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 853.2 | |
| 680 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 13.07 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 9.503 | |
| 681 | Mean (detects) | | | | 506.8 | | | | | | | |
| 682 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 683 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 684 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 685 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 686 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 687 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 688 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 689 | Minimum | | | | 75 | | Mean | | | | 327 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|------|---------|---|--|---|---|-------|---|---|---|
| 743 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 744 | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | 1062 | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 746 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 747 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 748 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 749 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 750 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 751 | Carbazole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 752 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 753 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 754 | Total Number of Observations | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 13 | | | |
| 755 | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 15 | | | |
| 756 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 13 | | | |
| 757 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 758 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 759 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 760 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 761 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 762 | The data set for variable Carbazole was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 763 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 764 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 765 | Dibenzofuran | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 766 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 767 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 768 | Total Number of Observations | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 14 | | | |
| 769 | Number of Detects | | | 3 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 12 | | | |
| 770 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 3 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 11 | | | |
| 771 | Minimum Detect | | | 91 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 200 | | | |
| 772 | Maximum Detect | | | 2700 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 8400 | | | |
| 773 | Variance Detects | | | 2235550 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 80% | | | |
| 774 | Mean Detects | | | 973.7 | | SD Detects | | | 1495 | | | |
| 775 | Median Detects | | | 130 | | CV Detects | | | 1.536 | | | |
| 776 | Skewness Detects | | | 1.731 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | N/A | | | |
| 777 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 5.76 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.863 | | | |
| 778 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | Warning: Data set has only 3 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 780 | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 781 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 782 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 783 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 784 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.761 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 785 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.767 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 786 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.38 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 787 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.425 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 788 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 789 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 790 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 791 | KM Mean | | | 295.5 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | 218.9 | | | |
| 792 | KM SD | | | 667.2 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | N/A | | | |
| 793 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 680.9 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | N/A | | | |
| 794 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 655.5 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | N/A | | | |
| 795 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 952 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 1249 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--------|---|----------------------|---|-------|---|------|---|---|
| 796 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1662 | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2473 | | |
| 797 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 798 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 799 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 800 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 801 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 802 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.557 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 803 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 1748 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 804 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 3.343 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 805 | Mean (detects) | | | 973.7 | | | | | | | | |
| 806 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 807 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 808 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 809 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 810 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 811 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 812 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 813 | Minimum | | | 0.01 | Mean | | | 271.4 | | | | |
| 814 | Maximum | | | 2700 | Median | | | 76.25 | | | | |
| 815 | SD | | | 675.7 | CV | | | 2.49 | | | | |
| 816 | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.447 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.402 | | | | |
| 817 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 607.7 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 675.6 | | | | |
| 818 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 13.4 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 12.05 | | | | |
| 819 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0324 | | | | | | | | |
| 820 | Approximate Chi Square Value (12.05, α) | | | 5.261 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (12.05, β) | | | 4.719 | | | | |
| 821 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 621.7 | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 822 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 823 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 824 | Mean (KM) | | | 295.5 | SD (KM) | | | 667.2 | | | | |
| 825 | Variance (KM) | | | 445106 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 218.9 | | | | |
| 826 | k hat (KM) | | | 0.196 | k star (KM) | | | 0.201 | | | | |
| 827 | nu hat (KM) | | | 5.884 | nu star (KM) | | | 6.04 | | | | |
| 828 | theta hat (KM) | | | 1506 | theta star (KM) | | | 1467 | | | | |
| 829 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 390.7 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 893.7 | | | | |
| 830 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 1520 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 3242 | | | | |
| 831 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 832 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 833 | Approximate Chi Square Value (6.04, α) | | | 1.661 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (6.04, β) | | | 1.395 | | | | |
| 834 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 1075 | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | 1279 | | | | |
| 835 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 836 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 837 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.828 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 838 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.767 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 839 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.351 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 840 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.425 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 841 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 842 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 843 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 844 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 295.4 | Mean in Log Scale | | | 4.994 | | | | |
| 845 | SD in Original Scale | | | 666 | SD in Log Scale | | | 0.842 | | | | |
| 846 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | 598.3 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 634.6 | | | | |
| 847 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | 817 | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | 7135 | | | | |
| 848 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | 369.3 | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 849 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 850 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 851 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | 4.919 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 136.8 | |
| 852 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 0.845 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 2.507 | |
| 853 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.306 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 344.3 | |
| 854 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 0.845 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 2.507 | |
| 855 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.306 | | | | | | | |
| 856 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 857 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 858 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 859 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 674.1 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 5.49 | |
| 860 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 1201 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.298 | |
| 861 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 1220 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 1735 | |
| 862 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 863 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 864 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 865 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 866 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 867 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 868 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 680.9 | | | | | | | |
| 869 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 870 | When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 871 | When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 872 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 873 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 874 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 875 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 876 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 877 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 878 | Antimony | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 879 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 880 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 881 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 15 | |
| 882 | Number of Detects | | | | 12 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 3 | |
| 883 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 12 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 3 | |
| 884 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.62 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 1.8 | |
| 885 | Maximum Detect | | | | 13 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 3 | |
| 886 | Variance Detects | | | | 20.32 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 20% | |
| 887 | Mean Detects | | | | 3.927 | | SD Detects | | | | 4.508 | |
| 888 | Median Detects | | | | 1.35 | | CV Detects | | | | 1.148 | |
| 889 | Skewness Detects | | | | 1.194 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | -0.119 | |
| 890 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 0.75 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 1.141 | |
| 891 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 892 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 893 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.74 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 894 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.859 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 895 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.364 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 896 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.243 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 897 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 898 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 899 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 900 | KM Mean | | | | 3.354 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 1.088 | |
| 901 | KM SD | | | | 4.029 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 4.94 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--|--------|---|---|---|---|--|-------|
| 902 | | | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | 5.27 | | | | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | 5.281 |
| 903 | | | | | 95% KM (z) UCL | 5.143 | | | | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | 6.128 |
| 904 | | | | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | 6.617 | | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | 8.095 |
| 905 | | | | | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | 10.15 | | | | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | 14.18 |
| 906 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 907 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 908 | | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 1.104 | | | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | |
| 909 | | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.759 | | | | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 910 | | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.319 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | |
| 911 | | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.253 | | | | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 912 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 913 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 914 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 915 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.941 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.761 |
| 916 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 4.174 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 5.159 |
| 917 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 22.58 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 18.27 |
| 918 | | | | | Mean (detects) | 3.927 | | | | | | |
| 919 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 920 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 921 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 922 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 923 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 924 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 925 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 926 | | | | | Minimum | 0.62 | | | | | Mean | 3.352 |
| 927 | | | | | Maximum | 13 | | | | | Median | 1.2 |
| 928 | | | | | SD | 4.169 | | | | | CV | 1.244 |
| 929 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 0.967 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.818 |
| 930 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 3.467 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 4.099 |
| 931 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 29 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 24.53 |
| 932 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 0.0324 | | | | | | |
| 933 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (24.53, α) | 14.25 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (24.53, β) | 13.3 |
| 934 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 5.768 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 6.184 |
| 935 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 936 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 937 | | | | | Mean (KM) | 3.354 | | | | | SD (KM) | 4.029 |
| 938 | | | | | Variance (KM) | 16.24 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 1.088 |
| 939 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 0.693 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.599 |
| 940 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 20.79 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 17.97 |
| 941 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 4.84 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 5.601 |
| 942 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 5.529 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 8.728 |
| 943 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 12.08 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 20.18 |
| 944 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 945 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 946 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (17.97, α) | 9.366 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (17.97, β) | 8.61 |
| 947 | | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | 6.434 | | | | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | 6.999 |
| 948 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 949 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 950 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.844 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 951 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.859 | | | | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 952 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.263 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 953 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.243 | | | | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 954 | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|--|---|-------|--|
| 955 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 956 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 957 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 3.388 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | 0.642 | |
| 958 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 4.148 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 1.036 | |
| 959 | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | 5.275 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | 5.189 | |
| 960 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 5.448 | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | 6.06 | |
| 961 | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 7.059 | | | | | | | |
| 962 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 963 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 964 | | | | KM Mean (logged) | | 0.602 | | | | KM Geo Mean | | 1.825 | |
| 965 | | | | KM SD (logged) | | 1.032 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | 2.795 | |
| 966 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.282 | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | 6.719 | |
| 967 | | | | KM SD (logged) | | 1.032 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | 2.795 | |
| 968 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.282 | | | | | | | |
| 969 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 970 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 971 | | | | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | |
| 972 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 3.398 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | 0.645 | |
| 973 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 4.145 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 1.04 | |
| 974 | | | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | 5.283 | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | 7.148 | |
| 975 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 976 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 977 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 978 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 979 | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 980 | | | | | | 8.095 | | | | | | | |
| 981 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 982 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 983 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 984 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 985 | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 986 | 8.095 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 987 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 988 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 989 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 990 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 991 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 992 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 15 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 14 | |
| 993 | | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 | |
| 994 | | | | Minimum | | 4.7 | | | | Mean | | 16 | |
| 995 | | | | Maximum | | 71 | | | | Median | | 6.4 | |
| 996 | | | | SD | | 18.94 | | | | Std. Error of Mean | | 4.89 | |
| 997 | | | | Coefficient of Variation | | 1.184 | | | | Skewness | | 2.107 | |
| 998 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 999 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.643 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | |
| 1001 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| 1002 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.389 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | |
| 1003 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | |
| 1004 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1005 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1006 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1007 | | | | | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 1008 | | | | 95% Student's-t UCL | | 24.61 | | | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | 26.89 |
| 1009 | | | | | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | 25.06 |
| 1010 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1011 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1012 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 1.993 | | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | |
| 1013 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.759 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1014 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.365 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | |
| 1015 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.227 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1016 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1017 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1018 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1019 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 1.245 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 1.04 |
| 1020 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 12.85 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 15.38 |
| 1021 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 37.35 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 31.21 |
| 1022 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 16 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 15.69 |
| 1023 | | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | 19.45 |
| 1024 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0324 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | 18.31 |
| 1025 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1026 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1027 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 25.68 | | | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | 27.27 |
| 1028 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1029 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1030 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.762 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 1031 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1032 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.319 | | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 1033 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1034 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1035 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1036 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | 1.548 | | | | | Mean of logged Data | 2.32 |
| 1038 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 4.263 | | | | | SD of logged Data | 0.888 |
| 1039 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1040 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1041 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 27.8 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 25.41 |
| 1042 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 30.32 | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 37.12 |
| 1043 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 50.49 | | | | | | |
| 1044 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1045 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1046 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1047 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1048 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1049 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 24.04 | | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | 24.61 |
| 1050 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 23.87 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | 32.36 |
| 1051 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 26.52 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 24.66 |
| 1052 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 26.56 | | | | | | |
| 1053 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 30.67 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 37.31 |
| 1054 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 46.54 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 64.65 |
| 1055 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1056 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1057 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | 37.31 | | | | | | |
| 1058 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1059 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1060 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 1061 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1062 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1063 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1064 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1065 | Barium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1066 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1067 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1068 | Total Number of Observations | | | 15 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 14 | | |
| 1069 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 1070 | Minimum | | | 48 | | | Mean | | | 130.5 | | |
| 1071 | Maximum | | | 400 | | | Median | | | 120 | | |
| 1072 | SD | | | 90.85 | | | Std. Error of Mean | | | 23.46 | | |
| 1073 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 0.696 | | | Skewness | | | 2.029 | | |
| 1074 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1075 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1076 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.785 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1077 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.881 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1078 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.215 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1079 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.22 | | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1080 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1081 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1082 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1083 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1084 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | 171.8 | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | 182.2 | | |
| 1085 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | 173.8 | | |
| 1086 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1087 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1088 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.526 | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1089 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.745 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1090 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.192 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1091 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.223 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1092 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1093 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1094 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1095 | k hat (MLE) | | | 2.992 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 2.438 | | |
| 1096 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 43.61 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 53.52 | | |
| 1097 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 89.75 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 73.13 | | |
| 1098 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 130.5 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 83.56 | | |
| 1099 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | 54.44 | | |
| 1100 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | 0.0324 | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 52.46 | | |
| 1101 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1102 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1103 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | 175.3 | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 181.9 | | |
| 1104 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1105 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1106 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.94 | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1107 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.881 | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1108 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.176 | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1109 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.22 | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1110 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1111 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1112 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1113 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | 3.871 | | | Mean of logged Data | | | 4.695 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|--|-------------------|---|---|-------|---|-------|--|
| 1114 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | | 5.991 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.591 | |
| 1115 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1116 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1117 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 183.5 | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 189.7 | | | |
| 1118 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 217.4 | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 255.9 | | | |
| 1119 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 331.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 1120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1121 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1122 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1123 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1124 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1125 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 169 | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 171.8 | | | |
| 1126 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 168 | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 201.3 | | | |
| 1127 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 332 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 170.5 | | | |
| 1128 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 183.1 | | | | | | | | |
| 1129 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 200.8 | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 232.7 | | | |
| 1130 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 277 | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 363.9 | | | |
| 1131 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1132 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1133 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 171.8 | | | | | | | | |
| 1134 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1135 | When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1136 | When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1137 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1138 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1139 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1140 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1141 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1143 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1144 | Beryllium | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1145 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1146 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1147 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 13 | | | |
| 1148 | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | | | |
| 1149 | Minimum | | | | 0.51 | Mean | | | | 1.07 | | | |
| 1150 | Maximum | | | | 2.8 | Median | | | | 0.88 | | | |
| 1151 | SD | | | | 0.623 | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 0.161 | | | |
| 1152 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.582 | Skewness | | | | 1.981 | | | |
| 1153 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1154 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1155 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.752 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 1156 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1157 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.322 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 1158 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1159 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1160 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1161 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1162 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | | | |
| 1163 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 1.353 | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 1.422 | | | |
| 1164 | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 1.367 | | | |
| 1165 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1166 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| 1167 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.913 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 1168 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.739 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1169 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.285 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 1170 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.222 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1171 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1172 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1173 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1174 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 4.458 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 3.611 |
| 1175 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.24 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.296 |
| 1176 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 133.8 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 108.3 |
| 1177 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 1.07 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.563 |
| 1178 | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 85.31 |
| 1179 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 82.8 |
| 1180 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1181 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1182 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 1.359 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 1.4 |
| 1183 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1184 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1185 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.903 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 1186 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1187 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.254 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 1188 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1189 | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1191 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1192 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | -0.673 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | -0.0487 |
| 1193 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 1.03 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.467 |
| 1194 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1195 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1196 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 1.369 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1.445 |
| 1197 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 1.622 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1.868 |
| 1198 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 2.351 | | | | | | |
| 1199 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1200 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1201 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1202 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1203 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1204 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 1.335 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 1.353 |
| 1205 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 1.32 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 1.674 |
| 1206 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 2.616 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 1.333 |
| 1207 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 1.405 | | | | | | |
| 1208 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 1.552 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1.771 |
| 1209 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 2.074 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 2.67 |
| 1210 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1211 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1212 | | | | 95% Student's-t UCL | | 1.353 | | or 95% Modified-t UCL | | | | 1.367 |
| 1213 | | | | or 95% H-UCL | | 1.369 | | | | | | |
| 1214 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1215 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1216 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1217 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1218 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1219 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|--|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 1220 | ProUCL computes and outputs H-statistic based UCLs for historical reasons only. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1221 | H-statistic often results in unstable (both high and low) values of UCL95 as shown in examples in the Technical Guide. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1222 | It is therefore recommended to avoid the use of H-statistic based 95% UCLs. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1223 | Use of nonparametric methods are preferred to compute UCL95 for skewed data sets which do not follow a gamma distribution. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1224 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1225 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1226 | Cadmium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1227 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1228 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1229 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 13 | |
| 1230 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 1231 | Minimum | | | | 0.29 | | Mean | | | | 2.267 | |
| 1232 | Maximum | | | | 13 | | Median | | | | 0.64 | |
| 1233 | SD | | | | 3.502 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 0.904 | |
| 1234 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 1.545 | | Skewness | | | | 2.392 | |
| 1235 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1236 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1237 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.619 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1238 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1239 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.377 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1240 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1241 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1242 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1243 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1244 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1245 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 3.859 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 4.351 | |
| 1246 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 3.952 | |
| 1247 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1248 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1249 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.621 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1250 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.777 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1251 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.319 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1252 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.231 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1253 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1254 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1255 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1256 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.728 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.627 | |
| 1257 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 3.113 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 3.616 | |
| 1258 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 21.84 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 18.81 | |
| 1259 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 2.267 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 2.863 | |
| 1260 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 9.977 | |
| 1261 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 9.193 | |
| 1262 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1263 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1264 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | 4.273 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 4.637 | |
| 1265 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1266 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1267 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.828 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1268 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1269 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.238 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1270 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1271 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1272 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|--|---|---|---|----------|---|
| 1273 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1274 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | -1.238 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | -0.00722 | |
| 1275 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 2.565 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.224 | |
| 1276 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1277 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1278 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 5.829 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 4.006 | |
| 1279 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 4.94 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 6.236 | |
| 1280 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 8.782 | | | | | | | |
| 1281 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1282 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1283 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1284 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1285 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1286 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 3.754 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 3.859 | |
| 1287 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 3.71 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 5.532 | |
| 1288 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 4.89 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 3.719 | |
| 1289 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 4.449 | | | | | | | |
| 1290 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 4.979 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 6.208 | |
| 1291 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 7.913 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 11.26 | |
| 1292 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1293 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1294 | 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 6.208 | | | | | | | |
| 1295 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1296 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1297 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1298 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1299 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1301 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1302 | Chromium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1303 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1304 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1305 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 13 | |
| 1306 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 1307 | Minimum | | | | 23 | | Mean | | | | 330.6 | |
| 1308 | Maximum | | | | 1500 | | Median | | | | 53 | |
| 1309 | SD | | | | 537.3 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 138.7 | |
| 1310 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 1.625 | | Skewness | | | | 1.681 | |
| 1311 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1312 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1313 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.613 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1314 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1315 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.423 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1316 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1317 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1318 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1319 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1320 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1321 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 574.9 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 623.1 | |
| 1322 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 585 | |
| 1323 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1324 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1325 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 2.051 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|--|---|---|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|--|-------------|
| 1326 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.792 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1327 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.403 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1328 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.234 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1329 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1330 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1331 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1332 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.519 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.46 |
| 1333 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 636.6 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 718.9 |
| 1334 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 15.58 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 13.8 |
| 1335 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 330.6 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 487.5 |
| 1336 | | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | 6.432 |
| 1337 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0324 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | 5.823 |
| 1338 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1339 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1340 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 709.1 | | | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | 783.3 |
| 1341 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1342 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1343 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.776 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1344 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1345 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.343 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1346 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1347 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1348 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1349 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1350 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | 3.135 | | | | | Mean of logged Data | 4.585 |
| 1351 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 7.313 | | | | | SD of logged Data | 1.523 |
| 1352 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1353 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1354 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 1383 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 637.4 |
| 1355 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 803.2 | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 1033 |
| 1356 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 1485 | | | | | | |
| 1357 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1358 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1359 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1360 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1361 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1362 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 558.8 | | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | 574.9 |
| 1363 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 551.8 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | 742 |
| 1364 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 565.8 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 558.4 |
| 1365 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 616 | | | | | | |
| 1366 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 746.8 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 935.3 |
| 1367 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 1197 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 1711 |
| 1368 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1369 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1370 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | 1711 | | | | | | |
| 1371 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1372 | Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1373 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1374 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1375 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1376 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1377 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1378 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 1379 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1380 | Copper | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1381 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1382 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1383 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 14 | |
| 1384 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 1385 | Minimum | | | | 41 | | Mean | | | | 156.7 | |
| 1386 | Maximum | | | | 640 | | Median | | | | 110 | |
| 1387 | SD | | | | 156 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 40.29 | |
| 1388 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.996 | | Skewness | | | | 2.393 | |
| 1389 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1390 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1391 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.711 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1392 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1393 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.276 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1394 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1395 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1396 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1397 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1398 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1399 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 227.6 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 249.5 | |
| 1400 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 231.8 | |
| 1401 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1402 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1403 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.626 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1404 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.751 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1405 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.186 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1406 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.225 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1407 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1408 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1409 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1410 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 1.679 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 1.387 | |
| 1411 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 93.32 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 112.9 | |
| 1412 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 50.36 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 41.62 | |
| 1413 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 156.7 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 133 | |
| 1414 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 27.84 | |
| 1415 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 26.45 | |
| 1416 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1417 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1418 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50) | | | | 234.3 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 246.5 | |
| 1419 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1420 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1421 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.935 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1422 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1423 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.164 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1424 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1425 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1426 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1427 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1428 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 3.714 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 4.728 | |
| 1429 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 6.461 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.79 | |
| 1430 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1431 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|---|-------|---|---|
| 1485 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1486 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.579 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.508 | | |
| 1487 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 710.2 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 810.1 | | |
| 1488 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 17.37 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 15.23 | | |
| 1489 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 411.3 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 577.2 | | |
| 1490 | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 7.423 | | | | |
| 1491 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 6.761 | | |
| 1492 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1493 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1494 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | 843.9 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 926.5 | | |
| 1495 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1496 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1497 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.841 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 1498 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 1499 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.303 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 1500 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 1501 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1502 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1503 | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1504 | Minimum of Logged Data | | | | 3.258 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | 4.947 | | |
| 1505 | Maximum of Logged Data | | | | 7.741 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.409 | | |
| 1506 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1507 | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1508 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 1390 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 758.8 | | |
| 1509 | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 949 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1213 | | |
| 1510 | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1731 | | | | | | | | |
| 1511 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1512 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1513 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1514 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1515 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1516 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 702.2 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 722.8 | | |
| 1517 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 679.1 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 1090 | | |
| 1518 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 856.5 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 719.1 | | |
| 1519 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 790.9 | | | | | | | | |
| 1520 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 941.9 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1182 | | |
| 1521 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1516 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 2171 | | |
| 1522 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1523 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1524 | 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1182 | | | | | | | | |
| 1525 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1526 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1527 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1528 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1529 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1530 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1531 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1532 | Manganese | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1533 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1534 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1535 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 15 | | |
| 1536 | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 |
| 1537 | Minimum | | | | 270 | | Mean | | | | 936 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|-------|
| 1591 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1592 | 95% CLT UCL | | | | 1357 | | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 1387 |
| 1593 | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | | | 1340 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 2015 |
| 1594 | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | | | 2869 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 1375 |
| 1595 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 1651 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1596 | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1704 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 2052 |
| 1597 | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 2535 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 3484 |
| 1598 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1599 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1600 | 95% H-UCL | | | | 1417 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1601 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1602 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1603 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1604 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1605 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1606 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1607 | ProUCL computes and outputs H-statistic based UCLs for historical reasons only. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1608 | H-statistic often results in unstable (both high and low) values of UCL95 as shown in examples in the Technical Guide. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1609 | It is therefore recommended to avoid the use of H-statistic based 95% UCLs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1610 | Use of nonparametric methods are preferred to compute UCL95 for skewed data sets which do not follow a gamma distribution. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1611 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1612 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1613 | Nickel | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1614 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1615 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1616 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 13 |
| 1617 | | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 |
| 1618 | Minimum | | | | 21 | | | | | Mean | | | | 52.6 |
| 1619 | Maximum | | | | 140 | | | | | Median | | | | 30 |
| 1620 | SD | | | | 38.03 | | | | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 9.818 |
| 1621 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.723 | | | | | Skewness | | | | 1.301 |
| 1622 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1623 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1624 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.789 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | |
| 1625 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1626 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.315 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | |
| 1627 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1628 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1629 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1630 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1631 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 1632 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 69.89 | | | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 72.27 |
| 1633 | | | | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 70.44 |
| 1634 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1635 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1636 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.111 | | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 1637 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.746 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1638 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.311 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 1639 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.224 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 1640 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1641 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1642 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1643 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 2.549 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 2.083 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|----------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 1644 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 20.64 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 25.25 |
| 1645 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 76.46 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 62.5 |
| 1646 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 52.6 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 36.44 |
| 1647 | | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | 45.31 |
| 1648 | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | 0.0324 | | | | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | 43.52 |
| 1649 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1650 | | | | | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | |
| 1651 | | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | 72.55 | | | | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | 75.55 |
| 1652 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1653 | | | | | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 1654 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.858 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 1655 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.881 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1656 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.291 | | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 1657 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.22 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 1658 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 1659 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1660 | | | | | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 1661 | | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | 3.045 | | | | | Mean of logged Data | 3.754 |
| 1662 | | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | 4.942 | | | | | SD of logged Data | 0.643 |
| 1663 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1664 | | | | | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | |
| 1665 | | | | | 95% H-UCL | 77.04 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 78.58 |
| 1666 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 90.77 | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 107.7 |
| 1667 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | 140.9 | | | | | | |
| 1668 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1669 | | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 1670 | | | | | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | |
| 1671 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1672 | | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | |
| 1673 | | | | | 95% CLT UCL | 68.75 | | | | | 95% Jackknife UCL | 69.89 |
| 1674 | | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | 68.24 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | 77.05 |
| 1675 | | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | 74.29 | | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 69.53 |
| 1676 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 72 | | | | | | |
| 1677 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 82.05 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 95.4 |
| 1678 | | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 113.9 | | | | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 150.3 |
| 1679 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1680 | | | | | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | |
| 1681 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | 95.4 | | | | | | |
| 1682 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1683 | | | | | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | |
| 1684 | | | | | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | |
| 1685 | | | | | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | |
| 1686 | | | | | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | |
| 1687 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1688 | Selenium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1689 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1690 | | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 1691 | | | | | Total Number of Observations | 15 | | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 14 |
| 1692 | | | | | Number of Detects | 13 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | 2 |
| 1693 | | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 12 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | 2 |
| 1694 | | | | | Minimum Detect | 0.48 | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | 1.4 |
| 1695 | | | | | Maximum Detect | 9.3 | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | 1.5 |
| 1696 | | | | | Variance Detects | 8.937 | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | 13.33% |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|--|---|---|----------------------|-------|--|
| 1697 | | | | Mean Detects | | 2.8 | | | | | SD Detects | 2.989 | |
| 1698 | | | | Median Detects | | 1.2 | | | | | CV Detects | 1.068 | |
| 1699 | | | | Skewness Detects | | 1.355 | | | | | Kurtosis Detects | 0.526 | |
| 1700 | | | | Mean of Logged Detects | | 0.548 | | | | | SD of Logged Detects | 0.99 | |
| 1701 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1702 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1703 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.746 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1704 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.866 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1705 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.323 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1706 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.234 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1707 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1708 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1709 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1710 | | | | KM Mean | | 2.55 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 0.74 | |
| 1711 | | | | KM SD | | 2.75 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 3.709 | |
| 1712 | | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | | 3.853 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 3.761 | |
| 1713 | | | | 95% KM (z) UCL | | 3.767 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 4.505 | |
| 1714 | | | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 4.769 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 5.774 | |
| 1715 | | | | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | 7.169 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 9.909 | |
| 1716 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1717 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1718 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 1.028 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1719 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.755 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1720 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.3 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 1721 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.242 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1722 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1723 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1724 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1725 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 1.178 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.957 | |
| 1726 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 2.377 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 2.925 | |
| 1727 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 30.62 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 24.89 | |
| 1728 | | | | Mean (detects) | | 2.8 | | | | | | | |
| 1729 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1730 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1731 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1732 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1733 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1734 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1735 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1736 | | | | Minimum | | 0.48 | | Mean | | | | 2.535 | |
| 1737 | | | | Maximum | | 9.3 | | Median | | | | 1.1 | |
| 1738 | | | | SD | | 2.855 | | CV | | | | 1.126 | |
| 1739 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 1.175 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.984 | |
| 1740 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 2.157 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 2.575 | |
| 1741 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 35.25 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 29.53 | |
| 1742 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | 0.0324 | | | | | | | |
| 1743 | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (29.53, α) | | 18.13 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (29.53, β) | | | | 17.03 | |
| 1744 | | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | 4.13 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 4.396 | |
| 1745 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1746 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1747 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 2.55 | | SD (KM) | | | | 2.75 | |
| 1748 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 7.564 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.74 | |
| 1749 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 0.86 | | k star (KM) | | | | 0.732 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|-------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|-------|
| 1750 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 25.79 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 21.97 |
| 1751 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 2.966 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 3.483 |
| 1752 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 4.185 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 6.333 |
| 1753 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 8.54 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 13.79 |
| 1754 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1755 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1756 | Approximate Chi Square Value (21.97, α) | | | | | 12.31 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (21.97, β) | | | | | 11.43 |
| 1757 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | | 4.549 | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | | 4.901 |
| 1758 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1759 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1760 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.884 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1761 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.866 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1762 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.26 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1763 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.234 | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1764 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1765 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1766 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1767 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | | 2.562 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | 0.477 |
| 1768 | SD in Original Scale | | | | | 2.838 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.936 |
| 1769 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | | 3.852 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 3.751 |
| 1770 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 3.991 | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | | 4.498 |
| 1771 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | | 4.837 | | | | | | |
| 1772 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1773 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1774 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | | 0.459 | KM Geo Mean | | | | | 1.583 |
| 1775 | KM SD (logged) | | | | | 0.922 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | | 2.622 |
| 1776 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | | 0.25 | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | | 4.616 |
| 1777 | KM SD (logged) | | | | | 0.922 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | | 2.622 |
| 1778 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | | 0.25 | | | | | | |
| 1779 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1780 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1781 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 1782 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | | 2.523 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | 0.432 |
| 1783 | SD in Original Scale | | | | | 2.862 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.967 |
| 1784 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | | 3.825 | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | | 4.928 |
| 1785 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1786 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1787 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1788 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1789 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1790 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1791 | KM H-UCL | | | | | 4.616 | | | | | | |
| 1792 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1793 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1794 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1795 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1796 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1797 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1798 | Silver | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1799 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1800 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1801 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 15 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 14 |
| 1802 | Number of Detects | | | | | 10 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 5 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--------|
| 1803 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 5 |
| 1804 | | | Minimum Detect | | | 0.2 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.46 |
| 1805 | | | Maximum Detect | | | 18 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.71 |
| 1806 | | | Variance Detects | | | 34.16 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 33.33% |
| 1807 | | | Mean Detects | | | 3.572 | | | SD Detects | | | 5.844 |
| 1808 | | | Median Detects | | | 0.435 | | | CV Detects | | | 1.636 |
| 1809 | | | Skewness Detects | | | 2.067 | | | Kurtosis Detects | | | 4.083 |
| 1810 | | | Mean of Logged Detects | | | 0.0213 | | | SD of Logged Detects | | | 1.665 |
| 1811 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1812 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1813 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.666 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | |
| 1814 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1815 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.313 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1816 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1817 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1818 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1819 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1820 | | | KM Mean | | | 2.485 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 1.301 |
| 1821 | | | KM SD | | | 4.781 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 4.733 |
| 1822 | | | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | 4.777 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 4.581 |
| 1823 | | | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | 4.626 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 9.941 |
| 1824 | | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 6.389 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 8.158 |
| 1825 | | | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | 10.61 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 15.43 |
| 1826 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1827 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1828 | | | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.911 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | |
| 1829 | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.776 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1830 | | | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.323 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 1831 | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.281 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1832 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1833 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1834 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1835 | | | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.506 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.421 |
| 1836 | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 7.054 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 8.482 |
| 1837 | | | nu hat (MLE) | | | 10.13 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 8.423 |
| 1838 | | | Mean (detects) | | | 3.572 | | | | | | |
| 1839 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1840 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1841 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1842 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1843 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1844 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1845 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1846 | | | Minimum | | | 0.01 | | Mean | | | | 2.385 |
| 1847 | | | Maximum | | | 18 | | Median | | | | 0.28 |
| 1848 | | | SD | | | 4.998 | | CV | | | | 2.096 |
| 1849 | | | k hat (MLE) | | | 0.29 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.277 |
| 1850 | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 8.22 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 8.623 |
| 1851 | | | nu hat (MLE) | | | 8.703 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 8.296 |
| 1852 | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | 0.0324 | | | | | | |
| 1853 | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (8.30, α) | | | 2.908 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (8.30, β) | | | | 2.529 |
| 1854 | | | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | 6.804 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 7.823 |
| 1855 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 1856 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1857 | Mean (KM) | | | | 2.485 | | SD (KM) | | | | 4.781 | |
| 1858 | Variance (KM) | | | | 22.86 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 1.301 | |
| 1859 | k hat (KM) | | | | 0.27 | | k star (KM) | | | | 0.261 | |
| 1860 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 8.105 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 7.817 | |
| 1861 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 9.199 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 9.537 | |
| 1862 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 3.661 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 7.435 | |
| 1863 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 11.88 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 23.64 | |
| 1864 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1865 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1866 | Approximate Chi Square Value (7.82, α) | | | | 2.629 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (7.82, β) | | | | 2.274 | |
| 1867 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 7.388 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 8.544 | |
| 1868 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1869 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1870 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.85 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1871 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1872 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.284 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 1873 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 1874 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1875 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1876 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1877 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 2.506 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.314 | |
| 1878 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 4.939 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.424 | |
| 1879 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | 4.752 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 4.563 | |
| 1880 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | 5.543 | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 10.67 | |
| 1881 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | 7.541 | | | | | | | |
| 1882 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1883 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1884 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | -0.388 | | KM Geo Mean | | | | 0.678 | |
| 1885 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.423 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.47 | |
| 1886 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.392 | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | 6.989 | |
| 1887 | KM SD (logged) | | | | 1.423 | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | 3.47 | |
| 1888 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | 0.392 | | | | | | | |
| 1889 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1890 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1891 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 1892 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 2.483 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.384 | |
| 1893 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 4.95 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.464 | |
| 1894 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | 4.734 | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | 7.967 | |
| 1895 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1896 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1897 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1898 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1899 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1900 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1901 | 97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | 10.61 | | 99% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | 15.43 | |
| 1902 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1903 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1904 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1905 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1906 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1907 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1908 | Thallium | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | |
|------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|--|---|--|---|--------|---|--------|--|
| 1909 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1910 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1911 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 11 | | | |
| 1912 | Number of Detects | | | | 2 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 13 | | | |
| 1913 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 2 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 10 | | | |
| 1914 | Minimum Detect | | | | 1.1 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.92 | | | |
| 1915 | Maximum Detect | | | | 3.6 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 2.8 | | | |
| 1916 | Variance Detects | | | | 3.125 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 86.67% | | | |
| 1917 | Mean Detects | | | | 2.35 | | SD Detects | | | | 1.768 | | | |
| 1918 | Median Detects | | | | 2.35 | | CV Detects | | | | 0.752 | | | |
| 1919 | Skewness Detects | | | | N/A | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1920 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | 0.688 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 0.838 | | | |
| 1921 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1922 | Warning: Data set has only 2 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1923 | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1924 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1925 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1926 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1927 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1928 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1929 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1930 | KM Mean | | | | 1.155 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 0.247 | | | |
| 1931 | KM SD | | | | 0.659 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1932 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 1.59 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1933 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 1.561 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1934 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1.896 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2.232 | | | |
| 1935 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2.699 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 3.615 | | | |
| 1936 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1937 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1938 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1939 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1940 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1941 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 3.164 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1942 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.743 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1943 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 12.65 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | N/A | | | |
| 1944 | Mean (detects) | | | | 2.35 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1945 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1946 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1947 | Mean (KM) | | | | 1.155 | | SD (KM) | | | | 0.659 | | | |
| 1948 | Variance (KM) | | | | 0.434 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.247 | | | |
| 1949 | k hat (KM) | | | | 3.073 | | k star (KM) | | | | 2.503 | | | |
| 1950 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 92.19 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 75.09 | | | |
| 1951 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 0.376 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 0.461 | | | |
| 1952 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 1.683 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 2.132 | | | |
| 1953 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 2.556 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 3.482 | | | |
| 1954 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1955 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1956 | | | | | | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | | 0.0324 | |
| 1957 | Approximate Chi Square Value (75.09, α) | | | | 56.13 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value (75.09, β) | | | | 54.12 | | | |
| 1958 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | 1.545 | | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | 1.602 | | | |
| 1959 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1960 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1961 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 1962 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1963 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1964 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.68 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | -0.705 |
| 1965 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.839 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.692 |
| 1966 | | | | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | 1.062 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | 1.074 |
| 1967 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 1.354 | | | | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | 2.197 |
| 1968 | | | | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | 0.961 | | | | | | |
| 1969 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1970 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1971 | | | | KM Mean (logged) | | 0.0632 | | | | KM Geo Mean | | 1.065 |
| 1972 | | | | KM SD (logged) | | 0.335 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | 1.911 |
| 1973 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.135 | | | | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | 1.337 |
| 1974 | | | | KM SD (logged) | | 0.335 | | | | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | 1.911 |
| 1975 | | | | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | 0.135 | | | | | | |
| 1976 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1977 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1978 | | | | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | |
| 1979 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.981 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | -0.178 |
| 1980 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.774 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.509 |
| 1981 | | | | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | 1.332 | | | | 95% H-Stat UCL | | 1.264 |
| 1982 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1983 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1984 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1985 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1986 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1987 | | | | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | 2.232 | | | | | | |
| 1988 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1989 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1990 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1991 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1992 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1993 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1994 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1995 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1996 | Vanadium | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1997 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1998 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1999 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 15 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 13 |
| 2000 | | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 |
| 2001 | | | | Minimum | | 17 | | | | Mean | | 31.13 |
| 2002 | | | | Maximum | | 69 | | | | Median | | 27 |
| 2003 | | | | SD | | 14.43 | | | | Std. Error of Mean | | 3.726 |
| 2004 | | | | Coefficient of Variation | | 0.464 | | | | Skewness | | 1.59 |
| 2005 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.831 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 2008 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 2009 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.213 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 2010 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | | | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 2011 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|------|---|---|---|--|---|--------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| 2015 | | | | 95% Student's-t UCL | | 37.7 | | | | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | 38.9 | |
| 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | 37.95 | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.571 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2020 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.738 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2021 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.184 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2022 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.222 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2023 | | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2025 | | | | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 6.27 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 5.06 | | |
| 2027 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 4.965 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 6.152 | | |
| 2028 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 188.1 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 151.8 | | |
| 2029 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 31.13 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 13.84 | | |
| 2030 | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | 124.3 | | |
| 2031 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | 121.3 | | |
| 2032 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2033 | | | | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
| 2034 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 38.01 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | 38.97 | | |
| 2035 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2036 | | | | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 2037 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.935 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2038 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2039 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.16 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2040 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2041 | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 2042 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2043 | | | | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 2044 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | 2.833 | | Mean of logged Data | | | 3.356 | | |
| 2045 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 4.234 | | SD of logged Data | | | 0.401 | | |
| 2046 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2047 | | | | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
| 2048 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 38.38 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 40.69 | | |
| 2049 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 45.13 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | 51.28 | | |
| 2050 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 63.36 | | | | | | | |
| 2051 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2052 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 2053 | | | | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 2054 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2055 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | | |
| 2056 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 37.26 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | 37.7 | | |
| 2057 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 36.95 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | 41.45 | | |
| 2058 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 41.47 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | 37.47 | | |
| 2059 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 38.4 | | | | | | | |
| 2060 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 42.31 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 47.38 | | |
| 2061 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 54.4 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | 68.21 | | |
| 2062 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2063 | | | | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | |
| 2064 | | | | 95% Student's-t UCL | | 37.7 | | | | | | | |
| 2065 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2066 | | | | When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test | | | | | | | | | |
| 2067 | | | | When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 2068 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2069 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2070 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2071 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2072 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2073 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2074 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2075 | Zinc | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2076 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2077 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2078 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 12 | |
| 2079 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 2080 | Minimum | | | | 100 | | Mean | | | | 1354 | |
| 2081 | Maximum | | | | 9600 | | Median | | | | 280 | |
| 2082 | SD | | | | 2579 | | Std. Error of Mean | | | | 665.9 | |
| 2083 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 1.905 | | Skewness | | | | 2.738 | |
| 2084 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2085 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2086 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.558 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2087 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2088 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.379 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2089 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2090 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2091 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2092 | Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2093 | 95% Normal UCL | | | | | | 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | | | | | |
| 2094 | 95% Student's-t UCL | | | | 2527 | | 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) | | | | 2952 | |
| 2095 | | | | | | | 95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978) | | | | 2605 | |
| 2096 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2097 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2098 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.663 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2099 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.791 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2100 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.337 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2101 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.233 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2102 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2103 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2104 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2105 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.528 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.466 | |
| 2106 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 2567 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 2903 | |
| 2107 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 15.83 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 13.99 | |
| 2108 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 1354 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 1982 | |
| 2109 | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 6.567 | |
| 2110 | Adjusted Level of Significance | | | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 5.951 | |
| 2111 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2112 | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2113 | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | | | 2885 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 3184 | |
| 2114 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2115 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2116 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.84 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2117 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.881 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2118 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.247 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2119 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.22 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2120 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 2174 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 1.012 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 2175 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.781 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 2176 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.258 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 2177 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.231 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 2178 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 2179 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2180 | | | | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 2181 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.669 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.579 |
| 2182 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.552 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.637 |
| 2183 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 20.06 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 17.38 |
| 2184 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.369 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.485 |
| 2185 | | | | | | | | Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05) | | | | 8.947 |
| 2186 | | | | Adjusted Level of Significance | | 0.0324 | | Adjusted Chi Square Value | | | | 8.21 |
| 2187 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2188 | | | | Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 2189 | | | | 95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)) | | 0.717 | | 95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50) | | | | 0.782 |
| 2190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2191 | | | | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 2192 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.951 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 2193 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.881 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 2194 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.166 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 2195 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.22 | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 2196 | | | | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 2197 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2198 | | | | Lognormal Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 2199 | | | | Minimum of Logged Data | | -3.817 | | Mean of logged Data | | | | -1.906 |
| 2200 | | | | Maximum of Logged Data | | 0.993 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 1.285 |
| 2201 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2202 | | | | Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 2203 | | | | 95% H-UCL | | 1.026 | | 90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 0.658 |
| 2204 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 0.816 | | 97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | | | 1.034 |
| 2205 | | | | 99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL | | 1.463 | | | | | | |
| 2206 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2207 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 2208 | | | | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 2209 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2210 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs | | | | | | | | |
| 2211 | | | | 95% CLT UCL | | 0.659 | | 95% Jackknife UCL | | | | 0.68 |
| 2212 | | | | 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | | 0.652 | | 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | | | | 1.377 |
| 2213 | | | | 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | | 1.575 | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | 0.683 |
| 2214 | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | 0.885 | | | | | | |
| 2215 | | | | 90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 0.898 | | 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 1.138 |
| 2216 | | | | 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | 1.471 | | 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | | | | 2.124 |
| 2217 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2218 | | | | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | |
| 2219 | | | | 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | | 1.138 | | | | | | |
| 2220 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2221 | | | | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | |
| 2222 | | | | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | |
| 2223 | | | | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | |
| 2224 | | | | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | |
| 2225 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2226 | | | | Cyanide | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|--|---|---|---|--------------|---|
| 2227 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2228 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2229 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 13 | |
| 2230 | Number of Detects | | | | 10 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 5 | |
| 2231 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 4 | |
| 2232 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.24 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.57 | |
| 2233 | Maximum Detect | | | | 3.4 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.85 | |
| 2234 | Variance Detects | | | | 1.308 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 33.33% | |
| 2235 | Mean Detects | | | | 1.152 | | SD Detects | | | | 1.144 | |
| 2236 | Median Detects | | | | 0.45 | | CV Detects | | | | 0.993 | |
| 2237 | Skewness Detects | | | | 1.006 | | Kurtosis Detects | | | | -0.292 | |
| 2238 | Mean of Logged Detects | | | | -0.347 | | SD of Logged Detects | | | | 1.052 | |
| 2239 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2240 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2241 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.8 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2242 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2243 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.295 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2244 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2245 | Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2246 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2247 | Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2248 | KM Mean | | | | 0.872 | | KM Standard Error of Mean | | | | 0.265 | |
| 2249 | KM SD | | | | 0.972 | | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | | | | 1.322 | |
| 2250 | 95% KM (t) UCL | | | | 1.338 | | 95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL | | | | 1.317 | |
| 2251 | 95% KM (z) UCL | | | | 1.307 | | 95% KM Bootstrap t UCL | | | | 1.643 | |
| 2252 | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 1.666 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2.026 | |
| 2253 | 97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 2.526 | | 99% KM Chebyshev UCL | | | | 3.507 | |
| 2254 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2255 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2256 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.856 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2257 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.745 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2258 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.283 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 2259 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.273 | | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2260 | Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2261 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2262 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2263 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 1.161 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.879 | |
| 2264 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.992 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 1.31 | |
| 2265 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 23.22 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 17.59 | |
| 2266 | Mean (detects) | | | | 1.152 | | | | | | | |
| 2267 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2268 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2269 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2270 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2271 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2272 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2273 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2274 | Minimum | | | | 0.0346 | | Mean | | | | 0.861 | |
| 2275 | Maximum | | | | 3.4 | | Median | | | | 0.32 | |
| 2276 | SD | | | | 1.014 | | CV | | | | 1.177 | |
| 2277 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 0.972 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.822 | |
| 2278 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.887 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 1.048 | |
| 2279 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 29.15 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 24.65 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 2280 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | | | | | 0.0324 | | | | | | |
| 2281 | Approximate Chi Square Value (24.65, α) | | | | | 14.35 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (24.65, β) | | | | | 13.38 |
| 2282 | 95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | | 1.48 | 95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | | 1.587 |
| 2283 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2284 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2285 | Mean (KM) | | | | | 0.872 | SD (KM) | | | | | 0.972 |
| 2286 | Variance (KM) | | | | | 0.944 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | | 0.265 |
| 2287 | k hat (KM) | | | | | 0.805 | k star (KM) | | | | | 0.688 |
| 2288 | nu hat (KM) | | | | | 24.15 | nu star (KM) | | | | | 20.65 |
| 2289 | theta hat (KM) | | | | | 1.083 | theta star (KM) | | | | | 1.266 |
| 2290 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 1.434 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 2.197 |
| 2291 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 2.985 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 4.869 |
| 2292 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2293 | Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2294 | Approximate Chi Square Value (20.65, α) | | | | | 11.33 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (20.65, β) | | | | | 10.49 |
| 2295 | 95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$) | | | | | 1.588 | 95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$) | | | | | 1.716 |
| 2296 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2297 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2298 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.835 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2299 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.842 | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2300 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.265 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 2301 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.262 | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 2302 | Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2303 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2304 | Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2305 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | | 0.888 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | -0.579 |
| 2306 | SD in Original Scale | | | | | 0.996 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.918 |
| 2307 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | | | | | 1.341 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 1.329 |
| 2308 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | | | | | 1.454 | 95% Bootstrap t UCL | | | | | 1.65 |
| 2309 | 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | | | | | 1.624 | | | | | | |
| 2310 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2311 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2312 | KM Mean (logged) | | | | | -0.629 | KM Geo Mean | | | | | 0.533 |
| 2313 | KM SD (logged) | | | | | 0.915 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | | 2.612 |
| 2314 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | | 0.252 | 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | | | | | 1.535 |
| 2315 | KM SD (logged) | | | | | 0.915 | 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | | | | | 2.612 |
| 2316 | KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | | | | | 0.252 | | | | | | |
| 2317 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2318 | DL/2 Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2319 | DL/2 Normal | | | | | | DL/2 Log-Transformed | | | | | |
| 2320 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | | 0.883 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | -0.59 |
| 2321 | SD in Original Scale | | | | | 0.998 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.92 |
| 2322 | 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) | | | | | 1.337 | 95% H-Stat UCL | | | | | 1.611 |
| 2323 | DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2324 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2325 | Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2326 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2327 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2328 | Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2329 | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | | | | | 2.026 | | | | | | |
| 2330 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2331 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2332 | Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2333 | These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2334 | However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2335 | | | | | | | | | | | | |