



THE UNIFORM SOYBEAN TESTS

NORTHERN STATES

1969

RSLM 240

Compiled by:

R. L. Bernard and Ruth E. Lawrence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Soybean Investigations Personnel . . . . .	2
Uniform Test Participants . . . . .	3
Introduction . . . . .	5
Methods . . . . .	6
Uniform Test Locations . . . . .	10
Uniform Test 00 . . . . .	13
Preliminary Test 00 . . . . .	30
Uniform Test 0 . . . . .	35
Preliminary Test 0 . . . . .	48
Uniform Test I . . . . .	53
Preliminary Test I . . . . .	74
Uniform Test II . . . . .	83
Uniform Test III . . . . .	107
Preliminary Test III . . . . .	121
Uniform Test IV . . . . .	128
Preliminary Test IV . . . . .	149
Identification of Parent Strains . . . . .	160
Growing Conditions . . . . .	161

Soybean Investigations, Oilseed and Industrial Crops Research Branch

Crops Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, USDA

R. W. Howell, Branch Chief

S. N. Brooks, Assistant Branch Chief

B. E. Caldwell, Soybean Investigations Leader

U. S. Regional Soybean Laboratory, Urbana, Illinois

R. L. Cooper, Agronomist-in-Charge  
C. J. Younger, Admin. Officer  
P. A. Lathouwers, Clerk-Typist  
R. L. Warsaw, Crops Res. Helper  
  
D. W. Chamberlain, Plant Pathologist  
L. E. Gray, Plant Pathologist  
L. L. Lange, Crops Res. Helper  
  
F. I. Collins, Chemist  
S. J. Gibbons, Phys. Sci. Technician  
V. E. Sedgwick, Phys. Sci. Technician

R. L. Bernard, Geneticist  
R. E. Lawrence, Statistical Clerk  
C. R. Cremeens, Agric. Res. Technician  
D. A. Lindahl, Agric. Res. Technician  
P. J. Amdor, Crops Res. Helper  
N. H. Maxwell, Lab. Helper  
  
J. E. Harper, Plant Physiologist  
W. L. Ogren, Plant Physiologist  
R. W. Rinne, Plant Physiologist  
R. H. Johnson, Instrument Maker  
A. J. Maggio, Agric. Res. Technician  
A. M. Rubel, Biol. Lab. Technician

Lafayette, Indiana

T. S. Abney, Plant Pathologist  
A. H. Probst, Agronomist  
J. R. Wilcox, Geneticist  
R. J. Martin, Research Assistant  
R. F. Farris, Technician\*  
P. E. Stingle, Technician\*

Ames, Iowa

J. M. Dunleavy, Plant Pathologist  
H. Tachibana, Plant Pathologist  
R. C. Clark, Agric. Res. Technician  
J. W. Fisher, Agric. Res. Technician  
S. S. Quiniones, Plant Pathologist\*  
D. Strissel, Technician\*

Beltsville, Maryland

B. E. Caldwell, Agronomist  
C. Sloger, Plant Physiologist  
H. G. Vest, Jr., Plant Pathologist  
D. F. Weber, Microbiologist  
C. E. Bass, Agric. Res. Technician  
V. L. Miller, Agric. Res. Technician  
J. D. Vasvery, Agric. Res. Technician  
P. D. Hull, Agric. Res. Technician

Columbia, Missouri

V. D. Luedders, Agronomist  
R. D. Scherff, Plant Pathologist  
E. R. Smith, Agric. Res. Technician  
E. S. Bretz, Res. Microbiologist\*

Brawley, California

B. H. Beard, Agronomist

Collaborators in the Northern State Agricultural Experiment Stations

Delaware: R. H. Cole  
Iowa: W. R. Fehr  
Illinois: H. H. Hadley  
Indiana: F. L. Patterson  
Kansas: E. L. Mader  
Maryland: J. A. Schillinger  
Michigan: T. J. Johnston  
Minnesota: H. W. Johnson  
B. W. Kennedy  
J. W. Lambert

Missouri: L. A. Duclos  
Nebraska: J. H. Williams  
Ohio: A. F. Schmitthenner  
P. E. Smith  
South Dakota: A. O. Lunden  
Wisconsin: J. H. Torrie

\* Full-time state employee

UNIFORM TEST PARTICIPANTS - 1969

K. L. Athow  
Dept. of Botany and Plant Pathology  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

L. J. Anderson  
Canada Dept. of Agriculture  
Research Station  
Harrow, Ontario

B. H. Beard, ARS, USDA  
Southwestern Irrigation Field Sta.  
Brawley, California 92227

R. L. Bernard, ARS, USDA  
U. S. Regional Soybean Lab.  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

D. R. Browning  
Agronomy Research Center  
Southern Illinois University  
Carbondale, Illinois 62901

R. I. Buzzell  
Canada Dept. of Agriculture  
Research Station  
Harrow, Ontario

D. W. Chamberlain, ARS, USDA  
U. S. Regional Soybean Lab.  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

F. I. Collins, ARS, USDA  
U. S. Regional Soybean Lab.  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

R. L. Cooper, ARS, USDA  
U. S. Regional Soybean Lab.  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

H. W. Crittenden  
Department of Plant Pathology  
University of Delaware  
Newark, Delaware 19711

J. D. Curtis  
Kemptville Agricultural School  
Kemptville, Ontario

L. S. Donovan  
Genetics and Plant Breeding Research Inst.  
Canada Dept. of Agriculture  
Ottawa, Ontario

L. A. Duclos  
University of Missouri  
Delta Center  
Portageville, Missouri 63873

J. M. Dunleavy, ARS, USDA  
Dept. of Botany and Plant Pathology  
Iowa State University  
Ames, Iowa 50010

D. B. Egli  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, Kentucky 40506

W. R. Fehr and R. C. Clark  
Department of Agronomy  
Iowa State University  
Ames, Iowa 50010

J. E. Giesbrecht  
Canada Dept. of Agriculture  
Experimental Farm  
Morden, Manitoba

E. E. Hartwig, ARS, USDA  
Delta Branch Experiment Station  
Stoneville, Mississippi 38776

D. J. Hume  
Department of Crop Science  
University of Guelph  
Guelph, Ontario

T. J. Johnston  
Department of Crop Science  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, Michigan 48823

J. R. Justin  
Department of Soils and Farm Crops  
Rutgers University  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

G. L. Kilgore  
Kansas State University  
Southeast Kansas Experiment Station  
Mound Valley, Kansas 67354



UNIFORM TEST PARTICIPANTS - 1969 (Continued)

P. F. Knowles  
Department of Agronomy  
University of California  
Davis, California 95616

J. W. Lambert  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Minnesota  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

F. A. Laviolette  
Dept. of Botany and Plant Pathology  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

D. A. Littlejohns  
Western Ontario Agricultural  
School and Experiment Farm  
Ontario Dept. of Agriculture  
Ridgetown, Ontario

V. D. Luedders, ARS, USDA  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, Missouri 65201

A. O. Lunden  
Department of Agronomy  
South Dakota State University  
Brookings, South Dakota 57006

C. D. Mickell  
Department of Agronomy  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, Kansas 66502

A. H. Probst, ARS, USDA  
Department of Agronomy  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

C. O. Rydberg  
University of Wisconsin  
Experimental Farm  
Spooner, Wisconsin 54801

J. A. Schillinger  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland 20742

J. F. Shane  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, Kentucky 40506

P. E. Smith  
Department of Agronomy  
Ohio State University  
Columbus, Ohio 43210

B. R. Stefansson  
Department of Plant Science  
University of Manitoba  
Winnipeg, Manitoba

H. Tachibana, ARS, USDA  
Dept. of Botany and Plant Pathology  
Iowa State University  
Ames, Iowa 50010

G. H. Tenpas  
University of Wisconsin  
Experimental Farm  
Ashland, Wisconsin 54806

J. H. Torrie  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

H. G. Vest, Jr., ARS, USDA  
Plant Industry Station  
Beltsville, Maryland 20705

D. A. Whited  
Department of Agronomy  
North Dakota State University  
Fargo, North Dakota 58102

J. R. Wilcox, ARS, USDA  
Department of Agronomy  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

J. H. Williams  
Department of Agronomy  
University of Nebraska  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68503

E. L. Wisk  
University Substation  
Delaware Agricultural  
Experiment Station  
Georgetown, Delaware 19947

INTRODUCTION

The U. S. Regional Soybean Laboratory conducts research directed toward breeding better varieties of soybeans in cooperation with federal and state research personnel in all important soybean producing states and with research workers in two provinces in Canada. The purpose of the Uniform Soybean Tests is to evaluate critically the best of the experimental soybean lines developed by these researchers.

A test is established for each of ten maturity groups. Test 00 includes maturity Group 00 strains for the northern fringe of the present area of soybean production. Uniform Tests 0 through IV include later strains adapted to locations progressively farther south in the North Central States and areas of similar latitude. Each year new selections are added and others that have been sufficiently tested are dropped. The summary of performance of strains in Uniform Tests 00 through IV in the northern states is included in this report. The report on Uniform Tests IVS through VIII in the southern states is issued separately.

Data from the Uniform Tests form the basis for decisions on the regional release of soybean varieties. Preliminary Tests are grown at a limited number of locations throughout the region to screen the experimental strains for maturity and general agronomic performance for one year before they are entered in the Uniform Tests.

METHODS

Uniform Tests are planted in single-row plots with four replications or double-row plots with three replications, either with or without border rows. Preliminary Tests are planted in single or double rod-row plots with two replications. Usually 18 to 20 feet of row are planted and 16 to 17 feet harvested to eliminate end of row effects. Seeds are packeted at a rate of 180 viable seeds per packet.

Parentage. Parent strains other than named varieties are identified in Table 1.

Previous Testing. The number of previous years in the same Uniform Test is given or, in the case of new entries, a reference to last year's test. The previous regional test is abbreviated: U.T. 0 for Uniform Test 0, P.T. III for Preliminary Test III, etc., and only the most recent test is listed. Testing of similar ancestral strains is listed in footnotes.

Descriptive Traits are abbreviated as follows:

Flower Color: P = purple, W = white  
Pubescence Color: T = tawny, G = gray, Lt = light tawny  
Pod Color: Br = brown, Tan = tan  
Seed Coat Luster: D = dull, S = shiny, I = intermediate  
Seed Coat Color: Y = yellow, G = gray, Lg = light gray  
Hilum Color: Bl = black, Ib = imperfect black, Br = brown, Bf = buff,  
G = gray, Tan = tan, Y = yellow, prefixes indicate light  
or dark shades as, for example, Lbf = light buff  
Peroxidase Activity: H = high, L = low  
Fluorescent Light Response: E = early flowering (about 35 days),  
L = late flowering (about 70 days) under  
20-hour cool white fluorescent photoperiod

Shattering is scored 14 days after maturity, or at another specified time if more appropriate, and is based on estimates of the percent of open pods as follows:

1	No shattering	3	10% to 25% shattered	5	Over 50% shattered
2	1% to 10% shattered	4	25% to 50% shattered		

Yield is measured after the seeds have been dried to a uniform moisture content and is recorded in bushels (60 pounds) per acre to the nearest tenth. To convert to kilograms per are (or quintals per hectare) multiply by .6725 (1 kg/are = 1.487 bu/acre).

Maturity is the date when approximately 95% of the pods are ripe. Delayed leaf drop and green stems are not considered in assigning maturity but may be noted separately. Maturity is expressed as days earlier (-) or later (+) than the average date of the reference variety. To aid in maturity group classification, one earlier and one later "tie" variety are listed on the maturity table for each Uniform and Preliminary Test except 00. These are not included in the regional mean since data are not available from all locations. Current reference and tie varieties and the maturity group limits relative to the reference varieties are:

<u>Maturity Group</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Group Range</u>	<u>Early Tie</u>	<u>Late Tie</u>
00	Portage	-2 to +6		
0	Merit	-4 to +4	Flambeau (00)	Chippewa 64 (I)
I	Chippewa 64	-2 to +6	Traverse (0)	Corsoy (II)
II	Corsoy	-3 to +5	Hark (I)	Wayne (III)
III	Wayne	-4 to +4	Amsoy (II)	Clark 63 (IV)
IV	Clark 63	-1 to +9	Wayne (III)	Hill (V)

These maturity group ranges are based on long-time means over many locations. When using data from fewer environments, the interval between reference varieties may differ from that implied above, but the division between maturity groups can be estimated in proportion to the above figures.

Lodging is rated at maturity according to the following scores:

- 1 Almost all plants erect
- 2 All plants leaning slightly or a few plants down
- 3 All plants leaning moderately (45°), or 25% to 50% of the plants down
- 4 All plants leaning considerably, or 50% to 80% of the plants down
- 5 Almost all plants down

Height is the average length of plants from the ground to the tip of the main stem at the time of maturity and is reported to the nearest inch (1 inch equals 2.54 centimeters).

Seed Quality is rated according to the following scores considering the amount and degree of wrinkling, defective seed coat, greenishness, and moldy or rotten seeds. (Threshing or handling damage is not considered, and pigment, including mottling, is noted separately.)

- 1 Very good      2 Good      3 Fair      4 Poor      5 Very poor

Weight per seed is the weight of 100 seeds in grams to the nearest tenth.

Seed Composition is measured on samples submitted to the Laboratory. A 60- to 70-gram sample of clean seeds is prepared by taking an equal volume or weight of seeds from each replication. Protein percentage is measured using the Kjeldahl method and oil percentage is measured using nuclear magnetic resonance. These percentages are expressed on a moisture-free basis.

Iron Chlorosis is rated from 1, no chlorosis, to 5, severe chlorosis. In 1969 data were taken based on observation plots at Lamberton, Minnesota (00 to II), and yield test plots at Crookston, Minnesota (00 to 0).

Hypocotyl Elongation was measured at Ames, Iowa, on 24 seedlings after germinating for nine days at 25° C (a critical temperature for differentiating strains).

Disease Reactions are listed according to "Soybean Disease Classification Standards", March 1955, unless otherwise specified. Disease reaction is scored from 1 (healthy) to 5 (heavily infected). The state where the test was made is identified in the column heading, and a small letter "a" or "n" under the state signifies artificial or natural infection. Natural infection ratings are from agronomic tests in some instances and from special disease plantings in others. For diseases where it is clearcut, the reaction is given by letter instead of number: R signifies resistant, S stands for susceptible, I or MR for intermediate, and H for heterogenous, and strains may not be retested each year.

<u>Abbreviation</u>	<u>Disease</u>	<u>Organism</u>
BB	Bacterial blight	<u>Pseudomonas glycinea</u>
BBV	Bud blight	<u>Tobacco ringspot virus</u>
BP	Bacterial pustule	<u>Xanthomonas phaseoli</u> var. <u>sojensis</u>
BS	Brown spot	<u>Septoria glycines</u>
BSR	Brown stem rot	<u>Cephalosporium gregatum</u>
CN	Cyst nematode	<u>Heterodora glycines</u>
DM	Downy mildew	<u>Peronospora manshurica</u>
FE <sub>1</sub> , FE <sub>2</sub>	Frogeye race 1, 2	<u>Cercospora soja</u>
PR	Phytophthora rot	<u>Phytophthora sojae</u>
PS	Purple stain	<u>Cercospora kikuchii</u>
PSB	Pod and stem blight	<u>Diaporthe phaseolorum</u> var. <u>sojae</u>
Pyd	Pythium root rot	<u>Pythium debaryanum</u>
Pyu	Pythium root rot	<u>Pythium ultimum</u>
RK (followed by the initial of the specific nematode)	Root knot nematode	<u>Meloidogyne</u> spp.
RR	Rhizoctonia root rot	<u>Rhizoctonia solani</u>
SB	Sclerotial blight	<u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u>
SC	Stem canker	<u>Diaporthe phaseolorum</u> var. <u>caulivora</u>
SMV	Soybean mosaic	<u>Soja virus 1</u>
TS	Target spot	<u>Corynespora cassiicola</u>
WF	Wildfire	<u>Pseudomonas tabaci</u>
YMV	Yellow mosaic	<u>Phaseolus virus 2</u>

Ratings for BB, BP, DM, and FE<sub>2</sub> were based on leaf symptoms; those for PS and PSB on presence of the pathogen in seeds; those for BSR on average height of stem browning of infected plants and percent of plants with these symptoms; those for PR on seedling rotting; and those for SMV were based on agglutination, using the Ottumwa strain (SMV-0) as antigen for antiserum production, and on percent of plants the extracts of which gave a local lesion on Kentucky Wonder Wax Pole Bean.



Strain Designation. Experimental (i.e. unreleased) strains are identified with number and a code letter prefix. These letters indicate the originating agency as follows:

A Iowa A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
C Purdue A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
CM Canada Dept. of Agriculture, Morden, Manitoba  
D Mississippi A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
E Michigan A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
FC Forage and Range Research Branch, U.S.D.A.  
H Ohio A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
K Kansas A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
L Illinois A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
M Minnesota A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
Md Maryland A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
ND North Dakota A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
O Central Experiment Farm, Ottawa, Ontario  
O Research Station, Harrow, Ontario  
OAC University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario  
PI Plant Introduction Investigations, New Crops Research Branch, U.S.D.A.  
S Missouri A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
SD South Dakota A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
SL Two or more state experiment stations and U.S.R.S.L.  
T Soybean Genetic Type Collection, U.S.R.S.L.  
U Nebraska A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
UD Delaware A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.  
UM University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba  
W Wisconsin A.E.S. and U.S.R.S.L.



UNIFORM TEST LOCATIONS - 1969

Location	Tests Conducted by	Uniform Tests						Preliminary Tests				
		00	0	I	II	III	IV	00	0	I	III	IV
Ont., Ottawa	L. S. Donovan	x						x				
Kemptville	J. D. Curtis	x	x					x	x			
Elora	D. J. Hume	x	x					x	x			
Ridgetown	D. A. Littlejohns		x	x	x				x	x		
Harrow	L. J. Anderson			x	x	x					x	
N. J., Vail	J. R. Justin				x							
Adelphia	"					x						
Centerton	"						x					
Del., Georgetown	E. L. Wisk						x					
Georgetown Irrig.	"						x					x
Md., Taneytown 2nd crop	J. A. Schillinger					x						
Clarksville	"					x	x				x	x
Queenstown	H. G. Vest						x					x
Linkwood	"						x					x
Snow Hill	J. A. Schillinger						x					
Snow Hill 2nd crop	"						x					
Ohio, Hoytville	P. E. Smith		x	x	x	x				x	x	
Wooster	"			x	x	x				x	x	
Columbus	"			x	x	x	x			x	x	x
Mich., East Lansing	T. J. Johnston		o	o	o					o		
Dundee	"		o	x	x					x		
Ind., Knox	A. H. Probst, J. R. Wilcox			x	x							
Bluffton	"				x	x						
Lafayette	"			x	x	x	x				x	
Greenfield	"				x	x						
Worthington	J. R. Wilcox, A. H. Probst				x	x	x				x	x
Evansville	"					x	x					x
Ky., Lexington	J. F. Shane, D. B. Egli					x	x					
Henderson	" , S. Brabant					x	x					
Wis., Ashland	G. H. Tenpas	x							x			
Spooner	C. O. Rydberg		x							x		
Durand	J. H. Torrie		o	o								
Madison	"			x	x						x	
Ill., Dekalb	R. L. Cooper			x	x						x	
Pontiac	"			x	x							
Urbana	R. L. Bernard			x	x	x	x					x
Girard	"				x	x	x					
Edgewood	"				x	x	x				x	x
Trenton	"				x	x	x				x	x
Eldorado	"				x	x	x				x	x
Carbondale	D. R. Browning				x	x	x				x	x
Miller City	R. L. Bernard						x					
Minn., Crookston	J. W. Lambert	x	x						x			
Morris	"	x	x									
St. Paul	"	x	x	x						x		
Lamberton	"			x	x							
Waseca	"			x	x						x	
Iowa, Sutherland	R. C. Clark, W. R. Fehr			x	x						x	
Kanawha	"			x	x						x	
Clarence	"				x							
Ames	"				x	x						x
Ottumwa	"					x						x
Red Oak	"					x						x

UNIFORM TEST LOCATIONS - 1969 (Continued)

Location	Tests Conducted by	Uniform Tests						Preliminary Tests					
		00	0	I	II	III	IV	00	0	I	III	IV	
Mo., Spickard	V. D. Luedders			x	x	x				x	x		
Columbia	"			o	o	o	o			o	o	o	
Mt. Vernon	"				x	x	x				x	x	
Portageville Loam	L. A. Duclos						x						x
Portageville Clay	"						x						x
Man., Portage la Prairie	J. E. Giesbrecht	x							x				
Winnipeg	B. R. Stefansson	o							o				
Morden	J. E. Giesbrecht	x							x				
N. D., Fargo	D. A. Whited	x	x	o					x	x	o		
Carrington	"	o											
S. D., Milbank	A. O. Lunden		x	x						x	x		
Brookings	"			x	x						x		
Centerville	"				o								
Elk Point	"						x						x
Neb., Concord	J. H. Williams			x	x	x							
Mead	"			o	o	o	o						o
Kansas, Powhattan	C. D. Nickell				x	x	x					x	x
Manhattan	"					x	x					x	x
Manhattan Irrig.	"					x	x					x	x
Ottawa	"					x	x					x	x
Newton	"					x	x						
Columbus	G. L. Kilgore					x	x						x
Texas, Lubbock	R. D. Brigham						x						
Cal., Davis	P. F. Knowles,	x	x	x	x				x	x	x		
J. E. Dille													
Five Points	B. H. Beard	x			x	x	x						
Number of locations with agronomic data (x)		12	11	22	34	34	31		9	8	15	21	19

Disease and Shattering Tests

Del., Georgetown-PSB,PS	H. W. Crittenden						x	x				x	x
Ind., Lafayette-FE <sub>2</sub> ,PR	F. A. Laviolette,	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Worthington-DM	K. L. Athow	o	x	x	x	x	x	x	o	x	x	x	x
Ill., Urbana-BP,BSR	D. W. Chamberlain	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Minn., Lambertson-Fe chlorosis	J. W. Lambert	x	x	x	x								
Iowa, Ames-BB,BP,BSR,SMV	J. M. Dunleavy	x	x	x	x	x	x						
Ames-BB	H. Tachibana	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x
Ames-Hyp. elong.	W. R. Fehr	x	x	x	x	x	x						
Miss., Stoneville-PR	E. E. Hartwig					x	x	x				x	x
"-Shattering	"						x	x				x	x
Ill., Urbana-	R. L. Bernard	x	x						x	x			
Kansas, Manhattan-	C. D. Nickell	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x
Ont., Harrow	R. I. Buzzell	o	o	o	o	o	o						
Ohio, Castalia	A. F. Schmitthenner			o	o	o	o						
Hoytville	"			o	o	o	o						
Wooster	"			o	o	o	o						

o Test failed or data not reported



UNIFORM TEST 00, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Compositied	Previous Testing (years)
1. Altona	052-903 x Flambeau	F <sub>5</sub>	5
2. Flambeau	Introduction from Russia	--	11
3. Norman (M424)	Acme x Hardome	F <sub>5</sub>	4
4. Portage	Acme x Comet	F <sub>5</sub>	9
5. CM29	Acme x L48-7289	F <sub>7</sub>	P.T. 00
6. CM30	Acme x L48-7289	F <sub>7</sub>	1
7. CM53	Acme x L48-7289	F <sub>6</sub>	P.T. 00
8. CM61	Acme x L48-7289	F <sub>9</sub>	1
9. CM79	Acme x L48-7289	F <sub>9</sub>	P.T. 00

The five-year performance of the four varieties, Altona, Flambeau, Norman, and Portage, is summarized in Tables 9 and 10. There is a rather strong positive correlation of yield with maturity although the range in mean yield is not great.

Experimental strains in this test consist of five selections from Acme x L48-7289. CM30 had the highest mean yield but is rather late for Group 00 (Table 2). The other strains averaged well below Flambeau in yield. CM61 was a day earlier than Flambeau and showed fair lodging resistance along with excellent height. It averaged 1.4 bushels below Flambeau but equalled it in the 1968 test. Most of these strains showed tendencies toward iron chlorosis (Table 1).

NORMAN

Norman is an F<sub>4</sub> plant progeny selected by J. W. Lambert in Minnesota. A chronological outline of its origin and development is given below:

- 1955 - Cross of Acme x Hardome made at St. Paul by J. W. Lambert.
- 1955-6 - F<sub>1</sub> hybrid grown in greenhouse at St. Paul.
- 1956 - F<sub>2</sub> population grown in field at St. Paul; individual plant selections made.
- 1957 & 1958 - F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> plant rows grown at Rosemount. Selection on row and plant bases.
- 1959 - Duplicate F<sub>5</sub> plant rows grown at Rosemount and Crookston. Whole rows selected and bulked. Row 1959 (both locations) designated II-55-14.
- 1960 - II-55-14 tested in replicated 10-foot, single-row plots at Rosemount and Crookston.
- 1961 - II-55-14 tested in replicated rod rows at St. Paul and Crookston.

- 1962 - II-55-14 tested in replicated rod rows at St. Paul and Crookston. Small increase to produce seed for larger plots. 50 "typical" plants selected.
- 1963 - II-55-14 tested in replicated "combine" plots at Crookston and Morris. 50 plant progenies grown; 46 appeared uniform and were bulked for a "purified" source.
- 1964 - Designated M55-14 and entered in Uniform Preliminary Test 00. Also tested in "combine" plots at Crookston and Morris
- 1965 - M55-14 in Uniform Test 00, in "combine" tests at Crookston and Morris, and in multiple rod-row test at Grand Rapids.
- 1966 - M55-14 in Uniform Test 00, in "combine" tests at Crookston, Moorhead, and Morris, and in multiple rod-row test at Grand Rapids.
- 1966-7 - Three pounds of breeder's seed increased to 53 pounds in Chile to supplement supply on hand.
- 1967 - M55-14 in Uniform Test 00 and in same Minnesota locations as in 1966. Initial increase by the Foundation Seedstocks branch of the Minnesota Crop Improvement Association. Seed was shared with North Dakota.
- 1968 - M55-14 tested as in 1966 and 1967. Seed increased by MCIA and by North Dakota.
- 1969 - M55-14 named "NORMAN" and released to registered and certified seed growers in two states.

Table 1. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering			Iron Chlor- osis Minn.	Hypo- cotyl Length mm
							Urbana	Manhattan			
							Illinois 4 wks.	Kansas 2 wks.	4 wks.		
Altona	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	3	2.5	4.6	2.5	212
Flambeau	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	3	1	4.2	2	208
Norman	P	G	Br	S	Y	Y	2	3	4.6	1.5	217
Portage	P	G	Br	D+S	Y	Y	5	4.6	5	3	268
CM29	P	G	Br	S	Y	Y	2.5	1	4.6	4	188
CM30	P	G	Br	D	Y	Lib	3.5	1	5	1.5	208
CM53	P	G	Br	S	Y	G	3.5	3	4.6	3.5	214
CM61	P	G	Br	S	Y	G	3	2.5	4	3	226
CM79	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	2.5	2.5	3.8	4.5	213



Table 2. Summary of data, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	10	10	8	7	8	10	8	6	6
Altona	33.8	2	+1.9	2.3	29	2.3	18.3	40.6	21.4
Flambeau	34.1	1	+3.6	3.4	31	2.2	17.0	41.4	20.8
Norman	33.4	4	+1.3	2.2	30	2.0	17.4	41.0	21.0
Portage	32.3	6	0	1.4	29	2.1	18.7	39.9	21.0
CM29	30.7	8	+3.5	2.1	31	2.9	19.0	40.4	21.5
CM30	33.6	3	+4.6	2.7	31	2.7	19.5	38.7	22.8
CM53	32.0	7	+2.6	2.3	32	2.5	16.7	39.8	21.7
CM61	32.7	5	+2.5	2.5	33	2.9	16.7	40.1	21.3
CM79	29.4	9	+3.9	2.1	30	2.1	17.9	38.8	22.6

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Portage which matured September 14, 120 days after planting.

Table 3. Disease data, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	BB		BP		BSR		FE <sub>2</sub> Ind. a	PR Ind. a	SMV		
	Ames Iowa		Ill. Iowa		Urbana	Kanawha			Iowa		
	n	a	a	a	n	n			1	2	3
Altona	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4	20	3	R	65	65
Flambeau	3	3.5	2	4.5	2	6	13	5	S	30	30
Norman (M424)	4	5.0	2	3.5	2	0	0	5	S	60	50
Portage	4	5.0	3	3.5	2	6	33	5	S	65	80
CM29	3	3.0	4	4.0	2	7	25	4	S	40	20
CM30	3	4.0	2	4.5	2	7	10	4	S	50	100
CM53	3	3.0	2	4.5	2	6	20	4	S	60	55
CM61	3	3.0	2	5.0	2	6	8	3	S	85	85
CM79	3	3.5	3	4.5	2	7	18	4	S	25	25

1 Mean height of browning in diseased stems.

2 Percent of plants with browning.

3,4 Percent of plants infected, measured serologically (3) and by transmission to beans (4).

Table 4. Yield and yield rank, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 10 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota		
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora		Crooks- ton	Morris	St. Paul
Altona	33.8	54.9	40.7	43.7	20.4	22.9	31.8	34.1
Flambeau	34.1	54.5	40.7	42.8	22.1	24.3	32.9	32.7
Norman	33.4	60.8	43.3	36.3	22.2	21.1	27.9	35.8
Portage	32.3	49.4	42.2	38.2	24.3	23.0	28.8	32.8
CM29	30.7	48.9	29.9	36.6	23.3	20.3	27.9	33.2
CM30	33.6	51.6	39.5	39.6	23.4	23.2	30.3	34.9
CM53	32.0	52.8	36.9	38.3	21.9	23.2	28.0	31.4
CM61	32.7	50.2	40.4	35.0	25.9	24.0	29.8	31.6
CM79	29.4	54.5	26.0	38.2	17.9	17.8	27.2	35.5
Coef. of Var. (%)		8.8	12.4	9.4	9.2	13.6	6.8	8.2
L.S.D. (5%)		6.9	4.7	5.3	3.0	4.3	2.9	4.0
Row Spacing (In.)		34	14	12	24	24	30	30

	Yield Rank							
	Altona	Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt-ville	Elora	Wisconsin Ashland	Crooks- ton	Morris	St. Paul
Altona	2	2	3	1	8	6	2	4
Flambeau	1	3	3	2	6	1	1	7
Norman	4	1	1	8	5	7	7	1
Portage	6	8	2	5	2	5	5	6
CM29	8	9	8	7	4	8	7	5
CM30	3	6	6	3	3	3	3	3
CM53	7	5	7	4	7	3	6	9
CM61	5	7	5	9	1	2	4	8
CM79	9	3	9	5	9	9	9	2

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

Table 4. (Continued)

Strain	Manitoba		North Dakota Fargo	California	
	Portage la Prairie	Morden		Davis <sup>1</sup>	Five Points <sup>1</sup>
Altona	37.0	32.4	20.3	* 15.7	* 23.0
Flambeau	34.3	33.7	23.3	19.7	22.5
Norman	36.5	33.6	16.9	22.6	24.6
Portage	36.2	30.7	16.9	33.2	22.2
CM29	37.0	32.0	17.6	23.4	24.6
CM30	36.9	35.6	20.6	30.8	28.3
CM53	36.8	32.6	18.5	31.6	23.8
CM61	36.3	33.3	20.3	29.9	26.5
CM79	34.3	25.8	16.6	25.4	21.8
Coef of Var. (%)	8.4	6.4	6.9	--	13.0
L.S.D. (5%)	N.S.	3.2	1.9	--	N.S.
Row Spacing (In.)	36	30	40	30	30

	Yield Rank				
	1	2	3	4	5
Altona	1	6	3	9	6
Flambeau	8	2	1	8	7
Norman	5	3	7	7	3
Portage	7	8	7	1	5
CM29	1	7	6	6	3
CM30	3	1	2	3	1
CM53	4	5	5	2	5
CM61	6	4	3	4	2
CM79	8	9	9	5	9

Table 5. Maturity dates, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota	
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora		Crooks- ton	Morris
Altona	+1.9	*	+1	-1	+ 1	+2	+3
Flambeau	+3.6		+2	+2	+ 5	+2	+5
Norman	+1.3		+2	0	+ 4	0	+2
Portage	0		0	0	0	0	0
CM29	+3.5		+3	+1	+ 8	+5	+5
CM30	+4.6		+4	0	+12	+6	+6
CM53	+2.6		+1	+1	+ 7	+1	+5
CM61	+2.5		+2	+1	+ 6	0	+5
CM79	+3.9		+2	+2	+ 8	+3	+7
Date planted	5-17	5-29	5-22	5-28	5-14	5-28	5-14
Portage matured	9-14	--	9-9	10-1	9-24	9-26	8-29
Days to mature	120	--	110	126	133	121	107

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

Table 5. (Continued)

Strain	Manitoba		North Dakota Fargo	California		
	Minnesota St. Paul	Portage la Prairie		Morden	Davis <sup>1</sup>	Five Points <sup>1</sup>
		*			*	*
Altona	0		+1	+8	-3	
Flambeau	+2		+4	+7	-2	
Norman	0		0	+2	-3	
Portage	0		0	0	0	
CM29	+1		+1	+4	-2	
CM30	+2		+2	+5	+2	
CM53	+1		+1	+4	-3	
CM61	+2		+2	+2	-2	
CM79	+2		+2	+5	-3	
Date planted	5-8	5-21	5-13	5-9	6-5	6-18
Portage matured	9-21	--	9-18	9-3	9-4	--
Days to mature	117	--	128	117	91	--



Table 6. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 7 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota	
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora		Crooks- ton	Morris
Altona	2.3	3.3	1.0	1.8	*	1.2	1.0
Flambeau	3.4	4.6	2.0	4.0	1.0	1.8	1.0
Norman	2.2	3.3	2.0	2.4	1.0	1.0	1.0
Portage	1.4	2.8	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0
CM29	2.1	3.4	1.0	1.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
CM30	2.7	4.1	1.0	2.3	1.0	1.8	1.0
CM53	2.3	5.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
CM61	2.5	4.6	2.0	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.0
CM79	2.1	2.9	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Plant Height					
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora	Wisconsin Ashland	Morris	
Altona	29		33		17	23	31
Flambeau	31		33		18	24	30
Norman	30		35		18	26	29
Portage	29		35		18	25	27
CM29	31		37		20	25	33
CM30	31		35		20	26	32
CM53	32		39		18	26	34
CM61	33		40		20	28	34
CM79	30		34		18	22	34

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

Table 6. (Continued)

Strain	Manitoba		North Dakota Fargo	California	
	Minnesota St. Paul	Portage la Prairie		Morden	Davis <sup>1</sup>
Altona	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	*
Flambeau	4.8	3.7	3.0	3.0	
Norman	3.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	
Portage	1.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	
CM29	3.2	2.0	2.0	1.0	
CM30	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	
CM53	3.8	2.5	1.0	2.0	
CM61	4.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	
CM79	3.0	2.8	2.0	1.0	

	Plant Height					
					*	*
Altona	31	34	30	32	36	33
Flambeau	35	38	32	35	34	28
Norman	32	35	31	34	32	31
Portage	31	31	30	33	31	32
CM29	33	35	31	35	30	30
CM30	33	36	31	34	31	36
CM53	35	37	31	36	31	34
CM61	36	36	33	38	32	37
CM79	34	34	29	34	31	31

Table 7. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 10 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota	
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora		Crooks- ton	Morris
Altona	2.3	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.5
Flambeau	2.2	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.8
Norman	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.5
Portage	2.1	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.5
CM29	2.9	2.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	3.8	3.2
CM30	2.7	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	3.0
CM53	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0
CM61	2.9	3.0	5.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
CM79	2.1	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.8

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Seed Weight				
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora	Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota Crooks- ton
Altona	18.3	22.1	20.6	16.7	16.0	17.0
Flambeau	17.0	20.9	18.5	16.7	16.6	15.5
Norman	17.4	22.6	19.1	15.3	16.5	17.7
Portage	18.7	21.4	20.7	15.7	20.0	16.8
CM29	19.0	23.2	22.9	17.4	19.1	17.0
CM30	19.5	24.1	22.2	17.6	20.6	17.4
CM53	16.7	21.1	17.7	16.3	16.6	14.1
CM61	16.7	20.7	17.2	15.2	17.4	13.7
CM79	17.9	20.6	18.5	17.3	18.7	16.2

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

Table 7. (Continued)

Strain	Minnesota St. Paul	Manitoba		North Dakota Fargo	California	
		Portage la Prairie	Morden		Davis <sup>1</sup>	Five Points <sup>1</sup>
Altona	3.2	2.1	1.6	2.5	* 2.0	* 5.0
Flambeau	3.5	2.1	2.0	2.5	3.0	5.0
Norman	3.2	2.4	1.1	1.5	3.0	5.0
Portage	3.5	1.8	1.6	1.5	2.0	5.0
CM29	4.0	2.3	1.6	2.0	2.0	5.0
CM30	3.8	2.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	5.0
CM53	3.5	2.5	2.1	2.0	1.0	5.0
CM61	3.2	2.3	1.8	2.5	2.0	5.0
CM79	3.0	2.1	1.6	1.5	2.0	4.0

Strain	Seed Weight					
	Minnesota St. Paul	Manitoba Portage la Prairie	Manitoba Morden	North Dakota Fargo	California Davis <sup>1</sup>	California Five Points <sup>1</sup>
Altona	16.4	19.5	17.7		* 12.2	* 14.0
Flambeau	14.3	17.1	16.3		17.3	13.8
Norman	14.5	17.9	15.8		18.1	14.2
Portage	16.5	19.8	18.6		18.6	14.8
CM29	17.5	18.1	16.4		16.7	18.2
CM30	16.0	19.2	18.6		14.3	17.3
CM53	14.7	16.9	16.2		21.9	15.0
CM61	15.9	17.4	15.8		23.4	15.8
CM79	15.9	18.8	17.5		17.7	15.2

Table 8. Percentage of protein and oil, Uniform Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 6 Tests	Ontario		Wisconsin	Minnesota	Manitoba	North Dakota
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Elora	Ashland	Crookston	Morden	Fargo
Altona	40.6	40.9	43.5	39.4	37.7	41.0	41.0
Flambeau	41.4	40.9	46.3	39.0	38.0	42.0	42.0
Norman	41.0	40.0	46.0	38.6	38.6	40.9	41.7
Portage	39.9	39.0	43.2	37.1	38.9	41.1	39.8
CM29	40.4	39.9	44.8	37.3	39.1	40.0	41.1
CM30	38.7	36.8	43.5	37.5	35.4	39.4	39.6
CM53	39.8	39.3	44.8	37.7	36.8	40.2	40.0
CM61	40.1	39.5	44.4	37.6	37.5	40.6	40.9
CM79	38.8	37.8	44.2	34.6	37.3	39.5	39.5

	Mean of 6 Tests	Percentage of Oil					
Altona	21.4	21.8	19.7	21.5	21.8	21.2	22.1
Flambeau	20.8	21.8	18.3	21.5	21.6	19.7	21.6
Norman	21.0	19.4	19.4	21.4	22.1	21.4	22.2
Portage	21.0	20.5	19.9	20.7	21.8	20.9	22.2
CM29	21.5	22.4	19.0	21.3	21.5	21.9	22.7
CM30	22.8	23.4	20.3	22.9	24.1	22.9	23.3
CM53	21.7	24.2	18.8	21.9	21.8	21.0	22.7
CM61	21.3	24.1	18.2	20.9	22.0	20.3	22.5
CM79	22.6	24.8	19.9	21.8	22.7	22.5	23.8

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.





Table 9. Five-year summary of data, Uniform Test 00, 1965-1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Maturity <sup>1</sup>	Lodging	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	45	45	36	32	43	40	38	26	26
Altona	29.2	2	+3.8	2.4	28	2.5	18.1	39.8	20.0
Flambeau	29.7	1	+6.4	3.3	30	2.4	16.3	40.8	18.8
Norman	28.7	3	+2.6	2.2	28	2.2	16.8	39.6	20.0
Portage	27.6	4	0	1.6	27	2.3	17.8	38.9	19.9

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Portage which matured September 15, 115 days after planting.

Table 10. Five-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test 00, 1965-1969.

Strain	Mean of 45 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota		
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kemptville	Elora <sup>2</sup>		Crookston	Morris	St. Paul
Years Tested		1967-1969	1967-1969	1966-1969	1965-1969	1965-1969	1966-1969	1965-66 1968-69
Altona	29.2	38.7	41.0	35.6	23.8	18.5	25.7	35.8
Flambeau	29.7	39.4	39.8	36.1	21.4	21.7	27.5	38.4
Norman	28.7	41.0	42.8	33.8	21.2	18.9	23.3	35.7
Portage	27.6	35.4	40.7	33.6	22.1	17.7	23.9	33.9

Yield Rank

Altona	2	3	2	2	1	3	2	2
Flambeau	1	2	4	1	3	1	1	1
Norman	3	1	1	3	4	2	4	3
Portage	4	4	3	4	2	4	3	4

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

<sup>2</sup> Guelph, 1966-1968.

Table 10. (Continued)

Strain	Manitoba			North Dakota Fargo	California	
	Portage la Prairie	Winnipeg	Morden		Davis <sup>1</sup>	Five Points <sup>1</sup>
Years Tested	1965- 1969	1965- 1967	1965- 1969	1967, 1969	1968- 1969	1966, 1968-69
Altona	30.6	25.2	28.4	19.8	18.3	18.0
Flambeau	24.4	25.8	28.8	21.3	20.8	17.4
Norman	29.6	22.4	28.5	17.9	21.6	19.3
Portage	30.0	22.9	24.9	17.3	28.2	18.8

	Yield Rank					
Altona	1	2	3	2	4	3
Flambeau	4	1	1	1	3	4
Norman	3	4	2	3	2	1
Portage	2	3	4	4	1	2

PRELIMINARY TEST 00, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Composites
1. Flambeau		
2. Portage		
3. CM21A	Acme x L48-7289	F7
4. CM21B	Acme x L48-7289	F7
5. CM24	Acme x L48-7289	F7
6. CM45	Acme x L48-7289	F7
7. CM78	Acme x L48-7289	F9
8. CM93	Acme x L48-7289	F9
9. M61-60	Merit x Norman	F5

Six of the experimental strains are selections from Acme x L48-7289. They averaged very close to each other in yield and maturity and similar to Flambeau in maturity and only slightly lower in yield. M61-60 was similar in maturity but superior in yield. All seven strains showed improved lodging resistance and higher oil content than Flambeau.

Table 11. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Preliminary Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubescence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering	
							Urbana Ill. 1 wks.	Manhattan Kansas 2 wks. + wks.
Flambeau	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	3.0	2.0 3.8
Portage	P	G	Br	D+S	Y	Y	5.0	4.6 5.0
CM21A	P	G	Br	S	Y	G	3.5	2.0 4.6
CM21B	P	G	Br	S	Y	G	3.0	1.5 4.2
CM24	P	G	Br	S	Y	B1	4.0	1.5 4.6
CM45	P	G	Br	S	Y	Y	2.0	1.5 3.8
CM78	P	G	Br	S	Y	G	1.5	1.5 4.2
CM93	P	G	Br	S	Y	Y	1.5	1.5 3.8
M61-60	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	1.5	1.0 4.2

Table 12. Summary of data, Preliminary Test 00, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	8	8	6	5	6	8	6	6	6
Flambeau	33.1	2	+5.0	3.4	31	2.1	16.7	41.3	20.8
Portage	32.1	8	0	1.4	30	1.8	19.3	40.2	21.5
CV21A	32.1	8	+4.2	1.6	33	2.5	16.9	39.8	20.7
CV21B	32.2	6	+4.5	1.8	33	2.4	17.0	39.3	21.1
CV24	32.4	5	+5.2	2.3	31	2.4	19.8	38.1	22.2
CV45	32.5	4	+4.8	2.0	33	2.6	17.9	38.7	22.0
CV78	32.6	3	+5.7	1.9	31	2.0	16.7	39.1	21.7
CV93	32.2	6	+5.2	2.2	31	2.6	18.5	38.8	22.2
M61-60	34.9	1	+5.2	2.1	33	1.7	17.3	39.8	21.6

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Portage which matured September 17, 121 days after planting.

Table 13. Disease data, Preliminary 00, 1969.

Strain	BB	BP	BSP	H <sub>2</sub>	SP
	Ares		Urbana		
Iowa	Ill.	Ill.	Ill.	a	a
n	a	n	a	a	a
Flambeau	2	2	2	5	0
Portage	2	3	3	5	0
CV21A	2	2	2	4	0
CV21B	3	3	2	4	H
CV24	3	3	2	5	0
CV45	3	3	2	4	0
CV78	3	3	3	3	0
CV93	2	3	2	4	0
M61-60	3	3	2	5	H

Table 14. Yield and yield rank, Preliminary CO, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Ashland	Minnesota Crookston	Manitoba		North Dakota Fargo	Calif. Davis*
		Ottawa <sup>1</sup>	Kempt- ville	Elora			Portage La Prairie	Mor- den		
Flambeau	33.1	50.2	36.4	43.2	19.5	24.9	37.4	31.6	23.6	24.1
Portage	32.1	46.6	34.7	41.5	20.8	23.9	36.6	33.1	19.2	37.2
CM21A	32.1	50.2	32.7	35.3	22.6	25.0	37.4	31.2	22.0	36.3
CM21B	32.2	52.8	31.5	37.4	24.0	25.5	36.3	30.8	17.6	34.1
CM24	32.4	53.3	33.1	39.6	20.8	22.4	39.0	28.6	22.0	30.7
CM45	32.5	49.6	33.8	43.4	21.9	23.6	35.9	28.8	22.6	33.6
CM78	32.6	57.9	36.7	44.2	18.7	18.8	34.1	30.9	19.7	27.3
CM93	32.2	48.1	39.2	43.9	20.8	18.0	35.6	31.2	20.4	28.4
M61-60	34.9	56.2	49.6	41.7	23.3	21.6	35.7	31.5	19.8	34.5
C.V. (%)		12.6	14.3	5.9	12.5	19.4	5.6	12.0	16.8	—
L.S.D. (5%)		N.S.	11.0	5.6	N.S.	9.2	1.8.	1.8.	7.9	—
Row Sp. (In.)		34	14	12	24	24	36	30	30	30

Yield Rank

Flambeau	2	5	4	4	8	3	8	2	1	9
Portage	8	9	5	6	5	4	4	1	3	1
CM21A	8	5	8	9	3	2	3	4	3	2
CM21B	6	4	9	8	4	4	2	3	9	4
CM24	5	3	7	7	5	6	4	9	3	6
CM45	4	7	6	3	4	5	5	3	2	5
CM78	3	1	3	1	9	8	9	6	1	8
CM93	6	8	2	2	5	9	4	4	5	7
M61-60	1	2	1	5	2	4	6	3	6	3

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

Table 15. Maturity dates, Preliminary Test 20, 1969.

Strain	Year of Tests	Ontario			Wis. Isr- Lara	Manitoba		North Dakota	Calif. Davis-
		Ottawa- ville	Flora	Portage		Portage	Ver-		
Flambeau	+5.0	*	+	0	+	+	+	+	*
Portage	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W21	+1.2		0	0	+	+	+	+	+
W22	+1.5		+2	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W24	+5.2		+2	+	+	+	+	+	+
W25	+1.0		+2	0	+	+	+	+	+
W26	+5.1		+2	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W27	+5.2		+2	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W28	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W29	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W30	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W31	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W32	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W33	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W34	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W35	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W36	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W37	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W38	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W39	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W40	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W41	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W42	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W43	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W44	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W45	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W46	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W47	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W48	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W49	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W50	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W51	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W52	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W53	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W54	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W55	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W56	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W57	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W58	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W59	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W60	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W61	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W62	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W63	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W64	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W65	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W66	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W67	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W68	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W69	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W70	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W71	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W72	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W73	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W74	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W75	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W76	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W77	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W78	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W79	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W80	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W81	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W82	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W83	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W84	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W85	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W86	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W87	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W88	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W89	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W90	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W91	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W92	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W93	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W94	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W95	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W96	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W97	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W98	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W99	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+
W100	+5.2		+	+2	+	+	+	+	+

\* Not investigated by the Dept.  
+ Investigated.



Table 1

No.	Name	Age	Sex
1	John Doe	25	M
2	Jane Smith	30	F
3	Robert Brown	35	M
4	Emily White	40	F
5	Michael Green	45	M
6	Sarah Black	50	F
7	David Gray	55	M
8	Laura Pink	60	F
9	James Blue	65	M
10	Maria Yellow	70	F

The following table shows the names and ages of the individuals in the study. The names are listed in the first column, and the ages are listed in the second column. The sex of each individual is indicated by 'M' for male and 'F' for female.

The data was collected from a survey of 10 individuals. The ages range from 25 to 70 years old. The study includes both males and females. The names are listed in the first column, and the ages are listed in the second column. The sex of each individual is indicated by 'M' for male and 'F' for female.



Table 16. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Uniform Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering			Minn. Iron Chlor-osis	Hypo-cotyl Length mm
							Urbana Ill.	Manhattan Kansas	Manhattan Kansas		
							4 wks.	2 wks.	4 wks.		
Clay	P	G	Br	S	Y	Y	2.5	2.5	2.5	2	234
Grant	W	Lt	Br	S	Y	B1	3	2.5	2.5	3.5	214
Merit	W	G	Br	D	Y	Bf	1	1	1	2	229
Traverse	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	3.5	1	1	4	226
M59-121	W	T	Br	D	Y	B1	3	1	3.8	1.5	207
M60-39	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	2.5	1	3	3.5	222
M60-92	P	G	Br	S	Y	Y	2.5	1	3	3.5	231
M60-400	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	4	1	5	2.5	217
M60-425	W	G	Br	D+S	Y	Y	3.5	1	1	2.5	210
W3S-184	P	T	Br	D	Lg	B1	1	1	1	2.5	175
W4S-202	P	T	Br	S+D	Y	B1	1	1	1	3.5	123

Table 17. Summary of data, Uniform Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	8	8	7	8	8	8	6	4	4
Clay	34.3	3	-4.7	1.7	31	2.2	16.2	42.0	21.9
Grant	31.9	10	+2.6	3.0	35	2.5	16.4	42.3	20.4
✓ Merit	34.2	4	0	2.3	38	2.3	14.0	41.5	21.3
Traverse	32.1	9	+4.6	2.8	35	2.6	17.4	42.5	20.7
✓ M59-121	34.6	2	+2.1	2.5	38	2.7	15.5	40.9	21.4
M60-39	30.8	11	+4.4	2.3	33	2.4	14.3	42.9	20.7
M60-92	32.9	5	+2.1	1.9	33	2.3	17.1	43.0	20.4
M60-400	35.8	1	+4.6	2.8	39	2.2	16.1	41.3	21.4
M60-425	32.4	8	+5.6	2.6	39	2.0	17.3	42.2	20.1
✓ W3S-184	32.8	6	+4.6	2.4	38	2.2	14.2	42.5	20.4
W4S-202	32.5	7	+2.3	2.5	37	2.5	13.8	42.5	20.6

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Merit which matured September 22, 126 days after planting.

Table 18. Disease data, Uniform Test 0, 1969.

Strain	BB		BP		BSR		DM	FE <sub>2</sub>	PR	SMV		
	Ames		Ill.	Iowa	Urbana	Kanawha	Worth-			Ind.	Ind.	Iowa
	Iowa	a					n	n	n			
			n	a								
Clay	2	3.5	4	3.5	2	7	6	3	5	S	85	85
Grant	2	4.0	4	3.5	2	17	46	3	5	S	75	25
Merit	2	3.0	4	3.5	3	10	6	3	5	R	75	25
Traverse	2	4.0	4	3.5	2	14	34	2	3	S	65	50
M59-121	2	3.0	4	4.5	1	12	30	3	5	S	90	45
M60-39	2	4.0	4	4.0	2	13	23	4	5	S	70	15
M60-92	3	4.0	4	4.5	2	14	47	3	5	S	45	55
M60-400	3	2.5	4	4.5	2	14	27	3	4	R	85	65
M60-425	2	4.0	4	4.0	2	15	28	3	5	R	100	35
W3S-184	2	3.0	4	3.5	2	21	59	4	5	S	100	70
W4S-202	3	3.5	4	4.0	2	16	50	2	3	S	95	30

1 Mean height of browning in diseased stems.

2 Percent of plants with browning.

3,4 Percent of plants infected, measured serologically (3) and by transmission to beans (4).

Table 19. Yield and yield rank, Uniform Test 3, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Ontario			* Irrigated	Yield Rank
		Ken- neth ville	Elora	Bea- verton		
Clay	34.3	45.6	41.0	46.0	2	1
Grant	31.9	41.4	37.4	40.0	1	2
Merit	34.2	41.0	40.0	41.0	3	3
Traverse	32.1	45.0	38.0	41.0	4	4
M59-121	34.6	43.0	38.0	41.0	5	5
M60-39	30.8	33.2	35.0	34.0	10	10
M60-92	32.9	45.9	35.4	40.0	6	6
M60-400	35.8	49.6	40.0	40.0	7	7
M60-425	32.4	39.6	27.0	33.0	8	8
W3S-184	32.8	40.6	33.4	39.0	9	9
W4S-202	32.5	39.0	31.1	38.0	11	11
Coef. of Var. (%)		12.2	10.5	11.0	11	10
L.S.D. (5%)		5.3	6.6	6.0	11	9
Row Spacing (In.)		14	12	14	10	10

Strain	Mean	Yield Rank				
		Ken- neth ville	Elora	Bea- verton	* Irrigated	Yield Rank
Clay	34.3	4	11	10	2	1
Grant	31.9	10	6	10	1	2
Merit	34.2	4	5	10	3	3
Traverse	32.1	9	3	10	4	4
M59-121	34.6	2	7	10	5	5
M60-39	30.8	11	11	11	11	10
M60-92	32.9	5	2	11	6	6
M60-400	35.8	1	1	11	7	7
M60-425	32.4	8	9	11	8	8
W3S-184	32.8	6	8	11	9	9
W4S-202	32.5	7	10	11	10	11

\* Not included in the mean.  
1 Irrigated.

Table 19. (Continued)

Strain	Minnesota			North	South	Calif.
	Crocks- ton	Morris	St. Paul	Dakota Fargo	Dakota Milbank	
Clay	25.5	27.4	35.0	23.0	15.5*	27.2*
Grant	24.4	26.2	27.0	23.3	16.6	20.9
Merit	22.5	26.6	30.5	24.5	15.5	29.4
Traverse	22.0	30.7	29.8	25.2	20.8	32.0
M59-121	25.9	30.2	33.0	29.0	17.0	23.7
M60-39	22.8	27.1	32.2	25.5	15.1	19.4
M60-92	21.2	24.9	29.2	26.4	19.7	20.9
M60-400	24.8	27.3	27.1	28.8	16.1	24.9
M60-125	22.8	26.7	33.4	25.9	14.5	22.4
W3S-184	21.0	25.9	32.3	24.0	17.6	21.3
W4S-202	20.3	23.9	30.8	27.2	16.6	17.2
Coeff. of Var. (%)	9.6	6.7	8.1	13.8	18.4	--
L.S.D. (5%)	3.1	2.6	3.6	5.1	N.S.	--
Row Spacing (In.)	24	30	30	40	36	30

	Yield Pank					
Clay	2	3	1	11	6	3
Grant	4	8	11	10	5	8
Merit	7	7	7	8	8	2
Traverse	8	1	8	7	1	1
M59-121	1	2	3	1	4	5
M60-39	5	5	5	6	10	10
M60-92	9	10	9	4	2	8
M60-400	3	4	10	2	7	4
M60-125	5	6	2	5	11	6
W3S-184	10	9	4	9	3	7
W4S-202	11	11	6	3	5	11

Table 20. Maturity dates, Uniform Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 7 Tests	Ontario			Ohio	Wisconsin
		Kempt- ville	Elora	Ridge- town	Hoyt- ville	Spooner <sup>1</sup>
Clay	-4.7	- 2	0	-6	- 5	- 5
Grant	+2.6	+ 7	+3	+1	- 1	+ 3
Merit	0	0	0	0	0	0
Traverse	+4.6	+11	+3	+3	+ 1	+ 8
M59-121	+2.1	+ 7	+3	+1	0	+ 2
M60-39	+4.4	+11	+2	+5	- 2	+ 6
M60-92	+2.1	+ 7	+2	-1	+ 2	+ 4
M60-400	+4.6	+ 7	+3	+3	- 2	+ 8
M60-425	+5.6	+11	+4	+4	+ 4	+10
W3S-184	+4.6	+10	+5	+3	+ 5	+ 3
W4S-202	+2.3	+ 7	+3	+1	+ 6	+ 1
Flambeau (00)		- 8	-2	--	--	--
Chippewa 64 (I)		--	--	+6	+10	+ 4
Date planted	5-19	5-22	5-28	5-26	6-4	5-27
Merit matured	9-22	9-18	10-4	9-27	9-10	9-25
Days to mature	126	119	129	124	98	121

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

Table 20. (Continued)

Strain	Minnesota			North	South	California
	Crooks- ton	Morris	St. Paul	Dakota Fargo	Dakota Milbank	Davis <sup>1</sup>
	*				*	*
Clay		- 7	-6	- 7	-6	0
Grant		+ 2	+1	+ 1	0	+1
Merit		0	0	0	0	0
Traverse		+ 3	+2	+ 2	+1	0
M59-121		+ 1	+2	- 1	-1	+2
M60-39		+ 4	+1	+ 2	+2	+2
M60-92		+ 1	+2	0	-2	+2
M60-400		+ 5	+3	+ 3	+4	0
M60-425		+ 3	+3	+ 4	+3	+1
W3S-184		+ 5	+3	+ 3	-1	+4
W4S-202		+ 2	+2	0	-2	+3
Flambeau (00)		-12	-8	-13	--	-8
Chippewa 64 (I)		+ 3	+3	+ 5	+1	+8
Date planted	5-28	5-14	5-8	5-9	5-26	6-5
Merit matured	--	9-15	9-12	9-23	10-4	9-10
Days to mature	--	124	127	137	131	97

Table 21. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test 3, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Ontario		Ohio	Wis.	Minnesota			North Dakota	South Dakota	Cal.
		Kempt- ville	Elora	Pidge- town	Hoyt- ville	Spoon- ert	Crocks- ton	Mer- ris	St. Paul	Fargo	Wib- bank
Clay	1.7	2.0	1.1	1.0	*	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0
Grant	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.5	1.0	3.5	3.5	1.0	1.2	2.0	1.0
Merit	2.3	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.0	2.7	2.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
Traverse	2.8	3.0	2.1	1.3	1.0	4.0	2.8	3.0	1.2	2.0	2.0
M59-121	2.5	3.0	2.3	1.3	1.0	2.5	2.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
M60-39	2.3	3.0	1.8	1.1	1.0	1.0	2.8	2.2	3.5	3.0	2.0
M60-92	1.9	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.2	2.0	3.8	2.0	1.0
M60-400	2.8	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.5	2.0	3.5	3.0	1.0
M60-425	2.6	5.0	1.4	1.4	1.0	2.7	2.2	2.0	3.8	2.0	1.0
W3S-184	2.4	2.0	3.9	2.4	1.0	2.0	2.8	2.2	3.2	1.0	2.0
W4S-202	2.5	2.0	3.4	1.6	1.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	3.5	2.0	2.0

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Plant Height										
		Kempt- ville	Elora	Pidge- town	Hoyt- ville	Spoon- ert	Crocks- ton	Mer- ris	St. Paul	Fargo	Wib- bank	Davis
Clay	31	37	31	28	22	29	24	30	36	31	26	37
Grant	35	41	36	36	23	33	28	35	39	34	30	36
Merit	38	40	35	39	27	37	28	30	42	39	36	36
Traverse	35	37	33	37	25	34	28	37	30	36	35	35
M59-121	38	41	39	37	26	36	32	39	42	41	35	36
M60-39	33	37	34	31	22	30	26	36	38	34	31	35
M60-92	33	36	31	33	24	31	26	35	39	34	31	35
M60-400	39	40	38	40	25	36	30	30	43	41	37	36
M60-425	39	39	38	39	28	37	32	39	44	41	36	32
W3S-184	38	42	37	38	25	37	28	39	41	40	34	36
W4S-202	37	42	33	38	26	37	30	38	40	40	35	36

\* Not included in the mean.  
 † Irrigated.

Table 22. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 6 Tests	Ontario		Ohio	Wis.	Minnesota			North	South	Cal. Davis	
		Hemph- ville	Ridge- town	Hoyle- ville	Spoon- er <sup>1</sup>	Crooks- ton	Mor- ris	St. Paul	Dakota Fargo	Dakota Mil- bank		
Clay	2.2	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.7*	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	4.0
Grant	2.5	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.2	2.2	2.0	1.5	3.0	1.0
Merit	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	3.2	2.2	2.5	2.0	2.0	4.0
Traverse	2.6	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.5	3.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	3.0
MS9-121	2.7	4.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.2	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.0
MS0-39	2.4	5.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.0	2.5	3.0
MS0-92	2.3	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.2	1.5	2.5	2.0	3.0	1.5	2.0	1.0
MS0-100	2.2	4.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0
MS0-125	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.5	3.0	2.0	2.2	1.0	2.0	3.0
MS8-184	2.2	5.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	3.2	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	4.0
MS8-202	2.5	5.0	2.0	3.0	2.2	1.5	3.2	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	4.0

Strain	Mean of 6 Tests	Seed Weight									
		Hemph- ville	Ridge- town	Hoyle- ville	Spoon- er <sup>1</sup>	Crooks- ton	Mor- ris	St. Paul	North Dakota Fargo	South Dakota Mil- bank	Cal. Davis
Clay	16.2	17.3	17.0	19.5	16.6*	15.5	17.6	15.0	15.0	10.9	13.3
Grant	16.7	20.1	15.9	20.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	17.0	11.4	13.9
Merit	17.0	15.7	13.1	16.6	13.0	17.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	9.5	12.4
Traverse	17.7	20.6	17.2	22.1	16.0	15.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.8	14.1
MS9-121	15.5	13.0	17.9	13.0	17.3	13.7	13.1	14.0	14.0	10.7	13.9
MS0-39	14.3	15.2	13.0	16.0	14.2	14.3	12.1	13.1	13.1	10.1	15.1
MS0-92	17.7	20.3	15.1	23.6	16.5	17.9	13.2	15.3	15.3	12.7	18.4
MS0-100	16.7	13.4	17.0	21.1	15.1	14.7	13.0	14.1	14.1	10.6	13.9
MS0-125	17.3	20.2	16.0	23.0	15.0	13.0	12.9	13.0	13.0	11.7	13.4
MS8-184	17.2	15.7	13.0	17.9	17.1	12.6	11.0	13.0	13.0	10.6	13.2
MS8-202	13.8	16.7	13.7	16.7	13.1	12.7	10.0	12.9	12.9	10.0	11.5

\* Not included in the mean.  
<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.



Table 23. Percentages of protein and oil, Uniform Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 4 Tests	Ontario Elora	Wisconsin Spooner <sup>1</sup>	Minnesota St. Paul	North Dakota Fargo
Clay	42.0	44.3	43.4	41.5	38.8
Grant	42.3	46.6	42.3	42.4	37.7
Merit	41.5	46.2	40.5	41.0	38.4
Traverse	42.5	46.5	41.2	43.0	39.1
M59-121	40.9	46.0	40.8	41.1	35.6
M60-39	42.9	47.5	42.3	42.6	39.1
M60-92	43.0	47.6	42.6	43.4	38.3
M60-400	41.3	46.4	40.4	40.9	37.4
M60-425	42.2	46.9	41.9	41.4	38.5
W3S-184	42.5	46.5	42.6	41.7	39.1
W4S-202	42.5	46.5	41.8	42.6	39.1

Strain	Mean of 4 Tests	Percentage of oil			
		Ontario Elora	Wisconsin Spooner <sup>1</sup>	Minnesota St. Paul	North Dakota Fargo
Clay	21.9	20.6	21.4	22.2	23.3
Grant	20.4	18.3	19.9	20.7	22.7
Merit	21.3	19.3	21.2	21.6	23.0
Traverse	20.7	19.3	19.9	20.7	22.7
M59-121	21.4	19.0	21.1	21.8	23.7
M60-39	20.7	19.0	20.5	20.5	22.6
M60-92	20.4	18.7	20.9	20.0	22.0
M60-400	21.4	19.2	21.4	21.0	23.8
M60-425	20.1	18.7	20.3	20.2	21.1
W3S-184	20.4	18.3	20.4	20.5	22.4
W4S-202	20.6	18.7	20.8	20.8	22.1

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.



Table 24. Three-year summary of data, Uniform Test 0, 1967-1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	25	25	24	21	24	21	16	13	13
Clay	33.2	3	-5.0	1.5	27	2.0	16.2	40.3	21.7
Grant	33.9	1	+1.8	2.5	31	2.0	16.3	40.5	20.1
Merit	33.2	3	0	1.9	33	2.0	14.2	39.7	21.1
Traverse	33.6	2	+3.9	2.3	32	2.1	17.4	41.1	20.4

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Merit which matured September 22, 123 days after planting.

Table 25. Three-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test 0, 1967-1969.

Strain	Mean of 25 Tests	Ontario			Michigan	Wisconsin	
		Kempt- ville	Elora <sup>1</sup>	Ridge- town	East Lansing	Spoon- er	Durand
Years Tested		1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1968	1967- 1969	1967- 1968
Clay	33.2	43.2	34.4	45.7	32.9	29.1	23.5
Grant	33.9	47.0	33.6	51.1	36.7	29.1	26.6
Merit	33.2	44.9	32.4	49.3	29.2	30.4	24.7
Traverse	33.6	46.0	30.3	49.5	38.2	29.2	25.7

	Yield Rank						
Clay	3	4	1	4	3	3	4
Grant	1	1	2	1	2	3	1
Merit	3	3	3	3	4	1	3
Traverse	2	2	4	2	1	2	2

- <sup>1</sup> Guelph, 1967-68.
- <sup>2</sup> Revillo, 1967-68.
- <sup>3</sup> Irrigated.

Table 25. (Continued)

Strain	Minnesota		St. Paul	North Dakota Fargo	South Dakota Milbank <sup>2</sup>	California Davis <sup>3</sup>
	Crooks- ton	Morris				
Years Tested	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1968- 1969	1967, 1969	1967- 1969	1968- 1969
Clay	20.5	27.7	37.0	22.4	25.8	25.4
Grant	17.0	26.4	29.6	21.5	27.1	22.2
Merit	16.9	25.7	34.0	22.5	24.7	33.1
Traverse	16.4	27.1	33.8	23.0	27.4	28.9

	Yield Rank					
Clay	1	1	1	3	3	3
Grant	2	3	4	4	2	4
Merit	3	4	2	2	4	1
Traverse	4	2	3	1	1	2

PRELIMINARY TEST 0, 1969

<u>Strain</u>	<u>Parentage</u>	<u>Generation Composited</u>
1. Merit		
2. Traverse		
3. M61-51	Merit x M55-67	F <sub>5</sub>
4. M61-52	Merit x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>
5. M61-65	Merit x II-55-19	F <sub>5</sub>
6. M61-74	Merit x M55-67	F <sub>5</sub>
7. M61-96	Merit x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>
8. M61-99	Merit x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>
9. M61-105	Merit x Norman	F <sub>5</sub>
10. M62-93	Merit x M406	F <sub>5</sub>
11. M62-101	Merit x M406	F <sub>5</sub>
12. M62-103	Merit x M406	F <sub>5</sub>
13. M62-130	Merit x M406	F <sub>5</sub>

There is usually a positive correlation between yield and maturity in this group, and the fact that the early check, Merit, outyielded the late check, Traverse, makes interpreting the relative strain yields difficult. Four strains stand out in yield performance. M62-93 topped the test, well above both checks, and averaged about a day later than Traverse. Among the mid-group 0 strains, M61-96 showed the best mean performance, outyielding Merit. M61-51 and M61-52 ranked fairly high in yield and were two to three days earlier than Merit.

Table 26. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Preliminary Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering		
							Urbana Illinois	Manhattan Kansas	
							4 wks.	2 wks.	4 wks.
Merit	W	G	Br	D	Y	Bf	1.0	1.0	1.0
Traverse	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	3.5	1.5	3.0
M61-51	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.0	3.8	4.8
M61-52	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	2.0	3.0	4.8
M61-65	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	2.5	4.8	5.0
M61-74	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	3.0	4.8	5.0
M61-96	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	2.0	3.8	3.8
M61-99	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.0	1.0	1.0
M61-105	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.0	1.0	1.0
M62-93	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.0	1.0	1.0
M62-101	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.5	1.0	4.8
M62-103	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.0	1.0	1.0
M62-130	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1.0	3.8	4.2

Table 27. Summary of data, Preliminary Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4
Merit	43.8	4	0	3.1	40	1.9	14.9	41.6	21.3
Traverse	39.9	11	+3.0	3.2	37	2.5	18.1	42.6	20.4
M61-51	42.0	6	-2.8	2.3	38	1.9	15.6	41.2	21.0
M61-52	41.9	7	-2.5	1.5	36	1.9	15.6	41.9	21.2
M61-65	37.7	13	-3.5	3.1	38	2.3	14.2	41.0	21.1
M61-74	40.9	8	-1.0	1.9	38	2.0	19.1	42.7	21.1
M61-96	45.5	2	+0.8	2.3	40	1.6	16.2	41.3	21.8
M61-99	40.9	8	-0.5	2.7	37	1.9	14.3	41.1	21.7
M61-105	40.9	8	0	2.8	40	2.3	17.3	41.4	21.9
M62-93	46.8	1	+4.3	2.7	37	2.9	18.5	40.8	22.1
M62-101	44.0	3	+0.5	2.8	41	2.1	18.9	41.0	21.6
M62-103	43.3	5	+2.5	2.6	36	2.1	20.2	40.7	21.5
M62-130	39.2	12	-0.8	2.5	42	2.5	16.9	42.6	20.6

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Merit which matured September 23, 125 days after planting.

Table 28. Disease data, Preliminary Test 0, 1969.

Strain	<u>BB</u>	<u>BP</u>	<u>BSR</u>	<u>DM</u>	<u>FE<sub>2</sub></u>	<u>PR</u>
	<u>Ames</u>			<u>Wor-</u>		
	<u>Iowa</u>	<u>Ill.</u>	<u>Urbana</u>	<u>thing-</u>	<u>Ind.</u>	<u>Ind.</u>
	n	a	n	ton	a	a
				Ind.		
				n		
Merit	3	4	2	3	5	R
Traverse	3	3	2	2	3	S
M61-51	3	4	2	3	4	R
M61-52	4	4	2	4	5	R
M61-65	3	3	2	-	4	R
M61-74	3	4	2	3	4	R
M61-96	4	4	2	3	5	R
M61-99	4	4	2	3	5	R
M61-105	2	4	2	-	5	R
M62-93	3	4	2	3	5	R
M62-101	3	4	3	3	5	R
M62-103	2	4	2	3	5	R
M62-130	3	4	3	3	5	R

Table 29. Yield and yield rank, Preliminary Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 4 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Spooner	Minnesota St. Paul	North	South	California <sup>1</sup> Davis
		Kempt- ville	Elora	Ridge- town			Dakota Fargo	Dakota Milbank	
Merit	43.8	52.2	39.6	50.5	24.3*	33.0	26.3*	15.9*	31.6*
Traverse	39.9	44.5	37.6	44.7	15.6	32.7	28.1	17.9	26.8
M61-51	42.0	54.4	39.2	41.9	22.6	32.3	25.6	15.5	33.6
M61-52	41.9	45.4	41.3	45.4	16.0	35.3	22.6	17.5	27.3
M61-65	37.7	39.9	37.3	40.6	21.3	33.1	26.3	16.9	28.8
M61-74	40.9	45.2	40.1	44.6	22.1	33.6	27.5	14.8	21.9
M61-96	45.5	49.2	42.4	56.3	26.6	33.9	32.6	19.3	30.1
M61-99	40.9	39.1	43.8	47.9	19.7	32.7	28.2	16.7	33.6
M61-105	40.9	41.4	39.8	46.9	32.7	35.3	33.8	19.0	25.0
M62-93	46.8	53.2	45.1	49.9	24.0	39.1	24.9	20.3	29.0
M62-101	44.0	39.2	47.0	54.0	30.4	35.9	22.5	18.1	28.6
M62-103	43.3	42.4	38.9	52.2	30.7	39.5	24.6	19.2	24.9
M62-130	39.2	40.6	41.3	45.8	26.1	29.1	25.4	17.5	24.0
Coef. of Var. (%)		16.1	10.3	6.4	24.1	10.0	20.1	15.2	--
L.S.D. (5%)		15.0	9.2	6.7	N.S.	7.0	11.7	N.S.	--
Row Spacing (In.)		14	12	24	36	30	40	36	30

Strain	Yield Rank								
	4	3	9	4	6	9	6	11	3
Merit	4	3	9	4	6	9	6	11	3
Traverse	11	7	12	10	13	10	4	6	9
M61-51	6	1	10	12	8	12	8	12	1
M61-52	7	5	5	9	12	4	12	7	8
M61-65	13	11	13	13	10	8	6	9	6
M61-74	8	6	7	11	9	7	5	13	13
M61-96	2	4	4	1	4	6	2	2	4
M61-99	8	13	3	6	11	10	3	10	1
M61-105	8	9	8	7	1	4	1	4	10
M62-93	1	2	2	5	7	2	10	1	5
M62-101	3	12	1	2	3	3	13	5	7
M62-103	5	8	11	3	2	1	11	3	11
M62-130	12	10	5	8	5	13	9	7	12

\* Not included in the mean.  
<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.



Table 30. Maturity dates, Preliminary Test 0, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 4 Tests	Ontario			Wisconsin Spooner	Minnesota St. Paul	North	South	California <sup>1</sup> Davis
		Kempt- ville	Elora	Ridge- town			Dakota Fargo	Dakota Milbank	
Merit	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	*	0
Traverse	+3.0	+4	+3	+3	+10	+2	+2	-1	+1
M61-51	-2.8	-1	0	-6	- 8	-4	-7	-5	0
M61-52	-2.5	-1	-3	0	- 5	-6	-9	-4	+1
M61-65	-3.5	-1	-1	-5	-10	-7	-9	-6	+1
M61-74	-1.0	0	0	0	- 7	-4	-1	-4	0
M61-96	+0.8	+3	0	-1	0	+1	0	-1	-1
M61-99	-0.5	-1	0	-2	- 5	+1	-8	-2	-1
M61-105	0	0	-1	0	- 3	+1	-3	-2	0
M62-93	+4.3	+5	+2	+8	+ 4	+2	0	+3	-1
M62-101	+0.5	0	+1	0	- 3	+1	-1	-4	+1
M62-103	+2.5	+4	+1	+3	+ 2	+2	+1	0	+2
M62-130	-0.8	-2	0	-2	- 5	+1	-3	-4	+1
Flambeau (00)		-8	-2	--	--	-8	-13	--	- 8
Chippewa 64 (I)		--	--	+6	+ 4	+3	+ 5	+1	+10
Date planted	5-21	5-22	5-28	5-26	5-28	5-8	5-9	5-26	6-5
Merit matured	9-23	9-19	10-5	9-27	9-27	9-12	9-23	10-4	9-11
Days to mature	125	120	130	124	122	127	137	131	98

\* Not included in the mean.

<sup>1</sup> Irrigated.

UNIFORM TEST I, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Compositied	Previous Testing (years)
1. Chippewa 64	Chippewa <sup>8</sup> x Blackhawk	29 F <sub>3</sub> lines	7
2. Hark	Hawkeye x Harosoy	F <sub>9</sub>	5
3. Rampage (A2-5405)	Clark x Chippewa	F <sub>7</sub>	4
4. Wirth (A2-5407)	Clark x Chippewa	F <sub>7</sub>	4
5. L65-1342	Wayne <sup>2</sup> x L62-1926	F <sub>3</sub>	P.T. I
6. Anoka (M54-160)	Korean x II-42-37	F <sub>5</sub>	3
7. M59-120	II-54-240 x II-54-139	F <sub>5</sub>	1
8. M59-213	Blackhawk x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>	1
9. M60-222	II-42-4-6 x II-44-46	F <sub>5</sub>	P.T. I
10. M60-266	II-42-4-6 x Pridesoy II	F <sub>5</sub>	P.T. I
11. M60-405	Blackhawk x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>	P.T. I
12. M60-406	Blackhawk x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>	P.T. I
13. M60-411	Blackhawk x Harosoy	F <sub>5</sub>	P.T. I
14. Dunn (W1-4221)	Grant x Chippewa	F <sub>6</sub>	4

The two check varieties, Chippewa and Hark, and the four recently released varieties, Anoka, Dunn, Rampage, and Wirth, have been in this test four years or more and a four-year summary is presented in Tables 39 and 40. Hark and Rampage are on the late side of Group I and have the highest yields, with Rampage averaging a bushel above Hark. They are similar in maturity, lodging, and seed quality and size, but Hark is high in protein and Rampage is high in oil. Rampage has been superior in shattering resistance.

The remaining four varieties are early Group I and very similar in maturity. The three new ones were equal in mean yield, averaging one to two bushels above Chippewa 64. Anoka is larger seeded and higher in oil than the other three. Wirth has Chippewa's good shattering and lodging resistance. The four are similar in most other agronomic traits.

All four of the early experimental strains (M59-213, M60-405, -406, and -411) showed a slight yield advantage over the early varieties, Anoka, Dunn, and Wirth. The four later strains averaged below Hark and Rampage in yield. L65-1342 and M60-266 had higher than average protein contents.

ANOKA

Anoka is an F<sub>4</sub> plant progeny selected by J. W. Lambert in Minnesota. A chronological outline of its origin and development is given below:

1954 - Cross of II-42-37 x Korean made at St. Paul by J. W. Lambert. II-42-37 was a selection of Group I maturity from Lincoln x (Lincoln x Richland).

- 1954-55 - F<sub>1</sub> hybrid grown in greenhouse at St. Paul.
- 1955 - F<sub>2</sub> population grown at St. Paul; individual plant selections made.
- 1956 - F<sub>3</sub> plant rows grown at Rosemount. Selection on row and plant bases.
- 1957 - F<sub>4</sub> plant rows grown at Waseca. Selection on row and plant bases.
- 1958 - Duplicate F<sub>5</sub> plant rows grown at Rosemount and Morris. Whole rows selected and bulked. Row 3373 (both locations) designated II-54-160.
- 1959 - II-54-160 tested in replicated 10-foot, single-row plots at Waseca.
- 1960 - II-54-160 tested in replicated rod rows at St. Paul and Waseca.
- 1961 - II-54-160 tested in replicated "combine" plots at Waseca and in replicated rod rows at Lamberton. Thirty individual plants selected.
- 1962 - II-54-160 tested in replicated rod rows at Waseca, Lamberton, and Blue Earth. Twenty-five uniform plant progeny rows grown at Rosemount and seed bulked for purified seed source.
- 1963 - II-54-160 tested as in 1962. Purified seed increased for use in larger plots.
- 1964 - II-54-160 tested in "combine" plots at Waseca and Lamberton and in rod rows at Blue Earth.
- 1965 - Designated M54-160 and entered in Uniform Preliminary Test I. Also tested in "combine" plots at Waseca and Lamberton.
- 1966 and 1967 - M54-160 in Uniform Test I in "combine" tests at Waseca and Lamberton, and in rod rows at Blue Earth and Big Lake.
- 1967-68 - Four pounds of breeder's seed increased to 83 pounds in Chile to supplement supply on hand.
- 1968 - M54-160 in Uniform Test I and in same Minnesota locations as in 1966 and 1967. Seed supply increased to 87 bushels by the Foundation Seed branch of the Minnesota Crop Improvement Association. Seed shared with Michigan, North Dakota, and South Dakota.
- 1969 - M54-160 tested as in three previous years. Seed increased by MCIA and other three states.
- 1970 - M54-160 named "Anoka" and released to registered and certified seed growers in four states.

WIRTH AND RAMPAGE

Wirth and Rampage were developed concurrently by the United States Department of Agriculture - Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station soybean breeding project. The cross and selection were made by C. R. Weber. An outline of the origin follows:

- 1955 - Cross AX119 (Clark x Chippewa) was made in the field at Ames, Iowa.
- 1956 - F<sub>1</sub>'s were grown in the field at Ames.
- 1957 - 220 seed F<sub>2</sub> bulk was grown in the field at Ames.
- 1958 - 220 seed F<sub>3</sub> bulk was grown in the field at Ames. At maturity, 24 early (Chippewa), 19 midseason (Hawkeye) and 14 late (Clark) maturing plants were selected.
- 1959 - A F<sub>4</sub> progeny row was grown at Ames for each selected F<sub>3</sub> plant. Five early, six midseason, and five late maturing rows were selected.
- 1960 - F<sub>3</sub>-derived lines in F<sub>5</sub> were evaluated in a preliminary yield test. Early lines were grown at Kanawha, Iowa, and midseason and late lines at Ames. The one midseason line selected (A9-619) on the basis of yield performance was the progenitor of Wirth and Rampage.
- 1961 - The F<sub>3</sub>-derived line in F<sub>6</sub> (A9-619) was grown in the Early Elite Test at Sutherland, Kanawha, and Independence, Iowa. Five F<sub>6</sub> plants were selected.
- 1962 - A F<sub>7</sub> progeny row of each F<sub>6</sub>-derived line was grown at Ames. Three rows were selected. In the same year, A9-619 (F<sub>3</sub>-derived line in F<sub>7</sub>) was grown in the Early Elite Test at Kanawha and Independence, Iowa.
- 1963 - The three selected rows from A9-619 (A9-619-2 as A2-5405, A9-619-3 as A2-5406, and A9-619-4 as A2-5407) were grown as F<sub>6</sub>-derived lines in F<sub>8</sub> in the Early Elite Test at Sutherland, Kanawha, and Ames.
- 1964 - A2-5407 (Wirth) and A2-5405 (Rampage) were evaluated in Uniform Preliminary Test I as F<sub>6</sub>-derived lines in F<sub>9</sub>.
- 1965-69 - A2-5407 and A2-5405 were evaluated in Uniform Test I.
- 1966 - For purification of each line, 118 single F<sub>11</sub> plants of A2-5407 and 108 plants of A2-5405 were selected at Ames. The two lines were approved for increase by the Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station.
- 1967 - Pedigree rows of both lines were grown at Kanawha, Iowa. Each F<sub>11</sub> plant was grown in a 16-foot row. Off-type rows were discarded and the remainder threshed in bulk. Subsequent production of A2-5405 (Rampage) revealed approximately 2.5% off-type seeds with gray hila. Evaluation of these off-type seed in 1969 indicated that a number of pedigree rows must have been segregating for hilum color, but went undetected because only one plant per pedigree row was checked for hilum color in 1967.

- 1968 - Wirth and Rampage were approved for release by the USDA and Iowa A.E.S. Pedigree seed of Wirth was increased by the Committee for Agricultural Development at Ames, Iowa. Pedigree seed of Rampage was distributed to participating states.
- 1969 - Breeder seed of Wirth was distributed to participating states. Rampage was increased by the states which obtained seed in 1968. Publicity released for the varieties was July 10, 1969.

Seed distribution and increase of Wirth and Rampage

State	Wirth			Rampage			
	For planting 1969 (bu.)	Planted 1969 (acres)	Produced 1969 (bu.)	For planting 1969 (lbs)	Production 1968 (bu.)	Planted 1969 (acres)	Production 1969 (bu.)
Iowa	80	80	1598	8	7	18	615
Illinois				33	20	40	2000 est.
Indiana				22	26	63	1556
Michigan	5	5	200 est.				
Minnesota	50	82	2603	51	42	50	2135
Ohio	35	32	791	16	1	0	0
S. Dakota	40	56	1150	25	16	19	600
Wisconsin				20	10	8	357

DUNN

Dunn is the progeny of an F<sub>6</sub> plant, developed in Wisconsin by J. H. Torrie. A history of its development is given below:

- 1954 - Cross between Grant x Chippewa made at Madison by J. H. Torrie.
- 1955 - F<sub>1</sub> hybrid grown in field at Madison.
- 1956-60 - F<sub>2</sub>-F<sub>6</sub> grown as bulk populations at Madison. Individual F<sub>6</sub> plants selected from bulk population in 1960.
- 1961 - F<sub>7</sub> grown in plant rows and bulked on a row basis. Row 4221 was designated W1-4221.
- 1962-63 - F<sub>8</sub> and F<sub>9</sub> preliminary tests in two replicates at Madison.
- 1964 - F<sub>10</sub> tested in Uniform Preliminary Test I.
- 1965 - Tested in Uniform Test I.



1966-69 - Tested in Uniform Test I and at 12 locations in Wisconsin. Increased in 1968 with 10 bushels allocated to Wisconsin and one bushel each to Minnesota and South Dakota. Increased to 370 bushels in Wisconsin in 1969.

1970 - Named Dunn and released to certified seed growers.

Table 31. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering			Minn. Iron Chlor- osis	Hypo- cotyl Length mm
							Urbana Ill.	Manhattan Kans.			
							4 wks.	2 wks.	4 wks.		
Chippewa 64	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	1	1	2	180
Hark	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1	1	4.6	4.5	177
Rampage	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	1	1	2.5	178
Wirth	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	1	1	3	204
L65-1342	W	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	3.0	3	5	177
Anoka	P	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	3.8	4.6	5	180
M59-120	W	T	Br	D	Y	Br	1	1	1	1	152
M59-213	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	2	1	1	2.5	158
M60-222	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1	1	1	2	214
M60-266	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	1.5	1	1	4	213
M60-405	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	1	3.8	4.2	1.5	175
M60-406	W	G	Br	S	Y	Y	1.5	1	1	1.5	174
M60-411	W	G	Br	D	Y	Y	4	1.5	4.2	2.5	156
Dunn	P	Lt	Br	S	Y	B1	2	3.8	4.2	2.5	190

Table 32. Summary of data, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Maturity <sup>1</sup>	Lodging	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	16	16	14	15	16	14	13	10	10
Chippewa 64	37.2	14	0	1.7	37	1.9	15.4	41.9	21.6
Hark	43.2	1	+4.8	2.0	39	1.6	16.7	42.5	21.7
Rampage	43.0	2	+3.1	2.0	36	1.9	17.0	41.7	21.9
Wirth	39.5	11	-0.6	1.6	35	1.8	15.9	42.3	21.7
L65-1342	42.6	4	+3.9	2.3	38	1.8	18.4	43.3	21.6
Anoka	39.5	11	+0.9	2.2	34	1.8	19.0	41.2	23.4
M59-120	42.7	3	+5.7	2.8	38	2.1	17.8	40.8	22.3
M59-213	42.0	5	+1.0	2.1	38	1.7	16.9	41.1	21.6
M60-222	41.5	6	+4.6	2.5	39	1.5	16.0	40.6	22.3
M60-266	39.8	10	+4.6	2.0	35	1.7	18.1	43.6	21.6
M60-405	41.1	7	+1.1	2.2	37	1.7	15.9	41.7	22.5
M60-406	40.6	9	+0.6	1.9	36	1.8	16.3	41.2	22.4
M60-411	40.9	8	+1.5	2.0	39	1.6	17.6	42.7	22.1
Dunn	39.3	13	+0.8	2.2	36	1.9	16.6	42.3	21.8

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Chippewa 64 which matured September 20, 117 days after planting.

Table 33. Disease data, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	BB		BP		BSR		DM		FE <sub>2</sub>	PR	SMV		
	Ames		Ill.	Iowa	Urbana	Kanawha	Worth-	Urbana			Ind.	Ind.	Iowa
	n	a							n	n			n
			Iowa	Iowa									
Chippewa 64	3	3	4	4	3	22	88	4	4.0	4	R	50	30
Hark	3	3.5	4	3.5	3	22	95	4	3.7	5	S	30	50
Rampage	3	4	4	4.5	2	20	100	4	4.0	5	S	80	25
Wirth	3	3	4	3.5	3	21	90	5	4.2	5	S	75	75
L65-1342	4	2.5	1	1	3	27	95	4	4.2	3	S	85	45
Anoka	2	2	4	4.5	2	18	68	3	1.0	5	S	80	15
M59-120	3	2	5	4.5	2	24	93	2	2.5	5	S	20	15
M59-213	3	4	4	4	3	20	73	3	4.7	5	R	15	20
M60-222	3	4.5	4	4.5	2	19	75	4	3.0	4	S	25	20
M60-266	4	4	4	4.5	3	22	98	5	3.3	5	S	90	40
M60-405	3	3	4	4	2	19	60	3	3.8	5	R	20	10
M60-406	3	3	4	4.5	3	20	65	3	3.3	5	H	100	25
M60-411	3	3.5	4	4.5	2	22	85	4	3.3	4	H	35	70
Dunn	3	4	4	5	2	22	80	3	3.2	5	S	100	20

1 Mean height of browning in diseased stems.

2 Percent of plants with browning.

3,4 Percent of plants infected, measured serologically (3) and by transmission to beans (4).



Table 34. Yield and yield rank, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 16 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Mich.	Indiana		Wis.	Ill.
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	Dun- dee	Knox	Lafa- yette	Madi- son	De- Kalb
Chippewa 64	37.2	39.5	29.8	21.5	32.8	43.0	34.1	35.3	49.9	43.1	37.1
Hark	43.2	48.0	39.3	22.6	34.5	45.7	36.1	45.0	56.2	42.2	42.7
Rampage	43.0	46.2	35.2	26.1	38.2	44.2	36.1	44.3	55.8	47.4	40.5
Wirth	39.5	40.7	32.3	22.5	35.2	42.2	41.6	37.4	52.0	46.4	39.8
L65-1342	42.6	49.2	34.9	27.5	39.3	50.9	38.9	43.2	55.6	44.6	45.4
Anoka	39.5	44.0	35.0	23.9	35.7	45.4	36.3	36.3	45.8	43.8	43.3
M59-120	42.7	53.3	39.8	21.3	42.8	55.2	37.3	43.7	50.1	46.6	43.0
M59-213	42.0	52.6	34.2	23.1	31.2	39.1	29.9	40.4	56.2	45.2	39.6
M60-222	41.5	47.3	38.4	23.9	43.3	53.9	38.5	37.5	52.6	44.6	42.8
M60-266	39.8	43.8	33.6	25.6	37.5	35.6	34.1	39.3	50.2	45.1	42.5
M60-405	41.1	46.1	32.1	23.3	34.9	53.9	39.5	41.7	51.5	43.4	37.4
M60-406	40.6	53.1	31.0	24.3	27.1	41.9	39.2	43.0	51.8	44.3	38.4
M60-411	40.9	49.6	33.8	25.7	32.5	52.7	42.3	45.6	50.6	42.0	41.3
Dunn	39.3	44.1	31.1	24.8	35.0	35.8	35.0	32.4	47.6	43.6	40.9
C.V. (%)		8.8	8.5	--	--	--	29.0	10.0	7.7	7.1	5.2
L.S.D. (5%)		5.9	4.2	--	--	--	13.5	5.8	5.6	N.S.	3.6
Row Sp. (In.)		24	40	32	32	28	28	38	38	36	30

Yield Rank											
Chippewa 64	14	14	14	13	11	9	12	13	12	12	14
Hark	1	6	2	11	10	6	9	2	1	13	5
Rampage	2	8	4	2	4	8	9	3	3	1	9
Wirth	11	13	10	12	7	10	2	11	6	3	10
L65-1342	4	5	6	1	3	5	5	5	4	6	1
Anoka	11	11	5	7	6	7	8	12	14	9	2
M59-120	3	1	1	14	2	1	7	4	11	2	3
M59-213	5	3	7	10	13	12	14	8	1	4	11
M60-222	6	7	3	7	1	2	6	10	5	6	4
M60-266	10	12	9	4	5	14	12	9	10	5	6
M60-405	7	9	11	9	9	2	3	7	8	11	13
M60-406	9	2	13	6	14	11	4	6	7	8	12
M60-411	8	4	8	3	12	4	1	1	9	14	7
Dunn	13	10	12	5	8	13	11	14	13	10	8

\* Not included in the mean.  
 † Irrigated.

Table 34. (Continued)

Strain	Minnesota												Iowa		Mo.		S. Dakota		Nebr.	Calif.
	Minn.		Iowa			Iowa		Iowa		Mo.		S. Dakota		Nebr.	Calif.					
	St. Paul	Or-	St. Paul	Wya	Wya	St. Paul	Wya	St. Paul	Wya	St. Paul	Wya	St. Paul	Wya	St. Paul	Wya					
Chippewa 61	40.3	39.1	20.1	40.1	36.1	37.1	36.1	36.9	17.3	37.6	33.8	23.3								
Hark	47.3	40.1	18.0	40.0	43.0	40.5	41.1	41.2	16.1	39.1	44.9	20.6								
Rampage	44.9	43.1	21.1	40.0	41.1	40.0	42.1	37.5	14.0	40.6	46.0	18.8								
Wirth	39.0	41.1	22.0	40.5	40.2	39.1	39.1	40.8	13.5	39.9	36.3	11.5								
I65-1342	46.9	43.3	21.1	40.3	40.0	40.1	41.6	39.1	20.5	40.0	43.6	16.2								
Anoka	41.1	41.1	21.0	41.2	37.6	38.1	39.1	38.2	18.6	39.2	36.8	23.8								
M59-120	42.5	41.3	19.3	41.9	42.2	41.2	41.1	38.3	14.6	41.6	40.5	21.2								
M59-213	40.2	43.0	23.1	41.1	38.2	42.8	36.9	42.2	19.9	42.1	41.1	24.9								
M60-222	42.6	41.0	20.1	43.1	39.5	41.1	36.6	43.1	14.1	42.5	41.0	13.1								
M60-266	43.9	41.2	21.2	42.9	37.3	41.0	38.2	39.0	14.2	41.5	35.1	17.0								
M60-405	43.9	41.5	24.9	41.8	37.1	41.0	39.9	41.5	15.6	39.5	41.0	16.8								
M60-406	42.1	41.1	24.1	43.1	35.1	41.5	40.3	38.9	17.1	40.8	36.8	19.1								
M60-411	41.3	41.9	25.1	42.3	37.0	40.1	35.1	43.2	16.6	42.0	39.3	19.1								
Dunn	40.5	42.0	21.1	43.9	40.6	39.1	39.1	41.1	15.0	36.1	38.1	16.3								
C.T. (5%)	5.1	4.1	9.1	11.6	9.9	5.1	7.2	7.5	24.5	9.6	7.1	-								
L.S.D. (5%)	3.9	2.9	2.9	9.1	5.5	3.0	4.1	4.3	18.6	5.6	4.1	-								
Row Sp. (In.)	38	30	30	30	30	40	40	15	36	30	30	30								

Yield Rank

Chippewa 61	13	11	12	13	13	11	13	11	4	14	14	3
Hark	3	1	11	8	2	1	1	1	7	10	2	5
Rampage	4	4	7	1	1	9	2	13	13	7	1	8
Wirth	11	9	5	12	6	11	7	7	14	9	12	9
I65-1342	2	5	7	6	1	1	3	8	1	8	4	13
Anoka	6	13	10	7	10	13	7	12	3	12	11	2
M59-120	10	2	13	2	3	2	4	11	10	4	7	4
M59-213	1	6	1	9	8	3	11	1	2	2	5	1
M60-222	9	3	11	5	7	6	12	2	11	1	6	14
M60-266	7	12	9	11	11	7	10	9	12	5	13	10
M60-405	7	10	3	3	9	7	6	5	8	11	3	11
M60-406	11	11	11	10	11	5	5	10	5	6	10	7
M60-411	5	8	2	11	12	10	14	3	6	3	8	6
Dunn	12	7	6	4	5	12	9	6	9	13	9	12

Table 35. Maturity dates, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 14 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Mich.	Indiana		Wisc.	Ill.
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	Dun- dee	Knox	Lafa- yette	Madi- son	De- Kalb
Chippewa 64	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	0
Hark	+4.8	+4	+8	+6	+ 4	0	-1	+3	+ 4	+5	+5
Rampage	+3.1	+1	+7	+6	+ 4	+ 2	-1	0	+ 3	+4	+1
Wirth	-0.6	0	0	0	+ 1	+ 1	0	+1	- 2	-1	-1
L65-1342	+3.9	+2	+6	+1	+10	+ 3	+5	+5	+ 6	+5	+4
Anoka	+0.9	+3	0	0	+ 1	0	-1	+4	+ 1	+2	-1
M59-120	+5.7	+1	+9	+8	+11	+ 6	0	+4	+10	+8	+8
M59-213	+1.0	+1	0	-2	+ 3	+ 5	-1	+2	+ 1	+5	-1
M60-222	+4.6	+6	+8	+5	+ 8	+ 6	-1	+3	+ 4	+8	+3
M60-266	+4.6	+4	+8	+4	+12	+ 8	-1	+6	+ 8	+3	+6
M60-405	+1.1	+2	+2	-1	+ 3	+ 1	-2	+3	+ 2	+3	-1
M60-406	+0.6	+1	0	0	+ 4	+ 4	-2	+4	+ 1	+2	-1
M60-411	+1.5	+2	+1	0	+ 4	+ 4	-1	+4	+ 4	+3	0
Dunn	+0.8	+1	0	-1	+ 1	- 1	-2	+4	+ 2	-1	-1
Traverse (0)		-3	--	-9	--	--	--	--	--	-3	-2
Corsoy (II)		+9	+8	+8	+12	+11	+2	+4	+12	+7	+7
Date planted	5-26	5-26	6-10	6-4	5-16	5-24	5-19	6-6	5-26	5-20	5-23
Chip. 64 mat.	9-20	10-3	9-16	9-20	9-16	9-10	9-27	9-21	9-12	9-18	9-15
Days to mature	117	130	98	108	113	109	131	107	109	121	115

\* Not included in the mean.

1 Irrigated.

Table 35. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois		Minnesota			Iowa		Mo.	S. Dakota		Nebr.	Calif.
	Pon- tiac	Ur- bana	St. Paul	Lam- ton	Wa- seca	er- land	Kana- wha	Spic- kard	Mil- bank	Brook- ings	Con- cord	Davis
Chippewa 64	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0
Hark	+2	+7	+8	+4	+6		+4	0	+4	+3	+1	
Rampage	+1	+5	+3	+5	+6		+3	0	+2	+2	+2	
Wirth	0	+1	0	-2	+2		-2	-2	-1	-3	+3	
L65-1342	+1	+4	+2	+5	+6		+4	+2	+3	+1	+7	
Anoka	-2	+2	0	+2	+4		-1	-1	0	-1	+3	
M59-120	+3	+6	+7	+5	+6		+5	+4	+5	+3	+4	
M59-213	0	0	+3	+3	+2		-2	0	+2	-2	0	
M60-222	+1	+4	+3	+5	+6		+4	+1	+6	+3	+3	
M60-266	+2	+5	+2	+3	+7		+4	+2	+5	+2	0	
M60-405	-1	+1	+1	0	+4		-3	0	+2	0	+1	
M60-406	-1	-1	+1	-1	+4		-2	+3	+2	-1	+4	
M60-411	0	+1	+1	0	+2		-2	+2	+3	+2	+3	
Dunn	0	0	+1	-1	+3		-1	-2	+3	+1	+3	
Traverse (0)	-2	-3	-1	-1	-5		--	0	--	--	-8	
Corsoy (II)	+6	+10	--	+9	+6		+10	--	+3	+4	+10	
Date planted	5-26	5-16	5-8	5-26	5-30	5-27	5-28	5-28	5-26	5-22	6-3	6-5
Chip. 64 mat.	9-10	9-2	9-15	9-21	9-26	--	9-21	--	10-5	10-13	9-24	9-18
Days to mature	107	109	130	118	119	--	116	--	132	144	113	105

Table 36. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 15 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Mich.	Indiana		Wisc.	Ill.
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	Dun- dee	Knox	Lafa- yette	Madi- son	De- Kalb
Chippewa 64	1.7	1.5	1.0	*	*	*	*	1.0	2.1	2.1	1.0
Hark	2.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.9	2.5	1.0
Rampage	2.0	1.8	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.4	2.3	2.5	1.0
Wirth	1.6	1.9	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.6	2.0	1.0
L65-1342	2.3	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	1.9	2.1	3.0	1.7
Anoka	2.2	2.3	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.1	3.0	2.8	1.0
M59-120	2.8	2.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	2.4	4.0	2.9	2.0
M59-213	2.1	2.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.3	2.0	3.8	1.3
M60-222	2.5	3.4	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.9	2.5	3.5	2.0
M60-266	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.4	2.4	2.6	1.0
M60-405	2.2	2.5	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.6	2.3	3.4	1.3
M60-406	1.9	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.6	2.3	3.0	1.3
M60-411	2.0	2.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.3	2.8	3.1	1.3
Dunn	2.2	2.0	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.4	2.4	3.0	1.7

Strain	Mean of 16 Tests	Plant Height									
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	Mich. Dun- dee	Knox	Lafa- yette	Wisc. Madi- son	Ill. De- Kalb
Chippewa 64	37	39	29	*	*	*	*	38	42	39	35
Hark	39	43	34	29	26	35	37	41	46	40	38
Rampage	36	41	28	27	20	34	35	37	40	38	36
Wirth	35	36	28	27	26	34	35	37	39	38	35
L65-1342	38	44	30	28	28	34	37	39	45	40	37
Anoka	34	36	26	27	25	33	33	35	37	37	33
M59-120	38	43	30	28	27	37	36	41	42	39	37
M59-213	38	43	30	28	27	37	39	40	47	41	37
M60-222	39	45	30	26	26	37	37	39	46	41	37
M60-266	35	39	26	27	25	32	35	38	40	37	33
M60-405	37	43	29	27	27	37	35	40	43	38	34
M60-406	36	43	29	27	27	37	36	39	43	38	34
M60-411	39	45	31	28	26	34	36	42	47	39	36
Dunn	36	41	27	26	25	32	33	36	39	37	35

\* Not included in the mean.

1 Irrigated.

Table 36. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois		Minnesota			Iowa		Mo. Spic- kard	S. Dakota		Nebr. Con- cord	Calif. Davis <sup>1</sup>
	Pon- tiac	Ur- bana	St. Paul	Lam- ton	Wa- seca	Suth- er- land	Kana- wha		Mil- bank	Brook- ings		
Chippewa 64	2.0	1.2	2.8	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.4	1.1			1.0	1.0
Hark	2.3	1.1	3.0	2.5	2.2	2.7	2.4	1.1			1.2	2.0
Rampage	2.0	1.3	3.5	3.0	2.1	2.3	2.4	1.1			1.5	1.0
Wirth	1.7	1.2	3.0	1.2	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.0			1.0	1.0
L65-1342	2.3	1.4	4.0	3.5	2.9	3.0	2.8	1.2			1.8	2.0
Anoka	2.0	1.5	4.0	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.6	1.0			1.2	1.0
M59-120	3.7	1.4	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.6	1.6			2.5	2.0
M59-213	2.3	1.3	3.8	2.8	2.2	2.6	2.4	1.0			1.0	1.0
M60-222	3.0	1.4	3.8	3.0	2.6	2.9	2.9	1.5			1.5	1.0
M60-266	2.0	1.4	3.0	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.4	1.0			1.5	2.0
M60-405	2.1	1.2	3.8	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.8	1.0			1.0	2.0
M60-406	2.0	1.2	3.8	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.2	1.0			1.0	2.0
M60-411	2.0	1.2	3.2	2.5	2.1	2.8	2.2	1.0			1.0	2.0
Dunn	2.3	1.5	4.2	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.7	1.2			1.2	2.0

Plant Height

Strain	Plant Height											
	39	32	42	38	34	38	39	35	*	29	35	32
Chippewa 64	39	32	42	38	34	38	39	35	29	35	32	41
Hark	43	34	42	40	34	45	41	36	31	37	33	42
Rampage	38	31	41	36	32	40	38	33	26	35	31	40
Wirth	38	30	41	35	33	37	40	33	26	35	31	41
L65-1342	40	34	40	38	35	40	40	34	29	36	34	41
Anoka	36	30	40	35	29	37	37	31	29	32	31	40
M59-120	45	33	44	39	33	41	40	36	29	37	34	43
M59-213	42	32	44	37	34	42	40	34	31	37	35	40
M60-222	41	33	43	40	34	42	42	34	28	37	32	43
M60-266	38	30	41	36	30	36	39	32	26	32	30	43
M60-405	40	31	41	41	34	38	39	34	28	35	32	42
M60-406	41	29	42	36	31	38	40	32	31	35	31	41
M60-411	43	32	44	39	36	42	41	36	33	39	36	44
Dunn	37	30	40	38	32	39	38	34	27	37	32	45



Table 37. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 14 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Mich.	Indiana		Wisc.	Ill.
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	Dun- dee	Knox	Lafa- yette	Madi- son	De- Kalb
Chippewa 64	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0
Hark	1.6	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.0
Rampage	1.9	3.0	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Wirth	1.8	2.0	1.5	1.2	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.3
L65-1342	1.8	2.0	1.2	1.7	2.2	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0
Anoka	1.8	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.3
M59-120	2.1	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
M59-213	1.7	2.0	1.2	1.7	1.2	2.2	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0
M60-222	1.5	3.0	1.2	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0
M60-266	1.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.2	3.0	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.7
M60-405	1.7	2.0	1.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.0
M60-406	1.8	3.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.3
M60-411	1.6	3.0	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.3
Dunn	1.9	3.0	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0

  

Strain	Mean of 13 Tests	Seed Weight									
				*	*	*	*				
Chippewa 64	15.4	18.8	14.3	15.7	16.8	17.7	16.9	14.7	17.8		14.0
Hark	16.7	21.8	15.0	15.6	17.4	17.3	17.9	16.1	19.8		14.6
Rampage	17.0	20.8	15.7	16.7	18.9	19.1	18.7	16.5	19.9		14.7
Wirth	15.9	19.0	14.8	17.0	17.4	18.0	17.2	15.3	18.6		14.8
L65-1342	18.4	21.5	16.8	17.9	19.3	20.2	20.5	18.9	21.5		16.7
Anoka	19.0	22.5	16.8	15.6	21.2	20.9	20.1	19.4	21.6		17.3
M59-120	17.8	21.1	17.0	15.0	19.2	19.4	18.5	18.1	21.0		16.0
M59-213	16.9	21.3	14.3	17.2	17.3	20.4	18.3	15.8	20.1		14.5
M60-222	16.0	20.6	15.0	15.2	18.0	18.2	18.2	15.4	18.9		14.1
M60-266	18.1	20.5	16.6	17.1	19.8	19.7	18.8	18.2	20.2		17.3
M60-405	15.9	18.9	14.2	15.4	16.7	17.6	18.3	14.9	18.4		14.1
M60-406	16.3	19.7	14.1	16.1	16.6	18.0	18.1	16.0	18.7		14.3
M60-411	17.6	22.5	14.6	16.7	17.7	20.1	19.5	17.0	21.5		16.8
Dunn	16.6	18.4	15.3	15.9	17.8	17.8	18.9	16.1	17.1		16.2

\* Not included in the mean.  
 † Irrigated.





Table 38. Percentages of protein and oil, Uniform Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 10 Tests	Ontario Ridge- town	Ohio Colum- bus	Michigan Dundee	Indiana Knox	Wisconsin Madison
Chippewa 64	41.9	44.4	42.5	41.9	42.9	40.6
Hark	42.5	46.4	43.4	43.8	42.9	42.7
Rampage	41.7	44.8	42.2	42.7	42.4	40.6
Wirth	42.3	45.7	42.4	41.7	42.1	40.7
L65-1342	43.3	46.3	43.4	44.3	44.4	42.6
Anoka	41.2	44.3	40.7	42.5	42.3	41.4
M59-120	40.8	42.0	40.3	41.9	41.6	44.0
M59-213	41.1	43.8	41.5	41.8	42.9	40.8
M60-222	40.6	43.3	41.5	43.1	42.6	39.2
M60-266	43.6	45.0	42.9	43.1	44.9	44.0
M60-405	41.7	43.5	40.9	42.4	43.2	40.9
M60-406	41.2	43.1	41.0	41.5	43.4	40.4
M60-411	42.7	45.7	43.2	43.4	43.0	42.5
Dunn	42.3	44.7	42.4	42.1	43.2	41.0

Strain	Mean of 10 Tests	Percentage of oil				
		Ontario Ridge- town	Ohio Colum- bus	Michigan Dundee	Indiana Knox	Wisconsin Madison
Chippewa 64	21.6	19.9	21.6	21.8	21.3	21.8
Hark	21.7	19.3	21.9	21.3	21.9	22.5
Rampage	21.9	20.0	21.9	22.3	22.2	21.3
Wirth	21.7	20.9	21.6	22.1	21.9	21.5
L65-1342	21.6	20.3	21.3	21.8	21.3	21.4
Anoka	23.4	22.0	23.9	23.6	23.2	21.0
M59-120	22.3	21.2	22.2	22.7	22.2	21.0
M59-213	21.6	20.3	22.0	21.8	21.6	20.4
M60-222	22.3	20.3	23.1	21.8	22.2	20.4
M60-266	21.6	20.6	21.3	22.0	21.6	21.1
M60-405	22.5	20.7	23.0	22.4	21.9	21.7
M60-406	22.4	21.1	22.1	22.3	21.9	23.4
M60-411	22.1	20.3	21.7	21.8	21.9	24.1
Dunn	21.8	20.4	21.5	21.8	21.1	21.1

\* Not included in the mean.

Table 38. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois		Minnesota Waseca	Iowa Kana- wha	Missouri Spickard	S. Dakota Brookings	Nebraska Concord
	De- Kalb	Ur- bana					
Chippewa 64	42.3	41.9	40.3	42.0	41.4	42.1	40.6
Hark	41.8	42.0	41.3	43.0	40.0	43.5	41.2
Rampage	41.0	41.6	40.4	42.4	41.3	42.1	40.4
Wirth	42.8	41.4	40.5	43.4	41.7	43.2	41.8
L65-1342	42.6	42.8	42.2	44.0	42.1	43.6	42.0
Anoka	41.2	40.0	39.8	40.9	40.3	41.7	40.2
M59-120	40.5	40.1	39.0	40.6	40.0	41.1	39.4
M59-213	40.9	40.4	38.1	41.5	40.7	41.5	40.2
M60-222	41.2	40.5	36.0	41.1	40.7	41.5	40.3
M60-266	43.7	43.3	41.9	44.1	43.4	43.7	42.3
M60-405	41.2	43.6	39.5	42.1	40.7	41.8	40.2
M60-406	41.0	40.4	41.1	41.5	40.4	41.3	39.7
M60-411	43.3	39.6	41.0	43.9	41.5	43.7	42.4
Dunn	43.4	40.8	41.3	43.4	41.9	42.3	40.7

Percentage of Oil

Strain	Illinois		Minnesota Waseca	Iowa Kana- wha	Missouri Spickard	S. Dakota Brookings	Nebraska Concord
	De- Kalb	Ur- bana					
Chippewa 64	21.7	23.0	21.4	21.3	23.7	20.7	21.4
Hark	22.3	22.9	21.1	21.3	23.5	19.8	22.5
Rampage	22.0	23.6	21.8	21.2	23.8	20.8	22.3
Wirth	21.7	23.3	20.8	21.1	23.3	20.0	22.1
L65-1342	21.4	23.2	21.4	20.7	23.6	20.3	22.0
Anoka	24.1	25.4	23.0	23.3	25.7	22.2	23.8
M59-120	22.6	24.5	22.4	21.8	24.1	20.3	23.1
M59-213	20.0	23.9	21.6	21.4	23.8	20.2	22.7
M60-222	22.9	24.5	22.2	21.9	24.3	21.4	22.4
M60-266	22.0	23.2	21.4	20.5	23.3	20.3	21.9
M60-405	22.9	24.2	22.4	21.9	24.3	21.4	23.1
M60-406	22.1	24.8	21.4	21.6	24.2	20.8	22.9
M60-411	21.7	23.9	21.7	20.7	23.6	20.8	22.3
Dunn	21.7	24.1	22.9	21.2	23.2	20.4	22.3

Table 39. Four-year summary of data, Uniform Test I, 1966-1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Maturity <sup>1</sup>	Lodging	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	80	80	71	62	77	64	60	40	40
Chippewa 64	35.7	6	0	1.6	33	1.9	15.9	41.2	20.8
Hark	39.2	2	+4.4	1.6	35	1.7	16.6	42.0	20.7
Rampage	40.3	1	+4.1	1.8	33	1.8	17.3	41.0	21.2
Wirth	37.2	4	+0.3	1.6	33	1.8	16.3	41.6	20.9
Anoka	37.1	5	+0.6	2.1	30	1.9	19.4	40.2	22.6
Dunn	37.3	3	+0.1	2.0	32	1.8	17.0	41.5	20.8

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Chippewa 64 which matured September 18, 116 days after planting.

Table 40. Four-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test I, 1966-1969.

Strain	Mean of 80 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Michigan		Indiana	
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	East Lan- sing	Dun- dee	Knox	Lafa- yette
Years Tested		1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1968	1966- 1969	1967- 1969	1966- 1969
Chippewa 64	35.7	49.3	32.1	28.3	22.2	26.0	37.5	37.3	32.9	42.6
Hark	39.2	54.5	38.1	30.0	21.8	25.1	39.5	42.6	37.9	46.5
Rampage	40.3	54.7	38.4	32.8	26.1	29.9	41.6	41.7	38.2	48.0
Wirth	37.2	49.3	34.6	29.3	23.8	23.4	39.0	40.0	33.3	43.8
Anoka	37.1	50.9	33.6	28.6	24.0	25.7	40.3	40.1	32.8	40.4
Dunn	37.3	54.5	35.1	30.1	22.9	20.7	40.8	39.6	33.2	43.7

	Yield Rank									
Chippewa 64	6	5	6	6	5	2	6	6	5	5
Hark	2	2	2	3	6	4	4	1	2	2
Rampage	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
Wirth	4	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	3	3
Anoka	5	4	5	5	2	3	3	3	6	6
Dunn	3	3	3	2	4	6	2	5	4	4

1 Reville, 1967-68.

2 Irrigated.

Table 40. (Continued).

Strain	Wisconsin		Illinois			Minnesota		
	Du- rand	Madi- son	De- Kalb	Pon- tiac	Ur- bana	St. Paul	Lam- ber- ton	Wa- seca
Years Tested	1966- 1968	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966, 1968-69	1966- 1969	1966- 1969
Chippewa 64	22.8	39.7	43.4	38.4	39.4	31.6	35.0	37.8
Hark	24.5	41.6	46.7	40.6	44.5	30.6	38.2	40.3
Rampage	25.7	45.6	45.8	42.6	43.9	36.6	42.1	43.3
Wirth	24.6	43.2	44.7	38.6	41.3	36.5	34.8	40.8
Anoka	24.4	42.7	45.3	38.1	41.0	35.6	36.4	40.1
Dunn	22.8	44.1	44.8	38.3	41.1	35.5	37.2	40.2

	Yield Rank							
	5	6	6	4	6	5	5	6
Chippewa 64	5	6	6	4	6	5	5	6
Hark	3	5	1	2	1	6	2	3
Rampage	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1
Wirth	2	3	5	3	3	2	6	2
Anoka	4	4	3	6	5	3	4	5
Dunn	5	2	4	5	4	4	3	4

Table 40. (Continued).

Strain	Iowa		Mo. Spic- kard	South Dakota		Nebr. Con- cord <sup>2</sup>	Calif. Davis <sup>2</sup>
	Suth- er- land	Kana- wha		Mil- bank <sup>1</sup>	Brook- ings		
Years Tested	1967- 1969	1966-67, 1969	1968- 1969	1967- 1969	1966- 1969	1966- 1969	1966, 1968-69
Chippewa 64	29.4	33.9	40.5	26.7	28.5	38.5	17.7
Hark	33.7	39.5	45.9	28.1	30.2	43.6	20.1
Rampage	31.7	38.6	42.1	28.6	30.5	44.0	18.2
Wirth	30.9	36.7	44.5	25.7	30.1	39.2	19.2
Anoka	29.8	35.3	42.4	26.8	30.6	41.3	18.7
Dunn	31.2	35.8	42.3	25.1	29.6	39.0	15.8

	Yield Rank						
Chippewa 64	6	6	6	4	6	6	5
Hark	1	1	1	2	3	2	1
Rampage	2	2	5	1	2	1	4
Wirth	4	3	2	5	4	4	2
Anoka	5	5	3	3	1	3	3
Dunn	3	4	4	6	5	5	6

PRELIMINARY TEST 1, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Germination Percentage
1. Chippewa 64		
2. Hark		
3. M62-153	Hark x Harksey	
4. M62-189	Harksey x M62-19	
5. M62-19	M62-19 x M62-19	
6. M62-21	M62-19 x M62-19	
7. M62-56	Chippewa 64 x M62-19	
8. M62-151	M62-19 x Hark	
9. M62-155	M62-19 x Hark	
10. M62-162	M62-19 x Hark	
11. W6-3394	M62-19 x M62-19	
12. W6-3445	M62-19 x M62-19	
13. W6-3487	M62-19 x Hark	
14. W6-3500	M62-19 x Hark	
15. W6-3523	M62-19 x Hark	
16. W6-4108	Hark x M62-19	

Hark outyielded all the strains in this test, however it was also the latest variety. M62-19, M62-162, and W6-3445 yielded fairly well and were up to two days earlier than Hark. M62-56 and W6-111 were earlier, just one to two days later than Chippewa 64, and averaged one to two bushels above Chippewa 64 in yield. W6-4108 is also phytophthora resistant.





Table 42. Summary of data, Preliminary Test I, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	10	10	8	9	10	8	7	8	8
Chippewa 64	38.9	10	0	1.9	36	1.9	15.2	41.5	21.5
Hark	43.0	1	+4.3	1.9	39	1.8	16.8	43.0	21.2
M61-153	39.5	8	+1.9	2.0	37	1.6	14.4	40.7	22.1
M61-189	40.2	7	+3.1	1.6	37	1.8	18.4	41.4	21.8
M62-19	42.3	2	+2.3	2.0	38	1.7	18.0	40.4	22.2
M62-21	38.1	13	+0.8	1.3	32	1.6	16.9	41.4	22.1
M62-56	40.6	5	+1.6	1.8	33	1.7	17.6	40.9	22.3
M62-151	38.1	13	+3.3	1.9	35	1.6	15.5	40.2	22.2
M62-155	37.3	15	+1.6	1.3	31	2.2	17.9	40.9	21.9
M62-162	41.2	4	+3.6	1.4	36	1.7	17.7	41.4	21.5
W6-3394	39.0	9	+3.1	2.2	38	1.8	16.6	40.9	22.0
W6-3445	41.5	3	+3.6	2.2	38	2.0	17.6	41.0	22.1
W6-3487	38.8	11	+2.6	2.3	41	1.9	17.2	41.0	21.9
W6-3500	35.1	16	+2.5	2.5	38	1.7	14.7	41.3	21.6
W6-3523	38.8	11	+3.6	2.3	41	2.0	17.1	41.2	21.9
W6-4108	40.3	6	+2.0	2.8	39	1.9	18.5	41.1	21.8

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Chippewa 64 which matured September 23, 122 days after planting.

Table 43. Disease data, Preliminary Test I, 1969.

Strain	BB		BSR		DM	FE <sub>2</sub>		PR
	Ames	BP	Urbana	Wor-	thing-	Ind.	Ind.	Ind.
	Iowa	Ill.	Ill.	ton	ton	Ind.	Ind.	Ind.
	n	a	n	n	n	a	a	a
Chippewa 64	4	4	2	4	4	4	R	
Hark	4	4	2	4	5	S		
M61-153	4	4	2	3	4	R		
M61-189	3	4	3	5	5	S		
M62-19	3	4	3	4	5	S		
M62-21	3	4	2	3	4	S		
M62-56	3	3	3	4	5	S		
M62-151	3	4	2	5	5	S		
M62-155	3	5	3	5	5	S		
M62-162	3	4	2	5	5	S		
W6-3394	3	4	3	5	5	S		
W6-3445	3	4	3	4	4	S		
W6-3487	3	3	3	4	4	R		
W6-3500	3	4	3	4	5	S		
W6-3523	2	4	2	5	4	R		
W6-4108	3	3	3	3	4	R		

Table 44. Yield and yield rank, Preliminary Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 10 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Michigan Dundee	Wisconsin Madison	Illinois DeKalb
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Colum- bus			
Chippewa 64	38.9	41.4	32.9	22.9	34.9	49.5	36.8	45.7	37.5
Hark	43.0	46.8	37.0	18.3	30.8	41.7	40.5	44.0	40.1
M61-153	39.5	48.8	29.0	17.5	27.4	38.0	38.2	43.4	37.0
M61-189	40.2	45.5	33.7	22.3	25.1	53.2	47.1	44.2	37.6
M62-19	42.3	50.3	33.1	20.5	33.5	48.1	36.9	53.5	42.2
M62-21	38.1	38.7	27.2	15.6	22.4	38.9	40.3	44.0	36.9
M62-56	40.6	41.9	39.6	19.6	28.3	41.6	41.7	43.2	38.3
M62-151	38.1	38.2	30.2	23.7	29.5	51.2	32.1	46.1	36.1
M62-155	37.3	39.9	27.7	16.6	26.1	34.4	36.2	43.7	36.6
M62-162	41.2	44.4	34.5	20.1	32.2	56.4	42.5	47.5	40.2
W6-3394	39.0	47.6	37.5	22.7	42.2	47.7	32.7	40.6	36.5
W6-3445	41.5	49.1	36.6	24.3	37.8	46.3	44.6	44.3	40.9
W6-3487	38.8	42.7	34.9	23.8	29.3	39.8	41.7	43.9	38.1
W6-3500	35.1	39.1	30.4	21.9	27.7	25.9	27.1	39.1	34.1
W6-3523	38.8	46.1	35.9	25.1	34.4	46.3	38.1	42.7	38.0
W6-4108	40.3	54.0	34.1	24.3	33.6	31.9	31.6	42.3	40.7
Coef. of Var. (%)		6.6	4.5	--	--	--	15.8	5.7	4.2
L.S.D. (5%)		6.2	3.3	--	--	--	12.2	5.3	2.7
Row Spacing (In.)		24	40	32	32	28	28	36	30

Strain	Yield Rank								
	10	12	11	6	3	4	11	4	10
Chippewa 64	10	12	11	6	3	4	11	4	10
Hark	1	6	3	13	8	9	6	7	5
M61-153	8	4	14	14	13	13	8	11	11
M61-189	7	8	9	8	15	2	1	6	9
M62-19	2	2	10	10	6	5	10	1	1
M62-21	13	15	16	16	16	12	7	7	12
M62-56	5	11	1	12	11	10	4	12	6
M62-151	13	16	13	5	9	3	14	3	15
M62-155	15	13	15	15	14	14	12	10	13
M62-162	4	9	7	11	7	1	3	2	4
W6-3394	9	5	2	7	1	6	13	15	14
W6-3445	3	3	4	2	2	7	2	5	2
W6-3487	11	10	6	4	10	11	4	9	7
W6-3500	16	14	12	9	12	16	16	16	16
W6-3523	11	7	5	1	4	7	9	13	8
W6-4108	6	1	8	2	5	15	15	14	3

\* Not included in the mean.

Table 44. (Continued)

Strain	Iowa						California Davis
	Minnesota Waseca	Suther- land	Kana- wha	Missouri Spickard	South Dakota Milbank Brookings		
Chippewa 64	37.1	38.3	39.3	39.1	13.3	41.2	21.5
Hark	41.0	46.1	47.7	45.2	13.9	41.6	15.9
M61-153	35.5	40.2	37.4	43.1	24.3	42.2	17.2
M61-189	42.1	41.0	37.0	40.2	16.3	34.0	18.9
M62-19	40.3	44.6	37.0	41.7	15.9	43.5	26.6
M62-21	37.8	39.9	38.9	41.1	13.2	35.9	16.6
M62-56	38.2	40.9	43.4	38.3	17.6	40.5	20.9
M62-151	39.9	38.2	40.0	41.9	19.6	38.6	16.5
M62-155	38.6	38.3	38.7	39.9	12.9	33.3	19.0
M62-162	42.4	42.8	40.0	38.3	17.3	39.6	21.1
W6-3394	33.9	39.9	40.2	36.6	16.0	44.8	23.1
W6-3445	40.1	42.3	38.2	43.3	15.5	35.9	16.6
W6-3487	35.2	35.8	38.3	42.1	11.5	34.9	21.6
W6-3500	32.6	34.5	36.1	39.5	12.7	38.8	20.4
W6-3523	36.6	38.9	37.9	39.3	17.0	36.6	--
W6-4108	40.1	43.4	37.9	36.1	19.0	42.9	--
Coef. of Var. (%)	6.4	2.3	5.2	7.6	21.7	7.7	--
L.S.D. (5%)	5.0	0.9	4.4	5.4	N.S.	6.4	--
Row Spacing (In.)	30	40	40	15	36	30	30

Yield Rank

Chippewa 64	11	12	6	12	12	6
Hark	3	1	1	1	11	5
M61-153	13	8	12	3	1	4
M61-189	2	6	13	8	7	15
M62-19	4	2	13	6	9	2
M62-21	10	9	7	7	13	12
M62-56	9	7	2	13	4	7
M62-151	7	14	4	5	2	10
M62-155	8	12	8	9	14	16
M62-162	1	4	4	13	5	8
W6-3394	15	9	3	15	8	1
W6-3445	5	5	10	2	10	12
W6-3487	14	15	9	4	16	14
W6-3500	16	16	15	10	15	9
W6-3523	12	11	16	11	6	11
W6-4108	5	3	11	16	3	3

\* Not included in the mean

Table 45. Maturity dates, Preliminary Test I, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 8 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Michigan Dundee	Wisconsin Madison	Illinois DeKalb
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Colum- bus			
Chippewa 64	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	
Hark	+4.3	+3	+6	+ 5	-2	+ 2	0	+4	+6
M61-153	+1.9	+3	+4	+ 3	-4	+ 1	-1	+3	+1
M61-189	+3.1	0	+6	+ 4	-3	+ 3	0	+3	+3
M62-19	+2.3	+1	+2	+ 2	-1	+ 1	-1	+3	+2
M62-21	+0.8	+2	+3	+ 5	-4	0	-1	0	0
M62-56	+1.6	+2	+2	+ 6	-2	- 1	-1	+2	+1
M62-151	+3.3	+5	+6	+ 7	-4	+ 2	-1	+1	+1
M62-155	+1.6	+1	0	+ 4	-3	+ 1	-1	+1	0
M62-162	+3.6	+4	+8	+ 5	-1	+ 2	0	+3	+1
W6-3394	+3.1	+2	+5	+ 8	+1	+ 3	0	+2	+2
W6-3445	+3.6	+2	+4	+ 8	+2	+ 3	0	+2	+5
W6-3487	+2.6	+4	+3	+ 6	-1	+ 1	0	+1	+1
W6-3500	+2.5	+3	+6	+ 6	+1	0	-1	+1	+2
W6-3523	+3.6	+5	+8	+ 4	-3	0	-1	+2	+2
W6-4108	+2.0	+1	+3	+ 6	-1	+ 1	-1	+2	+2
Traverse (0)		-3	--	0	--	--	--	-3	0
Corsoy (II)		+9	+6	+17	+6	+12	+2	+7	+9
Date planted	5-24	5-26	6-10	6-4	5-16	5-24	5-19	5-20	5-23
Chippewa 64 mat.	9-23	10-3	9-18	9-11	9-12	9-9	9-27	9-18	9-13
Days to mature	122	130	100	99	119	108	131	121	113

\* Not included in the mean.

Table 45. (Continued)

Strain	Iowa						California Davis
	Minnesota Waseca	Suther- land	Kana- wha	Missouri Spickard	South Dakota Milbank	South Dakota Brookings	
Chippewa 64	0	*	0	*	*		*
Hark	+7		+ 8		-2	+4	+1
M61-153	+3		+ 3		+4	+2	+4
M61-189	+7		+ 7		0	+2	+1
M62-19	+5		+ 5		-2	+2	+2
M62-21	+5		- 1		-3	+1	+1
M62-56	+5		+ 3		-2	+2	+5
M62-151	+7		+ 7		+3	+4	+3
M62-155	+5		+ 1		-3	+5	+2
M62-162	+7		+ 7		+2	+5	0
W6-3394	+7		+ 5		+1	+4	+1
W6-3445	+8		+ 5		+1	+4	+1
W6-3487	+6		+ 4		+3	+4	0
W6-3500	+7		+ 7		+2	+1	+3
W6-3523	+7		+ 7		+3	+7	--
W6-4108	+5		+ 2		+3	+4	--
Traverse (0)	-4		--		0	--	-9
Corsoy (II)	+7		+14		--	+3	+7
Date planted	5-30	5-27	5-28	5-28	5-26	5-22	6-5
Chippewa 64 mat.	9-25	--	9-17	--	10-5	10-13	9-21
Days to mature	118	--	112	--	132	144	108



UNIFORM TEST II, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Compositied	Previous Testing (years)
1. Amsoy	Adams x Harosoy	F <sub>8</sub>	6
2. CX407BC7-50	Amsoy <sup>8</sup> x C1253	F <sub>3</sub>	0
3. CX407BC7-53	Amsoy <sup>8</sup> x C1253	F <sub>3</sub>	0
4. CX407BC7-310	Amsoy <sup>8</sup> x C1253	F <sub>3</sub>	0
5. CX407BC7-326	Amsoy <sup>8</sup> x C1253	F <sub>3</sub>	0
6. Beeson	C1253 x Kent	F <sub>7</sub>	2
7. Corsoy	Harosoy x Capital	F <sub>9</sub>	5
8. C1426	C1253 x Kent	F <sub>7</sub>	2
9. C1453	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>7</sub>	1
10. C1470	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>6</sub>	P.T. II
11. C1479	(C1264 <sup>6</sup> x Wayne) x (C1264 <sup>8</sup> x C1253)	4 F <sub>3</sub> lines	0
12. L65-1354	Wayne <sup>2</sup> x L62-1926	F <sub>3</sub>	P.T. II

C1426 has been in this test for three years, and its performance is summarized in Tables 54 and 55 along with the three check varieties. C1426 averaged highest in yield, only .6 bushel greater than Beeson, slightly better in seed composition, and similar in other respects. Corsoy averaged slightly higher (.7 bushel) than Amsoy and almost equalled Beeson. Since some phytophthora-affected data are included, Corsoy and Amsoy mean yields would be slightly higher in the absence of phytophthora rot.

The four CX strains from the Amsoy backcross did not differ significantly from each other. They averaged above Amsoy in yield, and this is true even if the Greenfield and Edgewood yields, which are affected by phytophthora, are excluded.

C1453 and C1470 yielded well for their early maturity in 1969, and C1453 averaged very good in seed quality. All four of the C strains showed tendencies to shattering under stress.

PROTANA

Origin and development of Protana is as follows:

1957 - Cross CX335 [CX291-42-1 (Mukden x C1069) x CX258-2-3-2 (PI 65.338 x C1079)] made by A. H. Probst at the Purdue Agricultural Experiment Station, Lafayette, Indiana. C1069 and C1079 are selections from Lincoln x Ogden originating from the same F<sub>2</sub> plant as Kent. Mukden is phytophthora root-rot resistant and is about three percent higher in protein content than currently grown varieties.

1958, F<sub>1</sub> - One F<sub>1</sub> plant grown in the field at Lafayette.



- 1959, F<sub>2</sub> - Approximately 1000 F<sub>2</sub> plants grown at Lafayette.
- 1960, F<sub>3</sub> - Twenty-one F<sub>2</sub> plant selections advanced to F<sub>3</sub> plant rows. Up to five single plant selections were made in each of five plant rows. Ten plant selections were saved from three of the above five plant rows. Seven of these were homozygous resistant to phytophthora root rot and three were segregating.
- 1961, F<sub>4</sub> - Ten plant rows grown at Lafayette. Six retained for yield testing.
- 1962, F<sub>5</sub> - Six lines tested. Three, Group II, at Walkerton and Bluffton; two, Group III, at Lafayette and Worthington; and one, Group IV, at Worthington and Evansville. Four plant selections retained from line CX335-17-2.
- 1963, F<sub>6</sub> - Plant selections CX335-17-2-1, -2, -3, and -4 grown in plant rows at Lafayette. Parent strain CX335-17-2 also yield tested at Walkerton and Lafayette. Highest in protein content in test of 18 entries.
- 1964, F<sub>7</sub> - CX335-17-2-1 (phytophthora root-rot resistant) assigned C1376 and tested in Indiana Preliminary Test II at Walkerton and Lafayette. Highest in protein content in test of 14 entries.
- 1965, F<sub>8</sub> - C1376 entered in Uniform Preliminary Test II and tested at 16 locations. High in protein content. Between Amsoy and Harosoy 63 in yield.
- 1966, F<sub>9</sub> - Entered in Uniform Test II and tested at 31 locations. Slightly above Harosoy 63 in yield and 2% higher in protein content. Produced 17 pounds of seed in rogued seed plot at Lafayette for 1967 breeders seed production. Retained 48 single plants for elite seed production.
- 1967, F<sub>10</sub> - Continued in Uniform Test II. Yielded somewhat low, but protein content was high. Planted 1.66 acres at Lafayette from 17 pounds of seed. Produced 54 bushels and 21 pounds of cleaned seed. Grew 48 plant rows for elite seed production. Harvested individually and checked seed prior compositing. Placed in cold storage.
- 1968, - All multiplied seed held in storage. Not entered in Uniform Test II. Tested as one of ten entries in a Seed Source Study at Lafayette, Indiana and two locations in Iowa.
- 1969, F<sub>11</sub> - Seed was divided among interested states in spring of 1969 as follows: Illinois, 15 bu.; Indiana, 21 bu. and 51 pounds; Iowa, 15 bu.; Ohio, 1 1/2 bu.; and South Dakota, 1 bu. Indiana has 1033, Illinois 835, and Iowa 764 bushels of cleaned seed available for release to qualified growers for 1970 production. C1376 was officially released and named August 20, 1969.

PROVAR

Provar was developed by the United States Department of Agriculture - Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station soybean breeding project. The cross and selection were made by C. R. Weber. An outline of the origin follows:

- 1952 - Cross AX58 (Harosoy x Clark) was made in the field at Ames, Iowa.
- 1953 -  $F_1$ 's were grown in the field at Ames.
- 1954 - Not grown.
- 1955 -  $F_2$  seed was space planted three inches apart at Ames. Seventy-five  $F_2$  plants were selected for use in a Ph.D. thesis by R. L. Voigt. Seed of each planted was divided in three lots. Each lot was used as the base of selection for evaluating the bulk, pedigree, and family method of breeding. See Voigt, R. L., and C. R. Weber. 1960. Effectiveness of selection methods for yield in soybean crosses. Agron. J. 52: 527-530. Provar was selected by the family method which is described below.
- 1956 - A  $F_3$  progeny row was grown at Ames for each selected  $F_2$  plant. Three agronomically desirable  $F_3$  plants with the maturity of Hawkeye were selected.
- 1957 - Each of the three  $F_3$ -derived plants from a 1958 progeny row was used as a "replicate" in an  $F_4$  test at Ames. The 20 highest yielding  $F_3$  families were selected with maturity approximating Hawkeye. One  $F_4$  plant selection was made from each replicate in each  $F_3$  family, but only the plant selected from the highest yielding replicate of each selected family was utilized for evaluation in a  $F_5$  test.
- 1958 - The 20  $F_4$  plants selected in 1967 were grown at Ames in three replications as part of Voigt's thesis.
- 1959 - The highest yielding  $F_4$ -derived lines in 1958 were grown in a preliminary  $F_6$  yield test at Ames. The progenitor of Provar (AX58F22-2) was selected for further testing.
- 1960 - AX58F22-2 was evaluated in a preliminary  $F_7$  yield test at Ames and Independence, Iowa. Five  $F_7$  plants were selected.
- 1961 - A  $F_8$  progeny row of each  $F_7$ -derived line was grown at Ames. Two rows were selected. In the same year AX58F22-2 was evaluated in a preliminary  $F_8$  test at Ames.
- 1962 - The two selected rows from AX58F22-2 (AX58F22-2-7 as A1-1050 and AX58F22-2-8 as A1-1051) were grown as  $F_7$ -derived lines  $F_9$  in a preliminary test at Sutherland and Ames.
- 1963 - A1-1051 ( $F_7$ -derived line in  $F_{10}$ ) was evaluated in Uniform Preliminary Test II.

- 1964-67 - Al-1051 was evaluated in Uniform Test II.
- 1966 - Yield test seed from 1965, rogued to remove off-type hila, was used to plant the initial increase in 1966. The increase field was rogued for white flowers and other off-type characteristics.
- 1967 - Al-1051 was approved for increase by the Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station. The Committee for Agricultural Development at Ames planted 18 bushels of seed on 46 acres and obtained 1207 bushels. The decision to release Al-1051 as a high-protein variety was delayed until some indication could be obtained from the soybean industry that a premium could be paid to the producer to offset the lower yield of Al-1051.
- 1968 - Industry was contacted by many individuals. The Japanese processors indicated the variety could have value to them as a speciality variety. Based on that information, the variety was released to interested states.
- 1969 - Foundation seed was distributed to interested states for redistribution to certified growers for planting in 1969. The amount of seed received and 1969 certified acreage by states is as follows:

	<u>Bushels</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
Iowa	545	736
Illinois	545	508
Minnesota	50	40
South Dakota	<u>60</u>	<u>69</u>
	1200	1353

Only certified acreage is listed, and additional acres may have been produced that were not certified.

Table 46. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering			Minn. Iron Chlor- osis	Hypo- cotyl Length mm
							Manhattan		Carbon-		
							Kans.	4 wks.	dale Ill. 7 wks.		
Amsoy	P	G	Tan	S	Y	Y	3	3.8	1	2	118
CX407BC7-50	P	G	Tan	S	Y	Y	3	3.8	1	2.5	107
CX407BC7-53	P	G	Tan	S	Y	Y	3	4.2	1	3	104
CX407BC7-310	P	G	Tan	S	Y	Y	3	4.2	1	2.5	109
CX407BC7-326	P	G	Tan	S	Y	Y	1	4.2	1	2.5	115
Beeson	P	G	Br	S	Y	Ib	1	3.8	5	1.5	158
Corsoy	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	1	1	1	2.5	249
C1426	P	G	Br	S	Y	Ib	1	3	5	2	105
C1453	P	G	Br	D+S	Y	Ib	3	3.8	2	1	160
C1470	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	3	4.2	2	1.5	156
C1479	P	G	Br	D	Y	Y	3.2	3.8	2	1.5	192
L65-1354	W	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	1	2	3.5	246

Table 47. Summary of data, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	29	29	23	27	29	25	24	16	16
Amsoy	44.9	7	+2.3	2.6	44	2.1	17.1	40.0	22.7
CX407BC7-50	46.5	2	+2.8	2.7	45	2.1	17.0	39.7	22.5
CX407BC7-53	45.7	4	+2.0	2.6	45	2.1	17.1	40.0	22.4
CX407BC7-310	46.2	3	+2.9	2.5	45	2.2	16.7	39.7	22.4
CX407BC7-326	45.7	4	+2.2	2.6	45	2.0	16.7	39.9	22.5
Beeson	46.6	1	+1.7	2.1	41	2.0	19.1	40.6	22.0
Corsoy	43.6	10	0	2.7	41	1.8	15.4	40.8	21.8
C1426	45.6	6	+3.4	2.2	43	1.8	19.0	41.1	22.2
C1453	43.1	11	-3.4	2.2	41	1.7	14.9	41.9	22.2
C1470	44.4	8	-1.5	1.8	40	2.3	16.3	41.6	22.0
C1479	44.4	8	+1.9	2.2	42	2.0	17.1	41.4	22.2
L65-1354	41.6	12	-2.2	2.0	38	1.9	18.0	42.9	21.6

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Corsoy which matured September 21, 118 days after planting.

Table 48. Disease data, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	DM												PR		SMV		
	BB		BSR				Wor-				Eldo-		Stone-		Iowa		
	Ames	BP	Ur-	Kana-	thing-	Bluff-	Ur-	Eldo-	FE <sub>2</sub>	Stone-							
	Ia.	Ill.	Ia.	Ill.	Ia.	Ind.	Ind.	Ill.	Ill.	Ind.	Ind.	Miss.	vigor	3	4		
n	a	a	a	n	1	2	n	n	n	n	a	a	n	a	a		
Amsoy	3	3	3	5	2	18	70	1.9	2.3	2.5	1.0	5	S	S	4	25	20
CX407BC7-50	2	4	4	4.5	2	15	60	1.9	2.3	2.8	1.3	5	R	R	1.5	15	10
CX407BC7-53	3	4.5	4	3.5	3	11	63	1.7	2.3	2.7	1.0	5	R	R	1.5	0	0
CX407BC7-310	3	4	2	4	3	17	43	1.8	2.0	2.8	1.0	5	R	R	1	20	0
CX407BC7-326	3	4	3	4	2	19	68	1.9	2.3	2.6	1.0	5	R	R	1	10	0
Beeson	2	5	4	3	3	17	80	1.9	3.3	3.2	1.7	1	R	R	2	55	55
Corsoy	3	3.5	4	4	2	14	68	1.9	2.5	3.2	1.0	5	S	S	3.5	35	35
C1426	4	4.5	3	3.5	2	17	65	1.7	4.3	3.5	2.3	5	R	R	1.5	65	40
C1453	3	3.5	3	4.5	3	18	83	--	4.3	4.0	2.7	3	R	R	2	60	30
C1470	4	4.5	3	3.5	3	20	100	1.9	3.3	3.6	2.3	1	R	R	1.5	40	15
C1479	3	4.5	2	1.5	3	19	83	2.3	3.5	3.7	1.7	1	R	R	1	25	10
L65-1354	4	2.5	3	1	3	23	90	2.0	3.8	4.2	4.0	1	S	MR	3	20	15

<sup>1</sup>Mean height of browning in diseased stems

<sup>2</sup>Percent of plants with browning

<sup>3,4</sup>Percent of plants infected, measured serologically (3) and by transmission to beans (4)



Table 49. Yield and yield rank, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 29 Tests	Ontario		New Jersey Vail	Ohio			Michi- gan Dundee	Indiana		
		Ridge- town	Har- row		Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus		Knox	Bluff- ton	Lafa- yette
Amsoy	44.9	55.6	38.2	38.9	34.3	45.5	54.4	46.4	44.7	44.9	59.8
CX407BC7-50	46.5	56.8	39.3	45.0	26.8	40.3	48.0	44.2	47.8	43.3	61.4
CX407BC7-53	45.7	58.9	37.6	42.9	26.4	47.5	42.3	43.1	45.2	44.1	59.0
CX407BC7-310	46.2	60.6	37.1	38.6	24.8	48.3	57.4	42.1	46.0	44.4	62.3
CX407BC7-326	45.7	59.2	39.7	37.4	28.2	45.6	42.9	42.1	45.4	45.2	60.4
Beeson	46.6	51.8	38.7	43.3	27.8	51.8	46.2	49.4	48.7	47.4	60.0
Corsoy	43.6	53.8	35.0	32.4	23.9	41.8	41.2	49.6	42.7	39.3	55.8
Cl426	45.6	51.9	38.0	40.5	26.9	48.6	49.3	37.8	41.9	43.6	58.4
Cl453	43.1	54.2	34.8	37.7	23.8	40.7	54.5	49.2	40.3	42.5	54.8
Cl470	44.4	53.6	34.9	35.5	26.9	43.6	48.3	40.0	42.1	46.3	61.1
Cl479	44.4	53.5	37.8	43.6	24.7	49.8	60.4	42.7	43.6	46.0	57.2
L65-1354	41.6	51.3	35.0	31.0	29.3	40.7	48.5	41.4	38.1	43.6	56.9
Coef. of Var.(%)		9.8	5.4	9.9	--	--	--	15.6	10.0	9.5	6.9
L.S.D.(5%)		N.S.	2.9	7.8	--	--	--	9.7	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Row Spacing(In.)		24	40	30	32	32	28	28	38	30	38

Strain	Yield Rank										
	7	5	4	6	1	7	4	4	6	5	6
Amsoy	7	5	4	6	1	7	4	4	6	5	6
CX407BC7-50	2	4	2	1	7	12	8	5	2	10	2
CX407BC7-53	4	3	7	4	8	5	11	6	5	7	7
CX407BC7-310	3	1	8	7	9	4	2	8	3	6	1
CX407BC7-326	4	2	1	9	3	6	10	8	4	4	4
Beeson	1	11	3	3	4	1	9	2	1	1	5
Corsoy	10	7	9	11	11	9	12	1	8	12	11
Cl426	6	10	5	5	5	3	5	12	10	8	8
Cl453	11	6	12	8	12	10	3	3	11	11	12
Cl470	8	8	11	10	5	8	7	11	9	2	3
Cl479	8	9	6	2	10	2	1	7	7	3	9
L65-1354	12	12	9	12	2	10	6	10	12	8	10

\*Not included in the mean

lIrrigated

Table 49. (Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Wisconsin Madi- son	Illinois							Minnesota		
	Green- field	Wor- thing- ton		De- Kalb	Pon- tiac	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Lam- ber- ton	Wa- seca
Amsoy	36.8	55.5	51.0	44.8	45.4	43.2	51.5	33.3	47.8	54.0	42.0	45.8	36.1
CX407BC7-50	51.7	56.2	46.4	46.6	45.3	42.8	47.1	44.0	46.2	55.9	44.7	44.3	37.0
CX407BC7-53	48.6	53.4	48.1	48.6	43.6	41.5	45.9	41.9	46.2	57.2	41.2	42.7	35.4
CX407BC7-310	52.2	55.4	49.6	48.0	45.5	42.0	47.1	42.6	46.8	54.4	44.6	43.2	36.1
CX407BC7-326	49.6	52.4	44.2	47.2	43.6	43.3	47.6	40.7	46.5	52.3	42.4	43.6	37.7
Beeson	51.4	57.2	51.4	44.5	44.5	41.9	46.6	40.7	46.5	54.7	41.1	43.7	40.4
Corsoy	37.2	48.7	46.8	46.5	44.9	45.6	51.2	33.1	50.4	47.0	43.6	45.7	38.4
C1426	52.6	57.0	45.6	46.2	43.4	40.2	52.4	42.2	47.7	52.2	45.0	48.7	39.2
C1453	47.3	40.2	44.4	43.0	43.4	43.8	46.4	38.0	38.5	53.2	42.0	43.1	38.0
C1470	45.0	51.5	50.9	45.9	45.2	44.6	47.2	42.1	49.4	54.9	42.0	47.2	41.0
C1479	50.7	54.4	46.8	45.3	39.9	40.0	45.3	40.9	47.0	49.4	41.0	45.2	37.9
L65-1354	38.8	44.9	45.7	45.2	44.0	40.8	48.6	33.5	46.8	50.5	37.7	45.4	39.4
C.V. (%)	8.3	6.3	6.0	4.2	5.7	3.7	4.9	8.6	7.5	4.5	6.1	8.3	16.8
L.S.D. (5%)	5.6	4.7	4.1	N.S.	N.S.	2.6	3.4	5.8	N.S.	4.1	4.4	5.3	9.1
Row Sp. (In.)	38	38	36	30	38	30	30	38	36	36	40	30	30

Yield Rank

Strain	Indiana Green- field	Indiana Wor- thing- ton	Wisconsin Madi- son	Illinois De- Kalb	Illinois Pon- tiac	Illinois Ur- bana	Illinois Gi- rard	Illinois Edge- wood	Illinois Tren- ton	Illinois Eldo- rado	Minnesota Car- bon- dale	Minnesota Lam- ber- ton	Minnesota Wa- seca
Amsoy	12	4	2	10	2	5	2	11	3	6	6	3	10
CX407BC7-50	3	3	8	4	3	6	7	1	10	2	2	7	9
CX407BC7-53	7	7	5	1	8	9	11	5	10	1	9	12	12
CX407BC7-310	2	5	4	2	1	7	7	2	6	5	3	10	10
CX407BC7-326	6	8	12	3	8	4	5	7	8	8	5	9	8
Beeson	4	1	1	11	6	8	9	7	8	4	10	8	2
Corsoy	11	10	6	5	5	1	3	12	1	12	4	4	5
C1426	1	2	10	6	10	11	1	3	4	9	1	1	4
C1453	8	12	11	12	10	3	10	9	12	7	6	11	6
C1470	9	9	3	7	4	2	6	4	2	3	6	2	1
C1479	5	6	6	8	12	12	12	6	5	11	11	6	7
L65-1354	10	11	9	9	7	10	4	10	6	10	12	5	3



Table 49. (Continued)

Strain	Iowa				Missouri		South	Nebras-	Kansas	California <sup>1</sup>	
	Suth- er- land	Kana- wha	Clar- ence	Ames	Spick- ard	Mt. Vernon	Dakota Brook- ings	ka Concord	Pow- hat- tan	Davis	Five Points
Amsoy	43.4	43.0	46.8	42.5	43.3	37.4	40.6	47.0	42.1	21.3	19.8
CX407BC7-50	47.4	43.0	51.0	43.2	48.1	34.0	39.8	47.4	47.6	16.9	28.7
CX407BC7-53	46.6	44.3	51.7	40.5	45.9	35.3	43.8	46.7	46.4	16.8	28.7
CX407BC7-310	48.8	44.5	51.3	41.6	46.6	37.8	34.5	48.6	46.2	16.2	24.8
CX407BC7-326	46.8	43.4	54.5	42.6	41.6	38.0	40.8	49.1	48.0	19.4	27.6
Beeson	44.5	44.0	52.3	46.0	49.1	37.3	40.9	46.4	46.8	23.0	30.3
Corsoy	46.2	43.7	47.0	39.9	42.5	32.1	47.0	40.5	37.2	34.2	28.5
C1426	45.7	44.8	49.1	40.8	46.6	35.7	43.6	47.3	43.0	19.0	22.3
C1453	44.4	39.2	43.4	44.4	46.1	31.8	42.5	44.4	39.3	23.2	27.2
C1470	43.2	45.5	45.1	39.2	41.9	28.5	42.8	42.2	39.8	20.3	30.4
C1479	45.4	41.6	52.5	41.0	41.5	34.9	37.6	43.2	41.3	18.1	36.3
L65-1354	40.3	40.2	42.6	37.4	43.8	29.4	38.4	36.9	37.6	29.9	27.0
C.V. (%)	6.2	5.2	7.6	6.4	10.2	13.4	10.0	5.5	7.3	--	23.0
L.S.D. (5%)	4.0	3.2	5.2	1.8	6.6	N.S.	5.8	3.5	4.5	--	N.S.
Row Sp. (In.)	40	40	40	40	15	15	30	30	28	30	30

Yield Rank

Amsoy	10	8	9	5	8	3	8	5	7	5	12
CX407BC7-50	2	8	6	3	2	8	9	3	2	10	4
CX407BC7-53	4	4	4	9	6	6	2	6	4	11	4
CX407BC7-310	1	3	5	6	3	2	12	2	5	12	10
CX407BC7-326	3	7	1	4	11	1	7	1	1	7	7
Beeson	8	5	3	1	1	4	6	7	3	4	3
Corsoy	5	6	8	10	9	9	1	11	12	1	6
C1426	6	2	7	8	3	5	3	4	6	8	11
C1453	9	12	11	2	5	10	5	8	10	3	8
C1470	11	1	10	11	10	12	4	10	9	6	2
C1479	7	10	2	7	12	7	11	9	8	9	1
L65-1354	12	11	12	12	6	11	10	12	11	2	9

Table 50. Maturity dates, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 23 Tests	Ontario		New Jersey Vail *	Ohio			Michi- gan Dundee	Indiana		
		Ridge- town	Har- row		Hoyt- ville *	Woos- ter *	Co- lum- bus *		Knox	Bluff- ton	Lafa- yette
Amsoy	+2.3	0	+ 3		+ 2	+11	- 2	+5	+7	+1	0
CX407BC7-50	+2.8	0	+ 4		+ 1	+ 6	- 3	+5	+6	+2	0
CX407BC7-53	+2.0	+1	+ 2		+ 1	+ 2	0	+5	+6	0	0
CX407BC7-310	+2.9	+1	+ 4		+ 1	+ 6	- 1	+5	+6	+1	+1
CX407BC7-326	+2.2	+1	+ 3		+ 1	+ 3	- 1	+5	+5	+1	+1
Beeson	+1.7	0	+ 5		+ 3	+ 1	+ 3	+5	+5	-1	-1
Corsoy	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C1426	+3.4	+2	+ 8		+ 2	+ 1	+ 1	+5	+6	+3	+3
C1453	-3.4	-3	- 1		0	- 3	- 3	-1	0	-4	-8
C1470	-1.5	-2	0		- 1	- 1	- 3	0	0	-4	-6
C1479	+1.9	+1	+ 5		+ 2	+ 4	+ 1	+3	+4	+1	+4
L65-1354	-2.2	-2	0		- 4	+ 1	- 1	-1	+2	-6	-5
Hark (I)		-5	0		- 2	- 8	-11	-2	-1	--	-8
Wayne (III)		--	+10		+12	+16	+ 7	--	--	+7	+9
Date planted	5-26	5-26	6-10	6-13	6-4	5-16	5-24	5-19	6-6	5-26	5-26
Corsoy matured	9-21	10-12	9-24	--	9-28	9-18	9-21	9-29	9-25	9-21	9-24
Days to mature	118	139	106	--	116	125	120	133	111	118	121

\*Not included in the mean  
 †Irrigated

Table 50. Maturity dates, Uniform Test II, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Indiana	Wiscon-	Illinois							Minnesota			
	Green- field	Wor- thing- ton	son Madi- son	De- Kalb	Pon- tiac	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Lam- ber- ton	Wa- seca
	*												
Amsoy	+ 3	+4	+3	+1	-1	+ 4	+ 4	+ 1	-1	+ 3	+2	+1	
CX407BC7-50	+ 4	+6	+4	+2	-2	+ 2	+ 5	+ 2	0	+ 2	+3	+5	
CX407BC7-53	+ 2	+5	+4	+1	-2	+ 1	+ 3	+ 1	-1	+ 2	+2	+3	
CX407BC7-310	+ 3	+5	+4	+2	-1	+ 2	+ 5	+ 2	-1	+ 3	+2	+4	
CX407BC7-326	+ 3	+6	+4	+2	-2	+ 1	+ 3	+ 1	-2	+ 2	+2	+5	
Beeson	+ 3	+3	+1	+1	-1	- 1	+ 5	0	-3	+ 2	+2	+5	
Corsoy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cl426	+ 2	+4	+2	+2	+3	+ 3	+ 7	+ 2	-1	+ 3	+2	+6	
Cl453	- 3	0	-4	-6	-7	- 6	- 4	- 4	-6	- 5	-4	+1	
Cl470	- 1	0	-2	-2	-4	- 3	- 1	+ 2	-4	- 1	0	0	
Cl479	+ 2	+2	+4	+1	+1	+ 2	+ 3	0	-3	+ 1	+1	+1	
L65-1354	0	-1	0	-4	-5	- 3	- 3	- 2	-5	- 4	-2	+1	
Hark (I)	--	-2	-2	-4	-3	- 4	--	- 2	-7	--	-5	0	
Wayne (III)	+11	--	+8	+6	+8	+14	+10	+34	+6	+16	--	--	
Date planted	5-28	5-13	5-20	5-23	5-26	5-16	5-20	5-28	5-17	5-28	6-4	5-26	5-30
Corsoy mat.	--	9-2	9-25	9-22	9-16	9-12	9-7	9-7	9-3	9-8	9-6	9-30	10-2
Days to mat.	--	112	128	122	113	119	110	102	109	103	94	127	125

Table 50. (Continued)

Strain	Iowa				Missouri		South	Nebras-	Kansas	California <sup>1</sup>	
	Suth-	Kana-	Clar-	Ames	Spick-	Mt.	Dakota	ka	Pow-	Five	
	er-	wha	ence		ard	Vernon	Brook-	Concord	hat-	Davis	Points
	*		*		*	*				*	*
Amsoy		+2		+ 2			+8	+ 2	0	0	-5
CX407BC7-50		+2		+ 3			+8	+ 3	-1	+1	-3
CX407BC7-53		+2		+ 2			+5	+ 2	-1	0	-5
CX407BC7-310		+2		+ 3			+8	+ 5	+1	+2	-6
CX407BC7-326		+2		+ 2			+6	+ 2	-2	0	-5
Beeson		+2		+ 3			+3	+ 3	-2	0	-4
Corsoy		0		0			0	0	0	0	0
C1426		+1		+ 3			+6	+ 3	+3	+2	+1
C1453		-5		- 2			+3	- 2	-8	+2	-3
C1470		-4		- 2			+2	- 2	-1	0	+1
C1479		-1		+ 2			+7	+ 3	0	+1	0
L65-1354		-5		- 2			+4	- 2	-6	0	-6
Hark (I)		-6		--			+1	- 1	-7	-6	--
Wayne (III)		--		+10			--	+10	+4	--	+1
Date planted	5-27	5-28	5-23	5-15	5-28	5-19	5-22	6-3	6-4	6-5	6-18
Corsoy mat.	--	10-1	--	9-22	--	--	10-16	9-28	9-26	9-28	10-14
Days to mat.	--	126	--	130	--	--	147	117	114	115	118

Table 51. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 27 Tests	Ontario		New	Ohio			Michi-	Indiana		
		Ridge- town	Har- row	Jersey Vail	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	gan Dundee	Knox	Bluff-Lafa- ton	yette
Amsoy	2.6	3.1	1.8	2.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.8	2.4	3.0
CX407BC7-50	2.7	2.9	2.0	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.1	3.0	3.0
CX407BC7-53	2.6	2.9	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.3	3.5	2.8
CX407BC7-310	2.5	2.5	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.3	3.4	2.6
CX407BC7-326	2.6	2.5	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.4	3.5	2.5
Beeson	2.1	2.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.8	2.1	2.3
Corsoy	2.7	3.1	1.2	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.5	3.1	2.5
C1426	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.1	2.6	2.1
C1453	2.2	1.8	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.4	2.4	1.9
C1470	1.8	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	1.6	1.9	1.9
C1479	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.1	2.3	2.4
L65-1354	2.0	1.4	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.6	2.1	1.9

Strain	Mean of 29 Tests	Plant Height									
		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Amsoy	44	49	36	42	33	33	39	45	46	48	53
CX407BC7-50	45	52	38	43	34	35	39	46	48	52	53
CX407BC7-53	45	50	36	43	34	35	37	43	47	56	56
CX407BC7-310	45	51	36	43	31	34	42	42	46	55	55
CX407BC7-326	45	51	37	45	32	35	44	42	49	53	55
Beeson	41	46	34	40	31	33	38	41	44	46	48
Corsoy	41	48	32	39	32	33	38	43	44	49	51
C1426	43	48	38	42	31	35	41	42	45	51	50
C1453	41	46	32	39	30	31	40	40	43	50	49
C1470	40	44	33	38	29	32	38	40	41	47	46
C1479	42	48	37	42	33	36	42	40	43	49	51
L65-1354	38	44	31	39	34	33	37	38	41	46	46

\*Not included in the mean  
 †Irrigated

Table 51. (Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Wisconsin Madison	Illinois							Minnesota		
	Green-field	Worthington		DeKalb	Pontiac	Urbana	Girard	Edgewood	Trenton	Eldorado	Carleton	Lambert	Waseca
Amsoy	1.9	2.9	2.6	2.0	4.0	1.4	4.1	1.4	3.8	3.9	2.0	4.0	3.0
CX407BC7-50	1.8	2.9	3.0	2.3	4.0	1.4	3.7	1.7	3.3	3.8	3.0	3.8	2.8
CX407BC7-53	1.8	2.6	3.0	2.0	4.0	1.3	3.9	1.6	3.5	3.8	2.0	4.2	2.9
CX407BC7-310	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.0	4.0	1.3	3.5	1.9	3.6	3.8	3.0	4.0	2.8
CX407BC7-326	1.8	2.8	2.8	2.0	4.0	1.5	3.8	1.6	3.6	3.8	3.0	3.8	2.9
Beeson	1.5	2.1	1.7	1.2	3.0	1.3	3.0	1.2	4.1	2.3	1.0	3.8	2.8
Corsoy	1.6	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.7	2.0	3.8	1.6	3.5	3.6	3.0	4.0	2.8
Cl426	1.4	2.1	2.6	2.0	3.0	1.3	2.2	1.5	2.5	2.3	2.0	4.0	2.9
Cl453	1.3	2.1	2.5	1.3	3.0	1.1	3.3	1.7	3.7	3.5	2.0	3.2	2.9
Cl470	1.1	1.9	1.4	1.0	2.3	1.2	3.2	1.1	1.7	2.3	1.0	3.2	2.2
Cl479	1.1	1.8	2.0	1.7	3.0	1.3	2.9	1.2	1.8	2.8	2.0	3.8	2.9
L65-1354	1.1	2.2	2.3	1.3	2.7	1.3	2.7	1.3	2.2	3.2	1.0	3.8	3.0

Plant Height

Strain	Plant Height												
	Green-field	Worthington	Madison	DeKalb	Pontiac	Urbana	Girard	Edgewood	Trenton	Eldorado	Carleton	Lambert	Waseca
Amsoy	41	52	45	45	48	39	52	33	46	53	34	44	41
CX407BC7-50	48	53	45	45	48	39	51	37	52	56	43	47	40
CX407BC7-53	46	52	45	45	46	38	52	36	49	56	38	46	37
CX407BC7-310	44	52	45	44	49	39	52	38	50	56	39	48	40
CX407BC7-326	46	53	46	44	48	38	52	37	50	56	43	45	40
Beeson	43	48	43	40	41	36	46	30	44	49	36	44	38
Corsoy	37	44	42	38	45	38	45	30	44	49	41	44	36
Cl426	44	47	45	38	46	39	49	37	49	51	41	43	39
Cl453	42	46	43	39	42	36	45	32	45	47	40	42	38
Cl470	41	46	43	38	44	33	46	33	46	51	39	44	37
Cl479	44	50	45	43	43	38	49	36	47	51	39	44	38
L65-1354	35	44	40	37	41	36	44	29	43	45	32	42	37

Table 51. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test II, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Iowa				Missouri		South	Nebras-	Kansas	California <sup>1</sup>	
	Suth- land	Kana- wha	Clar- ence	Ames	Spick- ard	Mt. Vernon	Dakota Brook- ings	ka Concord	Pow- hat- tan	Davis	Five Points
									*	*	*
Amsoy	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.2	1.0		1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0
CX407BC7-50	3.1	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.4	1.3		1.2	1.0	2.0	5.0
CX407BC7-53	3.0	3.0	2.4	2.8	2.2	1.3		1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0
CX407BC7-310	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.1	1.0		1.2	1.0	1.0	4.0
CX407BC7-326	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.1	1.0		1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0
Beeson	2.8	2.2	2.0	2.2	1.2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0
Corsoy	3.0	3.1	2.7	2.9	2.5	1.8		1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0
C1426	3.0	2.7	2.1	2.3	1.9	1.3		1.2	1.0	2.0	3.0
C1453	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.5	2.0		1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0
C1470	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0
C1479	2.8	2.8	2.1	2.2	1.6	1.0		1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0
L65-1354	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.5	1.0	2.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0

Strain	Plant Height										
										*	*
Amsoy	48	49	41	42	42	34	42	41	36	51	38
CX407BC7-50	47	49	42	44	45	33	42	43	34	49	42
CX407BC7-53	48	48	41	40	42	35	43	42	35	50	41
CX407BC7-310	47	46	41	42	42	36	43	42	34	51	40
CX407BC7-326	47	49	42	41	41	36	43	40	35	47	40
Beeson	44	44	36	39	40	32	38	36	29	48	40
Corsoy	44	44	35	40	40	32	41	38	32	49	35
C1426	46	47	41	40	41	34	44	40	35	48	39
C1453	44	44	38	38	40	29	42	36	31	48	40
C1470	46	44	37	35	38	30	44	36	28	49	39
C1479	47	46	38	40	40	33	41	37	32	50	41
L65-1354	41	42	34	34	37	31	41	35	30	50	38



Table 52. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 25 Tests	Ontario		New Jersey Vail	Ohio			Michi- gan Dundee	Indiana		
		Ridge- town	Har- row		Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus		Bluff- ton	Lafa- yette	
Amsoy	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.5		2.5	2.0	1.0	2.5
CX407BC7-50	2.1	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.2	1.2		2.5	2.0	1.0	2.5
CX407BC7-53	2.1	2.0	1.2	2.0	1.0	1.5		2.0	2.0	1.5	2.5
CX407BC7-310	2.2	3.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.2		2.0	2.0	1.5	2.5
CX407BC7-326	2.0	2.0	1.2	2.2	1.0	1.5		2.0	2.0	1.5	2.5
Beeson	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	1.2	2.0		2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0
Corsoy	1.8	3.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5
C1426	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.2	1.7		1.5	1.5	1.0	2.0
C1453	1.7	2.0	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.7		1.5	1.0	1.0	1.5
C1470	2.3	3.0	1.0	2.7	2.0	2.0		3.0	1.5	1.5	2.5
C1479	2.0	3.0	1.2	3.0	1.7	1.5		2.0	1.5	1.5	3.0
L65-1354	1.9	2.0	1.2	2.0	2.0	2.5		1.5	1.5	1.5	3.0

  

Strain	Mean of 24 Tests	Seed Weight									
		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Amsoy	17.1	21.1	15.2	18.5	16.6	18.9	18.6	20.2	16.6	17.7	20.5
CX407BC7-50	17.0	21.8	15.1	18.0	16.1	16.4	18.4	19.1	16.9	17.4	21.1
CX407BC7-53	17.1	22.4	15.2	18.3	16.5	18.4	18.7	19.5	17.5	17.0	21.8
CX407BC7-310	16.7	22.1	15.2	18.2	16.1	18.3	18.1	19.2	17.0	15.8	20.9
CX407BC7-326	16.7	22.5	15.4	18.5	15.9	18.6	18.3	20.1	16.1	16.6	21.5
Beeson	19.1	22.9	16.9	20.1	18.5	23.3	20.7	20.4	19.6	19.2	24.8
Corsoy	15.4	18.7	13.3	15.6	14.4	16.1	17.7	16.3	19.7	14.7	18.0
C1426	19.0	21.4	15.6	19.9	19.1	22.8	18.8	19.8	19.5	18.7	21.9
C1453	14.9	20.1	12.5	14.6	13.5	18.3	19.0	16.0	19.1	14.5	18.3
C1470	16.3	19.7	13.9	15.2	15.5	18.0	18.4	19.1	15.2	16.8	20.0
C1479	17.1	22.2	16.0	18.7	17.4	19.3	17.8	20.0	16.9	17.8	22.1
L65-1354	18.0	21.1	16.3	18.1	16.4	19.4	19.3	19.8	17.9	17.1	20.6

\*Not included in the mean  
 †Irrigated



Table 52. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test II, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Wiscon- sin Madi- son	Illinois							Minnesota		
	Green- field	Wor- thing- ton		De- Kalb	Pon- tiac	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Lam- ber- ton	Wa- seca
Amsoy	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.8	2.3	1.7	3.0	2.0	2.3	2.7	1.0	1.5	1.8
CX407BC7-50	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.3	2.0	2.8	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.0	2.0	1.8
CX407BC7-53	1.5	1.5	3.0	1.8	2.5	1.5	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.8	1.0	1.8	2.0
CX407BC7-310	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.0	2.0	2.2
CX407BC7-326	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.2	2.3	1.8	2.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.0	1.5	1.8
Beeson	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.3	2.7	1.8	2.6	2.0	3.2	3.2	1.0	1.8	2.0
Corsoy	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.7	2.2	1.7	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.7	2.0	1.5	1.5
C1426	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.3	2.3	3.0	2.5	2.3	3.0	2.0	1.5	2.0
C1453	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.5	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.5	2.8	1.0	1.8	2.0
C1470	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.8	2.8	1.8	2.8	2.5	2.7	3.7	2.0	2.0	2.2
C1479	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.3	1.8	1.8	2.8	2.2	2.2	3.2	1.0	1.5	1.8
L65-1354	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.7	1.8	1.7	2.5	3.2	1.0	1.5	1.5

Seed Weight

Amsoy	17.3	16.9	14.8	15.4	15.8	16.7	14.2	13.9	16.2	14.5	19.6	16.7
CX407BC7-50	17.9	16.8	15.0	15.5	15.6	15.7	14.2	13.2	16.6	14.7	19.4	16.5
CX407BC7-53	17.5	17.5	14.9	15.5	16.0	15.7	14.6	13.2	16.0	13.6	19.4	16.7
CX407BC7-310	17.1	16.5	14.7	15.3	15.6	15.0	14.3	13.2	15.2	14.3	18.1	17.5
CX407BC7-326	16.8	15.5	14.8	15.3	15.7	15.0	13.5	13.1	14.8	13.9	18.9	16.8
Beeson	19.7	19.5	16.7	16.9	18.4	17.8	17.8	15.9	17.9	15.9	21.6	19.0
Corsoy	16.3	14.3	13.8	14.7	15.6	14.2	12.7	12.6	14.7	13.5	17.1	16.2
C1426	20.4	18.5	17.5	18.2	19.9	18.6	17.0	15.7	18.2	16.9	20.5	19.1
C1453	14.9	14.5	12.9	14.5	14.6	12.2	12.7	11.9	14.0	12.3	15.4	14.4
C1470	17.0	15.5	14.0	15.9	16.0	15.1	14.2	14.0	15.1	13.2	17.9	16.3
C1479	17.7	17.7	14.9	15.4	17.2	15.5	14.3	14.6	15.5	14.4	18.3	16.0
L65-1354	18.5	18.2	16.5	17.8	17.9	17.0	14.9	16.2	17.0	16.7	20.5	17.5

Table 52. (Continued)

Strain	Iowa				Missouri		South	Nebras-	Kansas	California <sup>1</sup>	
	Suth-	Kana-	Clar-	Ames	Spick-Mt.	Vernon	Dakota	ka	Pow-	Five	
	er-	wha	ence		ard		Brook-	Concord	hat	Davis	Points
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Amsoy	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	3.5	4.0	1.5	1.7	3.0	3.0
CX407BC7-50	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.0	3.5	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.0
CX407BC7-53	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	3.5	3.5	2.0	1.8	3.0	3.0
CX407BC7-310	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.7	2.8	4.0	2.0	2.2	2.0	4.0
CX407BC7-326	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	2.8	3.5	1.5	1.9	1.0	4.0
Beeson	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.6	3.0	1.5	2.2	3.0	4.0
Corsoy	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.0
C1426	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.6	3.0	4.0
C1453	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.3	2.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	4.0
C1470	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.7	2.8	3.0	1.5	2.5	2.0	5.0
C1479	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	1.7	2.0	4.0
L65-1354	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	4.0

	Seed Weight								
						*	*	*	
Amsoy	17.7	16.7			17.4	18.2	18.5	21.4	14.2
CX407BC7-50	17.4	17.4			17.2	18.9	17.2	12.2	15.0
CX407BC7-53	17.8	17.3			16.4	17.8	17.6	13.6	14.6
CX407BC7-310	17.3	16.3			16.4	17.9	17.3	14.7	13.6
CX407BC7-326	17.6	17.1			16.2	17.9	17.5	16.4	14.1
Beeson	19.7	19.5			17.9	20.1	21.2	12.1	17.4
Corsoy	15.5	15.4			15.1	15.4	15.8	12.6	14.7
C1426	19.6	18.9			18.5	21.3	20.3	16.7	16.8
C1453	15.3	15.6			14.1	15.2	17.4	21.0	15.5
C1470	16.4	16.8			15.1	21.6	18.2	21.3	15.2
C1479	17.1	17.0			15.2	17.7	17.5	21.4	16.8
L65-1354	18.5	19.4			17.8	17.6	18.4	17.5	16.6

Table 53. Percentages of protein and oil, Uniform Test II, 1969.

Strain	Mean		New	Ohio	Michi-	Indiana		Wiscon-	Illinois
	of 16	Ontario	Jersey	Colum-	gan	Lafa-	sin		
	Tests	Harrow	Vail	bus	Dundee	Knox	yette	Madison	DeKalb
				*					
Amsoy	40.0	39.7	41.0	39.4	40.8	40.9	41.3	39.1	38.4
CX407BC7-50	39.7	40.0	41.1	39.4	40.2	41.1	40.0	39.8	38.0
CX407BC7-53	40.0	40.3	41.8	40.1	40.2	40.3	40.0	39.2	38.3
CX407BC7-310	39.7	40.8	40.0	40.0	40.8	40.5	39.7	39.0	38.6
CX407BC7-326	39.9	40.3	40.8	39.7	41.5	40.6	40.5	38.7	38.0
Beeson	40.6	42.3	42.2	40.6	41.6	42.5	41.7	39.2	40.0
Corsoy	40.8	41.3	41.5	41.0	41.1	42.7	41.5	39.1	40.0
C1426	41.1	42.4	41.5	41.6	42.2	42.9	41.4	39.2	40.4
C1453	41.9	42.2	42.3	42.1	43.4	44.2	42.8	39.7	40.4
C1470	41.6	41.8	41.0	40.5	44.0	43.8	42.2	41.2	40.8
C1479	41.4	42.3	42.4	41.0	42.7	42.9	41.8	39.7	42.9
L65-1354	42.9	43.3	44.6	43.1	44.2	44.8	43.8	40.9	41.7

Strain	Mean	Percentage of Oil								
	of 16									
	Tests									
				*						
Amsoy	22.7	22.0	21.7	23.1	21.9	22.8	23.4	23.6	22.8	
CX407BC7-50	22.5	21.2	21.3	22.7	22.4	22.8	23.3	23.2	22.4	
CX407BC7-53	22.4	21.6	21.3	22.6	22.1	22.5	22.9	23.3	22.9	
CX407BC7-310	22.4	21.5	21.3	23.4	22.4	22.8	23.0	23.2	22.4	
CX407BC7-326	22.5	22.2	21.3	22.8	21.9	22.6	22.8	23.2	23.2	
Beeson	22.0	20.4	20.9	21.8	21.9	22.0	22.5	23.2	21.7	
Corsoy	21.8	20.8	20.4	21.8	21.5	21.6	22.5	23.2	21.6	
C1426	22.2	20.5	21.6	22.5	21.9	22.3	22.8	23.1	22.3	
C1453	22.2	21.2	21.9	22.8	21.9	21.8	23.0	23.7	22.3	
C1470	22.0	20.8	21.6	22.8	22.2	21.6	22.7	23.3	21.5	
C1479	22.2	20.7	21.2	22.2	21.6	21.9	23.3	23.2	22.2	
L65-1354	21.6	21.2	20.9	21.8	21.9	21.3	21.8	22.7	21.7	

\*Not included in the mean

Table 53. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois			Minnesota	Iowa		Missouri	South	Nebraska
	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Eldo- rado	Lamber- ton	Kana- wha	Ames	Spickard	Dakota Brookings	Concord
Amsoy	41.0	39.7	40.6	38.9	40.0	40.8	38.9	40.9	38.7
CX407BC7-50	40.6	40.1	40.8	36.9	39.2	40.5	38.0	40.3	38.6
CX407BC7-53	40.9	40.9	40.6	41.5	39.9	40.4	38.0	40.4	37.8
CX407BC7-310	41.4	39.0	40.9	37.6	39.0	40.4	38.6	40.5	38.6
CX407BC7-326	41.5	40.4	41.1	38.4	38.8	40.5	38.7	39.8	38.8
Beeson	40.7	39.7	41.5	38.8	40.7	41.4	38.4	40.5	39.0
Corsoy	42.4	40.5	41.5	40.1	41.2	40.5	39.6	41.1	38.6
Cl426	41.4	41.1	42.1	39.3	41.3	41.5	39.0	41.4	40.4
Cl453	41.6	41.4	43.7	40.7	42.5	42.9	40.6	42.1	39.9
Cl470	42.2	41.8	42.0	40.0	41.7	41.4	39.9	42.0	39.7
Cl479	42.5	41.2	42.5	39.2	40.9	41.2	38.7	41.2	39.7
L65-1354	42.6	42.1	44.7	41.9	43.2	44.4	40.4	43.3	40.5

Percentage of Oil

Amsoy	22.8	23.8	23.2	21.7	22.1	22.0	24.9	20.5	23.3
CX407BC7-50	23.1	22.8	23.1	22.0	22.2	21.8	25.0	20.1	23.0
CX407BC7-53	22.8	22.5	22.9	21.7	21.9	21.4	24.5	20.7	22.9
CX407BC7-310	22.8	23.3	22.6	22.0	22.3	21.6	24.3	20.1	22.9
CX407BC7-326	23.1	22.8	23.2	21.8	22.2	21.9	24.3	20.8	22.9
Beeson	22.4	22.2	22.3	21.7	21.6	21.3	23.9	20.7	22.9
Corsoy	22.7	22.4	22.3	21.2	21.5	21.7	23.6	20.7	21.8
Cl426	23.3	23.6	23.2	22.2	20.7	21.6	24.9	20.4	21.3
Cl453	23.3	22.1	22.1	22.0	21.6	21.8	23.9	21.3	21.2
Cl470	23.5	22.4	21.7	21.7	21.9	21.8	23.9	20.2	20.9
Cl479	22.6	22.8	23.5	22.1	21.6	21.8	24.6	20.7	21.5
L65-1354	22.6	22.3	21.5	21.5	20.7	20.9	23.9	19.7	21.5

Table 54. Three-year summary of data, Uniform Test II, 1967-1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	91	91	79	78	90	70	67	45	45
Amsoy	42.5	4	+2.6	2.3	40	2.2	17.2	39.1	22.2
Beeson	43.4	2	+3.4	1.9	38	2.1	18.8	40.1	21.5
Corsoy	43.2	3	0	2.4	38	1.9	15.9	40.0	21.6
Cl426	44.0	1	+4.3	2.1	40	2.0	19.1	40.6	21.7

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Corsoy which matured September 21, 118 days after planting.

Table 55. Three-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test II, 1967-1969.

Strain	Mean of 91 Tests	Ontario		Ohio			Michigan		Indiana			
		Ridge-Har- town	Har- row	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	lum- bus	East Lan- sing	Dun- dee	Knox	Bluff- ton	Lafa- yette	Green- field
Years Tested		1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1968	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969
Amsoy	42.5	57.5	34.4	32.1	28.3	30.5	37.7	43.7	42.2	38.6	52.8	33.2
Beeson	43.4	57.5	35.5	28.2	31.5	32.7	39.6	45.7	43.7	43.2	52.5	41.7
Corsoy	43.2	60.1	33.4	26.1	27.7	27.1	43.3	43.3	40.7	37.0	50.3	31.3
Cl426	44.0	61.2	35.5	25.6	32.4	27.9	41.4	41.2	39.2	40.8	52.8	39.3

Strain	Yield Rank											
	4	3	3	1	3	2	4	2	2	3	1	3
Amsoy	4	3	3	1	3	2	4	2	2	3	1	3
Beeson	2	3	1	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	3	1
Corsoy	3	2	4	3	4	4	1	3	3	4	4	4
Cl426	1	1	1	4	1	3	2	4	4	2	1	2

<sup>1</sup>Lincoln, 1967

\*Irrigated

Table 55. (Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Illinois								Minnesota		
	Wor-thing-ton	Wisconsin-Madison	De-Kalb	Pon-tiac	Ur-bana	Gi-rard	Edge-wood	Tren-ton	Eldo-rado	Car-bon-dale	Lam-ber-ton	Wa-seca	
Years Tested	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969	1968-1969	1968-1969	1967-1969	1967-1969
Amsoy	52.5	43.2	50.0	43.1	50.3	51.3	41.4	50.4	51.0	41.0	39.0	38.0	
Beeson	54.2	46.1	49.4	42.3	48.0	46.1	43.9	48.4	50.5	38.2	36.8	39.9	
Corsoy	45.8	47.2	54.8	45.4	53.3	51.8	41.5	51.3	48.2	40.1	40.6	44.1	
Cl426	53.3	46.8	50.6	44.9	49.7	52.4	46.8	48.5	50.1	41.8	41.9	43.0	

  

	Yield Rank											
Amsoy	3	4	3	3	2	3	4	2	1	2	3	4
Beeson	1	3	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	4	3
Corsoy	4	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	4	3	2	1
Cl426	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	3	3	1	1	2

Table 55. Three-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test II, 1967-1969  
(Continued)

Strain	Iowa				Missouri			South Dakota		Nebraska		California	
	Suth- er- land	Kana- wha	Clar- ence	Ames	Spick- ard	lum- bia	Ver- non	Brook- ings	ter- ville	Con- cord	Mead <sup>1</sup>	Davis*	Five Points*
Years Tested	1967-1967, 1969	1968-1967- 1969	1968-1967- 1969	1968-1967- 1969	1968-1968- 1969	1967-1968- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1968	1967- 1968	1967-1967- 1968	1967-1968	1968- 1969	1968- 1969
Amsoy	34.8	38.0	51.1	43.7	47.2	35.8	36.3	31.3	35.7	43.5	51.8	23.9	20.1
Beeson	34.9	38.9	56.8	46.3	50.0	36.1	38.2	29.5	37.0	44.5	51.0	22.6	26.0
Corsoy	34.6	39.5	53.3	43.7	48.3	37.6	31.9	34.7	43.3	44.8	53.5	28.8	26.2
Cl426	36.0	40.0	56.9	46.7	46.3	39.8	35.6	31.1	39.8	44.1	54.3	21.0	20.7

Yield Rank

Amsoy	3	4	4	3	3	4	2	2	4	4	3	2	4
Beeson	2	3	2	2	1	3	1	4	3	2	4	3	2
Corsoy	4	2	3	3	2	2	4	1	1	1	2	1	1
Cl426	1	1	1	1	4	1	3	3	2	3	1	4	3



UNIFORM TEST III, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Composited	Previous
			Testing (years)
1. Calland	C1253 x Kent	F7	2
2. Wayne	L49-4091 x Clark	F5	8
3. C1471	C1266R x C1253	F6	P.T. III
4. L66L-108	Wayne x L57-0034	F6	P.T. III
5. L66L-140	Wayne x L57-0034	F6	P.T. III
6. L66L-154	Wayne x L57-0034	F6	P.T. III
7. L66L-177	Wayne x L57-9819	F6	P.T. III

Calland has been in the test three years and its performance in comparison with Wayne is given in Tables 62 and 63. Yields for the 3-year period are similar, with a slight advantage for Calland in mean yield. Each variety ranked first at exactly half of the 36 locations. Calland showed a slight lodging advantage and Wayne slightly better seed quality and composition.

C1471 yielded below the checks in 1969 but fairly well for its early maturity. It has a seed quality problem with a tendency toward defective seed coat. The four L strains are similar in having good seed quality and shattering resistance. These strains were selected in early generations for resistance to the rotten seed quality problem often prevalent in southern Illinois. The first three, all from Wayne x L57-0034, averaged a bushel or more above the checks in yield. L66L-108 and L66L-154 showed improved lodging resistance. The average yield of L66L-108 of 48 bushels over 30 locations is a record high for a Uniform Test strain regional mean, reflecting both improved varieties and improved cultural practices.

Table 56. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Uniform Test III, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering				Hypo- cotyl Length mm
							Manhattan		Stoneville		
							Kans.	2 wks.	4 wks.	Miss. Loam Clay	
Calland	P	T	Br	D	Y	B1	1	3.8	3	3.5	229
Wayne	W	T	Br	S	Y	B1	1	3	5	5	251
C1471	P	G	Br	S	Y	Ib	3	3.2	3	5	81
L66L-108	W	T	Tan	S	Y	Lb1	1	1	1	2	217
L66L-140	W	T	Tan	D	Y	B1	1	1	2	2	256
L66L-154	W	T	Tan	S	Y	Lb1	1	1	1	4	160
L66L-177	P	T	Tan	D	Y	B1	1	1	2	2	193



Table 57. Summary of data, Uniform Test III, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	30	30	24	25	28	26	26	15	15
Calland	46.3	5	+1.3	2.3	42	2.0	17.4	40.1	21.7
Wayne	46.6	4	0	2.6	41	1.9	17.0	41.7	22.4
C1471	45.1	7	-3.8	2.0	44	2.7	17.9	41.6	23.0
L66L-108	48.0	1	+2.8	1.9	41	1.7	17.6	40.9	22.9
L66L-140	47.6	3	+3.8	2.5	41	1.8	17.9	39.4	23.4
L66L-154	47.7	2	+2.7	2.0	39	1.7	16.5	40.5	22.7
L66L-177	45.3	6	+1.5	2.3	42	1.7	14.8	39.8	22.9

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Wayne which matured September 25, 122 days after planting.

Table 58. Disease data, Uniform Test III, 1969.

Strain	BB		BP		BSR		DM		PR		PS		PSB		SMV Ia.			
	Ames	BP	Ur-	Kana-	Wor-	El-	Stone-		George-		George-							
	Ia.	Ill.	Ia.	wha	thing-	Ur-	do-	ville	town	town	town	SMV						
	n	a	a	n	ton	bana	rado	FE <sub>2</sub>	Miss.	Del.	Del.	Ia.						
Calland	4	3	1	4	3	15	78	4.0	3.6	2.7	5	R	MR	2	2.4	3.2	15	30
Wayne	2	2.5	1	1	3	18	73	3.9	4.5	4.0	4	S	MR	2	2.5	4.0	45	45
C1471	2	4	1	3.5	2	12	30	3.3	3.7	1.3	4	H	R	1	2.8	3.4	65	20
L66L-108	3	3.5	2	1	3	10	25	3.4	3.9	4.0	5	S	MR	1.5	1.6	1.8	60	25
L66L-140	3	2.0	1	1	3	15	80	3.3	3.3	2.9	5	S	MR	1.5	1.7	2.6	85	10
L66L-154	2	3	2	1.5	3	11	63	3.3	3.8	2.9	5	S	S	2	3.6	2.7	40	45
L66L-177	2	3.5	2	1.5	3	9	55	3.8	3.8	4.0	5	S	MR	1	2.5	3.9	75	70

<sup>1</sup>Mean height of browning in diseased stems

<sup>2</sup>Percent of plants with browning

<sup>3,4</sup>Percent of plants infected, measured serologically (3) and by transmission to beans (4)

Table 59. Yield, yield rank, and maturity dates, Uniform Test III, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 30 Tests	On-	New	Maryland		Ohio			Indiana		
		tario Har-	Jersey Adel-	Taney-	Clarks-	Hoyt-	Woos-	Co-	Bluff-	Lafa-	Green-
		row	phia	town	ville	ville	ter	lum-	ton	yette	field
						*	*	*			
Calland	46.3	29.6	43.7	28.3	47.6	32.3	46.0	45.7	42.0	47.8	51.9
Wayne	46.6	32.2	47.3	23.5	49.0	33.9	50.0	47.8	46.8	54.6	47.8
C1471	45.1	37.0	44.5	25.8	52.2	39.8	46.6	57.5	46.2	50.7	48.8
L66L-108	48.0	35.5	55.3	28.6	50.4	33.0	51.6	49.8	45.5	65.7	47.8
L66L-140	47.6	34.3	43.1	27.8	53.3	32.9	51.2	55.2	41.8	55.4	48.5
L66L-154	47.7	36.6	53.4	25.2	49.9	31.2	46.4	45.2	47.9	60.4	46.8
L66L-177	45.3	34.8	50.6	26.5	45.9	42.3	51.4	50.8	38.9	53.9	48.2
C.V. (%)		6.5	13.1	8.9	5.8	--	--	--	8.2	6.5	8.3
L.S.D. (5%)		3.3	10.9	3.5	5.1	--	--	--	5.1	5.2	N.S.
Row Spacing (In.)		40	31	38	30	32	32	28	30	38	38

Strain	Yield Rank										
	5	7	6	2	6	6	7	6	5	7	1
Calland	5	7	6	2	6	6	7	6	5	7	1
Wayne	4	6	4	7	5	3	4	5	2	4	5
C1471	7	1	5	5	2	2	5	1	3	6	2
L66L-108	1	3	1	1	3	4	1	4	4	1	5
L66L-140	3	5	7	3	1	5	3	2	6	3	3
L66L-154	2	2	2	6	4	7	6	7	1	2	7
L66L-177	6	4	3	4	7	1	2	3	7	5	4

Strain	Mean of 24 Tests	Maturity									
		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Calland	+1.3	+2	+2	+2	+4	0	+2	+4	+1	-1	
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
C1471	-3.8	-3	-4	+1	+2	+2	+6	+1	-2	-4	
L66L-108	+2.8	+4	+3	+1	+5	+3	+5	+4	+1	0	
L66L-140	+3.8	+4	+6	0	+5	+1	+6	+11	0	+1	
L66L-154	+2.7	+5	+5	+1	+4	+4	+5	+9	0	+1	
L66L-177	+1.5	+4	+3	+2	+1	+1	+3	+10	-1	-1	
Amsoy (II)		-7	-5	--	--	-10	-5	-9	-6	-9	
Clark 63 (IV)		--	+6	--	+8	--	--	+14	+3	+1	

Date planted	5-26	6-10	5-29	7-3	5-27	6-4	5-16	5-24	5-26	5-26	5-28
Wayne matured	9-25	10-4	9-25	11-1	9-25	10-10	10-4	9-28	9-28	10-3	--
Days to mature	122	116	119	121	121	128	141	127	125	130	--

\*Not included in the mean  
 †Irrigated

Table 59. Yield, yield rank, and maturity dates, Uniform Test III, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Kentucky		Illinois					Iowa			
	Wor- thing- ton	Evans- ville	Lex- ing- ton	Hen- der- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Ot- tum- Ames	Red wa	Oak
Calland	44.5	47.3	55.9	53.1	42.0	57.5	46.8	48.8	52.4	42.7	39.4	46.3	51.1
Wayne	55.9	48.8	50.2	51.1	46.5	57.7	40.3	55.5	57.4	45.3	41.8	45.2	50.8
C1471	56.7	45.6	38.6	49.5	47.9	53.1	46.7	53.9	54.3	43.2	44.3	44.3	48.0
L66L-108	56.0	46.1	45.7	52.0	44.5	56.3	44.3	52.7	55.9	47.5	39.4	49.3	55.5
L66L-140	54.1	50.1	50.6	47.8	47.4	59.9	40.9	55.1	60.9	50.3	41.6	46.1	57.2
L66L-154	60.5	48.2	40.0	49.7	46.9	59.7	45.2	55.3	59.6	47.4	41.8	47.6	52.2
L66L-177	48.7	45.8	38.4	50.9	42.0	55.1	44.1	50.9	52.6	40.8	39.1	44.5	54.2
C.V. (%)	12.2	12.9	17.0	9.5	6.3	5.4	8.7	5.3	3.3	5.9	6.7	5.5	5.7
L.S.D. (5%)	9.6	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	3.3	4.7	4.1	3.8	4.4
Row Sp. (In.)	38	36	30	30	30	30	38	36	36	40	40	40	40

Yield Rank

Calland	7	4	1	1	6	4	1	7	7	6	5	3	5
Wayne	4	2	3	3	4	3	7	1	3	4	2	5	6
C1471	2	7	6	6	1	7	2	4	5	5	1	7	7
L66L-108	3	5	4	2	5	5	4	5	4	2	5	1	2
L66L-140	5	1	2	7	2	1	6	3	1	1	4	4	1
L66L-154	1	3	5	5	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	4
L66L-177	6	6	7	4	6	6	5	6	6	7	7	6	3

Maturity

Calland	-3	+3	+1	- 1	+2	+3	+ 6	- 3	0	- 1	+1	*	*
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
C1471	-4	-3	+1	- 8	-4	-2	- 2	- 6	- 3	- 8	-2		
L66L-108	0	+3	+5	- 1	+4	+4	+ 6	+ 2	+ 4	+ 4	+2		
L66L-140	+1	+4	+7	0	+2	+6	+ 8	+ 5	+11	+ 3	+3		
L66L-154	0	+5	+4	- 1	+3	+3	+ 5	0	+ 6	+ 2	+2		
L66L-177	-1	+2	+2	0	+4	+3	+ 6	0	+ 2	0	+2		
Amsoy (II)	-7	--	--	-22	-9	-9	- 6	-13	- 7	-13	-8		
Clark 63 (IV)	+3	+4	+4	0	+4	+6	+11	+ 3	+ 9	+ 3	--		
Date planted	5-13	5-29	5-16	5-13	5-16	5-20	5-28	5-17	5-28	6-4	5-15	5-26	5-14
Wayne matured	9-13	9-19	9-14	9-17	9-20	9-20	9-17	9-17	9-14	9-22	10-2	--	--
Days to mature	123	113	121	127	127	123	112	123	109	110	140	--	--

Table 59. (Continued)

Strain	Missouri		South	Nebraska	Kansas					California <sup>1</sup>	
	Spick-Mt. ard	Vernon	Dakota Elk Point		Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot- taw	New- ton		Co- lum- bus
Calland	46.6	47.6	32.8	51.4	50.6	46.5	68.0	59.8	31.4	34.7	25.5
Wayne	39.9	46.1	42.4	48.5	45.9	45.4	64.0	56.8	28.7	32.2	26.2
C1471	45.0	40.8	35.3	49.5	46.3	38.2	49.9	59.1	28.6	30.3	29.5
L66L-108	47.2	47.6	38.8	50.8	51.6	44.0	57.2	62.9	30.0	35.5	30.6
L66L-140	46.4	42.1	34.9	54.3	50.2	43.8	61.4	61.1	31.2	35.8	24.0
L66L-154	47.7	43.7	42.3	52.8	49.8	43.6	54.4	62.8	27.0	31.4	27.1
L66L-177	45.6	43.8	35.9	50.8	47.8	48.3	59.2	60.2	26.8	35.2	28.4
C.V. (%)	8.1	10.9	14.2	6.0	6.3	9.9	8.9	5.8	9.6	13.1	12.0
L.S.D. (5%)	5.5	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	7.8	N.S.	N.S.	3.2	N.S.
Row Spacing (In.)	15	15	30	30	28	28	36	30	28	30	30

Yield Rank

Calland	3	1	7	3	2	2	1	5	1	4	6
Wayne	7	3	1	7	7	3	2	7	4	5	5
C1471	6	7	5	6	6	7	7	6	5	7	2
L66L-108	2	1	3	4	1	4	5	1	3	2	1
L66L-140	4	6	6	1	3	5	3	3	2	1	7
L66L-154	1	5	2	2	4	6	6	2	6	6	4
L66L-177	5	4	4	4	5	1	4	4	7	3	3

Maturity

	*	*		*							*
Calland			-1	--	+3	+ 1	+ 3	+ 1	+ 1	+4	+ 5
Wayne			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C1471			-2	-2	0	-16	- 6	- 7	-15	+5	+ 4
L66L-108			+4	--	+2	+ 1	+ 5	+ 3	+ 4	0	0
L66L-140			+4	--	+3	+ 3	+ 5	+ 4	+ 4	+1	+ 1
L66L-154			+3	+2	+3	+ 2	+ 4	+ 3	+ 1	+4	0
L66L-177			+2	--	+2	- 1	+ 2	+ 1	0	+3	0
Amsoy (II)			--	-8	-4	-19	-10	-10	-17	--	- 6
Clark 63 (IV)			--	--	+6	+ 8	+11	+ 8	+ 6	0	+10
Date planted	5-28	5-19	5-28	6-3	6-4	5-27	5-20	5-14	5-29	6-6	6-18
Wayne matured	--	--	10-15	10-8	9-30	9-30	9-25	9-17	9-26	9-21	10-15
Days to mature	--	--	140	127	118	126	128	126	120	107	119

Table 60. Lodging scores, plant height, and seed quality scores, Uniform Test III, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 25 Tests	On- tario Har- row	New Jersey Adel- phia	Maryland		Ohio			Indiana		
				Taney- town	Clarks- ville	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	lum- bus	Bluff- ton	Lafa- yette	Green- field
Calland	2.3	3.0	1.7	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.4	2.9	1.6
Wayne	2.6	3.0	1.7	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	3.3	3.3	1.4
C1471	2.0	1.0	1.9	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.3
L66L-108	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.4	2.1	1.1
L66L-140	2.5	2.8	2.2	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.3	3.3	1.3
L66L-154	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.8	2.3	1.1
L66L-177	2.3	2.5	1.7	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.9	2.6	1.3

  

Strain	Mean of 28 Tests	Plant Height									
						*	*	*			
Calland	42	36		20	51	33	36	42	51	50	44
Wayne	41	36		18	44	34	36	42	51	47	41
C1471	44	39		18	44	37	38	46	54	52	47
L66L-108	41	37		18	41	32	34	43	45	48	41
L66L-140	41	38		19	42	32	35	42	46	50	43
L66L-154	39	34		17	41	30	31	41	45	46	38
L66L-177	42	37		19	44	36	35	45	49	49	41

  

Strain	Mean of 26 Tests	Seed Quality Score									
		*	*	*	*						
Calland	2.0	1.2	2.2	3.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	2.5	1.0	1.5	1.5
Wayne	1.9	1.8	2.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.2	1.0	1.5	1.5
C1471	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	1.5
L66L-108	1.7	1.2	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
L66L-140	1.8	1.2	1.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	1.0	1.5	1.0
L66L-154	1.7	1.5	2.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.5	1.5
L66L-177	1.7	1.5	1.7	3.0	1.0	1.2	1.7	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.5

\*Not included in the mean  
 †Irrigated



Table 60. (Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Kentucky		Illinois						Iowa		
	Wor-thing-ton	Evans-ville	Lex-ington	Hen-der-son	Ur-bana	Gi-rard	Edge-wood	Tren-ton	Eldo-rado	Car-bon-dale	Ames	Ot-tum-wa	Red Oak
Calland	2.0	3.3	2.0	2.3	1.4	2.2	1.5	3.3	2.5	2.0	2.6	2.4	2.0
Wayne	2.4	3.5	2.7	2.3	1.4	2.5	1.4	2.7	2.9	2.0	3.1	3.0	2.4
C1471	1.6	3.8	2.8	2.0	1.2	2.5	1.2	2.2	3.2	1.0	2.0	2.2	1.9
L66L-108	2.4	1.5	3.5	2.5	1.4	2.1	1.2	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.4	2.2	1.6
L66L-140	2.6	3.0	3.2	2.5	1.4	3.2	1.2	2.3	3.5	2.0	3.1	2.4	2.2
L66L-154	1.9	2.3	3.5	2.2	1.4	2.7	1.3	1.9	2.4	1.0	2.2	2.3	2.0
L66L-177	2.5	2.2	3.2	1.8	1.6	2.2	1.4	2.2	3.0	1.0	2.9	2.4	2.2

Plant Height

Calland	52	44	51	50	41	50	35	49	53	43	44	39	50
Wayne	48	44	48	48	41	49	36	51	51	43	44	39	49
C1471	54	47	52	50	39	51	38	54	53	47	46	40	54
L66L-108	48	44	46	48	39	48	35	51	52	44	44	40	48
L66L-140	48	44	46	50	39	50	34	49	51	42	46	39	50
L66L-154	46	42	47	47	38	47	32	47	49	41	43	37	47
L66L-177	49	44	49	50	43	50	37	53	52	45	46	40	51

Seed Quality Score

Calland	1.5	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	1.5	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Wayne	1.5	2.5	2.2	3.5	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.5	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
C1471	3.0	3.0	3.2	4.7	3.5	4.0	2.8	2.3	3.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
L66L-108	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.7	2.4	2.2	1.3	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
L66L-140	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.8	1.7	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
L66L-154	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.3	1.2	2.5	1.7	1.2	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
L66L-177	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.7	1.2	1.8	1.5	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Table 60. Lodging scores, plant height, and seed quality scores, Uniform Test III, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Missouri		South	Nebraska	Kansas					Co-	Calif- ornia <sup>1</sup> Five Points
	Spick-Mt. ard	Vernon	Dakota Elk Point		hat-	Man-	Man-	Ot-	New-		
				Concord	tan	tan	tan <sup>1</sup>	tawa	ton	bus	
Calland	--	2.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.6	2.3	1.0	1.3	3.0
Wayne	--	1.8	4.0	1.0	1.0	3.1	2.8	4.2	1.0	1.4	4.0
C1471	--	1.3	2.5	1.0	1.0	2.1	1.2	4.1	1.0	1.2	3.0
L66L-108	--	1.0	3.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.1	1.0	1.3	3.0
L66L-140	--	1.3	4.0	1.0	1.0	2.2	2.5	4.1	1.0	1.4	3.0
L66L-154	--	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.8	4.1	1.0	1.3	4.0
L66L-177	--	1.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.8	3.8	1.0	1.2	3.0

Plant Height

			*								*
Calland	43	37	45	37	34	37	44	42	29	27	46
Wayne	42	39	45	38	32	36	42	41	30	29	43
C1471	44	36	45	40	34	40	47	43	29	28	43
L66L-108	42	40	45	35	33	36	46	41	27	27	41
L66L-140	41	41	45	36	33	35	45	41	28	28	41
L66L-154	39	39	45	35	30	36	43	40	29	27	42
L66L-177	41	40	45	36	32	36	47	41	29	30	43

Seed Quality Score

											*
Calland	1.2	2.3	2.5	1.0	1.7	2.7	1.9	1.5	2.8	1.5	3.0
Wayne	1.1	2.3	2.5	1.0	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.7	2.3	1.3	3.0
C1471	1.6	2.6	3.5	1.5	2.6	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.1	1.8	4.0
L66L-108	1.1	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.4	2.0	1.4	1.7	2.1	1.4	3.0
L66L-140	1.1	2.0	2.5	1.0	1.2	2.0	2.3	1.5	2.8	1.4	3.0
L66L-154	1.0	2.2	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.6	2.0	1.3	3.0
L66L-177	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.4	3.0

Table 61. Seed weight and percentages of protein and oil, Uniform Test III, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 26 Tests	On- tario Har- row	New Jersey Adel- phia	Maryland		Ohio			Indiana		
				Taney- town	Clarks- ville	Hoyt- ville	Woos- ter	Co- lum- bus	Bluff- ton	Lafa- yette	Green- field
Calland	17.4	14.6	14.7	21.7	18.1	19.4	19.1	19.6	16.6	19.6	16.8
Wayne	17.0	14.8	14.6	18.1	18.4	17.5	19.3	20.6	16.4	19.5	16.7
C1471	17.9	16.0	14.9	19.2	19.7	18.1	21.2	21.4	18.1	21.9	18.3
L66L-108	17.6	16.2	15.6	19.9	18.5	19.1	18.8	19.5	16.8	21.4	17.9
L66L-140	17.9	15.3	15.0	19.9	19.7	18.5	18.8	19.9	16.4	21.1	18.4
L66L-154	16.5	14.8	14.7	18.4	17.7	17.0	17.6	19.4	15.9	19.8	17.2
L66L-177	14.8	13.6	13.2	17.9	15.3	16.2	16.4	17.2	14.1	17.9	15.6

  

Strain	Mean of 15 Tests	Percentage of Protein				
		On- tario	New Jersey	Maryland	Ohio	Indiana
Calland	40.1	40.7	40.5	40.3	41.2	
Wayne	41.7	42.3	41.6	42.7	43.4	
C1471	41.6	42.0	42.3	41.2	43.1	
L66L-108	40.9	40.5	40.6	41.9	42.2	
L66L-140	39.4	40.4	39.2	41.1	39.6	
L66L-154	40.5	41.4	39.8	41.7	41.6	
L66L-177	39.8	40.4	40.4	41.3	40.4	

  

Strain	Mean of 15 Tests	Percentage of Oil				
		On- tario	New Jersey	Maryland	Ohio	Indiana
Calland	21.7	21.4	21.2	21.2	21.5	
Wayne	22.4	22.3	22.1	21.7	21.8	
C1471	23.0	22.5	22.8	23.1	22.5	
L66L-108	22.9	23.2	22.9	21.8	22.8	
L66L-140	23.4	22.9	23.4	21.5	22.9	
L66L-154	22.7	22.9	22.9	21.9	21.8	
L66L-177	22.9	23.2	22.4	22.0	23.1	

\*Not included in the mean  
1Irrigated



Table 61. Seed weight and percentages of protein and oil, Uniform Test III, 1969  
(Continued)

Strain	Indiana		Kentucky		Illinois					Iowa Ames	
	Wor- thing- ton	Evans- ville	Lex- ing- ton	Hen- der- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado		Car- bon- dale
Calland	16.2	15.5	19.6	17.5	16.3	18.5	16.5	15.3	16.3	15.9	18.1
Wayne	17.5	16.1	18.0	17.6	16.1	18.1	14.1	17.0	16.0	16.4	16.9
C1471	18.5	15.2	21.6	16.9	17.3	20.0	16.0	15.5	17.0	17.2	19.3
L66L-108	17.0	17.3	19.5	18.0	15.5	19.4	15.0	15.5	16.0	17.5	18.3
L66L-140	18.9	17.1	19.6	17.9	15.5	19.4	14.5	16.7	17.2	17.1	19.3
L66L-154	16.6	17.1	18.8	17.0	15.2	18.7	14.4	14.6	15.7	16.9	16.8
L66L-177	14.9	14.3	16.7	15.3	12.6	15.6	12.5	12.9	13.9	14.7	15.0

Percentage of Protein

Calland	39.9		41.5	41.2	39.5		41.0		40.3
Wayne	41.0		42.5	42.8	41.2		42.9		41.7
C1471	40.6		42.1	41.6	42.0		43.6		42.0
L66L-108	40.3		42.4	41.6	41.1		42.7		40.8
L66L-140	39.2		40.5	40.5	38.9		40.3		38.8
L66L-154	39.9		41.6	42.0	41.7		41.5		40.2
L66L-177	39.4		40.8	41.9	39.9		41.5		39.5

Percentage of Oil

Calland	22.3		21.9	20.9	22.0		21.3		20.9
Wayne	23.4		22.5	21.7	23.2		21.9		21.7
C1471	24.0		23.0	23.6	23.5		22.5		22.9
L66L-108	24.0		22.7	22.6	23.2		22.8		22.5
L66L-140	24.8		24.2	22.8	23.9		23.5		23.1
L66L-154	23.8		22.6	22.6	22.7		22.6		22.9
L66L-177	24.1		23.2	21.5	23.0		22.2		23.0

Table 61. (Continued)

Strain	Missouri Spickard	South Dakota	Nebraska Concord	Kansas					Cali- fornia <sup>1</sup> Five Points *	
		Elk Point		Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot- tawa	New- ton		Co- lum- bus
Calland		16.5	20.4	17.9	16.1	18.9	16.4	15.8	22.0	15.0
Wayne		18.5	18.1	16.3	15.2	19.2	16.8	14.3	20.0	15.9
C1471		19.5	19.2	20.1	14.2	19.1	17.1	12.9	21.1	16.7
L66L-108		18.3	19.5	16.7	17.4	18.3	16.9	16.1	20.0	15.8
L66L-140		16.6	18.5	17.8	16.2	21.5	17.9	16.7	20.0	16.4
L66L-154		17.2	17.9	16.1	14.5	16.6	15.2	13.0	18.7	14.1
L66L-177		15.0	15.9	13.6	14.3	15.7	15.1	13.5	16.8	13.6

Percentage of Protein

Calland	38.5	39.9	39.4	38.7	39.8	39.5
Wayne	39.5	40.6	41.2	41.8	41.5	41.1
C1471	39.3	40.9	41.0	43.0	40.9	39.0
L66L-108	38.4	40.2	40.5	41.1	39.1	41.3
L66L-140	37.4	38.3	38.7	40.0	38.8	40.0
L66L-154	38.4	39.3	39.3	41.0	38.7	41.5
L66L-177	37.8	38.5	38.3	40.2	38.3	40.4

Percentage of Oil

Calland	23.0	21.0	21.7	22.1	22.5	22.4
Wayne	23.1	21.6	21.4	22.1	23.2	23.3
C1471	24.8	22.2	20.3	22.8	24.0	24.0
L66L-108	24.2	21.6	21.1	22.8	23.8	23.4
L66L-140	24.6	22.5	20.2	23.2	24.7	24.1
L66L-154	23.9	21.9	20.3	22.8	23.8	23.3
L66L-177	23.8	21.9	22.4	21.7	24.1	23.2

Table 62. Three-year summary of data, Uniform Test III, 1967-1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	96	96	78	79	92	81	75	43	43
Calland	44.0	1	+1.2	2.1	41	2.1	17.4	39.3	21.4
Wayne	43.5	2	0	2.4	40	1.9	16.6	40.9	21.7

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Wayne which matured September 24, 121 days after planting.

Table 63. Three-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test III, 1967-1969.

Strain	Mean of 96 Tests	On-	New	Mary-	Ohio			Indiana				
		tario	Jersey	land	Hoyt-	Woos-	lum-	Bluff-	Lafa-	Green-	thing-	Evans-
Years Tested		Har- row	Adel- phia	Clarks- ville	ville	ter	bus	ton	yette	field	ton	ville
		1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1968- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969
Calland	44.0	30.3	49.9	60.3	33.1	32.3	38.3	43.3	46.1	38.4	54.2	50.1
Wayne	43.5	32.8	45.6	53.7	36.9	33.3	38.6	42.9	50.2	38.6	56.2	47.9

Yield Rank

Calland	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1
Wayne	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

<sup>2</sup>Lincoln, 1967

Table 63. (Continued)

Strain	Kentucky		Illinois					Iowa			Missouri			
	Lex- ton	Hen- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- dale	Ot- Ames	tum- wa	Red Oak	Spick- ard	lum- bia	Co- Mt. non
Years Tested	1968- 1969	1968- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1968- 1969	1967- 1968	1968- 1969
Calland	48.2	45.8	48.0	48.4	45.9	48.7	48.8	43.1	42.3	47.8	45.8	44.5	37.5	45.4
Wayne	47.1	48.0	49.3	50.0	44.7	50.5	51.6	44.7	42.9	45.3	43.6	41.2	37.1	44.0

Strain	Yield Rank													
	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
Calland	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Wayne	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2

Table 63. Three-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test III, 1967-1969 (Continued)

Strain	Missouri	South	Nebraska				Kansas				Calif-
	Por- tage- ville <sup>1</sup>	Dakota Center- ville	Con- cord	Mead <sup>2</sup>	Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot- tawa	New- ton	Co- lum- bus	fornia <sup>1</sup> Five Points
Years Tested	1967- 1968	1967- 1968	1967- 1969	1967- 1968	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1967- 1969	1968- 1969
Calland	30.3	35.6	39.0	52.5	46.5	44.0	67.0	53.2	31.6	32.3	24.9
Wayne	31.2	36.4	40.4	54.3	41.1	41.7	61.0	52.3	25.9	28.2	22.0
Yield Rank											
Calland	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Wayne	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

PRELIMINARY TEST III, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Compositied
1. Calland		
2. Wayne		
3. L67-3542	Wayne-Rps <sup>5</sup> x Clark-Ir	F <sub>3</sub>
4. L67-3544	Wayne-Rps <sup>5</sup> x Clark-Ir	F <sub>3</sub>
5. L67-3550	Wayne-Rps <sup>5</sup> x Clark-Ir	F <sub>3</sub>
6. L66-1420	Wayne x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
7. L66L-137	Wayne x L57-0034	F <sub>6</sub>
8. L66L-172	Wayne x L57-0034	F <sub>6</sub>
9. L66L-263	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
10. L66L-299	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>

The first three strains in this test are Wayne backcross lines combining genes for phytophthora resistance (Rps from Clark 63) with those for yellow hilum (Ir from Richland and T145 via the backcross line Clark-Ir). L67-3544 was the best of the three in mean yield but was slightly later in maturity. All three averaged below Wayne in yield, but relative yields were extremely variable from location to location and at one place (Elk Point, South Dakota) there was a 20 bushel advantage for Wayne.

Of the remaining strains, the two from Wayne x L57-0034 showed good yield, good lodging resistance, and high oil content. L66-1420 compared favorably with Calland in performance and had superior seed composition but showed shattering tendencies. L66L-263 and -299 were rather consistently low in yield.

Table 64. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Preliminary Test III, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering			
							Manhattan Kans.		Stoneville Miss.	
							2 wks.	4 wks.	Loam	Clay
Calland	P	T	Br	D	Y	B1	1	3	3	3.5
Wayne	W	T	Br	S	Y	B1	3	3	5	5
L67-3542	W	T	Br	D	Y	Y	3	4.2	4	4.5
L67-3544	W	T	Br	D	Y	Y	3	3.5	4	5
L67-3550	W	T	Br	D	Y	Y	3	3	3	4.5
L66-1420	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	1	3.5	4	5
L66L-137	W	T	Tan	D	Y	B1	1	1	3	4
L66L-172	W	T	Tan	D	Y	B1	1	3.5	2	5
L66L-263	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	1	1	1	1
L66L-299	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	3	3.8	1	2



Table 65. Summary of data, Preliminary Test III, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Maturity <sup>1</sup>	Lodging	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	18	18	14	15	17	15	12	9	9
Calland	48.0	5	+1.9	2.1	44	1.8	17.5	39.9	21.8
Wayne	50.4	2	0	2.4	43	1.7	17.4	41.6	22.0
L67-3542	47.8	7	-0.1	2.6	44	2.0	16.5	41.0	21.9
L67-3544	48.8	4	+1.4	2.4	45	1.9	16.8	41.0	21.9
L67-3550	47.5	8	+1.4	2.6	44	1.9	17.3	41.6	21.9
L66-1420	48.0	5	+2.2	2.4	45	1.6	15.0	41.5	22.5
L66L-137	51.4	1	+2.4	2.1	42	1.8	17.9	39.1	23.2
L66L-172	50.1	3	+0.3	1.9	42	1.6	15.5	39.8	22.6
L66L-263	44.7	10	+0.3	2.3	42	1.6	15.2	41.2	21.9
L66L-299	45.4	9	+3.3	2.4	44	1.6	15.6	41.5	22.2

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Wayne which matured September 24, 123 days after planting.

Table 66. Disease data, Preliminary Test III, 1969.

Strain	DM												
	BB		BSR	Wor-		Eldo-	FE <sub>2</sub>	PS		PSB		PR	
	Ames	BP		thing-	Urbana			rado	George-	George-	Stone-		
	Ia.	Ill.	Urbana	ton	Urbana	rado	town	town	Ind.	Miss.	vigor		
n	a	n	n	n	n	n	a	n	n	a	n		
Calland	3	3	4	3	3.5	1.5	5	2.4	3.2	R	MR	2	
Wayne	3	1	3	4	5.0	4.0	4	2.5	4.0	S	MR	2	
L67-3542	3	1	3	4	5.0	4.0	4	3.2	4.5	R	R	1	
L67-3544	3	1	3	4	5.0	4.0	4	2.7	4.7	R	R	1	
L67-3550	3	1	3	4	5.0	4.0	5	2.0	4.5	R	R	1	
L66-1420	3	1	3	3.5	4.8	4.0	3	1.5	2.3	S	MR	2	
L66L-137	3	1	3	4	3.8	2.8	5	1.6	2.7	S	MR	2	
L66L-172	3	1	3	3	4.0	3.4	5	3.7	4.5	S	S	3	
L66L-263	3	1	3	4	4.8	4.0	5	2.3	3.0	R	R	1	
L66L-299	3	1	3	3	4.5	4.0	5	1.3	2.0	R	R	1	

Table 67. Yield and yield rank, Preliminary Test III, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 18 Tests	Mary- land Clarks- ville	Ohio			Indiana		Illinois			
			Hoyt- ville *	Woos- ter *	Co- lum- bus *	Lafa- yette	Wor- thing- ton	Ur- bana	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado
Calland	48.0	47.3	30.7	50.6	50.9	59.2	45.2	50.3	50.5	44.9	59.6
Wayne	50.4	54.8	34.6	45.2	55.0	55.4	52.0	45.0	43.6	52.5	59.2
L67-3542	47.8	61.1	33.5	51.3	31.8	56.2	60.7	41.7	44.2	57.9	51.3
L67-3544	48.8	55.8	32.3	--	53.8	57.7	51.3	43.0	47.8	56.6	56.0
L67-3550	47.5	57.2	31.0	--	49.7	56.2	47.2	46.2	48.0	51.7	53.6
L66-1420	48.0	50.3	34.2	44.1	44.3	59.4	56.9	45.1	44.9	53.5	49.8
L66L-137	51.4	52.7	31.6	56.9	46.2	65.1	58.5	51.9	49.3	52.8	53.8
L66L-172	50.1	55.0	25.7	46.2	54.1	57.0	58.4	45.9	48.8	56.2	60.0
L66L-263	44.7	43.7	26.9	40.8	32.0	53.3	59.6	41.3	41.5	55.9	47.0
L66L-299	45.4	47.8	30.1	44.4	43.6	54.3	49.6	40.6	51.2	51.4	49.9
Coef. of Var. (%)		8.6	--	--	--	4.3	14.2	3.5	7.2	10.0	6.0
L.S.D. (5%)		7.8	--	--	--	6.3	N.S.	3.6	N.S.	N.S.	7.3
Row Spacing (In.)		30	32	32	28	38	38	30	38	36	36

Strain	Yield Rank										
	5	9	7	3	4	3	10	2	2	10	2
Calland	5	9	7	3	4	3	10	2	2	10	2
Wayne	2	5	1	5	1	8	6	6	9	7	3
L67-3542	7	1	3	2	10	6	1	8	8	1	7
L67-3544	4	3	4	--	3	4	7	7	6	2	4
L67-3550	8	2	6	--	5	6	9	3	5	8	6
L66-4120	5	7	2	7	7	2	5	5	7	5	9
L66L-137	1	6	5	1	6	1	3	1	3	6	5
L66L-172	3	4	10	4	2	5	4	4	4	3	1
L66L-263	10	10	9	8	9	10	2	9	10	4	10
L66L-299	9	8	8	6	8	9	8	10	1	9	8

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

Table 67. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois Carbon- dale	Iowa			Missouri		South	Kansas			Ot- tawa
		Ames	Ot- tum- wa	Red Oak	Spick-Mt. ard	Vernon	Dakota Elk Point	Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	
Calland	46.0	42.8	45.2	50.7	41.0	33.2	35.1	45.4	48.8	57.4	60.6
Wayne	42.8	41.2	48.6	52.0	40.5	38.5	53.9	48.6	49.4	67.5	61.1
L67-3542	41.7	40.7	43.8	47.3	41.3	35.1	32.9	44.8	44.7	55.6	60.2
L67-3544	41.8	40.6	44.4	50.8	41.2	35.9	33.3	45.2	46.5	66.8	64.2
L67-3550	39.1	38.9	46.9	47.3	43.9	34.0	33.4	46.8	50.3	55.0	60.0
L66-1420	41.0	41.0	43.9	46.5	42.7	37.1	38.5	45.1	43.7	61.5	62.4
L66L-137	50.2	42.6	46.9	56.6	44.1	34.0	45.4	44.2	48.1	70.8	58.8
L66L-172	43.3	39.4	48.1	54.8	40.5	28.3	43.2	44.2	41.5	66.0	70.8
L66L-263	39.7	34.5	39.8	47.8	38.2	32.3	36.2	44.8	46.4	53.6	49.0
L66L-299	36.3	37.0	38.4	47.8	41.3	30.6	39.9	40.6	45.3	59.5	55.9
C. V. (%)	7.2	8.2	4.2	5.7	7.9	17.5	15.8	5.4	6.2	9.3	3.4
L.S.D. (5%)	6.8	7.4	4.3	6.5	7.4	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	4.6
Row Sp. (In.)	40	40	40	40	15	15	30	28	28	36	30

Yield Rank

Calland	2	1	5	5	7	7	7	3	3	7	5
Wayne	4	3	1	3	8	1	1	1	2	2	4
L67-3542	6	5	8	8	4	4	10	6	8	8	6
L67-3544	5	6	6	4	6	3	9	4	5	3	2
L67-3550	9	8	3	8	2	5	8	2	1	9	7
L66-1420	7	4	7	10	3	2	5	5	9	5	3
L66L-137	1	2	3	1	1	5	2	8	4	1	8
L66L-172	3	7	2	2	8	10	3	8	10	4	1
L66L-263	8	10	9	6	10	8	6	6	6	10	10
L66L-299	10	9	10	6	4	9	4	10	7	6	9

Table 68. Maturity dates, Preliminary Test III, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 14 Tests	Mary- land Clarks- ville	Ohio			Indiana		Illinois			
			Hoyt- ville *	Woos- ter *	lum- bus *	Lafa- yette	Wor- thing- ton	Ur- bana	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado
Calland	+1.9	+5	- 1	+ 1	+ 1	0	-2	+ 7	+ 3	0	+1
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L67-3542	-0.1	0	- 3	+ 1	+ 1	-1	-1	- 1	0	+ 1	0
L67-3544	+1.4	+1	- 2	--	+ 1	0	-1	0	+ 1	+ 1	+2
L67-3550	+1.4	+1	- 3	--	+ 2	0	0	0	+ 2	+ 1	+2
L66-1420	+2.2	+2	0	- 1	+ 5	-1	0	+ 2	+ 1	+ 2	+1
L66L-137	+2.4	+2	+ 1	+ 1	+ 1	-1	0	+ 3	+ 4	+ 3	+5
L66L-172	+0.3	+1	+ 1	- 1	+ 1	-2	0	+ 2	+ 1	- 1	0
L66L-263	+0.3	+1	+ 2	- 1	+ 1	-3	-1	+ 1	+ 1	+ 1	0
L66L-299	+3.3	+4	+ 1	- 2	+ 4	+1	0	+ 5	+ 3	+ 3	+4
Amsoy (II)		--	-11	-10	-19	-8	-8	-11	- 6	-13	-8
Clark 63 (IV)		+8	--	--	+ 4	+2	+2	+ 2	+11	+ 3	+8
Date planted	5-24	5-27	6-4	5-16	5-24	5-27	5-13	5-16	5-28	5-17	5-28
Wayne matured	9-24	9-25	10-11	10-9	10-8	10-2	9-14	9-22	9-17	9-17	9-15
Days to mature	123	121	129	146	137	128	124	129	112	123	110

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

Table 68. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois Carbon- dale	Iowa			Missouri		South Dakota	Kansas			
		Ames	Ot- tum- wa	Red Oak	Spick-Mt. ard	Vernon	Elk Point	Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot- tawa
Calland	+ 5	+2					+3	+1	+ 1	+ 2	- 2
Wayne	0	0					0	0	0	0	0
L67-3542	0	0					+3	-1	- 2	+ 4	- 4
L67-3544	+ 4	+2					+6	+1	0	+ 5	- 2
L67-3550	+ 4	0					+3	+1	+ 1	+ 6	- 2
L66-1420	+ 8	+2					+6	+1	+ 1	+ 6	0
L66L-137	+ 5	+1					+5	+2	+ 1	+ 4	0
L66L-172	0	0					+4	+1	- 1	+ 3	- 4
L66L-263	+ 5	0					-3	+1	0	+ 7	- 6
L66L-299	+ 9	+2					+6	+2	+ 3	+ 8	- 4
Amsoy (II)	-13	-8					--	-4	-19	-10	-10
Clark 63 (IV)	+ 3	--					--	+6	+ 8	+11	+ 8
Date planted	6-4	5-15	5-26	5-14	5-28	5-19	5-28	6-4	5-27	5-20	5-14
Wayne matured	9-16	10-2	--	--	--	--	10-16	9-30	9-30	9-25	9-17
Days to mature	104	140	--	--	--	--	141	118	126	128	126

## UNIFORM TEST IV, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Composited	Previous Testing (years)
1. Clark 63	(Clark <sup>5</sup> x L49-4091) x (Clark <sup>6</sup> x Blackhawk)	13 F <sub>3</sub> lines	7
2. Cutler	C1069 x Clark	F <sub>7</sub>	6
3. C1481	Cutler <sup>4</sup> x Kent-Rps rxp-SL5	6 F <sub>3</sub> lines	0
4. Kent	Lincoln x Ogden	F <sub>7</sub>	15
5. C1456	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>7</sub>	1
6. C1473	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>6</sub>	P.T. IV
7. C1474	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>6</sub>	P.T. IV
8. C1475	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>6</sub>	P.T. IV
9. C1476	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>6</sub>	P.T. IV
10. C1480	(C1266R <sup>7</sup> x Wayne) x (C1266R <sup>8</sup> x C1253)	4 F <sub>3</sub> lines	0
11. D66-4505	D53-3542 x D54-2437	F <sub>7</sub>	U.T. IVS
12. Md63-3303-3	(9 Protein sources x Dunfield) x Clark	F <sub>7</sub>	P.T. IV

The three named variety checks have been in this test seven years or more, and a 7-year summary is presented in Tables 77 and 78. Cutler has shown rather consistent yield superiority over Clark 63 and has averaged slightly above Kent regionally despite its earlier maturity.

C1481 is a phytophthora-resistant Cutler backcross and despite favorable yields at Edgewood, Illinois, and Portageville, Missouri (clay), where phytophthora rot was a factor, it averaged about a bushel below Cutler in regional yield. This yield loss is similar to that found previously with most other phytophthora-resistant backcross varieties.

Of the C strains, C1474 showed the best regional performance, being much earlier than the top-yielding C1476 and essentially equal in yield. C1474 also has excellent seed composition, being higher in protein and equal in oil to the check varieties, but was prone to shattering in both Kansas and Mississippi tests.

D66-4505 had very good lodging resistance and excellent seed quality, perhaps related to its small seed size. However, it was very variable in relative yield and averaged with the poorest, although over-all range in yield was not very great in this test. It showed evidence of good field resistance to phytophthora although susceptible to artificial inoculation.

Table 69. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering				Hypo- cotyl Length mm
							Manhattan		Stoneville		
							Kans. 2 wks.	4 wks.	Miss. Loam	Clay	
Clark 63	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	1	1	114
Cutler	P	T	Br	S	Y	Bl	1	1	3	3.5	76
C1481	P	T	Br	S	Y	Bl	1	1	3	3	87
Kent	P	T	Br	I	Y	Bl	1	3	3	3	204
C1456	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	3	3.8	3	3	194
C1473	P	G	Br	S	Y	Ib	3	4.8	4	2	124
C1474	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	3.5	5	4	4.5	88
C1475	P	G	Br	S	Y	Ib	3	5	3	3.5	170
C1476	P	G	Br	S	Y	Bf	1	5	2	2.5	134
C1480	P	G	Br	D	Y	Bf	3.5	5	3	3.5	101
D66-4505	P	G	Tan	S	Y	Ib	1	3	1	1	168
Md63-3303-3	W	T	Br	S	Y	Dib	3	5	2	4.5	206



Table 70. Summary of data, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Maturity <sup>1</sup>	Lodging	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	27	27	25	25	27	27	24	12	12
Clark 63	42.9	11	0	2.3	42	2.1	15.8	40.6	22.5
Cutler	45.4	3	+2.9	1.9	42	2.3	18.0	41.1	22.5
C1481	44.5	6	+2.9	1.9	43	2.2	17.9	40.7	22.4
Kent	44.2	9	+7.0	1.7	41	2.3	17.6	41.0	22.4
C1456	44.7	5	+0.8	2.9	46	2.4	15.7	40.7	22.8
C1473	45.4	3	+5.2	2.7	49	2.3	16.2	42.5	22.0
C1474	45.7	2	+0.4	2.3	46	2.2	17.0	43.2	22.5
C1475	44.3	7	+1.8	2.4	47	2.1	15.2	41.7	22.1
C1476	45.9	1	+8.7	2.5	48	2.6	16.9	41.2	22.0
C1480	44.3	7	+3.1	2.6	46	2.4	16.5	42.3	21.7
D66-4505	43.1	10	+6.3	1.6	40	1.7	13.1	40.5	21.8
Md63-3303-3	42.9	11	+5.4	1.8	37	2.2	16.1	40.0	23.5

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Clark 63 which matured September 25, 124 days after planting.

Table 71. Disease data, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	DM														SMV			
	BB		BSR			Wor-		El-		PR		PS	PSB	SMV				
	Ames	BP	Ur-	Kana-	thing-	Ur-	do-	FE2	Stone-	George-	George-							
	Ia.	Ill.	Ia.	Ill.	Ia.	Ind.	Ill.	Ind.	Ind.	Miss.	Del.	Del.	Ia.	Ia.				
	n	a	a	a	n	1	2	n	n	a	a	n	n	n	a	a		
											vigor							
Clark 63	4	3	1	1	3	17	75	4.3	3.9	4	5	R	R	1	3	4	80	55
Cutler	3	3.5	1	4	3	15	83	4	3.6	3.2	1	S	S	2.5	2.2	2.3	60	50
C1481	3	3.5	2	4.5	3	13	68	4	4	3.3	1	R	R	1	1.8	1.8	85	25
Kent	3	4	3	4	4	9	63	2.5	1	1.3	1	S	S	3	2.2	1.2	80	15
C1456	3	2.5	2	4	3	12	40	3.5	3.4	2.7	3H	R	R	1	2.4	2.9	85	30
C1473	3	4.5	1	3.5	4	7	25	3.5	4	2	3H	R	R	1.5	2.7	1.3	90	25
C1474	2	4	3	3	4	9	28	4	4.4	4.2	4	R	R	1.5	1.9	1.4	50	35
C1475	3	4	1	3.5	4	13	35	3.5	3.4	2.3	1	R	R	1	1.9	1.7	40	40
C1476	3	4	1	3	4	11	45	3.8	4	2.7	4	R	R	1	4	2.4	65	40
C1480	3	4	1	1.5	4	13	65	3.5	3	2.3	2	R	R	1	1.6	1.6	60	40
D66-4505	4	4.5	1	1	4	18	90	4	3.3	3.3	1	S	R	1	1.3	1.2	65	55
Md63-3303-3	3	4	1	3	4	9	63	3.5	2.9	1.7	1	S	S	3	1.8	1.6	80	40

<sup>1</sup>Mean height of browning in diseased stems

<sup>2</sup>Percent of plants with browning

<sup>3,4</sup>Percent of plants infected, measured serologically (3) and by transmission to beans (4)

Table 72. Yield and yield rank, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 27 Tests	New Jersey	Delaware		Maryland			Ohio		
		Center-ton	George-town <sup>1</sup>	George-town	Clarks-ville	Queens-town	Link-wood	Snow Hill	Snow Hill <sup>2</sup>	Colum-bus
			*	*						*
Clark 63	42.9	41.6	39.9	41.1	40.6	42.5	33.8	40.9	36.9	43.3
Cutler	45.4	46.8	41.7	39.1	50.3	48.9	37.7	49.5	32.3	57.7
C1481	44.5	36.5	31.3	35.0	48.2	46.3	36.0	45.8	40.9	45.9
Kent	44.2	49.0	46.1	41.9	44.6	41.9	35.1	42.3	39.6	41.8
C1456	44.7	44.4	41.7	36.4	53.6	42.4	31.5	40.9	35.4	39.1
C1473	45.4	46.2	47.2	41.7	46.1	44.3	40.0	38.0	42.3	45.9
C1474	45.7	46.6	41.3	37.9	45.8	44.1	36.0	45.6	39.7	57.7
C1475	44.3	43.9	39.1	38.0	43.3	42.8	31.7	38.7	38.5	38.2
C1476	45.9	43.1	46.5	43.2	45.3	45.7	42.3	37.8	40.6	39.0
C1480	44.3	43.2	39.8	37.8	44.4	42.5	31.1	39.0	37.1	58.9
D66-4505	43.1	37.0	43.3	36.2	41.5	41.8	38.8	42.4	32.6	40.1
Md63-3303-3	42.9	43.4	33.4	39.9	50.6	44.4	34.0	45.4	35.1	39.8
Coef. of Var. (%)		4.1	--	--	8.2	10.5	6.8	7.7	11.0	--
L.S.D. (5%)		3.2	N.S.	N.S.	6.0	7.9	4.1	5.5	7.1	--
Row Spacing (In.)		36	36	36	30	30	38	36	30	28

Yield Rank

Clark 63	11	10	8	4	12	8	9	7	8	6
Cutler	3	2	5	6	3	1	4	1	12	2
C1481	6	12	12	12	4	2	5	2	2	4
Kent	9	1	3	2	8	11	7	6	5	7
C1456	5	5	5	10	1	10	11	7	9	10
C1473	3	4	1	3	5	5	2	11	1	4
C1474	2	3	7	8	6	6	5	3	4	2
C1475	7	6	10	7	10	7	10	10	6	12
C1476	1	9	2	1	7	3	1	12	3	11
C1480	7	8	9	9	9	8	12	9	7	1
D66-4505	10	11	4	11	11	12	3	5	11	8
Md63-3303-3	11	7	11	5	2	4	8	4	10	9

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

<sup>2</sup>After barley

<sup>3</sup>Clay

<sup>4</sup>Loam

Table 72. (Continued)

Strain	Indiana			Kentucky		Illinois						
	Lafa- yette	Wor- ton	thing-Evans- ville	ing- ton	Lex- Hen- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	bon- dale	Miller City
Clark 63	48.4	44.6	51.5	48.8	44.1	34.9	48.5	45.2	48.5	55.0	40.1	38.9
Cutler	62.5	57.4	48.8	45.3	44.1	41.3	55.4	44.2	52.1	55.9	41.1	36.1
C1481	58.6	48.4	46.1	38.0	46.4	41.5	52.3	48.6	55.2	54.3	43.5	35.1
Kent	57.2	58.9	42.7	42.1	43.8	39.6	50.2	49.6	53.7	55.9	46.2	36.4
C1456	54.2	50.3	35.3	47.7	44.2	41.8	50.0	49.4	51.5	61.8	46.1	41.3
C1473	49.9	57.7	39.9	47.8	49.3	42.2	54.3	51.7	54.7	55.6	49.6	41.0
C1474	56.4	57.0	48.9	39.5	46.6	38.6	55.7	49.7	56.2	54.9	46.0	41.1
C1475	55.1	49.0	45.9	42.6	47.4	40.1	53.3	50.4	51.2	56.7	45.7	40.7
C1476	50.8	63.9	38.9	48.6	35.2	42.6	55.0	51.9	55.0	57.9	49.7	39.2
C1480	53.4	44.2	47.0	42.7	40.6	41.0	52.6	49.7	52.4	56.1	48.1	40.2
D66-4505	41.9	50.4	42.4	42.6	42.5	40.5	50.1	38.9	51.4	56.2	45.1	45.3
Md63-3303-3	47.0	41.8	36.9	40.9	37.2	38.9	50.7	41.4	53.6	57.3	44.5	40.4
Coef. of Var. (%)	12.6	13.4	16.1	11.6	6.9	9.1	8.3	5.1	5.3	2.5	8.6	7.9
L.S.D. (5%)	9.6	10.1	N.S.	N.S.	5.1	N.S.	N.S.	4.1	N.S.	2.4	6.6	5.3
Row Spacing (In.)	38	38	36	30	30	30	30	38	36	36	40	38

Yield Rank

Strain	Clark 63	Cutler	C1481	Kent	C1456	C1473	C1474	C1475	C1476	C1480	D66-4505	Md63-3303-3
Clark 63	10	10	1	1	6	12	12	9	12	10	12	9
Cutler	1	4	3	5	6	5	2	10	8	7	11	11
C1481	2	9	5	12	4	4	7	8	2	12	10	12
Kent	3	2	7	9	8	9	9	6	5	7	4	10
C1456	6	7	12	4	5	3	11	7	9	1	5	2
C1473	9	3	9	3	1	2	4	2	4	9	2	4
C1474	4	5	2	11	3	11	1	4	1	11	6	3
C1475	5	8	6	7	2	8	5	3	11	4	7	5
C1476	8	1	10	2	12	1	3	1	3	2	1	8
C1480	7	11	4	6	10	6	6	4	7	6	3	7
D66-4505	12	6	8	7	9	7	10	12	10	5	8	1
Md63-3303-3	11	12	11	10	11	10	8	11	6	3	9	6

Table 72. Yield and yield rank, Uniform Test IV, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Missouri			Kansas						Texas <sup>1</sup>	Cali- fornia <sup>1</sup>
	Mt. Ver- non	Por- tage- ville <sup>3</sup>	Por- tage- ville <sup>1,4</sup>	Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot- taw- a	New- ton	Co- lum- bus	Lub- bock *	Five Points *
Clark 63	39.3	35.9	33.4	43.4	45.3	60.4	57.2	29.7	29.7	54.1	21.2
Cutler	48.0	16.6	36.2	46.7	47.9	61.7	55.1	32.2	32.2	52.1	28.3
C1481	42.7	34.5	33.8	45.4	50.5	55.3	57.4	32.2	28.1	55.0	24.2
Kent	48.7	16.1	32.1	46.2	50.0	60.4	53.5	30.1	27.1	60.5	27.4
C1456	44.7	31.7	36.2	49.1	47.2	65.6	54.8	29.0	26.1	53.7	26.9
C1473	40.5	30.2	32.5	47.0	47.7	60.8	58.7	27.4	30.3	57.7	24.1
C1474	38.7	31.0	30.5	46.8	47.2	72.1	59.8	31.3	28.3	55.9	32.8
C1475	43.7	28.9	34.9	49.9	43.9	61.0	58.5	29.2	27.8	51.9	26.4
C1476	40.0	34.0	32.1	46.3	48.3	72.1	56.0	34.9	33.0	64.4	26.3
C1480	45.6	37.1	29.5	46.2	48.6	60.2	59.1	32.9	32.2	57.7	24.1
D66-4505	40.0	38.3	35.7	43.5	48.2	58.3	53.6	30.8	33.3	52.8	25.6
Md63-3303-3	46.5	18.7	33.5	49.6	48.7	60.2	55.0	31.4	30.5	55.3	26.9
Coef. of Var. (%)	10.9	15.7	18.9	9.1	6.8	8.5	6.0	8.7	11.8	8.3	15.0
L.S.D. (5%)	6.3	7.8	10.6	N.S.	N.S.	7.7	N.S.	3.9	3.2	4.9	N.S.
Row Spacing (In.)	15	38	38	28	28	36	30	28	30	40	30

Yield Rank

Clark 63	11	3	7	12	11	7	6	9	7	8	12
Cutler	2	11	1	6	7	4	8	3	3	11	2
C1481	7	4	5	10	1	12	5	3	9	7	9
Kent	1	12	9	8	2	7	12	8	11	2	3
C1456	5	6	1	3	9	3	10	11	12	9	4
C1473	8	8	8	4	8	6	3	12	6	3	10
C1474	12	7	11	5	9	1	1	6	8	5	1
C1475	6	9	4	1	12	5	4	10	10	12	6
C1476	9	5	9	7	5	1	7	1	2	1	7
C1480	4	2	12	8	4	9	2	2	3	3	10
D66-4505	9	1	3	11	6	11	11	7	1	10	8
Md63-3303-3	3	10	6	2	3	9	9	5	5	6	4

Table 73. Maturity dates, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 25 Tests	New Jersey		Delaware		Maryland			Ohio	
		Center-ton*	George-town <sup>1</sup> *	George-town*	Clarks-ville	Queens-town	Link-wood	Snow Hill	Snow Hill <sup>2</sup>	Colum-bus*
Clark 63	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cutler	+2.9		-2	0	+3	+ 2	+ 1	0	+ 1	+ 4
C1481	+2.9		-3	-1	+2	+ 1	- 1	+3	+ 5	+ 8
Kent	+7.0		+3	+4	+5	+ 5	+ 7	+4	+ 6	+ 7
C1456	+0.8		-6	-4	+2	- 5	- 3	+1	+ 4	+ 9
C1473	+5.2		-1	+3	+8	+ 5	+ 9	+4	+ 9	+ 6
C1474	+0.4		-7	-3	+2	- 4	- 3	+2	+ 5	+ 7
C1475	+1.8		-4	-1	+3	- 3	- 3	+2	+ 6	+ 9
C1476	+8.7		+2	+4	+7	+ 5	+ 8	+3	+10	+10
C1480	+3.1		-4	0	+3	- 1	- 1	+2	+ 9	+11
D66-4505	+6.3		+3	+3	+4	+ 5	+ 7	+6	+ 5	+ 6
Md63-3303-3	+5.4		+4	+2	+6	+ 3	+ 1	+2	+ 2	+ 8
Wayne (III)			--	--	-9	--	--	--	--	-14
Hill (V)			--	--	--	+13	+27	--	--	--
Date planted	5-24	6-11	6-19	6-19	5-27	5-27	5-26	5-28	6-20	5-24
Clark 63 matured	9-25	--	10-7	9-30	10-3	9-25	9-21	9-24	10-6	10-12
Days to mature	124	--	110	103	129	121	118	119	108	141

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

<sup>2</sup>After barley

<sup>3</sup>Clay

<sup>4</sup>Loam

Table 73. Maturity dates, Uniform Test IV, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Indiana			Kentucky		Illinois						
	Lafa- yette	Wor- ton	Evans- ville	Lex- ton	Hen- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Miller City
Clark 63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cutler	+ 3	+ 3	+ 3	+ 9	+ 3	+ 5	+4	+ 2	+ 4	+ 2	+3	+ 4
C1481	+ 3	+ 3	+ 2	+ 6	+ 8	+ 5	+3	+ 4	+ 2	+ 2	+3	+ 2
Kent	+ 8	+ 8	+ 7	+10	+ 4	+ 9	+7	+ 8	+10	+ 7	+8	+ 6
C1456	+ 5	+ 1	+ 3	+ 6	+ 6	- 2	+3	- 1	0	+ 2	+2	- 2
C1473	+ 7	+10	+ 6	+ 8	+ 6	+ 3	+4	+ 5	+ 9	+ 4	+7	+ 5
C1474	+ 1	+ 2	+ 3	0	0	0	+2	- 1	+ 2	0	+4	- 1
C1475	+ 5	+ 3	+ 2	+ 8	+ 9	+ 1	+3	+ 3	+ 2	+ 1	+4	0
C1476	+13	+10	+14	+12	+14	+12	+8	+ 9	+12	+11	+3	+10
C1480	+ 4	+ 4	+ 5	+ 5	+ 6	+ 4	+4	+ 5	+ 6	+ 4	+5	+ 3
D66-4505	+12	+ 8	+ 2	+20	+ 8	+ 8	+6	0	+ 7	+ 4	+7	+ 5
Md63-3303-3	+ 7	+ 8	+ 5	+10	+ 9	+ 3	+4	+ 1	+ 7	+ 5	+5	+ 3
Wayne (III)	- 1	- 3	- 4	- 4	0	- 4	-6	-11	- 3	- 9	-3	- 9
Hill (V)	--	--	--	--	+14	+27	--	+17	+17	+15	--	+19
Date planted	5-26	5-13	5-29	5-16	5-13	5-16	5-20	5-28	5-17	5-28	6-4	5-15
Clark 63 matured	10-4	9-16	9-23	9-18	9-17	9-24	9-26	9-28	9-20	9-23	9-25	9-12
Days to mature	131	126	117	125	127	131	129	123	126	118	113	120



Table 73. (Continued)

Strain	Missouri			Kansas					Co-lum-bus	Texas <sup>1</sup>	Cali-fornia <sup>1</sup>
	Mt. Ver-non*	Por-tage-ville <sup>3</sup>	Por-tage-ville <sup>1,4</sup>	Pow-hat-tan	Man-hat-tan	Man-hat-tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot-tawa	New-ton		Lub-bock*	Five Points*
Clark 63		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cutler		+ 4	+ 5	+ 2	+2	+ 2	+ 2	0	+3	0	- 2
C1481		+ 3	+ 2	+ 2	+3	+ 2	+ 1	0	+6	+3	- 1
Kent		+11	+ 7	+ 8	+4	+ 5	+ 6	+ 7	+7	+6	+ 1
C1456		- 4	0	0	+3	- 2	- 3	- 2	+7	+2	- 4
C1473		+ 2	+ 5	+ 3	+5	+ 2	+ 1	- 1	+3	+4	0
C1474		- 4	- 1	+ 1	+3	0	- 2	- 4	+4	-2	- 4
C1475		- 4	- 1	0	+2	0	+ 1	- 4	+4	-2	- 2
C1476		+ 7	+ 9	+ 8	+4	+ 5	+ 9	+ 7	+8	+8	+ 1
C1480		+ 2	+ 2	+ 2	+2	0	+ 1	- 2	+3	-1	- 4
D66-4505		+ 4	+ 6	+ 8	+5	+ 4	+ 7	+ 9	0	+6	- 1
Md63-3303-3		+ 4	+ 7	+10	+6	+ 6	+ 6	+ 9	+7	+8	- 2
Wayne (III)		--	--	- 6	-8	-11	- 8	- 6	--	--	-10
Hill (V)		+10	+17	+14	+8	+10	+16	+14	--	--	--
Date planted	5-19	5-14	5-12	6-4	5-27	5-20	5-14	5-29	6-6	5-27	6-18
Clark 63 matured	--	9-17	9-12	10-6	10-8	10-6	9-25	10-2	9-21	9-21	10-25
Days to mature	--	126	123	124	134	139	134	126	107	117	129

Table 74. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 25 Tests	New	Delaware		Maryland				Ohio	
		Jersey Center- ton	George- town <sup>1</sup>	George- town	Clarks- ville	Queens- town	Link- wood	Snow Hill	Snow Hill <sup>2</sup>	Colum- bus
			*	*						*
Clark 63	2.3	1.0	2.5	2.3	4.0	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.0	1.5
Cutler	1.9	1.0	2.0	2.1	3.3	2.5	1.8	1.7	2.0	1.7
C1481	1.9	1.0	2.9	2.3	3.0	2.5	1.7	2.3	1.3	1.0
Kent	1.7	1.0	1.9	2.0	3.3	1.8	1.3	1.3	2.3	2.2
C1456	2.9	1.5	2.4	1.8	4.0	3.0	2.5	2.7	2.3	2.0
C1473	2.7	1.2	2.1	2.3	4.0	3.3	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0
C1474	2.3	1.2	1.9	1.9	3.7	2.3	1.7	2.3	1.7	1.2
C1475	2.4	1.0	1.9	2.0	3.3	2.5	2.0	2.7	2.0	1.2
C1476	2.5	1.5	1.6	1.9	4.0	2.3	1.7	1.7	2.3	1.2
C1480	2.6	1.2	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.2	1.8	2.3	2.7	1.0
D66-4505	1.6	1.0	1.8	1.9	2.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.0
Md63-3303-3	1.8	1.0	1.9	1.4	2.7	1.8	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.0

Strain	Mean of 27 Tests	Plant Height								
		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Clark 63	42	45	39	39	44	42	39	46	41	44
Cutler	42	43	36	38	48	42	40	43	38	45
C1481	43	44	36	40	51	43	41	45	42	49
Kent	41	44	39	37	46	39	40	44	39	44
C1456	46	51	40	42	48	44	41	50	46	45
C1473	49	54	44	44	55	50	46	52	49	50
C1474	46	51	37	41	51	42	40	49	44	48
C1475	47	53	38	41	52	41	42	51	48	49
C1476	48	53	41	44	54	43	46	50	47	46
C1480	46	49	39	41	47	46	40	47	45	48
D66-4505	40	45	34	38	43	40	40	44	37	44
Md63-3303-3	37	37	33	33	39	35	34	37	34	43

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

<sup>2</sup>After barley

<sup>3</sup>Clay

<sup>4</sup>Loam

Table 74. (Continued)

Strain	Indiana			Kentucky		Illinois						
	Lafa- yette	Wor- ton	thing-Evans- ville	Lex- ing- ton	Hen- der- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Miller City
Clark 63	2.8	2.1	3.0	3.3	2.7	1.7	2.9	1.3	2.4	2.2	2.0	1.9
Cutler	2.3	1.2	1.8	3.7	2.3	1.4	2.5	1.5	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.3
C1481	2.3	1.6	2.3	3.3	2.0	1.4	2.4	1.5	1.9	1.7	2.0	1.5
Kent	2.3	1.1	1.2	2.5	2.2	1.6	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.6	1.0	2.1
C1456	3.4	2.6	2.8	4.7	3.3	1.5	4.3	1.7	3.2	3.9	3.0	2.7
C1473	3.3	2.6	2.8	3.0	2.2	1.6	3.6	2.2	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
C1474	2.6	2.4	2.7	4.0	1.8	1.5	3.0	1.5	2.4	3.2	2.0	1.6
C1475	2.8	2.5	3.0	4.0	2.2	1.3	3.6	1.6	3.1	3.1	2.0	2.6
C1476	3.3	1.6	2.2	4.2	3.0	1.8	3.6	1.8	2.7	3.3	3.0	1.5
C1480	3.3	3.1	2.8	4.2	2.2	1.9	3.6	2.1	3.1	2.4	2.0	2.4
D66-4505	2.6	1.6	1.8	2.8	1.3	1.2	1.9	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.0	1.5
Md63-3303-3	3.0	1.0	1.7	3.2	4.0	1.4	2.5	1.4	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.3

Plant Height

Clark 63	51	50	44	49	52	42	50	33	51	53	44	46
Cutler	50	50	44	46	50	40	49	33	48	53	41	45
C1481	53	50	46	55	48	43	51	36	47	55	43	47
Kent	49	50	44	44	50	39	46	33	47	51	45	45
C1456	55	54	48	58	55	44	54	41	55	58	44	51
C1473	58	56	52	57	60	47	56	47	58	61	50	55
C1474	56	56	50	53	56	45	56	41	56	59	52	50
C1475	55	55	52	61	57	45	55	41	53	59	46	49
C1476	54	59	53	60	53	46	57	39	58	60	49	52
C1480	53	52	50	51	55	43	56	44	52	56	48	49
D66-4505	48	49	43	45	46	37	48	30	48	49	41	48
Md63-3303-3	45	44	39	43	44	34	43	29	42	47	38	41

Table 74. Lodging scores and plant height, Uniform Test IV, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Missouri			Kansas					Texas <sup>1</sup>	Calif- fornial	
	Mt. Ver- non	Por- tage- ville <sup>3</sup>	Por- tage- ville <sup>1,4</sup>	Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot- tawa	New- ton	Co- lum- bus	Lub- bock	Five Points
Clark 63	2.3	2.0	3.0	1.0	1.6	1.2	2.8	1.0	1.3	2.0	4.0
Cutler	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	1.0	1.3	2.0	4.0
C1481	1.8	1.8	2.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.2	1.0	1.4	1.0	3.0
Kent	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.3	4.0	3.0
C1456	1.8	2.2	2.3	1.0	2.9	3.3	4.8	1.0	1.2	2.0	4.0
C1473	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.4	2.9	5.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	4.0
C1474	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.5	2.9	4.7	1.0	1.3	1.0	3.0
C1475	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.2	2.7	4.8	1.0	1.3	1.0	3.0
C1476	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.8	1.0	1.2	3.0	3.0
C1480	1.5	2.2	2.0	1.0	2.8	3.0	4.9	1.0	1.4	2.0	4.0
D66-4505	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.0	2.0
Md63-3303-3	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.3	1.0	1.3	1.0	3.0

Strain	Plant Height										
	37	38	33	34	37	46	41	29	27	30	47
Clark 63	37	38	33	34	37	46	41	29	27	30	47
Cutler	38	32	32	34	34	44	41	28	27	30	43
C1481	36	38	31	34	37	44	40	29	28	30	46
Kent	38	30	29	33	35	42	39	28	29	31	45
C1456	39	39	30	37	41	53	45	33	32	33	53
C1473	42	39	35	38	45	57	47	33	33	38	50
C1474	39	36	29	36	42	53	48	32	31	33	52
C1475	38	38	34	36	42	51	48	30	29	36	51
C1476	41	40	35	37	41	53	47	32	32	39	51
C1480	39	39	28	36	40	51	47	34	32	37	52
D66-4505	35	36	32	31	32	45	40	26	26	34	42
Md62-3303-3	37	29	29	29	32	41	38	25	28	24	36

Table 75. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 27 Tests	New	Delaware		Maryland			Ohio		
		Jersey Center- ton	George- town <sup>1</sup>	George- town	Clarks- ville	Queens- town	Link- wood	Snow Hill	Snow Hill <sup>2</sup>	Colum- bus
Clark 63	2.1	2.0	3.6	3.3	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Cutler	2.3	1.7	2.8	2.1	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.2
C1481	2.2	1.7	2.8	2.1	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.2
Kent	2.3	1.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.2
C1456	2.4	2.0	3.1	2.9	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
C1473	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.5
C1474	2.2	2.0	2.3	1.9	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.5
C1475	2.1	1.0	2.4	2.1	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.2
C1476	2.6	2.0	3.4	2.9	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
C1480	2.4	2.5	1.8	1.9	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.7
D66-4505	1.7	1.2	2.6	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.7
Md63-3303-3	2.2	2.0	1.3	1.6	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5

Strain	Mean of 24 Tests	Seed Weight								
		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Clark 63	15.8	15.4	17.2	15.8	16.1	18.3	15.9	14.6	15.1	17.4
Cutler	18.0	17.4	19.5	17.8	20.0	21.2	17.2	18.1	16.1	20.2
C1481	17.9	17.0	17.6	16.7	19.0	20.6	17.5	18.0	17.6	18.3
Kent	17.6	18.0	17.0	16.7	18.5	18.7	15.9	16.5	17.5	19.6
C1456	15.7	14.4	16.0	14.1	16.5	17.4	14.8	14.9	14.9	16.6
C1473	16.2	15.1	16.3	15.8	16.3	17.6	16.0	14.0	17.3	17.7
C1474	17.0	15.5	18.1	16.2	17.2	19.1	16.6	17.2	15.5	17.3
C1475	15.2	13.6	15.8	14.7	15.7	17.3	14.4	13.6	14.6	15.9
C1476	16.9	16.0	18.4	17.7	16.9	18.1	15.6	14.3	18.5	19.1
C1480	16.5	14.1	15.5	15.0	15.4	17.3	15.1	14.1	16.5	17.8
D66-4505	13.1	11.0	15.5	14.6	13.8	14.8	14.0	12.6	12.4	15.2
Md63-3303-3	16.1	16.0	16.5	14.7	16.1	17.5	14.9	15.7	14.8	18.4

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

<sup>2</sup>After barley

<sup>3</sup>Clay

<sup>4</sup>Loam

Table 75. Seed quality scores and seed weight, Uniform Test IV, 1969 (Continued)

Strain	Indiana			Kentucky		Illinois						
	Lafa- yette	Wor- thing- ton	Evans- ville	Lex- ing- ton	Hen- der- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Miller City
Clark 63	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.2	3.2	2.0	1.6	2.3	1.5	3.3	2.0	1.8
Cutler	1.5	1.5	3.5	3.2	3.2	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.0	3.2	2.0	1.7
C1481	1.0	1.5	3.5	2.5	3.2	2.5	1.9	2.0	2.3	3.3	2.0	2.3
Kent	1.5	1.5	3.5	2.8	3.3	2.5	2.3	2.0	1.5	3.2	3.0	1.8
C1456	1.5	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.2	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.5
C1473	1.5	2.0	3.5	2.7	3.2	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5
C1474	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.0	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.5	1.5	2.3	2.0	2.8
C1475	1.5	1.5	3.0	2.3	3.2	2.2	2.4	1.7	1.3	2.3	1.0	2.7
C1476	2.0	2.0	3.5	3.5	3.8	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.5	3.3	4.0	2.3
C1480	1.5	2.0	3.5	2.5	3.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.0	3.2	2.0	2.3
D66-4505	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.5	1.3	2.2	1.0	2.2
Md63-3303-3	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.3	2.6	1.8	1.5	2.8	2.0	2.2

Seed Weight

Strain	Lafa- yette	Wor- thing- ton	Evans- ville	Lex- ing- ton	Hen- der- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Car- bon- dale	Miller City
Clark 63	18.6	16.1	16.1	17.2	15.8	13.9	16.7	13.8	13.9	15.9	14.5	17.8
Cutler	20.7	18.4	17.0	19.0	19.3	17.1	19.8	15.8	16.8	18.6	17.8	15.7
C1481	20.8	18.5	16.4	19.5	18.6	17.1	19.0	16.6	16.3	17.8	16.7	14.6
Kent	21.2	18.0	15.8	18.6	18.4	17.0	19.5	17.2	16.0	17.7	18.3	14.1
C1456	18.2	15.2	14.5	17.8	16.3	14.7	17.3	14.8	14.3	16.1	16.4	13.2
C1473	19.0	15.9	15.1	16.8	17.6	15.2	17.3	15.7	16.8	16.9	16.8	13.5
C1474	19.1	16.5	15.7	17.8	17.1	15.8	17.8	15.5	16.5	17.3	17.4	14.9
C1475	16.6	15.6	13.7	15.7	16.1	13.8	17.5	13.8	14.9	15.8	14.6	12.7
C1476	18.9	17.0	16.2	18.0	16.6	15.8	17.6	15.4	16.7	17.9	18.2	13.2
C1480	19.4	16.2	15.8	17.2	18.0	16.5	17.5	15.2	15.7	17.5	18.4	12.9
D66-4505	15.1	13.1	12.9	13.8	13.4	12.2	14.7	11.2	12.6	13.4	14.5	11.0
Md63-3303-3	20.0	17.0	14.4	18.1	15.9	14.9	19.5	14.4	15.9	15.9	15.9	12.7



Table 75. (Continued)

Strain	Missouri			Kansas					Co-lum-bus	Texas <sup>1</sup>	Cali-fornia <sup>1</sup>
	Mt. Ver-non	Por-tage-ville <sup>3</sup>	Por-tage-ville <sup>1,4</sup>	Pow-hat-tan	Man-hat-tan	Man-hat-tan <sup>1</sup>	Ot-tawa	New-ton		Lub-bock	Five Points
										*	*
Clark 63	1.7	3.3	3.3	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.3	2.5	1.4	2.0	3.0
Cutler	1.8	3.5	3.3	1.4	2.4	1.5	1.3	3.0	1.5	2.0	2.0
C1481	2.0	2.5	3.3	1.5	2.6	1.5	1.3	2.9	1.3	2.0	3.0
Kent	2.0	4.1	3.2	1.4	2.6	1.6	1.8	2.9	1.5	2.0	2.0
C1456	2.3	3.3	2.5	1.7	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.9	1.7	3.0	3.0
C1473	2.0	2.7	2.8	1.6	3.0	2.0	2.2	3.1	1.3	1.0	3.0
C1474	2.0	3.2	3.0	1.4	2.7	2.1	1.7	2.8	1.4	2.0	3.0
C1475	1.8	3.2	3.2	1.7	2.5	2.1	1.9	2.3	1.4	3.0	3.0
C1476	2.3	3.0	3.2	2.0	3.2	1.9	2.9	3.3	1.5	3.0	4.0
C1480	1.8	3.3	3.2	1.6	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.8	1.3	3.0	3.0
D66-4505	1.8	1.3	2.0	1.1	1.8	1.9	2.5	1.9	1.2	1.0	4.0
Md63-3303-3	2.3	2.8	2.6	1.9	2.4	1.9	2.0	3.5	2.0	2.0	3.0

Strain	Seed Weight										
								*	*		
Clark 63				14.0	15.6	17.3	14.8	14.0	16.8	19.1	14.0
Cutler				17.4	16.2	19.8	16.3	16.7	20.5	19.8	16.0
C1481				16.8	17.6	18.9	16.7	17.2	20.0	19.8	15.1
Kent				16.8	17.8	19.5	16.2	18.0	17.5	20.2	16.0
C1456				15.7	15.5	16.5	15.7	12.5	18.5	17.4	14.2
C1473				15.2	17.3	17.6	16.1	14.7	15.5	18.4	14.7
C1474				17.0	16.7	19.5	16.4	16.0	20.3	18.9	16.1
C1475				16.1	14.6	16.8	15.0	13.3	18.3	16.8	14.6
C1476				16.1	17.1	18.1	16.0	17.0	21.0	19.3	15.0
C1480				16.7	16.6	18.8	15.8	15.5	19.0	18.8	15.5
D66-4505				11.7	13.4	15.0	13.1	12.9	12.5	17.3	12.3
Md63-3303-3				15.7	15.1	17.6	15.0	15.7	16.6	17.7	13.6



Table 76. Percentages of protein and oil, Uniform Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 12 Tests	New Jersey Centerton	Delaware	Maryland		Ohio	Indiana
			George- town <sup>1</sup>	Clarks- ville	Link- wood	Colum- bus	Evans- ville
			*			*	
Clark 63	40.6	39.1	43.1	40.4	39.9	40.7	42.4
Cutler	41.1	39.1	42.0	40.6	40.7	41.8	43.5
C1481	40.7	38.2	42.0	39.4	40.6	40.5	43.4
Kent	41.0	40.2	42.8	40.8	40.5	40.5	42.7
C1456	40.7	38.1	42.1	39.4	40.8	41.6	42.8
C1473	42.5	40.2	43.0	42.1	42.2	43.3	44.5
C1474	43.2	40.4	43.0	42.8	41.8	43.6	46.4
C1475	41.7	39.6	44.5	41.2	40.7	42.8	43.1
C1476	41.2	38.0	43.3	39.8	40.4	40.8	43.4
C1480	42.3	39.6	42.7	40.9	41.1	41.7	44.7
D66-4505	40.5	36.1	42.7	40.5	41.2	41.0	42.5
Md63-3303-3	40.0	37.5	43.3	39.4	40.3	39.8	41.3
<hr/>							
	Mean of 12 Tests	Percentage of Oil					
			*			*	
Clark 63	22.5	23.4	21.5	21.8	22.8	21.4	21.5
Cutler	22.5	23.5	21.9	21.7	22.8	21.2	21.4
C1481	22.4	22.9	22.3	22.3	22.2	21.4	21.5
Kent	22.4	22.9	21.9	22.1	22.2	21.4	22.2
C1456	22.8	23.5	22.7	22.8	23.2	21.4	21.5
C1473	22.0	23.2	22.3	20.9	22.2	20.8	21.4
C1474	22.5	23.3	22.3	22.1	23.4	21.1	21.3
C1475	22.1	22.8	22.1	21.7	22.8	21.0	21.4
C1476	22.0	23.4	22.8	22.3	22.2	21.4	21.3
C1480	21.7	22.6	21.7	21.3	22.7	21.1	20.5
D66-4505	21.8	23.2	22.3	20.6	21.9	21.1	21.3
Md63-3303-3	23.5	24.4	21.0	22.6	23.8	22.6	22.8

\*Not included in the mean

<sup>1</sup>Irrigated

<sup>2</sup>Loam

Table 76. (Continued)

Strain	Kentucky Hender- son	Illinois			Missouri Portage- ville <sup>1,2</sup>	Kansas		
		Urbana	Eldo- rado	Miller City		Pow- hattan	Man- hattan <sup>1</sup>	Ottawa
Clark 63	42.2	41.6	41.3	38.2	41.2	40.8	39.0	40.9
Cutler	42.5	40.6	41.4	40.5	42.0	41.7	39.8	41.1
C1481	42.5	40.7	42.0	39.5	40.9	41.7	39.0	39.9
Kent	43.3	40.9	41.9	41.0	41.7	40.2	38.6	39.9
C1456	43.2	41.3	40.8	40.7	40.2	40.5	39.2	41.1
C1473	45.0	43.4	42.9	42.0	41.9	41.9	41.1	42.9
C1474	44.6	44.6	43.5	42.4	43.0	43.4	41.9	43.7
C1475	44.7	42.1	42.4	41.4	42.0	40.9	40.1	42.4
C1476	45.8	41.9	41.4	40.7	42.6	39.3	38.9	41.8
C1480	46.4	43.1	42.4	41.3	43.2	42.1	40.3	41.9
D66-4505	43.1	40.9	40.7	40.7	40.2	40.0	39.1	41.0
Md63-3303-3	42.8	40.2	41.7	39.1	40.8	38.6	38.9	39.5

Percentage of Oil

Clark 63	23.1	22.0	21.6	24.0	22.7	21.6	22.9	22.4
Cutler	23.9	22.0	21.9	22.8	22.3	21.9	22.9	22.4
C1481	22.7	22.1	21.9	23.2	22.5	21.4	23.4	23.0
Kent	22.0	22.0	21.5	22.3	22.3	22.8	23.7	23.1
C1456	22.1	22.4	22.9	23.3	22.9	22.3	23.6	22.6
C1473	21.7	21.4	21.9	21.8	21.9	22.4	23.2	21.4
C1474	22.5	21.6	22.1	23.6	23.1	22.0	23.1	22.2
C1475	21.7	21.7	21.7	22.6	22.5	22.5	22.5	21.5
C1476	20.0	21.7	22.0	22.6	21.3	22.4	23.3	21.6
C1480	20.6	21.0	21.7	22.1	21.8	21.7	22.9	21.7
D66-4505	21.7	21.3	21.9	22.0	22.5	21.5	22.6	21.5
Md63-3303-3	22.7	23.0	22.9	24.6	24.0	23.5	24.4	23.8

Table 77. Seven-year summary of data, Uniform Test IV, 1963-1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	149	149	139	132	147	139	116	77	77
Clark 63	38.5	3	0	2.1	39	2.1	15.9	40.1	21.8
Cutler	41.8	1	+2.4	1.7	39	2.2	18.0	40.5	21.7
Kent	40.9	2	+7.2	1.7	39	2.2	17.7	40.1	22.1

<sup>1</sup>Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Clark 63 which matured September 27, 125 days after planting.

Table 78. Seven-year summary of yield and yield rank, Uniform Test IV, 1963-1969.

Strain	Mean of 149 Tests	New Jersey <sup>1</sup>	Dela- ware	Maryland	Ohio	Indiana	Ken- tucky	Illinois					
		Center- ton	George- town	Queens- town <sup>2</sup>	Link- wood	lum- bus	Lafa- yette	thing- ton	Evans- ville	Hender- son	Ur- bana	Gi- rard	Edge- wood
Years Tested		1963, 1966-69	1963-67 1969	1964-65 1967-69	1966-65 1969	1963-67 1969	1967-63 1969	1963- 1969	1966- 1969	1965- 1969	1965- 1969	1963- 1969	
Clark 63	38.5	30.3	27.9	39.8	35.0	35.0	41.3	41.9	43.0	43.8	43.9	41.4	38.6
Cutler	41.8	31.0	28.0	41.1	37.9	38.5	51.7	54.1	51.5	46.8	46.9	45.5	40.4
Kent	40.9	35.9	36.2	37.7	35.9	36.1	46.8	52.8	49.0	47.0	46.5	43.1	39.5

Yield Rank

Clark 63	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cutler	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
Kent	2	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2

<sup>1</sup>Bridgeton, 1963, 1967. Salem, 1966

<sup>2</sup>Upper Marlboro, 1964-1965

<sup>3</sup>Irrigated

<sup>4</sup>Loam

<sup>5</sup>Lincoln, 1966-1967

Table 78. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois				Missouri			Kansas					Cali-	
	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	bon- dale	Mil- ler City	Co- lum- bia	Por- tage- ville <sup>3,4</sup>	Nebras- ka <sup>3,5</sup> Mead	Pow- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan	Man- hat- tan <sup>3</sup>	Ot- tawa	New- ton	Co- lum- bus	for- nia <sup>3</sup> Five Points
Years Tested	1966- 1969	1963- 1969	1963- 1969	1963- 1969	1963- 1968	1963- 1969	1966- 1968	1963- 1969	1963- 1969	1963- 1969	1966- 1969	1965- 1969	1966- 1969	1966, 1968-69
Clark 63	45.5	47.9	35.6	40.0	34.8	40.7	41.8	38.3	42.3	51.2	46.0	28.9	32.5	17.3
Cutler	50.8	51.4	38.7	42.6	35.0	43.3	52.7	40.9	44.9	54.5	44.8	28.7	35.3	20.2
Kent	49.2	50.0	39.1	41.8	34.9	41.7	49.0	40.1	46.8	51.5	40.1	30.2	34.8	22.8

Strain	Yield Rank													
	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	3	3
Clark 63	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	3	3
Cutler	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	2
Kent	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	1



PRELIMINARY TEST IV, 1969

Strain	Parentage	Generation Composited
1. Clark 63		
2. L67-6301	Clark <sup>6</sup> x PI 84.946-2	F <sub>5</sub>
3. L67-6330	Clark-Rps rxp x (Clark <sup>5</sup> x PI 84.946-2)	F <sub>5</sub>
4. Cutler		
5. Kent		
6. CX403-209	C1266R x C1253	F <sub>7</sub>
7. L63-0097-C3-1	Clark <sup>2</sup> x PI 84.946-2	F <sub>9</sub>
8. L63-0123-C5-2*	Clark <sup>4</sup> x PI 84.946-2	F <sub>7</sub>
9. L66-1359	Wayne x L57-0034	F <sub>6</sub>
10. L66-1448	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
11. L66L-144	Wayne x L57-0034	F <sub>6</sub>
12. L66L-186	Wayne x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
13. L66L-191	Wayne x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
14. L66L-238	Wayne x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
15. L66L-257	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
16. L66L-262	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
17. L66L-276	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
18. L66L-287	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
19. L66L-307	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
20. L66L-310	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
21. L66L-333	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
22. L66L-347	Clark 63 x L57-9819	F <sub>6</sub>
23. Md62-3223	Selection from bulk population	F <sub>4</sub>
24. Md62-3605	Selection from bulk population	F <sub>4</sub>
25. Md63-148-3	(9 Protein sources x Dunfield) x Clark	F <sub>4</sub>
26. Md63-949-4	(9 Protein sources x Dunfield) x Clark	F <sub>4</sub>
27. Md64-3953	(Dunfield x T106-6) x Clark <sup>2</sup>	F <sub>4</sub>
28. Md64-4050	(Dunfield x T106-6) x Clark <sup>2</sup>	F <sub>4</sub>
29. Md64-4552	(Dunfield x T106-6) x Clark <sup>2</sup>	F <sub>4</sub>
30. SS64-2122	Scott <sup>3</sup> x FC 33.243	F <sub>4</sub>
31. SS64-2124	Scott <sup>3</sup> x FC 33.243	F <sub>4</sub>

\* Sel. from L63-0123, in Uniform Preliminary IV in 1966

Four strains, L67-6301, L67-6330, L63-0097-C3-1, and L63-0123-C5-2, are Clark backcrosses selected for resistance to brown stem rot. From some of the Urbana and Lafayette data, there appears to be a significant reduction in BSR incidence (Table 81). In the performance tests they showed no clearcut evidence of superiority to Clark in yield.

The remaining L strains were selected for improved seed quality as well as agronomic performance and a few showed evidence of some seed improvement along with low PSB ratings in Delaware (Table 81). However, none of the strains in the test showed superiority in mean yield to Cutler and Kent although many of them outyielded Clark. L66L-144 and L66-1359 yielded well for their early maturity. Md62-3223 was very lodging resistant and yielded well at some locations. L66L-276, SS64-2122, and SS64-2124 were late in maturity and should probably be classified Group V.



Table 79. Descriptive data and shattering scores, Preliminary Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Flower Color	Pubes- cence Color	Pod Color	Seed Coat Luster	Seed Coat Color	Hilum Color	Shattering			
							Manhattan		Stoneville	
							Kans. 2 wks.	4 wks.	Miss. Loam	Clay
Clark 63	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	1	1
L67-6301	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	3	2.5
L67-6330	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	2	1.5
Cutler	P	T	Br	S	Y	Bl	1	1	3	3.5
Kent	P	T	Br	I	Y	Bl	1	3	3	3
CX403-209	P	G	Br	S	Y	Bf+Ib	3	5	4	4
L63-0097-C3-1	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	2	3.5
L63-0123-C5-2	P	T	Br	D	Y	Br	1	1	2	3
L66-1359	W	T	Tan	D	Y	Bl	3	5	3	3
L66-1448	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	1	1	2	2.5
L66L-144	W	T	Tan	D	Y	Bl	1	1	2	3.5
L66L-186	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	1	1	1	1
L66L-191	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	1	1	2	1
L66L-238	P	G	Tan	D	Y	Ib	1	1	1	1
L66L-257	P	G	Tan	D	Y	Ib	1	1	1	1
L66L-262	P	G	Br	D	Y	Ib	1	1	1	1
L66L-276	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	1	1
L66L-287	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	2	1	1
L66L-307	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	2	1	1
L66L-310	P	T	Tan	D	Y	Bl	1	2	1	1
L66L-333	P	T	Tan	D	Y	Bl	1	1	3	4
L66L-347	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	3.5	5	2	1.5
Md62-3223	W	G	Br	S	Y	Bf	1	1	3	2
Md62-3605	P	G	Tan	D	Y	Ib	1	2	4	3
Md63-148-3	W	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	3	2
Md63-949-4	P	T	Tan	I	Y	Lb1	3	5	2	2
Md64-3953	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	3	1.5
Md64-4050	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	2	2
Md64-4552	P	T	Br	D	Y	Bl	1	1	2	1.5
SS64-2122	W	G	Br	S	Y	Bf	1	1	1	1
SS64-2124	P	G <sup>1</sup>	Br	S	Y	Ib	1	1	1	1

<sup>1</sup> Semi-appressed

Table 80. Summary of data, Preliminary Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Yield	Rank	Matu- rity <sup>1</sup>	Lodg- ing	Height	Seed Quality	Seed Weight	Seed Composition	
								Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	13	13	13	12	13	13	11	6	6
Clark 63	44.4	19	0	2.7	41	2.1	16.6	40.9	22.7
L67-6301	45.7	14	- .1	2.6	41	2.1	16.8	41.2	22.0
L67-6330	41.1	29	+ 1.2	3.3	41	1.9	17.2	41.1	22.4
Cutler	49.8	2	+ 2.5	1.9	41	2.1	18.6	41.3	22.2
Kent	49.2	4	+ 6.5	1.7	41	2.3	17.7	41.5	22.5
CX403-209	39.7	31	+ 4.4	3.0	46	2.4	18.8	44.8	21.1
L63-0097-C3-1	43.0	22	- .3	2.3	39	2.3	19.2	40.2	23.5
L63-0123-C5-2	46.0	9	+ 1.5	2.3	40	1.7	17.1	42.1	22.1
L66-1359	49.3	3	- .2	2.0	40	2.0	18.7	40.2	23.7
L66-1448	41.2	28	- 2.1	2.3	42	1.9	15.3	41.8	21.8
L66L-144	49.9	1	- .9	2.1	41	1.9	17.9	39.6	23.6
L66L-186	46.2	7	+ 6.8	2.6	47	1.9	16.3	42.5	21.6
L66L-191	47.1	6	+ 6.1	2.7	47	1.8	16.4	41.4	22.7
L66L-238	43.6	20	+ 1.8	3.4	43	1.5	15.7	42.0	22.9
L66L-257	45.5	15	+ 7.4	2.8	45	1.7	13.8	42.8	21.6
L66L-262	46.0	9	+ 2.5	2.0	42	2.0	16.2	42.5	22.4
L66L-276	45.8	11	+13.7	3.1	49	2.0	13.0	41.3	21.7
L66L-287	40.9	30	- .2	2.6	38	2.0	15.3	41.5	21.7
L66L-307	42.4	24	+ 3.1	2.3	46	2.1	16.0	42.6	21.6
L66L-310	44.5	18	+ 3.5	2.7	46	1.9	16.2	42.2	21.4
L66L-333	46.2	7	+ 3.5	2.6	42	1.6	14.7	42.0	21.2
L66L-347	48.2	5	+ 6.4	2.2	46	1.8	16.0	42.6	22.0
Ma62-3223	45.8	11	+ 2.2	1.5	41	2.1	17.0	40.4	22.6
Ma62-3605	43.2	21	+ 5.0	2.3	38	2.1	16.6	39.3	23.9
Ma63-148-3	42.6	23	- .4	1.8	36	2.1	17.0	39.1	24.1
Ma63-949-4	41.6	26	+ 6.5	3.1	44	2.6	19.4	42.2	21.9
Ma64-3953	45.5	15	- .1	2.4	40	2.0	17.4	40.5	22.7
Ma64-4050	44.6	17	+ .5	2.5	40	2.2	17.2	40.6	22.4
Ma64-4552	45.8	11	+ .7	2.7	41	2.1	17.1	40.6	22.5
SS64-2122	41.3	27	+14.7	2.7	45	2.1	16.5	39.2	21.8
SS64-2124	41.7	25	+14.8	2.7	45	2.6	16.4	38.8	21.6

<sup>1</sup> Days earlier (-) or later (+) than Clark 63 which matured September 25, 123 days after planting.

Table 81. Disease data, Preliminary IV, 1969.

Strain	BB		BSR			DM			PS	PSB	PR		vigor
	Ames	BP	Urbana	Lafa-	Wor-	Eldo-	FE <sub>2</sub>	George-	George-	Stone-			
	Iowa	Ill.	Ill.	yette	thing-	rado	Ind.	town	town	ville			
n	a	n	n <sup>1</sup>	n <sup>1</sup>	Ind.	Ill.	Ind.	Del.	Del.	Ind.	Miss.	n	
Clark 63	2	1	4	81	54	4.0	3.5	5	3.0	4.0	R	R	1.0
L67-6301	3	1	4	31	28	3.5	3.0	5	2.0	4.0	S	S	3.5
L67-6330	3	1	4	16	26	3.5	3.0	5	2.4	4.0	R	F	1.5
Cutler	3	1	3			4.0	3.0	1	2.2	2.3	S	S	3.0
Kent	4	3	3			2.0	1.0	1	2.2	1.2	S	S	3.0
CX403-209	3	1	4			2.5	1.5	1	2.3	1.5	H	S	2.5
L63-0097-C3-1	4	1	4	30	--	3.5	1.5	5	4.0	5.0	S	S	4.5
L63-0123-C5-2	3	1	4	24	--	3.5	3.0	5	2.3	1.7	S	S	4.5
L66-1359	3	1	4			3.5	3.0	5	2.2	3.5	S	MR	1.5
L66-1448	4	1	4			3.5	3.5	5	2.3	3.3	R	R	1.0
L66L-144	4	1	3			4.0	2.9	4	2.0	2.7	S	S	3.0
L66L-186	4	1	3			3.0	4.0	5	4.0	2.0	S	S	2.5
L66L-191	4	1	3			4.0	4.3	5	1.6	1.2	S	S	2.5
L66L-238	3	1	4			4.5	3.5	5	1.2	2.0	S	S	2.5
L66L-257	3	1	4			4.0	3.0	5	1.5	1.6	S	MR	2.0
L66L-262	3	1	4			3.5	3.5	5	2.0	2.5	S	S	4.0
L66L-276	4	1	4			4.0	3.5	5	2.7	2.0	S	S	3.0
L66L-287	3	1	4			4.0	3.0	5	1.2	2.0	S	S	2.5
L66L-307	4	1	4			4.0	4.3	5	2.7	2.2	S	S	4.0
L66L-310	3	1	4			4.0	4.0	5	1.3	2.2	R	R	1.0
L66L-333	3	1	1			3.5	4.0	5	1.2	1.3	S	S	2.5
L66L-347	3	1	1			4.0	2.0	5	2.4	1.8	S	S	4.5
Ma62-3223	4	4	2			3.0	1.5	5	1.6	1.3	S	S	2.5
Ma62-3605	3	4	2			3.5	2.5	1	2.3	1.5	S	S	4.0
Ma63-148-3	3	4	2			4.5	4.0	5	2.5	3.3	S	S	3.0
Ma63-949-4	4	4	2			3.5	2.0	5	3.7	4.7	S	S	2.5
Ma64-3953	3	4	1			3.5	3.0	5	2.5	4.5	S	S	3.0
Ma64-4050	3	3	4			4.0	3.0	5	2.2	4.0	S	S	3.0
Ma64-4552	3	3	4			3.5	3.0	5	2.4	4.7	S	S	2.5
SS64-2122	3	1	4			3.0	3.0	5	3.5	1.5	R	R	1.0
SS64-2124	4	1	4			3.0	2.5	5	4.2	2.0	R	R	1.0

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of plants with internal stem browning.

Table 82. Yield, Preliminary Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 13 Tests	Delaware	Maryland			Ohio	Indiana		Illinois
		George- town <sup>3</sup>	Clarks- ville	Queens- town	Link- wood	Colum- bus	Worthing- ton	Evans- ville	Edge- wood
		*				*			
Clark 63	44.4	40.7	44.0	51.1	31.7	48.7	41.7	38.9	47.0
L67-6301	45.7	46.1	42.5	52.5	33.0	31.9	49.6	47.4	47.0
L67-6330	41.1	37.0	44.0	43.3	27.1	39.2	40.0	36.0	44.5
Cutler	49.8	51.5	46.3	55.5	37.6	53.2	59.0	48.9	48.2
Kent	49.2	47.7	41.8	46.4	36.2	47.6	58.7	45.0	52.1
CX403-209	39.7	39.6	41.2	44.2	31.6	19.6	47.7	31.0	40.7
L63-0097-C3-1	43.0	46.6	43.1	48.9	37.8	48.3	55.2	43.6	46.8
L63-0123-C5-2	46.0	42.0	43.6	49.4	35.1	40.1	51.0	45.6	44.5
L66-1359	49.3	47.0	48.0	59.2	39.5	59.9	58.0	46.9	44.7
L66-1448	41.2	39.0	38.0	48.3	27.0	41.7	44.8	30.9	42.9
L66L-144	49.9	49.1	52.7	53.4	43.2	51.1	54.8	50.4	41.7
L66L-186	46.2	52.4	44.5	46.2	42.3	29.2	48.8	46.0	46.6
L66L-191	47.1	46.8	38.2	49.7	37.1	55.0	52.6	38.8	48.4
L66L-238	43.6	41.2	37.6	45.3	36.4	49.1	39.7	48.3	43.4
L66L-257	45.5	51.3	44.7	45.0	40.6	32.7	44.1	40.7	42.1
L66L-262	46.0	44.0	45.4	48.7	38.1	22.2	52.0	40.3	44.2
L66L-276	45.8	49.4	44.0	38.8	42.4	43.4	46.2	38.2	38.7
L66L-287	40.9	40.6	34.8	46.7	38.4	35.9	43.3	40.7	34.7
L66L-307	42.4	39.1	38.5	42.6	38.1	31.4	45.4	34.6	35.3
L66L-310	44.5	42.1	39.7	48.6	37.4	36.3	51.0	31.8	42.7
L66L-333	46.2	48.9	45.1	52.0	38.6	39.1	43.0	48.6	42.3
L66L-347	48.2	45.0	41.7	49.2	41.0	51.8	52.9	42.6	43.3
Md62-3223	45.8	40.9	39.2	48.3	38.0	57.4	53.9	41.4	44.3
Md62-3605	43.2	43.6	48.2	47.8	31.2	29.7	29.2	50.2	35.9
Md63-148-3	42.6	43.9	42.0	51.8	41.1	35.2	41.2	43.7	37.6
Md63-949-4	41.6	41.5	41.6	48.0	39.6	49.5	39.3	41.2	42.4
Md64-3953	45.5	46.8	41.7	50.5	33.2	41.0	50.2	40.4	43.8
Md64-4050	44.6	48.5	43.8	52.8	38.2	48.3	48.5	42.3	35.6
Md64-4552	45.8	48.2	41.7	53.4	37.8	50.3	54.3	40.6	42.7
SS64-2122	41.3	48.5	31.8	40.4	37.9	33.4	35.1	37.7	43.2
SS64-2124	41.7	51.0	32.8	46.8	41.6	29.4	43.1	33.8	44.8
Coef. of Var. (%)		-	12.0	11.1	6.8	-	14.0	18.9	7.7
L.S.D. (5%)		N.S.	8.4	9.0	5.2	-	13.6	N.S.	6.7
Row Spacing (In.)		36	30	30	38	28	38	36	38

\* Not included in the mean.

1 Clay.

2 Loam.

3 Irrigated.

Table 82. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois			Missouri			Kansas			
	Tren- ton *	Eldo- rado	Carbon- dale	Mt. Vernon *	Portage- ville <sup>1</sup> *	Portage- ville <sup>2,3</sup>	Man- hattan	Man- hattan <sup>3</sup>	Ottawa	Colum- bus
Clark 63	43.9	57.8	35.3	38.1	40.3	37.7	39.3	63.1	58.9	30.3
L67-6301	48.8	53.6	40.8	44.3	25.6	34.0	44.5	62.5	53.2	33.0
L67-6330	52.4	54.3	35.9	41.3	35.5	34.7	39.5	55.4	51.0	29.2
Cutler	58.0	61.6	45.8	45.7	21.8	40.2	40.3	72.6	59.0	31.9
Kent	56.4	65.9	47.0	45.9	17.8	39.9	47.5	64.4	63.8	31.4
CX403-209	37.1	42.4	38.7	31.6	20.5	34.3	38.2	45.9	49.6	30.8
L63-0097-C3-1	43.2	49.1	39.8	42.3	11.9	33.9	34.1	51.7	42.3	33.0
L63-0123-C5-2	52.1	55.6	39.9	36.1	13.0	34.4	47.3	58.0	55.0	38.0
L66-1359	60.0	57.6	33.2	44.5	32.6	40.1	49.9	68.8	61.8	33.6
L66-1448	47.9	40.9	34.7	35.3	34.1	36.9	44.5	55.6	54.0	37.4
L66L-144	47.6	57.7	40.8	41.7	21.9	39.5	51.4	73.5	57.0	32.5
L66L-186	50.1	59.3	46.5	36.8	25.1	37.6	39.0	59.4	51.0	33.8
L66L-191	56.4	55.3	49.2	40.1	23.1	39.3	47.1	64.9	54.9	36.9
L66L-238	53.3	49.3	43.0	34.1	22.5	44.7	44.6	50.8	54.0	30.3
L66L-257	53.6	51.7	49.0	36.6	26.0	40.0	49.3	57.1	50.9	35.8
L66L-262	50.4	57.7	43.1	40.5	28.4	41.2	39.4	56.5	55.1	35.8
L66L-276	47.8	60.3	49.0	33.6	20.0	36.2	45.4	71.8	49.5	34.7
L66L-287	53.8	51.7	35.2	34.0	17.9	33.6	38.8	52.0	46.4	35.8
L66L-307	55.5	44.9	41.2	37.2	11.7	33.8	44.4	60.9	55.4	35.8
L66L-310	51.2	50.3	41.2	35.0	32.0	41.4	53.3	56.9	46.9	36.9
L66L-333	54.5	55.0	41.4	38.9	16.0	38.4	50.6	53.0	59.1	33.6
L66L-347	48.5	57.3	46.2	37.6	11.5	40.2	45.7	70.5	59.7	35.8
Md62-3223	43.4	60.4	42.9	42.0	24.9	33.6	48.9	60.8	51.3	32.5
Md62-3605	--	54.1	41.8	41.1	11.7	34.6	39.7	58.5	51.6	38.5
Md63-148-3	38.8	43.7	37.5	39.5	19.8	31.8	37.5	58.9	55.3	31.9
Md63-949-4	35.8	47.1	33.1	37.1	17.7	28.1	39.3	54.3	48.0	38.5
Md64-3953	43.4	62.3	38.4	35.6	20.6	29.3	48.9	63.1	55.2	34.1
Md64-4050	45.8	58.3	40.7	41.2	22.0	30.6	43.8	61.8	47.3	36.3
Md64-4552	40.5	52.3	40.3	39.1	25.2	30.3	40.3	67.5	56.2	37.4
SS64-2122	49.3	51.6	45.5	38.3	23.8	33.7	42.5	54.4	50.2	33.0
SS64-2124	42.9	49.5	43.8	39.8	30.2	35.4	44.6	51.9	47.0	27.0
Coef. of Var.	8.4	7.2	12.5	28.9	19.9	9.2	9.8	9.4	8.8	8.5
L.S.D. (5%)	8.4	8.0	N.S.	N.S.	9.3	6.8	8.8	11.4	N.S.	5.9
Row Spacing	36	36	40	15	38	38	28	36	30	30



Table 83. Yield rank, Preliminary Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 13 Tests	Delaware	Maryland			Ohio	Indiana		Illinois
		George-town <sup>3</sup>	Clarks-ville	Queens-town	Link-wood	Colum-bus	Worthing-ton	Evans-ville	Edge-wood
Clark 63	19	26	9	9	27	10	25	22	4
L67-6301	14	16	15	6	26	25	14	6	4
L67-6330	29	31	9	28	30	18	27	26	10
Cutler	2	2	4	2	19	4	1	3	3
Kent	4	11	17	23	23	13	2	10	1
CX403-209	31	28	22	27	28	31	17	30	25
L63-0097-C3-1	22	15	14	14	17	11	4	12	6
L63-0123-C5-2	9	22	13	12	24	17	11	9	10
L66-1359	3	12	3	1	9	1	3	7	9
L66-1448	28	30	27	17	31	5	20	31	18
L66L-144	1	6	1	3	1	6	5	1	24
L66L-186	7	1	8	24	3	29	15	8	7
L66L-191	6	13	26	11	21	3	9	23	2
L66L-238	20	24	28	25	22	9	28	5	15
L66L-257	15	3	7	26	7	24	21	17	23
L66L-262	9	18	5	15	13	30	10	21	13
L66L-276	11	5	9	31	2	14	18	24	26
L66L-287	30	27	29	22	11	21	22	17	31
L66L-307	24	29	25	29	13	26	19	27	30
L66L-310	18	21	23	16	20	20	11	29	19
L66L-333	7	7	6	7	10	19	24	4	22
L66L-347	5	17	18	13	6	5	8	13	16
Md62-3223	11	25	24	17	15	2	7	15	12
Md62-3605	21	20	2	20	29	27	31	2	28
Md63-148-3	23	19	16	8	5	22	26	11	27
Md63-949-4	26	23	21	19	8	8	29	16	21
Md64-3953	15	13	18	10	25	16	13	20	14
Md64-4050	17	8	12	5	12	11	16	14	29
Md64-4552	11	10	18	3	17	7	6	19	19
SS64-2122	27	8	31	30	16	23	30	25	17
SS64-2124	25	4	30	21	4	28	23	28	8

1 Clay.  
2 Loam.  
3 Irrigated.

Table 83. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois			Missouri			Kansas			Colum- bus
	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Carbon- dale	Mt. Vernon	Portage- ville <sup>1</sup>	Portage- ville <sup>2,3</sup>	Man- hattan	Man- hattan <sup>3</sup>	Ottawa	
Clark 63	22	8	27	18	1	12	25	9	6	28
L67-6301	16	18	17	4	9	21	15	11	17	19
L67-6330	10	16	26	8	2	17	23	23	20	30
Cutler	2	3	7	2	18	4	20	2	5	24
Kent	3	1	4	1	24	8	8	8	1	26
CX403-209	29	30	23	31	20	20	29	31	24	27
L63-0097-C3-1	25	26	22	5	28	22	31	29	31	19
L63-0123-C5-2	11	13	21	24	27	19	9	18	13	3
L66-1359	1	11	30	3	4	6	4	5	2	17
L66-1448	18	31	29	26	3	14	15	22	15	4
L66L-144	20	9	17	7	17	9	2	1	7	22
L66L-186	14	6	5	22	11	13	27	15	20	16
L66L-191	3	14	1	12	14	10	10	7	14	6
L66L-238	9	25	11	28	15	1	13	30	15	28
L66L-257	8	20	2	23	8	7	5	19	22	9
L66L-262	13	9	10	11	7	3	24	21	12	9
L66L-276	19	5	2	30	21	15	12	3	25	14
L66L-287	7	20	28	29	23	25	28	27	30	9
L66L-307	5	28	15	20	29	23	17	13	9	9
L66L-310	12	23	15	27	5	2	1	20	29	6
L66L-333	6	15	14	16	26	11	3	26	4	17
L66L-347	17	12	6	19	31	4	11	4	3	9
Md62-3223	23	4	12	6	12	25	6	14	19	22
Md62-3605	-	17	13	10	29	18	22	17	18	1
Md63-148-3	28	29	25	14	22	27	30	16	10	24
Md63-949-4	30	27	31	21	25	31	25	25	26	1
Md64-3953	23	2	24	25	19	30	6	9	11	15
Md64-4050	21	7	19	9	16	28	18	12	27	8
Md64-4552	27	19	20	15	10	29	20	6	8	4
SS64-2122	15	22	8	17	13	24	19	24	23	19
SS64-2124	26	24	9	13	6	16	13	28	28	31



Table 84. Maturity dates, Preliminary Test IV, 1969.

Strain	Mean of 13 Tests	Delaware	Maryland			Ohio	Indiana		Illinois
		George- town	Clarks- ville	Queens- town	Link- wood	Colum- bus	Worthing- ton	Evans- ville	Edge- wood
		*				*			
Clark 63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L67-6301	- .1	- 1	+ 2	0	- 1	0	+ 1	0	+ 1
L67-6330	+ 1.2	+ 1	+ 8	+ 1	+ 1	+ 5	+ 1	+ 1	+ 3
Cutler	+ 2.5	- 2	+ 9	+ 2	0	+ 5	+ 3	+ 2	+ 6
Kent	+ 6.5	+ 2	+10	+ 6	+ 5	+ 7	+ 7	+ 5	+10
CX403-209	+ 4.4	+ 2	+ 8	+ 3	+ 3	+ 8	+ 3	+ 4	+ 7
L63-0097-C3-1	- .3	- 2	- 2	0	- 1	+ 8	+ 3	- 2	- 1
L63-0123-C5-2	+ 1.5	+ 2	+ 5	+ 1	- 1	+ 9	+ 3	+ 2	+ 2
L66-1359	- .2	- 1	- 1	+ 1	- 1	+ 2	+ 1	0	0
L66-1448	- 2.1	-10	- 2	0	- 3	0	- 1	- 4	- 7
L66L-144	- .9	0	- 3	0	- 2	+ 3	+ 1	- 2	- 1
L66L-186	+ 6.8	- 1	+ 7	+ 8	+ 9	+ 3	+ 7	+ 6	+ 4
L66L-191	+ 6.1	- 1	+ 6	+ 8	+ 9	+ 5	+ 7	+ 4	+ 6
L66L-238	+ 1.8	- 9	0	+ 4	+ 3	+ 7	+ 1	0	- 2
L66L-257	+ 7.4	- 3	+12	+10	+ 9	+ 7	+ 9	+ 4	+ 6
L66L-262	+ 2.5	- 7	+ 4	+ 1	0	+ 8	+ 2	+ 1	- 1
L66L-276	+13.7	+ 6	+15	+18	+18	+ 8	+15	+ 8	+13
L66L-287	- .2	- 9	0	+ 2	+ 3	+ 8	+ 1	- 2	- 2
L66L-307	+ 3.1	- 1	+ 3	+ 3	+ 3	+ 3	+ 3	+ 2	+ 1
L66L-310	+ 3.5	- 6	+ 2	+ 5	+ 4	+ 2	+ 3	+ 2	+ 1
L66L-333	+ 3.5	- 3	+ 4	+ 3	+ 3	+ 1	+ 5	+ 2	+ 4
L66L-347	+ 6.4	- 3	+10	+ 9	+ 9	+ 2	+ 7	+ 3	+ 3
Md62-3223	+ 2.2	+ 3	+ 2	0	+ 1	+ 3	+ 5	+ 2	+ 5
Md62-3605	+ 5.0	- 1	+13	+ 8	+ 5	+ 5	+10	+ 4	+ 6
Md63-148-3	- .4	- 2	+ 1	+ 1	- 3	+ 3	+ 1	- 1	- 1
Md63-949-4	+ 6.5	+ 3	+15	+10	+ 9	+ 7	+ 9	+ 4	- 3
Md64-3953	- .1	- 2	+ 2	+ 1	0	+ 5	+ 1	- 2	+ 1
Md64-4050	+ .5	+ 4	+ 3	+ 1	0	+ 3	+ 2	+ 3	+ 2
Md64-4552	+ .7	+ 2	- 2	+ 1	+ 3	+ 7	+ 2	+ 2	+ 2
SS64-2122	+14.7	+ 9	+15	+18	+20	+11	+21	+10	+16
SS64-2124	+14.8	+ 9	+15	+18	+20	+13	+19	+12	+17
Wayne (III)		--	--	--	--	-17	--	- 5	- 8
Hill (V)		--	--	--	+28	--	--	--	+20
Date planted	5-25	6-19	5-27	5-27	5-26	5-24	5-13	5-29	5-28
Clark 63 matured	9-25	10-10	9-28	9-24	9-20	10-15	9-17	9-24	9-25
Days to mature	123	113	124	120	117	144	127	118	120

\* Not included in the mean.

1 Clay.

2 Loam.

3 Irrigated.

Table 84. (Continued)

Strain	Illinois			Missouri			Kansas			Colum- bus
	Tren- ton	Eldo- rado	Carbon- dale	Mt. Vernon	Portage- ville <sup>1</sup>	Portage- ville <sup>2,3</sup>	Man- hattan	Man- hattan <sup>3</sup>	Ottawa	
Clark 63	*				*					
L67-6301	+ 1	+ 2	0		+ 2	- 3	0	- 1	0	- 2
L67-6330	+ 2	+ 3	0		+ 1	- 3	+ 1	+ 1	0	- 2
Cutler	+ 5	+ 3	+1		+ 6	0	+ 1	+ 2	+ 2	+ 2
Kent	+11	+ 8	+5		+12	+ 3	+ 5	+ 6	+10	+ 5
CX403-209	+ 8	+ 4	+5		+ 6	+ 1	+ 6	+ 4	+ 6	+ 3
L63-0097-C3-1	+ 2	0	-2		+ 3	- 4	+ 1	0	+ 4	0
L63-0123-C5-2	+ 6	+ 2	-?		+ 7	- 3	+ 4	+ 1	+ 6	+ 1
L66-1359	+ 2	+ 2	+1		0	- 3	- 5	- 2	+ 4	+ 1
L66-1448	- 1	- 6	-4		- 1	- 4	+ 2	0	+ 2	0
L66L-144	- 1	- 1	-2		+ 1	- 3	- 5	0	+ 4	+ 2
L66L-186	+ 8	+ 6	+5		+11	+11	+ 3	+ 6	+10	+ 6
L66L-191	+ 9	+ 4	+3		+10	+ 9	+ 1	+ 6	+10	+ 6
L66L-238	+ 4	- 1	0		+ 8	+ 5	0	+ 3	+10	+ 1
L66L-257	+10	+ 5	+3		+11	+10	+ 6	+ 8	+10	+ 4
L66L-262	+ 5	- 1	+2		+ 8	+ 5	+ 5	+ 3	+10	+ 1
L66L-276	+16	+11	+5		+15	+20	+ 6	+11	+28	+10
L66L-287	+ 1	- 3	-4		+ 5	+ 2	- 2	0	+ 2	0
L66L-307	+ 8	+ 3	+1		+ 7	+ 7	+ 1	+ 4	+ 6	+ 3
L66L-310	+ 7	+ 3	+2		+ 7	+ 7	- 1	+ 5	+ 8	+ 4
L66L-333	+ 6	+ 3	+2		0	+ 2	+ 5	+ 5	+ 8	0
L66L-347	+ 9	+ 4	+3		+ 9	+10	+ 6	+ 7	+ 8	+ 4
Md62-3223	+ 7	+ 4	+2		+ 4	- 2	+ 7	+ 4	- 2	+ 1
Md62-3605	--	+ 1	+3		+ 5	- 1	+ 4	+ 2	+ 6	+ 4
Md63-148-3	0	- 5	-4		+ 1	- 3	+ 7	- 1	+ 2	+ 1
Md63-949-4	+ 3	+ 2	+3		+12	+ 8	+ 5	+ 6	+ 9	+ 8
Md64-3953	+ 3	+ 2	-4		+ 4	- 4	+ 2	+ 1	- 2	+ 1
Md64-4050	+ 4	+ 2	-4		+ 2	- 4	+ 2	+ 2	0	- 2
Md64-4552	+ 4	+ 3	-1		+ 1	- 4	+ 2	+ 3	0	- 2
SS64-2122	+17	+13	+6		+10	+13	+10	+15	+28	+ 6
SS64-2124	+18	+13	+6		+10	+14	+10	+15	+28	+ 6
Wayne (III)	- 3	- 7	--		--	--	- 8	-11	- 8	--
Hill (V)	+17	+17	--		--	--	+ 8	+10	+16	--
Date planted	5-17	5-28	6-4	5-19	5-14	5-12	5-27	5-20	5-14	6-6
Clark 63 matured	9-20	9-21	9-30	--	9-15	9-16	10-8	10-6	9-25	9-24
Days to mature	126	116	118	--	124	127	134	139	134	110

IDENTIFICATION OF PARENT STRAINS NOT IN CURRENT TESTS

Strain	Parentage	Generation Compositied	Regional Testing
Pridesoy II	Selection made by Pride Hybrid Corn Co., Minn.	--	--
II-42-4-6	Lincoln <sup>2</sup> x Richland	--	--
II-42-37	Lincoln <sup>2</sup> x Richland	--	--
II-44-46	Hawkeye x Flambeau	--	--
II-54-139	Renville x Capital	--	--
II-54-240	(Lincoln <sup>2</sup> x Richland) x Korean	--	--
II-55-19	Acme x Hardome	--	--
C1069	Lincoln x Ogden. From same F <sub>3</sub> plant as Kent.	F7	54-58 U.T. IV
C1079	Lincoln x Ogden. From same F <sub>3</sub> plant as Kent.	F7	54-56 U.T. IV
C1128	Wabash x Hawkeye	--	54-58 U.T. II, 58,62 U.T. III
C1253	Blackhawk x Harosoy. Phytophthora resistant.	F6	64 P.T. II
C1264	Harosoy x C1079	F6	62-63 U.T. II
C1266R	Harosoy x C1079	F6	62-63 U.T. IV
D49-2525	Sister strain of Lee	--	--
D53-354	D49-2525 x L46-5679	F5	57-58 U.T. IV, 56-58 U.T. IVS
D54-2437	N48-1394 x L46-5679	F5	57-61 U.T. IVS
FC 33.243	Rogue in Lincoln, by H. J. Anderson, Calamus, Iowa; tested as "Anderson".	--	49 U.T. III, 50 U.T. IV
L46-5679	Lincoln x Richland	--	49-50 U.T. IV
L48-7289	Seneca x Richland	--	50-51 U.T. II
L49-4091	(F <sub>3</sub> Lincoln <sup>2</sup> x Richland) x (F <sub>1</sub> Lincoln x CNS) Pustule resistant.	F4	51 U.T. IV, 52-53 U.T. III
L57-0034	Clark x Adams	F6	60-62 U.T. IV
L57-9819	Hawkeye x Lee	F6	61 U.T. IV
L62-1926	Clark <sup>6</sup> x T245	F3	--
M55-67	Grant x Acme	F5	66 P.T. 00
M319	Lincoln x Hawkeye	F5	58-61 U.T. I
M406	Harosoy x Norchief	F5	64-65 U.T. 0
N48-1394	Same as Hood	--	--
0-52-903	Strain 753-1 from Sven A. Holmberg, Norrkoping, Sweden, same as PI 194.654	--	60-61 U.T. 00
PI 84.946-2	Selected from introduction from Korea	--	--
T106-6	<u>G. ussuriensis</u> from Manchuria	--	--
T245	PI 86.024 from Obihiro, Hokkaido Island, Japan.	--	--
W49-1982-32	Hawkeye x Wisconsin Manchu 3	F8	57-59 U.T. I
WOS-3386	Lincoln x Flambeau	--	53-56 U.T. 0

GROWING CONDITIONS AT TEST LOCATIONS IN 1969

The following notes provide information useful in interpreting strain performance at the individual test locations.

Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Planting was delayed about ten days by a period of cool, wet weather in the latter part of May. Below normal temperatures persisted until mid-July. Rainfall was likewise below normal in July. Tests were irrigated twice. From the period mid-July to maturity, temperatures were near or slightly above normal. Growth was good. Lodging was excessive, resulting in uneven maturity, hence no maturity observations were made.

Cooperator: Ottawa Research Station.  
Soil Type: Grenville loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 400 lbs. 10-20-30 plus 300 lbs. Ammonium nitrate.  
Herbicide Application: None.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.5.

Kemptville, Ontario, Canada. The tests were planted on May 22. Temperatures were below normal in May, June, and part of July while precipitation was above normal. Warm dry weather in August resulted in normal maturity and yield. Excellent yields of average quality soybeans were harvested on September 19.

Cooperator: J. D. Curtis.  
Soil Type: Mountain sandy loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 700 lbs. 0-15-30 fall, 1968; 100 lbs. N spring, 1969.  
Herbicide Application: 3/4 lbs. Treflan and 1 lb. Linuron pre-plant-incorporated.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.6; P, H+ 404; K, H+ 386; Mg, H- 192.

Elora, Ontario, Canada. Growing conditions were cold and wet until mid-July and warm and dry thereafter. Prolonged moisture stress occurred during the filling period.

Cooperator: University of Guelph, Crop Science Department.  
Soil Type: London Loam  
Fertilizer Application: 500 lbs. 5-20-20/A.  
Herbicide Application: 3/4 lbs. (active) Treflan ppi + 3/4 lbs. (active) Linuron preemerge.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 7.8; OM, Medium; P, 147; K, 219; Ca, High; Mg, 224.

Ridgetown, Ontario, Canada. Emergence was uniform and seedling growth was slow following planting because of cool, wet conditions in late May and early June. Above average temperatures and excessive moisture during late June and July resulted in succulent vegetative growth. As a result, considerable early lodging occurred. Normal temperatures were recorded in August but moisture was limited during the latter part of the month. September brought cool, wet conditions. This, coupled with the severe lodging problem, delayed maturity.

Cooperator: Ridgetown College of Agricultural Technology.  
Soil Type: Brookston clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 900 lbs./A. of 3-11-11 broadcast.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben, 4 lbs. active/A. incorporated.



Harrow, Ontario, Canada. Seeding was delayed until June 10 due to above average precipitation. Uniform stands were obtained but growth was slow during the early part of the growing season. July precipitation was 8.69 inches compared to the average of 2.59 inches. This resulted in some flooding but no serious damage. Growing conditions during August and September were favorable for rapid growth and maturity. All plots were harvested prior to the first killing frost (October 23). Lodging was not a serious problem. Yields were average for this location.

Cooperator: Canada Department of Agriculture Research Station.

Soil Type: Brady sandy loam.

Fertilizer Application: 500 lbs./A. 5-10-15.

Herbicide Application: Amiben 2 1/2 lbs./A.

Vail, New Jersey. Soybeans were planted on June 13 in soil with adequate moisture. Rainfall through the growing season was consistent but not excessive. Poor herbicide application resulted in some weed growth, but weeds were not a real problem. Growth was normal. No problems were encountered due to insects or diseases. Frost was not a factor.

Cooperator: Walter Jones, Jr., Farmer, and C. Fred Lorenzo, Sr., County Agent, Warren County.

Fertilizer Application: 300 lbs. 5-20-20.

Herbicide Application: DNBP (dinitro).

Adelphia, New Jersey. The planting was made on May 29 in soil with good moisture. Emergence was rapid and growth was normal until mid-July. June rainfall was 7.9 inches with 4.6 inches falling on June 14. July rainfall was 8.9 inches. From July 21 until August 6, rainfall totalled 8.2 inches. During this 17-day period, the weather was cloudy and humid when not actually raining. Excessive, spindly growth developed on the top of normal sized plants. The excessive growth contributed nothing to yield, but did contribute to lodging. The remainder of August was dry. Viruses were general in the field with most lines exhibiting some mottling. Frost was not a factor.

Cooperator: E. C. Visinski, Superintendent, Soils and Crops Research Center.

Soil Type: Freehold loam.

Fertilizer Application: 300 lbs. 0-20-20.

Herbicide Application: 1 lb. Treflan/A.

Centerton, New Jersey. The trial was seeded on June 11 in soil which was somewhat dry. Rain within five days resulted in good emergence. July rainfall totalled 11 inches, with most of it occurring during the latter half of the month. The first 10 days of August continued to be wet, but the remainder of the month was dry. Growth was normal and sturdy. No real problems developed with insects or diseases. No outstanding factors developed to affect growth. Vegetative development was adequate but not excessive. Frost was not a factor.

Cooperator: Joseph Steinke, Assistant to Director, South Jersey Research Center.

Fertilizer Application: 250 lbs. 10-20-20.

Georgetown, Delaware. Rainfall was only 1.41 inches in May and 1.95 inches in June. All tests were planted June 19 when soil moisture became adequate. Heavy rains occurred in July, 9.8 inches and August, 8.73 inches. September rainfall was deficient and test plots were irrigated on August 28 and September 19 with 2 inches each time. With rainfall occurring on 21 days during July and August there were many days of little sunlight. Temperatures were below normal for these months. Plants made good vegetative growth and considerable lodging occurred, especially in Group V maturity strains. However, seed quality was good overall in spite of the killing frost on October 24 which damaged some Group V strains. All plots were sprayed once for corn earworm.

Soil Type: Norfolk sandy loam.

Fertilizer Application: 40-40-40 plowed down in spring.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; OM, 1-2%; P, 330 Very high; K, 180 Medium; Ca, 660; Mg, 137; High.

Taneytown, Maryland. Growing conditions were poor for early growth. Soybeans were planted after barley and a drouthy period prohibited plowing until July 1. Late rains saved the test from total loss. The soil was of high fertility as indicated by the 100 bushel per acre barley yields this year.

Cooperator: Earle Stonesifer.

Soil Type: Keysville silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 500 lbs. 0-20-20.

Herbicide Application: Dynap.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.7; P, 210 High; K, 189 Medium; Mg, 220 Very high.

Clarksville, Maryland. Early growing conditions were excellent. Weed control was nearly perfect and germination, emergence, and seedling growth were very good. Heavy rains in late July and August caused early lodging and came at the critical time of flowering for both Maturity Groups III and IV.

Cooperator: Thomas Blaney.

Soil Type: Manor silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 500 lbs./A. 5-20-20.

Herbicide Application: Vernam 3 lbs./A. 10 days prior to planting + Dynap at cracking.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; P, 135 Medium; K, 180 Medium; Mg, 224 High.

Queenstown, Maryland. Seed was sown the last week of May under almost ideal conditions. Rains followed planting and by the last week of June, the plants were tall and lush and had closed in the 30 inch rows. There were very few weeds due to the use of Vernam. Heavy rains the last part of July (7.5 inches) and the first part of August (9 inches), accompanied by high wind caused severe lodging from which the plants never recovered. September brought early rain during the first ten days and cooler than normal temperatures. October was ideal for harvesting with only traces of rain. Late maturing lines were frosted October 24. As far as harvesting is concerned, November was a mess--heavy rains and cold weather. The result--shattering and moldy beans.

Soil Type: Mattapex silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 700 lbs. 0-15-30, spring.

Herbicide Application: Vernam two weeks prior to planting.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.6; P, Medium; K, High.

Linkwood, Maryland. Perfect planting conditions the last week of May were followed by more than two inches of rain the first part of June. The beans emerged early and appeared to be vigorous plants. A post-emergence herbicide (Lorox) did a good job of slowing the growth and stunting the plants--many were severely injured and some entire plots were "wiped out". In spite of the herbicide the fields were very weedy throughout most of the summer. Rain started the last part of July (eight inches after the 19th) and continued until the middle of August (10 inches by the 20th). September was dry and cool after the first week and October provided excellent harvest weather with only traces of rain. November was cold and wet which increased shattering and incidence of moldy beans. In general, yields were lower than normal.

Soil Type: Sassafras silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 300 lbs. 0-15-30, spring.  
Herbicide Application: Lorox--post emergence.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; P, High; K, High.

Snow Hill, Maryland. Growing conditions were good throughout the growing season except for heavy rains in July. A serious infestation of Mexican bean beetle damaged soybean foliage and caused serious reductions in soybean yields particularly of the late maturing varieties.

Cooperator: D. Northram.  
Soil Type: Mattapex silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 500 lbs. 0-20-20.  
Herbicide Application: Vernam 3 lbs./A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.5; P, 270 Very high; K, 96 Medium; Mg, 94 Medium.

Snow Hill, Maryland (After barley). Early barley harvest allowed the planting of these double crop beans at a reasonable time. In addition, rainfall was generally adequate for good growth. The cooperator uses a rotation of tomatoes-barley-soybeans and thus has accumulated  $P_2O_5$  in high quantities. Root-knot nematodes were widespread in the test plots.

Cooperator: Douglas Carmean.  
Soil Type: Sassafras sandy loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 300 lbs./A. 5-15-30 (on barley).  
Herbicide Application: Treflan 1 lb./A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.4; P, 580 Very high; K, 114 Medium; Mg, 166 High.

Hoytville, Ohio. Late spring rainfall delayed planting until June 14, 1969. Hoytville missed the heavy July 4 storm and was generally dry throughout the growing season, resulting in below normal yields.

Cooperator: P. E. Smith.  
Soil Type: Hoytville clay.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben pre-emergence.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 7.6; P, 16 lbs./A. (available); K, 298 lbs./A. (exchangeable);  
Ca, 5995 lbs./A. (exchangeable); Mg, 794 lbs./A. (exchangeable).



Wooster, Ohio. The planting date of May 16, 1969 was about normal and the beans had a good start. There was excessive moisture during the July 4 storm and some soil erosion occurred. No soil moisture stress occurred during the growing season which was reflected in better than normal yields.

Cooperator: P. E. Smith.  
Soil Type: Wooster silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben pre-emergence.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.8; P, 100 lbs./A. (available); K, 367 lbs./A. (exchangeable);  
Ca, 2170 lbs./A. (exchangeable); Mg, 428 lbs./A. (exchangeable).

Columbus, Ohio. Due to wet soil conditions, planting was delayed until May 24, 1969. No serious soil moisture stress occurred during the growing season. Excess soil moisture from beans, rain and winds on July 4, 1969 resulted in heavy vegetative growth and poor weed control. Yields were still above average.

Cooperator: P. E. Smith.  
Soil Type: Miami-Brookston silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 500 lbs./A. of 0-20-20 pre-plant.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben pre-emergence.

East Lansing, Michigan. At harvest it became obvious that meaningful yield and maturity data for Uniform Tests O-II and Preliminary Tests O-I could not be obtained because of herbicide damage.

Dundee, Michigan. No unusual climatic conditions occurred during the growing season. Rainfall was slightly below normal during the month of August.

Cooperator: Russell Haupt.  
Soil Type: Silty clay loam.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben.

Knox, Indiana. Planting was June 6, somewhat later than usual for this location. Soil condition was excellent at planting and stands were good. Growth was excellent and yields were above average. Rainfall averaged 4.30 inches above normal for the months of June and July combined. Yield was depressed by below average rainfall of 2.21 inches in August and 0.35 inches in September. There was no more than a trace of precipitation from August 9 until September 6. There were 21 summer days with temperatures of 90° or above with June, July, and August having 4, 8, and 6 of these hot days, respectively. Generally, temperatures were near normal. Harvest was delayed until October 23 due to rain following maturity. Harvest conditions were fairly good. Frost occurred after all strains were mature. Brownspot and downy mildew were very light. No other diseases were observed.

Cooperator: Frank Pulver.  
Soil Type: Maumee loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 200 lbs. of 6-24-24 + 40 lbs. N/A.  
Herbicide Application: None.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.6; P, 35 lbs./A.; K, 135 lbs./A.

Bluffton, Indiana. Planting was timely on May 26 with good planting conditions in moist soil. Emergence was rapid and stands were good. Precipitation was 2.88, 2.07, and 1.93 inches above normal in June, July, and September, respectively, but 2.42 inches below normal in August with only a trace of precipitation from August 9 to September 16. Harvest conditions were fairly good. Harvest was delayed until early October and also interrupted by late September rains. Temperatures were near normal throughout the growing season. There were 21 days with temperatures of 90° F or above with four, eight, and six of these in June, July, and August, respectively. Light and scattered phytophthora was observed throughout the plot. Brownspot was present in moderate amounts and downy mildew was very prevalent throughout the plot. Mildew infection averaged from 1.3, resistant, to 5.0 very severe. There was a moderate amount of lodging. Yields were somewhat below average, and well below expected in relation to plant growth. The lack of precipitation following August 9 appeared to be an important factor in reducing yields. This test was in 30-inch rows with single-row plots. Multiple-row plots were not used since the cooperater changed to 30-inch rows just ahead of planting.

Cooperator: Gerald Bayless and Sons.

Soil Type: Nappanee silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 150 lbs./A. 5-20-20 + 7 lbs. Mn/A. in the row.

Herbicide Application: 11 lbs. Amiben granules/A.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.6; P, 41 lbs./A.; K, 300 lbs./A.

Lafayette, Indiana. Planting was from May 24 to 27, a little later than hoped for at this location. Soil conditions were excellent for planting. Emergence was rapid and stands excellent. Growth was excellent with little or no lodging evident until a 2.33 inch rain occurred August 10 which caused a marked amount of lodging in most strains. Rainfall distribution was rather good through most of the season with 1.63 inches above normal and 1.09 inches below normal in August. Following the 2.33 inch rain August 10 there was no precipitation until September 4. Temperatures were near normal but a little cool throughout the growing season with only four, four, and two days with 90° F or above in June, July, and August, respectively. A moderate amount of brownspot occurred throughout the plot. Other diseases were negligible. Harvest was interspersed with rains but generally most tests were harvested under fairly good conditions. Yields were the best ever at Lafayette with many strains averaging 60 bushels per acre or above, and one experimental strain reaching 70 bushels per acre. The previous year of especially good yield was in 1965 on the same field. Late Group III and Group IV varieties were generally somewhat lower in yield than earlier varieties. These later varieties may have been affected by lack of moisture in late August and early September.

Cooperator: O. W. Luetkemeier.

Soil Type: Chalmers silty clay loam.

Fertilizer Application: 600 lbs. 0-0-60/A. plowed under in fall of 1968, 187 lbs. 5-20-20 + 5% Mn in row.

Herbicide Application: Treflan at recommended rate.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.3; P, 38 lbs./A.; K, 375 lbs./A.

Greenfield, Indiana. Planting was somewhat late on May 28. Emergence was rapid and stands were good. Rainfall was 3.08 inches above normal in July, normal in June, 0.53 inches below normal in August, and 0.85 inches above normal in September. Temperature was near normal throughout the growing season. There were five, six, three, and two days of 90° F or above in June, July, August, and September, respectively. The growth and condition of this plot was about the best ever at this location. Yields were well above average. Phytophthora damage was light to moderate throughout the plot. There was a light to moderate infection of brownspot. Other diseases were of little or no consequence. All varieties matured ahead of frost. Harvest conditions were very good but delayed until October 17 due to fall rains.

Cooperator: Mrs. Raymond Roney.  
Soil Type: Brookston-Crosby complex.  
Fertilizer Application: 125 lbs. 6-24-24 in the row.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.1; P, 9 lbs./A.; K, 150 lbs./A.

Worthington, Indiana. Planting date of May 13 was normal for the location. Planting conditions were excellent. Emergence varied from good to very poor in different parts of the field. The poor emergence may have been due in part to the higher than recommended rate of Treflan applied. Hard rains, one and five days after planting, probably were the major cause of poor emergence. Precipitation was slightly below normal in June, 1.50 inches above normal in July, and 1.13 and 2.16 inches below normal in August and September, respectively. There were 9, 10, and 3 days of temperatures above 90° F during June, July, and August, respectively. Growth was excellent during the season and harvest conditions were good on all tests. Average yields were the highest ever attained at this location, exceeding the record yields of the previous year.

Cooperator: Frederic Sloan.  
Soil Type: Genesee silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 200 lbs./A. 6-24-24 PD, 150 lbs./A. 6-24-24 in row, 200 lbs./A. 5-15-25 with Treflan.  
Herbicide Application: 1 qt. Treflan/A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 7.7; P, 112; K, 240.

Evansville, Indiana. Planting on May 29 was approximately two weeks late for this location. Planting conditions were good in a moist soil. Emergence was fair and stands were noticeably thin in many of the plots. Precipitation was 1.8 and 1.4 inches below normal in May and June, about normal for July, and 2.7 and 1.8 inches below normal in August and September. Temperatures exceeded 90° F on 14, 14, and 7 days in June, July, and August. Manganese deficiency symptoms were apparent at full bloom stage and persisted through the rest of the growing season. Lodging was moderate by late August and was severe in some tests by harvest. Harvest conditions were good. The thin stands and manganese deficiency are believed to have reduced yields to below average for the location.

Cooperator: Bernard Wagner.  
Soil Type: Montgomery silty clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 400 lbs./A. 4-10-10 in row.  
Herbicide Application: 1 pt. Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.0; P, 56; K, 270.



Lexington, Kentucky. Plant growth was excellent during the growing season. Precipitation in May, June, and July was very near normal. Temperature in May was one degree above normal while temperatures in August and September were one degree below normal. Precipitation in August was 2.75 inches above normal while September was very dry (2.31 inches below normal). Most varieties tended to make vigorous vegetative growth which led to early lodging for some varieties. Weed control was good. There were no serious insect or disease damage. Stands were good on all plots.

Soil Type: Burgin silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.1; P, High; K, High.

Henderson, Kentucky. Plant growth was excellent during the growing season, except for being too wet in June and early July, and too dry in September. Cultivation was shallow and weed control was good. Harvesting conditions were good. No serious insect damage or diseases were observed. Killing frosts came in late October, too late to seriously hurt any varieties. The drouth in September may have hurt some of the very late varieties in yield. Stands were good on all plots.

Cooperator: Joe Toy.  
Soil Type: Sharkey silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Alanap plus CIPC.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 5.9; P, High; K, Low.

Ashland, Wisconsin. The nursery was planted May 14, which is about ten days earlier than normal. Very drouthy conditions prevailed and there was only .06 inches of moisture during the balance of the month. During this period only a few beans emerged. Most emergence occurred after we received 1.33 inches of rain between June 1 and 5. Rainfall was below normal during April and May and continued below normal during June and July. Late June and July were very dry. Rainfall records for July show that we received 74% of normal, however 60% of this amount fell after the 27th of the month. From that time on through August, moisture was not excessive but there was ample rainfall, slightly above normal during August. September was a very wet month and presented problems in getting into the fields. Temperatures during the season were also erratic with April, May, August, and September above normal and June and July below normal. As our greatest amount of growth occurs during June and July, bean heights were held down. The cool dry growing period held weed growth down also and no diseases of any consequence were noted.

Cooperator: G. E. Tenpas.  
Soil Type: Clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 170 lbs. 6-24-24 drilled in before seeding.  
Herbicide Application: None.

Spooner, Wisconsin. The 1969 growing season was generally below normal for good soybean production. Rainfall was not very well distributed and was below normal. The nurseries were planted May 28 under favorable soil conditions and emergence of the beans occurred June 5 to 7. Rainfall in June was 1.77 inches below normal but distribution was very good, temperatures were seven degrees below normal. There were 4.77 inches of rainfall in July, .82 inches above normal, and distribution was excellent; temperatures were about three degrees below normal. Rainfall in August

was very low, only 1.32 inches accumulating and 1.04 inches of this occurring on August 5 and 6. Temperatures were over two degrees above normal for the month. The nursery was irrigated August 16 and 29. Temperatures in September were normal but rainfall was 1.9 inches below normal. The total for the month was 1.29 inches with no substantial rainfall on any day or period.

Cooperator: Carl O. Rydberg.  
Soil Type: Pence sandy loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 250 lbs. 5-20-20/A. in row before planting.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.5.

Durand, Wisconsin. The plots were discarded due to extreme drouth.

Madison, Wisconsin. The nursery was planted May 20. Emergence was on May 31 and stands were excellent. Rainfall was .56 inches below normal--5.49 inches above normal, .08 inches above normal, and .90 inches and 1.97 inches below normal in May, June, July, August, and September, respectively. Temperatures were slightly above normal in May and August and 6.6, 1.5, and 1.5 degrees F below normal in June, July, and September, respectively. Cool, wet weather in June resulted in a heavy growth. Drouth during the latter part of August and September reduced yields, especially of late maturing varieties. Disease and insects were not a problem.

Cooperator: Wisconsin Experiment Station.  
Soil Type: Miami silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 200 lbs. of 0-20-20.  
Herbicide Application: 2 lbs. Amiben.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.4; OM, 26; N, -; P, 80; K, 160.

DeKalb, Illinois. Good seedbed and plenty of moisture. On August 5, plots starting to lodge and downy mildew showing up. A wet cool year which may have reduced yields. Real good weed control. No insect problems. Plots harvested October 24. Lodging minimal although much more severe in other fields. Used 4-row plots, 30" row spacing, harvested middle two rows, three replications.

Cooperator: R. R. Bell, Northern Illinois Research Center.  
Soil Type: Flanagan silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: 1 qt./A. Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.7; P<sub>1</sub>, 38; P<sub>2</sub>, 130+; K, 272.

Pontiac, Illinois. Excellent seedbed. On August 1 very few weeds, some lodging observed. Moisture adequate. Harvested October 4. Used two-row plots, 38 inch row spacing, harvested both rows, three replications. Lodging moderate.

Cooperator: Donald Alltop.  
Soil Type: Dodgeville silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: 1 qt./A. Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.0; P<sub>1</sub>, 23; P<sub>2</sub>, 35; K, 400.

Urbana, Illinois. Planting was on May 16 in a moist but very trashy seedbed. A heavy growth of alfalfa had been plowed under the first part of May, and this created problems all year long. Stands were poor and there was a moisture deficit most of the growing season. Growth was poor to very poor. Downy mildew was heavy and general. Brown spot was slight to moderate. There was some premature dying in some varieties such as Calland.

Cooperator: M. G. Oldham, Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station.  
Soil Type: Flanagan silt loam and Drummer silty clay loam.  
Herbicide Application: 24 oz. of Treflan incorporated per acre.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; P<sub>1</sub>, 103, P<sub>2</sub>, 125+; K, 288.

Girard, Illinois. Planting was timely on May 20 in a moist excellent seedbed. Moisture was favorable all season. Some slight hail damage and bacterial blight were observed in early July. Stands were excellent. Lodging started in late July and was severe before maturity. There was little leaf feeding or disease. Rain delayed harvest but shattering was not a problem.

Cooperator: Lloyd Brothers.  
Soil Type: Harrison silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben banded.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 5.6; P<sub>1</sub>, 152; P<sub>2</sub>, 125+; K, 506.

Edgewood, Illinois. Planting was on May 28 in a cloddy, moist seedbed. Emergence was only fair because of excess rain. Stunting was severe in some plots because of the excess water from time of planting through the end of August. Rain also delayed harvest. Downy mildew was heavy throughout the field on susceptible varieties. Bacterial pustule and bacterial blight occurred in small areas throughout the field. Phytophthora killing and stunting were observed. Large numbers of grasshoppers were observed in mid-July and increased in numbers through frost.

Cooperator: John A. Wilson.  
Soil Type: Cisne silt loam.  
Herbicide Application: Granular Amiben banded.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.0; P<sub>1</sub>, 32; P<sub>2</sub>, 68; K, 150.

Trenton, Illinois. Planting was timely on May 17. Stands were fair to very poor because of two weeks of cold wet weather after planting. Some replanting of border rows had to be done. There was stunting from excess water. Bacterial pustule was slight to severe. Severe alternaria leafspot occurred in small areas. Brown spot was slight on lower leaves. Two-row plots were harvested from three replications. Uniform Tests III-IV plots were bordered, and Uniform Test II plots were unbordered because of their more restricted growth.

Cooperator: Fred Bergmann.  
Soil Type: Harrison silt loam.  
Herbicide Application: Granular Amiben banded.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.7; P<sub>1</sub>, 36; P<sub>2</sub>, 125+; K, 234.

Eldorado, Illinois. Planting was on May 28 in an excellent but tight seedbed. Moisture deficiency was never a problem. At times the field was saturated, with soft mud up to eight inches deep. There was no apparent stunting observed. Perennial weeds were a problem. Growth was excellent. Cucumber beetles, leaf hoppers, lady bugs, thrips, and bean leaf beetles, were present in varying numbers throughout the growing season. Lodging began in mid-July but did not get as bad as it normally does for this location. Bacterial pustule was slight to severe, downy mildew heavy and general, bacterial blight slight, and a scattering of stem canker.

Cooperator: Marshall Grisham.

Soil Type: Harco silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 150 lbs./A. of 7-21-7.

Herbicide Application: Liquid Amiben banded.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; P<sub>1</sub>, 40; P<sub>2</sub>, 100; K, 245.

Carbondale, Illinois. Planting was on June 4 in a fair seedbed. Emergence was very good. Growth was excellent all season due to an abundance of rainfall. The center two rows of four-row plots were harvested from three replications. Yields were very high ever though moderate lodging was noted during the latter part of the growing season. Seed quality was good at this location.

Soil Type: Stoy silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 0-110-180.

Herbicide Application: 1 quart Treflan/A. incorporated.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.5; OM, 1.3%; P<sub>1</sub>, 80; K, 300.

Miller City, Illinois. Planting on May 15 was timely for this location. The seedbed was good with moisture within one-fourth inch of the surface. Growth and stands were good although cyst nematode apparently caused moderate stunting and lowered yields in some plots of susceptible varieties. Downy mildew was moderate to heavy and brown spot defoliated the lower half of some plots. There was very little lodging.

Cooperator: M. B. Patton.

Soil Type: Riley fine sandy loam.

Herbicide Application: 1.5 qts. of Treflan incorporated per acre.

Soil Analysis: pH, 5.8; P<sub>1</sub>, 107; P<sub>2</sub>, 125+; K, 303.

Crookston, Minnesota. Planting was done in late May in a reasonably good seedbed. Moisture adequate most of the summer. Mid-summer temperatures somewhat under normal. Progress of plants somewhat delayed. Killing frost unusually late, permitting most genotypes to reach maturity. Chlorosis apparent in some varieties. Weed control somewhat less than desirable, even through Treflan applied preplant. This location is about 40 miles north of the edge of important soybean production but provides good information on maturity of Group 00 material and on chlorosis.

Soil Analysis: pH, 8.0; OM, high; P, 140 lbs./A.; K, 450 lbs./A.



Morris, Minnesota. Planting done in an excellent seed bed on May 14 and weed control very good. Moisture conditions good until August, resulting in good plant growth. Rainfall very light in August and September. Yields reduced from mid-summer prospects though nearly normal for the location. Maturity reached several weeks before frost.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.0; OM, Very High; P, 23; K, 300.

St. Paul, Minnesota. Planting done on May 8 in an excellent seed bed on very fertile soil. Good stands with very good early growth. Only moderate lodging. Rainfall very limited during the summer. On September, the deficit from April 1 was nearly seven inches. Group 00 and 0 tests suffered least from drouth, giving near normal yields, though much below the potential shown on August 1. Group I yields were appreciably reduced by heat and drouth in late August and early September.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; OM, Medium; P, 200+, K, 600+.

Lamberton, Minnesota. A very favorable growing season at this location. Highest average yields of any test location in the state in 1969. Planting done on May 26 in a good seedbed. Moisture was adequate most of the growing season and weeds were controlled. All varieties matured well ahead of killing frost. This station lies in the midst of a heavy soybean production area of the state.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.5; OM, High; P, 27; K, 300.

Waseca, Minnesota. Planting was done in a fairly good seedbed on May 30. Wet soil conditions precluded earlier land preparation and planting. Stands were very good and weed control good. Growth was normal with very little moisture stress. Yields were normal or above for the location. This is our most dependable test location in Minnesota. Plots all matured before frost.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.5; OM, High; P, 40; K, 210.

Sutherland, Iowa. This nursery was planted May 27 with good soil moisture. Below normal temperatures persisted through the month of June but moisture and temperature was near normal the remainder of the growing season. The nursery was considered good for making strain comparisons.

Cooperator: Northwest Iowa Experimental Association.

Soil Type: Primghar silt loam.

Fertilizer Applications: None.

Herbicide Application: Treflan.

Soil Analysis: pH, 7.1; OM, High; N, 26 lbs./A.; P, 17 lbs./A.; K, 132 lbs./A.

Kanawha, Iowa. The nursery was planted May 28 with good soil moisture; however, the seedbed was only fair. Temperature during the month of June was seven degrees below normal and precipitation was 3.5 inches above normal. The remaining months of the growing season were near normal for both temperature and precipitation. Plots were kept weed-free and growth was generally good. This nursery was considered good for making strain comparisons.

Cooperator: Northern Iowa Experimental Association.  
Soil Type: Webster silty clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.4; OM, High; N, 25 lbs./A.; P, 58 lbs./A.; K, 114 lbs./A.

Clarence, Iowa. This nursery is located in east central Iowa on fairly productive soil. Planting was completed on May 23. Stands were fair and plots were kept weed-free. Moisture was excellent during the growing season. Temperature was 5.0 degrees below normal in June but was near normal for the remaining growing months. Growth, yield, and general response was fair. This nursery was considered good for making strain comparisons.

Cooperator: Richard Elijah.  
Soil Type: Muscatine silty clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.8; OM, High; N, 32 lbs./A.; P, 49 lbs./A.; K, 236 lbs./A.

Ames, Iowa. Soil moisture was good at planting time. Moisture levels were good throughout the growing season. Temperatures during the growing season were near normal. A hail storm at the time when Chippewa material was mature appeared to have little effect on making adequate strain comparisons of the group material tested at Ames.

Cooperator: Agronomy Farm, Agricultural Experiment Station.  
Soil Type: Nicollet silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 0-80-80.  
Herbicide Application: Amiben broadcast.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.6; OM, High; N, 37 lbs./A.; P, 42 lbs./A.; K, 140 lbs./A.

Ottumwa, Iowa. This nursery is in southeastern Iowa on flat, very productive Haig silty clay loam. The nursery was planted May 26. Moisture and temperature conditions were near normal during the growing season except for June which had a mean temperature of 6.0 degrees below normal and 2.5 inches of rain above normal. Hail damage of 11% occurred at stage 2.5. Plots were kept weed-free and agronomic responses were considered good for making strain comparisons.

Cooperator: A. E. Newquist.  
Soil Type: Haig silty clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.4; OM, Medium; N, 22 lbs./A.; P, 52 lbs./A.; K, 152 lbs./A.

Red Oak, Iowa. This nursery is located in southwest Iowa and is typical of the rolling terrain frequented by terraces. Temperature and precipitation were near normal during the growing season. Growth, yield, and general response was good for making strain comparison.

Cooperator: Howard Jackson.  
Soil Type: Marshall silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: None.

Herbicide Application: Treflan.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.3; OM, High; N, 29 lbs./A.; P, 28 lbs./A.; K, 424 lbs./A.

Spickard, Missouri. Wet weather delayed planting until May 28. Stands were good but weed control was not perfect. Growth was reasonably good throughout the season but yields were not exceptionally high. Lodging was probably increased in Group III by a late wind and rain storm.

Soil Type: Seymour silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 10-50-50.

Herbicide Application: 2 lbs. Amiben.

Soil Analysis: pH, 5.3; OM, 3.5; P, 134; K, 300; Ca, 5200; Mg, 580.

Columbia, Missouri. Wet weather delayed planting until May 29. The seedbed was poor because the ground was worked too wet. Two days after planting, 4.5 inches of rain compacted the soil and caused considerable erosion. Stands were not good. A four inch rain two weeks later did not improve the soil conditions. After this, the temperatures increased and rainfall decreased considerably. Growth was poor. The stands in Groups I and II were considered to be too poor for reliable yield information. A late hailstorm shattered Groups III and IV so that they, too, were abandoned.

Soil Type: Mexico silt loam.

Mt. Vernon, Missouri. Wet weather caused planting to be delayed until May 19. Stands were good but sedges and cockleburrs were a problem, particularly in several areas of the field. Early growth was good but moisture was somewhat limiting throughout the rest of the season.

Soil Type: Huntington silt loam.

Herbicide Application: 2 1/2 lbs. Amiben.

Portageville, Missouri (Loam and Clay). Planting of the uniform nurseries was during mid-May after the soil temperature was favorable for rapid germination. The seed was planted on pre-shaped beds after an application of Treflan was incorporated. Moisture conditions were optimum for rapid growth and conditions remained rather favorable throughout the growing season with the exception of dry weather in July and August. Supplemental water was applied to the loam soil during July; however, facilities were not available for irrigation on the clay soil. A minor infestation of cyst nematode existed on the test but little visual damage was apparent. A heavy infestation of southern blight was present on the clay soil which resulted in poor yields on that soil. Optimum weather conditions persisted throughout harvest.

Cooperator: University of Missouri Delta Research Center.

Soil Type: Salix silt loam and Sharkey clay.

Fertilizer Application: 0(N) - 50(P) - 50(K).

Herbicide Application: Treflan.

Soil Analysis: Loam--pH, 5.9; OM, 2.1 (Medium); P, 307 (VVH); K, 350 (VVH);  
Ca, 3600 (H); Mg, 400 (H).

Clay--pH, 6.5; OM, 2.8 (Medium); P, 326 (VVH); K, 430 (VH);  
Ca, 5900 (H); Mg, 920 (H).

Portage la Prairie, Manitoba. Very cool weather during May, June, and the first part of July resulted in very slow growth. The stand, however, was not seriously affected. Considerably above average temperature from mid-July through August and half of September resulted in almost mature soybeans before the first killing frost on October 16th. The yields were quite good. No serious disease or insect problems were encountered.

Cooperator: Canada Department of Agriculture Special Crops Sub-station.

Soil Type: Riverdale silty clay loam.

Fertilizer Application: None.

Herbicide Application: None.

Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. The soybean tests were damaged by fall frosts and consequently were not harvested.

Morden, Manitoba, Canada. Soybeans were planted May 13 and were all matured by the end of September. Emergence and growth through May and June were very slow due to very cold weather. The latter half of July, August, and the first half of September were considerably above normal in temperature with the result that degree days above 50° F for the season were only slightly below average. Precipitation for the period was only 8.2 inches compared to 10.7 on the average for the period May 1 to August 31. During August, when temperatures were high, moisture was short. This drouth in August and the poorer stands resulting from low spring temperatures are believed to be responsible for comparatively moderate yields.

Cooperator: Research Station, Canada Department of Agriculture.

Soil Type: Morden heavy clay loam.

Fertilizer Application: 200 lbs. of 27-14-0.

Herbicide Application: Treflan at 1 lb./A.

Fargo, North Dakota. The planting date of May 9 was relatively early this year. However, abnormally cool temperatures in the spring and early summer slowed plant growth until July. A hot dry August lowered the yield potential and hastened maturity of Groups 00 and 0. These lines were unable to take advantage of the longer growing season caused by the relatively late killing frost. Amiben provided good weed control.

Cooperator: North Dakota State University.

Soil Type: Fargo clay.

Fertilizer Application: None.

Herbicide Application: Amiben 2 lbs./A.

Milbank, South Dakota. This test in northeastern South Dakota was not a good one due to extreme lack of soil type uniformity in the test plot area, lack of moisture, and generally undesirable growing conditions during much of the season.

Cooperator: A. O. Lunden.

Soil Type: Beadle-Cavour Association.

Herbicide Application: 4 lbs. Ramrod granular after planting.

Brookings, South Dakota. Conditions were excellent except for a 10-15 percent stand loss from hail about 10 days after planting. Harvest was very late since killing frost did not occur until about October 17.



Cooperator: A. O. Lunden.

Soil Type: Vienna loam.

Fertilizer Application: 0-30-40.

Herbicide Application: 3 lbs. Lasso liquid preemergence.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.4; OM, 3.5; N, Good; P, 25 lbs./A.; K, 181 lbs./A.

Centerville, South Dakota. This test was destroyed by an extremely severe hail storm in early summer. Stand was reduced as much as 80-90 percent in many areas. No yield test harvest was possible although the plot was maintained until fall to study recovery from hail. The very late entries recovered much more than early or midseason soybeans. The two major problems were premature lodging of injured plants and poor competition for weeds.

Cooperator: A. O. Lunden.

Elk Point, South Dakota. This test was acceptable but not good due to severe drouth during late summer. The location was in the fertile sandy flood plain area in the extreme southeast. The test lacked uniformity because of lack of water and limited area.

Cooperator: A. O. Lunden.

Soil Type: Sarpy River Wash.

Herbicide Application: 4 lbs. granular Ramrod after planting.

Concord, Nebraska. The 1969 growing season began with a full profile of soil moisture. The surface soil was dry at planting but rainfall brought good uniform plant establishment in all plots. June was a wet month, as was the first half of July. The balance of July and the first part of August were very dry, but timely rains in late August and September matured the crop nicely. There was no supplemental irrigation water applied. Uniform Tests I and II were mature at frost but most Uniform Test III entries were immature

Cooperator: Russell Moomaw, Extension Agronomist.

Soil Type: Judson-Wabash silt loam.

Fertilizer Application: 30 lbs./A. P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.

Herbicide Application: Amiben at 3.0 lbs./A.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.8; OM, 4.0; N, 21 ppm (low); P, 39 ppm (high); K, 465 ppm (high).

Mead, Nebraska. All tests were badly hailed on August 2 and none were harvested.

Powhattan, Kansas. Excessive rainfall delayed planting until June 4. Approximately 16.0 inches of rain fell from June 4 to October 15. Dry weather caused excessive leaf droppage in August and September. Three inches of rain fell in August and September. Diseases and insects caused no problem.

Cooperator: R. F. Sloan, Superintendent.

Soil Type: Grundy silt clay loam.

Fertilizer Application: None.

Herbicide Application: Treflan 3/4 lbs./A.

Soil Analysis: pH, 6.2; OM, 2.9%; P, 27 lbs./A.; K, 188 lbs./A.; Z, 4.7 ppm.

Manhattan (Dryland) Kansas. Tests were planted May 27 on a very cloddy seedbed. Vegetative growth was reduced in July by the lack of rainfall. Drouth continued through October 1, 1969. No disease and insect problems occurred.

Cooperator: C. W. Swallow, Superintendent.  
Soil Type: Smoland silty clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 16 lbs. N/A., 48 lbs. P/A.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan 1 lb./A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 5.9; OM, 2.5; P, 43 lbs./A.; K, 500+ lbs./A.

Manhattan (Irrigated) Kansas. Tests were planted May 20 in a moist seedbed. Two applications (four inches each) of water were made on August 1 and September 4. Severe lodging was absent. No diseases and insect problems were found.

Cooperator: C. W. Swallow, Superintendent.  
Soil Type: Sarpy fine sandy loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 16 lbs. N/A., 48 lbs. P/A.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan 1 lb./A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 7.9; OM, 1.5; P, 31 lbs./A.; K, 359 lbs./A.

Ottawa, Kansas. Tests were planted May 14 on a good seedbed. Adequate water was available throughout the growing season. High winds and wet soil caused severe lodging. Bean leaf beetle caused severe leaf damage and very severe pod damage on late maturing strains.

Cooperator: C. Gruver, Superintendent.  
Soil Type: Woodson silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 64.4 lbs. P/A., 29.2 lbs. N/A.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan 1 lb./A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.6; OM, 2.8; P, 14 lbs./A.; K, 223 lbs./A.

Newton, Kansas. Soil moisture at planting (May 29) was good. Dry weather occurred in July and early August. Plants prematurely ripened. Diseases and insects were not a problem.

Cooperator: K. Failes, Superintendent.  
Soil Type: Ladysmith silty clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 38.4 lbs. N/A., 153.6 lbs. P/A., 76.8 lbs. K/A.  
Herbicide Application: Treflan 1 lb./A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 5.8; OM, 2.0; P, 88 lbs./A.; K, 495 lbs./A.

Columbus, Kansas. This year was considered to be an "average" growing season with a cool, wet spring and a hot, dry summer. The soybeans showed moisture stress during the last week of July. Adequate moisture later in the season greatly helped the later maturing soybeans.

Cooperator: Southeast Kansas Experiment Station.  
Soil Type: Silt loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 20-45-60 before planting.  
Herbicide Application: 1 qt. Treflan/A.  
Soil Analysis: pH, 6.1; OM, 1.8; P, 48 lbs./A.; K, 133 lbs./A.

Lubbock, Texas. Summer temperatures were well above normal with 19 days of 100° F or above during June, July, and August. Rainfall was as follows: June, 1.71 inches, July, 3.48 inches, August, 2.24 inches. These amounts came almost entirely in one major rain each month. In each case the soybean test was irrigated just before it rained. Irrigations in the amount of three to four inches per application were applied June 12, July 8, July 20, August 7, and August 21. Late August rains (August 25-26) and 4.67 inches in September carried the plants through maturity. Some leaf damage resulted from an army worm infestation in September. Bacterial blight was present but was not a problem. The plants were hand harvested, tied in bundles, and threshed with a soybean plot thresher equipped with rubber-covered cylinder bars.

Cooperator: Raymond D. Brigham.  
Soil Type: Amarillo loam.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: None.

Davis, California. The planting date, June 5, was two weeks earlier than usual. Inoculated seed was planted with good soil moisture and warm spring temperatures. Emergence and stands were good except for some checks which were of three-year old seed. Some strains of maturity group II were late and were cut before fully mature. Uniform Tests 00, 0, I, and II were grown in single-row plots with six replications. The center 16 feet of each 20-foot row was harvested. Rabbits, a pest in nearby experiments, were fenced out. Irrigations were made on May 28, July 9 and 29, and August 15. Temperatures were normal. Granular Thimet (phorate) was side-dressed on July 7 and seemed to control spider mites (Tetranychus urticae k. and T. pacificus). Average yields were similar to 1968.

Cooperator: P. F. Knowles and J. E. Dille.  
Soil Type: Yolo silty clay.  
Fertilizer Application: None.  
Herbicide Application: None.

Five Points, California. These tests were seeded after barley was harvested. The straw was shredded with a flail-type cotton stalk shredder and beds reworked with a rolling cultivator before seeding. The plants grew normally throughout the season. Irrigations were applied immediately after seeding and as needed thereafter. Volunteer barley plants gave the plots a ragged appearance but apparently caused no reduction in yield.

Cooperator: Richard Hoove, Station Superintendent.  
Soil Type: Panoche clay loam.  
Fertilizer Application: 25 lbs./N per acre as ammonium sulfate preplant.  
Herbicide Application: None.  
Insecticide Application: 2 lbs./A. Thimet mite control.





