



Botryosphaeria, Phomopsis and Anthracnose Management in Walnuts

Themis J. Michailides

Yong Luo¹, Dan Felts¹, Dani Lightle², Roger Duncan³, & Joseph Connell⁴

¹ Dept. of Plant Pathology, University of California Davis/ Kearney Agricultural Research & Extension Center;

UC Cooperative Extension, ² Glenn & Butte Counties, Orland; ³Stanislaus County, Modesto

⁴ Butte County, Oroville.

49th Quad-County Walnut Institute, 5 Feb 2019

Bot and Phomopsis canker and blight of walnut:

- Infection of walnut fruit & killing of spurs
- Development of blight and canker
- The causes of the disease
- Contributing factors
- Best disease management

Walnut Blight

Bot latent infection

1 **Disease Progress ----**Infection of intact fruit in the orchard 2 All the species of Botryosphaeria and Phomopsis Fruit Fruit

Walnut leaves are not infected by Bot



Peduncle

Progress of infection by Botryosphaeria in the orchard



Symptoms in the orchard...



Blighted nuts (late August, September, & October)



Symptoms in the orchard...

Blighted fruit and spurs



4 3 2 4 buds dead!

Symptoms in the orchard...



Other Symptoms in the orchard...



The Causes:

Fungal species	Walnut	Pistachio	Almond
Botryosphaeria dothidea	+		
Neofusicoccum parvum	+		
Neofusicoccum mediterraneum	+		
Diplodia mutila	+		
Neofusicoccum nonquaesitum	+		
Neofusicoccum vitifusiforme	+		
Diplodia seriata	+		
Dothiorella iberica	+		
Lasiodiplodia citricola	+		
Neoscytalidium dimitiatum (=Hendersonula toruloidea) – branch wilt pathogen	+		

The Causes

Fungal species	Walnut	Pistachio	Almond
Botryosphaeria dothidea	+		
Neofusicoccum parvum	+		
Neofusicoccum mediterraneum	+		
Diplodia mutila	+		
Neofusicoccum nonquaesitum	+		
Neofusicoccum vitifusiforme	+		
Diplodia seriata	+		
Dothiorella iberica	+		
Lasiodiplodia citricola	+		
Neoscytalidium dimitiatum (=Hendersonula toruloidea) – branch wilt pathogen	+		
Diaporthe rhusicola (Phomopsis)	+		
Diaporthe neitheicola (Phomopsis)	+		

Summary of Botryosphaeriaceae in nut crops – California

Fungal species	Walnut	Pistachio	Almond
Botryosphaeria dothidea	<+	+	+
Neofusicoccum parvum	<+	+	+
Neofusicoccum mediterraneum	<+	+	+
Diplodia mutila	+		
Neofusicoccum nonquaesitum	+		+
Neofusicoccum vitifusiforme	+	+	
Diplodia seriata	< <u>+</u>	+	+
Dothiorella iberica	<+	+	+
Lasiodiplodia citricola	< <u>+</u>	+	+
Neoscytalidium dimitiatum (=Hendersonula toruloidea)	<	+	+
Diaporthe rhusicola (Phomopsis)	4000 	+	+
Diaporthe neitheicola (Phomopsis)	+		



Inoculum in pseudothecia

Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

Ascospores: airborne-spread

pseudothecia

• Contributing factors: walnut scale



Effect of walnut scales on infection of walnut by Botryosphaeriaceae (cv. Vina)



60-75% more shoots were infected when scales were present

Contributing factors: Irrigation

High-angle irrigation systems Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

Sprinkler irrigation wetting the tree canopy

High risk for Bot disease to srart early in this orchard



Contributing factors: Pruning wounds

4 months!

Susceptible for

© D. Lightle 2018

Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist



Pith in the center of walnut shoots and Botryosphaeria infections



Effect of shoot age on infection of pruning wounds to infection by Bot



Accumulated dead wood on the tree



• Contributing factors: nut and shoot wounds



Microstroma juglandis



• Contributing factors: Brown Apical Necrosis



Contributing factors: Branch wilt: (*Neoscytalidium dimitiatum*)



Contributing factors: frost damage



Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

About 50% of the frost damaged shoots/spurs developed pycnidia in a year.



Sanitation: Pruning dead wood is very important



Too much inoculum is present on the trees

What is wrong with this photo?



Too much inoculum is left in or brought back in the orchard

Huller debris

90% infected

Botryosphaeria	40%
Phomopsis	30%
Botryosphaeria + Phomopsis	.20%
No fungus	.10%

Compost: 0% pathogens

Botryosphaeria	0%
Phomopsis	0%
Botryosphaeria +	- Phomopsis0%

Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

No pathogen



Which orchard is more at risk?



© D. Lightle 2018

Brush Disposal Recommendations

Infestation level	Chip?	Remove?	Fungicide program?
None	Yes	No	Not needed
Light (1-20%)	No	Yes	1 spray
Moderate (20-50%)	No	Yes	1+ sprays
Heavy (> 50%)	Yes	No	Full program

Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

Chipping brush reduces inoculum by two-thirds (66%)

Fungicide efficacy:

Fungicide	Active ingredient (FRAC #)	Efficacy
Quash	metaconazole (3)	++++
Merivon	fluxopyroxad + pyraclo	++++
Pristine	boscalid + pyract strand (7/11)	++++
K-Phite	polyphosphit	++++
Luna Experience	fluopyram e ^{isin} conazole (7/3)	++++
Luna Sensation	fluopyran + trifloxystrobin (7/11)	++++

++++ = excellent and consistent

Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

ipm.ucanr.edu/PDF/PMG/fungicideefficacytiming.pdf

Timing sprays



Option 1: Late June/ early July (1x)

Option 2: Standard calendar sprays (3x) mid-May, mid-June, mid-July (PCAs' and Growers' favorable)

Option 3: Leaf wetness model (weather dependent)

Using the Leaf Wetness Model

Rain: April 22-23: 0.6"



UCD Plant Pathologist

Anthracnose (Marssonina juglandis)

Initial infections in the spring after rains

-

Severe anthracnose – leaf blade necrotic lesions

Salt burn symptoms

Severe anthracnose – leaf petiole necrotic lesions



Themis J. Michailides UCD Plant Pathologist

Inoculum of the pathogen Marssonina juglandis

Acervulli = spore fruiting structures of anthracnose

Severe defoliation

Airborne phase (*Gnomonia leptostyla*) perithecia with ascospores





Initial Anthracnose lesions on fruit

Anthracnose of walnut fruit



Walnut blight – bacterial disease





Anthracnose of black walnut

Necrotic lesions on leaves and fruit



Anthracnose Foliar Disease Ratings (by Bill Coates, FA, San Benito Co.)

1= NO DISEASE, 5 = SEVERE DISEASE

		RATING	;	# OF OB	S		
	Serr	4.5		4			
	92-016-1	4.2		5			
	Payne	4.0	_	1			
	Hartley	2.7		3			
	Chandler	2.4		9			
	93-045-1	2.3	I	3			
	Pedro	2.0	1	1			
	Howard	2.0		4		Themis J. Mi UCD Plant P	chailides athologist
	64-57	2.0		3			
Most susceptible	Tulare	1.3	T	3	Leas sus	st ceptible	

Serr, Payne > Hartley, Chandler > Howard > Tulare

Precipitation from March to September and anthracnose incidence (San Benito Co.; 2012-2018)

Rainfall from March to September						
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018						
5.3 inches (133 mm)	0.4 inches (10.6 mm)	2.6 inches (66 mm)	1.4 inches (34 mm)	1.4 inches (36 mm)	3.4 inches (85 mm).	5.1 inches 129 mm)
Anthracnose						
70%*	0%	0%	0%	41%	85.7%	27%

Anthracnose Fungicide Trial (Hollister; Serr, recorded July 5, 2012)



Anthracnose Efficacy Trial (Serr, 2017)



Fungicide efficacy against Anthracnose

Fungicide	Active ingredient (FRAC #)	Efficacy
Copper mancozeb (Manzate/Dithane)	Carbamate (EBDC) ² (M3)	++++
Luna Experience	fluopyram + tebuconazole (7/3)	++++
Merivon	fluxopyroxad + pyraclostrobin (7/11)	++++
Pristine	boscalid + pyraclostrobin (7/11)	++++
Ph-D	chitin synthesis inhibitor (19)	++++
Quadris Top	Difenoconazole (3)+azoxystrobin (11)	++++
Quash	metaconazole (3)	++++
Quilt Excel	Propiconazole (3)+azoxystrobin (11)	++++
TIMING: 1 st spray: 3 rd week in A 2 nd spray: 1 st – 2 nd week in Ma	April (1/2 first leaves size); UCD P Ny; and 3 rd spray: 4 th week in May	s J. Michailides lant Pathologist

Thank you for your attention



We also thank: Californía Walnut Board, UC Farm Advisors, PCAs, & BASF, Syngenta, Bayer, DuPont, Nishino, Valent, FMC, et al....

... and a multitude of walnut growers

Interaction of Botryosphaeria (Neofusicoccum med.) and Phomopsis



Effect of temperature on growth of *Phomopsis*, *Neofusicoccum*, and *Lasiodiplodia* species



Degrees Celsius