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#### Abstract

The downy mildew species parasitic to Mentheae are of particular interest, as this tribe of Lamiaceae contains a variety of important medicinal plants and culinary herbs. Over the past two decades, two pathogens, Peronospora belbahrii and Pe. salviae-officinalis have spread globally, impacting basil and common sage production, respectively. In the original circumscription of Pe. belbahrii, the downy mildew of coleus (Plectranthus scutellarioides) was ascribed to this species in the broader sense, but subtle differences in morphological and molecular phylogenetic analyses using two genes suggested that this pathogen would potentially need to be assigned to a species of its own. In the present study, Peronospora species causing downy mildew on members of the Mentheae, including clary sage (Salvia sclarea), meadow sage (S. pratensis), basil (Ocimum basilicum), ground ivy (Glechoma hederacea) and coleus (Plectranthus scutellarioides) were studied using light microscopy and molecular phylogenetic analyses based on six loci (ITS rDNA, cox1, cox2, ef1a, hsp90 and $\beta$-tubulin) to clarify the species boundaries in the Pe. belbahrii species complex. The downy mildew on Salvia pratensis is shown to be distinct from Pe. salviae-officinalis and closely related to Pe. glechomae, and is herein described as a new species, Pe. salviae-pratensis. The downy mildew on S. sclarea was found to be caused by Pe. salviae-officinalis. This is of phytopathological importance, because meadow sage thus does not play a role as inoculum source for common sage in the natural habitat of the former in Europe and Asia, while clary sage probably does. The multi-gene phylogeny revealed that the causal agent of downy mildew on coleus is distinct from Pe. belbahrii on basil, and is herein described as a new taxon, Pe. choii.
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## INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades several downy mildew diseases in medicinal plants and culinary herbs have been newly reported and led to economic losses. Prominent examples are Peronospora somniferi on opium poppy (Voglmayr et al. 2014), Pe. belbahrii on basil (Thines et al. 2009) and Pe. salviae-officinalis on common sage (Choi et al. 2009). The latter two species are closely related and belong to a clade we refer to as the Pe. belbahrii species complex. Apart from the two mentioned species, it is known to contain Pe. elsholtziae and Pe. salviae-plebeiae (Choi et al. 2009). Of the species in the complex, especially Pe. belbahrii and Pe. salviae-officinalis have proven to be destructive pathogens in the production of the respective crops. When downy mildew disease was first discovered on basil and common sage, it was mostly considered to belong to Pe. lamii (McMillan 1993, Gamliel \& Yarden 1998, Plenk 2002, Hill et al. 2004, Belbahri et al. 2005, Liberato et al. 2006, Humphreys-Jones et al. 2008, Choi et al. 2009), according to the broad species concept advocated by Yerkes \& Shaw (1959) for some downy mildew groups. However,
this species concept is generally not appropriate for downy mildews as demonstrated by several phylogenetic studies over the past 20 years or so (for a review see Thines \& Choi 2016). Specifically, it had been shown that the taxon Pe. lamii should be restricted to the downy mildew parasitizing Lamium spp. or L. purpureum only (Choi et al. 2009, Thines et al. 2009).

Apart from the sage pathogens reported so far, several other Salvia species were reported as hosts for Peronospora, such as S. lanceolata, S. pratensis, S. reflexa and S. sclarea (Rabenhorst 1857, Ellis \& Kellerman 1887, Gäumann 1923, USDA 1960, Osipjan 1967, Kochman 1970, Stanjavičenie 1984). In checklists of Peronosporaceae in Europe and the British Isles downy mildews on S. sclarea (clary sage) and S. pratensis (meadow sage), have been noted in addition to downy mildew on common sage (Gaponenko 1972, Dudka et al. 2004, Mulenko et al. 2008, Müller \& Kokes 2008). The downy mildews on clary and meadow sage were usually attributed to Pe. swinglei (Gaponenko 1972, Mulenko et al. 2008), or Pe. lamii (Preece 2002, Dudka et al. 2004, Müller \& Kokes 2008), respectively. While Pe. lamii is clearly not an appropriate species name for downy mildews on sage (Choi et al. 2009, Thines et al.
2009), the application of the name Pe. swinglei to downy mildew pathogens of various species of sage seemed to be more plausible, because this taxon was originally described from S. reflexa (Ellis \& Kellerman 1887, Constantinescu 1991). However, phylogenetic investigations revealed a very high degree of specialisation in Peronospora on Lamiaceae in general and on Salvia in particular (Choi et al. 2009, Thines et al. 2009). These studies demonstrated that Pe. swinglei was not only distinct from Pe. belbahrii but also from the two downy mildews infecting S. officinalis and S. plebeia, resulting in the description of Pe. salviae-plebeiae and Peronospora salviae-officinalis (Choi et al. 2009). Thus, three individual Peronospora taxa are currently reported from sages.

So far common sage is the only known host of Pe. salviaeofficinalis. From a phytopathological perspective it is important to clarify whether other potential hosts do exist that could serve as reservoirs of inoculum for the disease caused by Pe. salviae-officinalis. Phylogenetic studies of Lamiaceae with a special focus on Salvia showed that S. officinalis and S. sclarea are closely related. Together with S. pratensis, they belong to the "Clade I" within the mint family (Walker \& Sytsma 2007, Will \& Classen-Bockhoff 2014). Because of their close phylogenetic relationship, it seemed possible that these sage species could be alternative hosts and could play a role in the infection of sage fields. At the same time, the downy mildew pathogen of coleus that also belongs to the Pe. belbahrii species complex seems still have a restricted distribution (Daughtrey et al. 2006, Palmateer et al. 2008, Denton et al. 2015, Ito et al. 2015, Gorayeb et al. 2019) suggesting that it is not conspecific with Pe. belbahrii and thus representing another downy mildew pathogen posing a potential economic risk.

It was the aim of the current study to better define species boundaries in the Pe. belbahrii species complex by detailed morphological and molecular phylogenetic investigations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Fungal specimens

The downy mildew specimens analysed in this study are given in Table 1.

## Morphological analysis

The morphology of the investigated specimens was studied using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 plus compound microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) equipped with a Jenoptik ProgRes ${ }^{\circledR}$ digital camera. Nomarski Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) was used for observations, measurements and pictures. Images were taken using CapturePro v. 2.8 software (Jenoptik, Jena, Germany). Before measuring, herbarium specimens were moistened with $70 \%$ alcohol and then transferred to $60 \%$ lactic acid on a microscope slide. For all samples 100 conidia and conidiophores and 20 conidiophore stems were measured. All measurements are given in the form (minimum -) border of $30 \%$ - mean - border of $30 \%$ (maximum) as suggested by Thines et al. (2009).

## DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

For DNA extraction about $1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ of infected plant tissue was excised using a sterile razor blade, transferred to a 2 mL reaction
tube with three metal beads ( 3 mm diam, Qiagen), cooled down in liquid nitrogen and disrupted using a mixer mill (TissueLyser LT, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) by shaking the tubes twice at 50 Hz for 90 s with an intervening cooling step. Genomic DNA was extracted using the innuPREP Plant DNA Kit (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). Four nuclear and two mitochondrial gene regions were amplified by PCR using newly designed or published primer pairs listed in Table 2. Initially amplification success was low for ef1a, $\beta$-tubulin and $h s p 90$. Therefore, new primers were designed based on a draft genome of Peronospora salviae-officinalis (data not published). Amplification reactions were carried out in $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ including genomic DNA, $10 \times$ Mango PCR Buffer, 1.5 U Mango Taq Polymerase (Bioline GmbH, Luckenwalde, Germany), 0.2 mM dNTPs, $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forward and reverse primers. In cases where only weak PCR amplification was obtained, PCR was repeated using an ALLin Hot Start Taq Mastermix (HighQu GmbH, Kraichtal, Germany). PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min}, 40$ cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , primer-specific annealing temperatures for 30 s (see Table 2), $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ extension for 90 s and final extension of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . PCR products were purified using a DNA Clean \& Concentrator TM-5 Kit (Zymo Research Europe GmbH, Freiburg, Germany) and amplicons were sequenced at Eurofins Genomics (Eurofins Genomics GmbH, Ebersberg, Germany) using the primers that were used for PCR.

## Phylogenetic analysis

In the phylogenetic analyses newly generated and already published sequences were used (see Table 1). The newly generated sequences were edited using the DNA Sequence Analysis Software Sequencher v. 5.4.1 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA). DNA sequences were aligned with the online version of MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh et al. 2017) using the iterative refinement algorithms Q-INS-i for the ITS rDNA and L-INS_i for all other gene regions. The start and end of the alignments were cut manually in Se-Al v. 2.0 (Rambaut 1996) to remove leading and trailing gaps. The final alignments obtained were deposited (www.treebase.org) and are available under accession no S2 5694 (http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/ study/TB2:S25694).

Phylogenetic trees were inferred based on the alignments using maximum parsimony (MP), Bayesian Metropolis coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo analyses ( $\mathrm{MC}^{3}$ ), maximum likelihood (ML), and minimum evolution (ME). The MP and ME analysis were carried out in MEGA v. 7 (Kumar et al. 2016) using default settings. Support for internal nodes was estimated by 500 and 1000 bootstrap replicates, respectively (Felsenstein 1985). The $\mathrm{MC}^{3}$ analysis was performed using MrBayes v. 3.2 (Ronquist \& Huelsenbeck 2003) applying GTR+I+G as the substitution model. For Bayesian analyses 1 M generations were run for the multi-locus tree and 2 M generations for the cox2-based tree, respectively, and trees were sampled every 500 generations. The $50 \%$ majority rule consensus trees were computed and a posteriori probabilities (pp) estimated from trees of the plateau using a $20 \%$ burnin. Maximum likelihood analyses were performed using RAxML v. 7.2.8 (Stamatakis 2014) as implemented in Geneious v. 8.1.2 (Biomatters Limited, Auckland, New Zealand) applying the general time-reversible (GTR) substitution model with gamma model of rate heterogeneity and 1000 replicates of rapid bootstrapping. The phylogenetic trees were visualised within MEGA v. 7 or using FigTree v. 1.4.2 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).
Table 1. Peronospora specimens analysed in this study.

| Pathogen | Host | Location | Year | Accession | Collector | ITS | ef1a | $\beta$-tub | Hsp90 | cox1 | cox2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pe. belbahrii | Ocimum basilicum | Germany, IGZ Großbeeren/ Erfurt | 2017 | FR-0162878 | anonymous | MN308051 | MN546882 | MN546908 | MN546985 | MN546933 | MN546959 |
| Pe. belbahrii | Ocimum basilicum | Germany, IGZ Großbeeren/ Erfurt | 2017 | FR-0162878 | anonymous | MN308052 | MN546883 | MN546909 | MN546986 | MN546934 | MN546960 |
| Pe. belbahrii | Ocimum basilicum | Germany, IGZ <br> Großbeeren/ <br> Erfurt | 2017 | FR-0162880 | anonymous | MN308053 | MN546884 | MN546910 | MN546987 | MN546935 | MN546961 |
| Pe. belbahrii | Ocimum basilicum | Germany, NI, Braunschweig | 2018 | FR-0162881 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450330 | MN546899 | MN546924 | MN547000 | MN546950 | MN546976 |
| Pe. belbahrii | Ocimum basilicum | Germany | 2005 | HOH HUH770 | M. Thines | - | - | - | - | - | FJ394344* |
| Pe. belbahrii | Ocimum basilicum | Germany | 2004 | GLM74580 | H. Jage | - | - | - | - | - | KJ654229* |
| Pe.elsholtziae | Elsholzia ciliata | Korea | 2004 | KUS-F20252 | anonymous | MN450321 | - | - | - | KJ654147* | KJ654296* |
| Pe. glechomae | Glechoma hederacea | Germany, ST, Östliches Harzvorland | 2001 | GLM-F73803 | H. Jage | MN450323 | MN546892 | MN546919 | MN546995 | MN546943 | KJ654217* |
|  | Glechoma hederacea | Romania, Suceava, Clit | 1992 | BUCM 125.616 | G. Negreen | MN450332 | MN546901 | MN546926 | MN547002 | MN546952 | MN546978 |
| Pe. lamii | Lamium purpureum | Germany, BW, Ladenburg | 2018 | FR-0162882 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450324 | MN546893 | - | - | MN546944 | MN546970 |
|  | Lamium purpureum | Germany, NI, Evessen | 2018 | FR-0162883 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450325 | MN546894 | - | - | MN546945 | MN546971 |
| Pe. salviae-plebeiae | Salvia plebeia | Korea, Hongcheon | 2008 | KUS-F23371 |  | - | - | - | - | - | KJ654299* |
| Pe. salviae-officinalis | Salvia officinalis | Germany, HE, Bad Hersfeld | 2017 | GLM-F117791 | H. Blum | MN308035 | MN546878 | MN546904 | MN546981 | MN546929 | MN546955 |
|  | Salvia officinalis | Germany, SN, Dresden | 2017 | GLM-F117792 | C. Grunert | MN308036 | MN546879 | MN546905 | MN546982 | MN546930 | MN546956 |
|  | Salvia officinalis | Switzerland, TG, Kesswil | 2017 | GLM-F117793 | H. Blum | MN308034 | MN546880 | MN546906 | MN546983 | MN546931 | MN546957 |
|  | Salvia officinalis | Germany, NI, Rittmarshausen | 2017 | GLM-F117794 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450312 | MN546881 | MN546907 | MN546984 | MN546932 | MN546958 |
|  | Salvia officinalis | Germany, RP, Worms | 2016 | GLM-F117795 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450318 | MN546889 | MN546916 | MN546992 | MN547005 | MN546967 |
| Pe. saturejae-hortensis | Satureja hortensis | Germany | 1996 | GLM-F67681 | H. Jage | - | - | - | - | KJ654094* | KJ654243* |
| Pe. choii | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA, Michigan | 2007 | PsC3 | C. Ehrhard | MN450320 | MN546891 | MN546918 | MN546994 | MN546942 | MN546969 |
| Pe. choii (Holotype) | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA, Tenessee | 2015 | BPI 893223 | A. Windham | MN450333 | MN546902 | MN546927 | MN547003 | MN546953 | MN546979 |
| Pe. choii (Paratype) | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA, Tenessee | 2015 | BPI 893222 | A. Windham | MN450334 | MN546903 | MN546928 | MN547004 | MN546954 | MN546980 |

Table 1. (Continued).

| Pathogen | Host | Location | Year | Accession | Collector | ITS | $e f 1 a$ | $\beta$-tub | Hsp90 | cox1 | cox2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA | 2007 | HOH HUH945 | anonymous | - | - | - | - | - | FJ394343* |
|  | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA | 2007 | HOH HUH946 | anonymous | - | - | - | - | - | FJ394342* |
|  | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA | 2007 | HOH HUH947 | anonymous | - | - | - | - | - | FJ394339* |
|  | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA | 2007 | HOH HUH948 | anonymous | - | - | - | - | - | FJ394340* |
|  | Plectranthus scutellarioides | USA, Tenessee | 2015 | BPI 893223 | A. Windham | - | - | - | - | - | KT828759* |
| Pe. salviae-pratensis (Holotype) | Salvia pratensis | Germany, BW, Ladenburg | 2016 | GLM-F117783 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450313 | MN546885 | MN546911 | MN546988 | MN546936 | MN546962 |
| Pe. salviae-pratensis (Paratype) | Salvia pratensis | Germany, NI, Braunschweig | 2017 | GLM-F117784 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450314 | MN546886 | MN546912 | MN546989 | MN546937 | MN546963 |
|  | Salvia pratensis | Germany, NI, Evessen | 2017 | GLM-F117785 | M. Hoffmeister \& W. Maier | MN450319 | MN546890 | MN546917 | MN546993 | MN546941 | MN546968 |
|  | Salvia pratensis | Germany, RP, Mainz | 2018 | GLM-F117786 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450326 | MN546895 | MN546920 | MN546996 | MN546946 | MN546972 |
|  | Salvia pratensis | Germany, BW, Dossenwald | 2018 | GLM-F117787 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450327 | MN546896 | MN546921 | MN546997 | MN546947 | MN546973 |
|  | Salvia pratensis | Germany, BW, Nussloch | 2018 | GLM-F117788 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450328 | MN546897 | MN546922 | MN546998 | MN546948 | MN546974 |
|  | Salvia sclarea | Germany, BY, Schwebheim | 2017 | FR-0162877 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450316 | MN546887 | MN546914 | MN546990 | MN546939 | MN546965 |
|  | Salvia sclarea | Germany, NI, Braunschweig | 2017 | GLM-F117789 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450317 | MN546888 | MN546915 | MN546991 | MN546940 | MN546966 |
|  | Salvia sclarea | Germany, BB, Glindwo | 2017 | FR-0162876 | V. Kummer | MN450331 | MN546900 | MN546925 | MN547001 | MN546951 | MN546977 |
|  | Salvia sclarea | Germany, ST, Quedlinburg | 2018 | GLM-F117790 | M. Hoffmeister | MN450329 | MN546898 | MN546923 | MN546999 | MN546949 | MN546975 |
| Pe. swinglei (Type) | Salvia reflexa | USA | 1887 | FH 00079723 |  | - | - | - | - | - | FJ394338* |
| Pe. teucrii | Teucrium botrys | Germany | 2004 | GLM-F62880 | V. Kummer | MN450322 | - | - | - | KJ654108* | KJ654257* |
| Pe. viciae | Vicia faba | Germany, SN, Aschersleben | 2017 | FR-0162884 | T. Kühne | MN450315 | - | MN546913 | - | MN546938 | MN546964 |

[^0]Table 2. Primers used in this study.

| Locus | Primer | Sequence ( $5^{\prime}$-> $3^{\prime}$ ) | $\mathrm{T}^{\text {b }}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nuclear |  |  |  |  |
| ITS | DC6 | GAGGGACTTTTGGGTAATCA | 57 | (Cooke 2000) |
|  | LR-0 | GCTTAAGTTCAGCGGGT |  | (Moncalvo 1995) |
| EF1a | EF1a Pso fd | ACATTGCCCTGTGGAAGTTCGA | 61 | This study |
|  | EF1a Pso rv | AGTCTCAAGAATCTTACCCGAACGA |  | This study |
| ß-tub | bTub Pso fd | AATGAGGCTACAGGTGGACGTTA | 58 | This study |
|  | bTub Pso rv | CACGCTTGAACATTTCTTGAATAGC |  | This study |
| hsp90 | HSP90 Pso fd | GGTACTCATCGCTCACTGATG | 54 | This study |
|  | HSP90 Pso rv | CAACGCCCTTTACAAATGACA |  | This study |
| Mitochondrial |  |  |  |  |
| cox1 | OomCox1-levup | TCAWCWMGATGGCTTTTTTCAAC | 42 | (Robideau et al. 2011) |
|  | OomCox1-levlo | CYTCHGGRTGWCCRAAAAACCAAA |  | (Robideau et al. 2011) |
| $\operatorname{cox} 2$ | cox2 forward | GGCAAATGGGTTTTCAAGATCC | 42,5 | (Hudspeth et al. 2000) |
|  | cox2 reverse | CCATGATTAATACCACAAATTTCACTAC |  | (Hudspeth et al. 2000) |

## RESULTS

## Morphology

The Peronospora species on PI. scutellarioides (coleus) differs from Pe. belbahrii on O. basilicum (basil) in various aspects (Table 3 and Fig. 1). Conidia on PI. scutellarioides were ellipsoid to rounded and with a pale brown colouration, whereas conidia of Pe. belbahrii were ovoid to long ellipsoid and with a dark brown to olive colouration. Peronospora on coleus further differed from Pe. belbahrii by a smaller conidial size: $19.9 \times 18.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the former vs. $30.8 \times 24.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the latter. Additionally, the mean length/width ratio from 1.13 to 1.16 of the former was smaller than that of the latter (mean = 1.29). The downy mildew on coleus differs from Pe. belbahrii also in the shape of the ultimate branchlets. The shape of ultimate branchlets in Peronospora on coleus was curved to almost straight, especially the shorter branch was often straight, while in Pe. belbahrii both were curved. In addition, the length of the ultimate branchlets and the ratio of the length of the longer to the shorter ultimate branchlet differed. The mean values of the longer branchlets of Peronospora on coleus were shorter $(15.6 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ than those of Pe. belbahrii ( $20.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). With 9.2 and $9.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively (type and paratype) in the mean the shorter branchlets of Peronospora on coleus have a similar length as Pe. belbahrii (measuring $9.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). As a consequence, the ratio of the length of the longer to the shorter ultimate branchlet was smaller for Peronospora on coleus (1.72) than that of Pe. belbahrii (2.29).

Conidial size and shape and conidiophore size and shape of the Peronospora species on S. pratensis were similar in all six sampling sites (measurements are only shown for two specimens, Table 4 and Fig. 2). The pathogen on S. pratensis differs from Pe. swinglei on S. reflexa and from Pe. lamii on L. purpureum. Conidia on S. pratensis were ovoid and showed
a rounded base, whereas conidia of Pe. swinglei were often tear-shaped and narrowing/tapering at the base. Conidia of Pe. lamii were ovoidal to broadly ellipsoidal and often slightly narrowing at the base with a short pedicel. Peronospora on S. pratensis differed from Pe. swinglei and Pe. lamii by smaller conidial size: $21.0 \times 18.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the former vs. $23.6 \times 20.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $23.4 \times 19.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the latter, respectively. Additionally, the mean length/width ratio from 1.15 of $P$. sp. on Salvia pratensis was smaller than that of Pe . lamii (mean $=1.19$ ). Furthermore, conidia of Peronospora on S. pratensis differed from those of Pe. glechomae on Glechoma hederacea. With $22.6 \times 17.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a mean length/width ratio of 1.31 , conidia of Pe. glechomae were longer but narrower than those of Peronospora on $S$. pratensis. The conidial colour of Peronospora on S. pratensis was light greyish with a pale brownish hue whereas conidia from Pe. glechomae were vibrant brown. The ovoidal to ellipsoidal conidia of the Peronospora species on S. pratensis differed in their shape from the conidia of Pe. salviae-officinalis, which were ellipsoidal to broadly ellipsoidal. No differences were observed in mean conidial length, width and the mean length/ width between these two species. The pathogen on Salvia pratensis differs from Pe. swinglei and Pe. lamii also in the shape of the ultimate branchlets. The shape of the ultimate branchlets in Peronospora on S. pratensis was slightly curved to almost straight, while in the latter two species it was straight or almost so. Also, the length of the ultimate branchlets and the ratio of the longer to the shorter ultimate branchlet differed. The longer branchlets of Peronospora on S. pratensis were longer ( $13.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) than those of Pe. swinglei $(11.6 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and Pe. lamii $(12.3 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, respectively. With $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the mean the shorter branchlets of Peronospora on S. pratensis were longer than those of Pe. swinglei (measuring $7.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) but shorter than those of Pe. lamii $(8.3 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Although the ultimate branchlets of the Peronospora species on S. pratensis and those of Pe. salviae-officinalis did not

differ significantly in morphometric measurements, they tended to be rather rounded in Peronospora on S. pratensis in contrast to subacute in Peronospora salviae-officinalis.

Conidia of Peronospora on S. sclarea are highly similar to those of Pe. salviae-officinalis on S. officinalis: They measure $21.5 \times 18.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the former and $21.1 \times 18.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the latter (type), and the 1.16 length/width ratio of the conidia was nearly the same as compared to the type of Pe. salviae-officinalis (mean = 1.17). The shape and length of the ultimate branchlets and the ratio of the longer to the shorter ultimate branchlet of Peronospora on S. sclarea, were similar to those of Pe. salviaeofficinalis (Table 4 and Fig. 3).

## Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic relationships inferred using MP, ME, ML and $M C^{3}$ analyses based on the alignment of cox2 only are presented in Fig. 4, and the phylogenetic relationships calculated from the concatenated alignment of four nuclear (ITS, ef1a, hsp90, $\beta$-tubulin) and two mitochondrial (cox1, cox2) loci are presented in Fig. 5. The cox2-only alignment had 419 characters. The concatenated alignment comprised 4049 characters: i.e. cox1 (527), cox2 (487), ITS (927), ef1a (677), hsp90 (804) and $\beta$-tubulin (627). Since no conflicts in supported groupings were found between the tree topologies of the MP, ME, ML and $M C^{3}$ analyses, only the topology of the MP tree is shown for the cox2 analysis in Fig. 4 and for the multi loci analysis in Fig. 5, with addition of the support values of the other analyses. Two most parsimonious trees were found in the MP analysis of the cox2-data set and six in the combined data set, respectively with minor differences in the topology of unsupported groupings. One of these trees each was selected for presentation.

The single gene analysis based on cox2 sequences showed sufficient resolution to distinguish between Peronospora from coleus, basil, and the different sage species (Fig. 4), respectively (except for the pathogens on clary and common sage). It also again showed that Pe. lamii s. str. on Lamium purpureum and Pe. swinglei s. str. on Salvia reflexa are only distantly related to each other (compare Choi et al. 2009, Thines et al. 2009) and to the here newly sampled downy mildews on clary and meadow sage. The combined six-gene analysis showed a more resolved and better supported tree topology (Fig. 5). The monophyly of lineages parasitic to specific host species received mostly high to maximum support values in the multi gene analyses, except for the two specimens of Pe. glechomae, which did not receive any significant support in the analyses. The downy mildew pathogens of meadow sage formed two distinct and each wellsupported clades that grouped together with moderate to strong support. The monophyly of Pe. belbahrii and coleus downy mildew pathogens, respectively, received maximum support in all analyses in the phylogenetic tree based on six loci. In contrast to Pe. belbahrii on basil, which showed intraspecific variability, the downy mildews specimens from coleus were identical in all six gene regions studied. The downy mildew pathogens on common and clary sage grouped together with mostly strong support in both the cox 2 and in the reconstruction based on six loci.

## Taxonomy

Due to differences in morphology and on the basis of molecular phylogenetic reconstructions, it is concluded that the Peronospora specimens studied from Pl. scutellarioides and S. pratensis are sufficiently distinct from other Peronospora species on Mentheae to propose them as new species.

Peronospora choii Hoffmeister, W. Maier \& Thines, sp. nov. MycoBank MB834424. Fig. 1A-K.

Etymology: The species is dedicated to Young-Joon Choi for his significant contributions to the phylogeny and taxonomy of downy mildews.

Typus: USA, Tennessee, on living leaves of Plectranthus scutellarioides, Aug. 2015, A. Windham (holotype BPI 893223).

Habitat: On living leaves of Plectranthus scutellarioides (syn.: Solenostemon scutellarioides, Coleus scutellarioides; Lamiaceae).

Straminipila, Peronosporomycetes, Peronosporales, Peronosporaceae. Hyphae intercellular, haustoria intracellular. Down dainty floccose, greyish to brownish. Conidiophores emerging from stomata, hyaline, slender, length 351-831 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; trunk erect, straight or slightly curved, 222-533 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, $10-21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ broad below the first branch, basal end often slightly swollen, $8-14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ broad, sometimes constricted at middle height, callose plugs not observed; branching submonopodial, branched 4-6(-7) times, branches slightly curved, arborescent. Ultimate branchlets slightly curved to almost straight, obtuse, in pairs with different lengths, the longer being usually (8.2-) 12.7-15.6-17.2(27.4) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, the shorter (4.2-)7.9-9.2-10.3(-14.9) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, longer/ shorter branch ratio (1.01-)1.53-1.72-1.83(-3.12). Conidia light greyish to pale brownish, ovoidal to ellipsoidal, (14.6-)17.9-19.9-21.4(-27.3) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, (12.8-)15.9-17.8-18.9(-24.9) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ broad, length/breadth ratio (1.02-)1.08-1.13-1.16(-1.33), tip and base rounded; wall ornamentation obscure; pedicel absent. Oospores not seen.

Additional material examined: USA, Tennessee, on living leaves of Plectranthus scutellarioides, Aug. 2015, A. Windham (paratype BPI 893222).

Notes: Infected leaves show discoloured, chlorotic to necrotic spots as seen from the upper surface. On the lower surface of the leaves a grey to brown down of conidiophores with conidia is formed in the lesions.

Peronospora salviae-pratensis Hoffmeister, W. Maier \& Thines, sp. nov. MycoBank MB834425. Fig. 2A-I.

Etymology: "salviae-pratensis"refers to the Latin species name of the host plant.

Fig. 1. A-K. Peronospora choii on Plectranthus scutellarioides (BPI 893223). L-S. Peronospora belbahrii on Ocimum basilicum. A-C. Conidiophores. D, E, L-O. Ultimate branchlets of conidiophores. F, G. Ultimate branchlets of conidiophores with developing conidia. H-K, P-S. Mature conidia. Scale bars: $A=100 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{S}=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Table 3. Comparison of morphological features of Peronospora spp. parasitic to coleus, basil and red deadnettle.

| Pathogen | Pe. plectranthi | Pe. plectranthi | Pe. plectranthi | Pe. belbahrii | Pe. belbahrii | Pe. Iamii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Host | Plectranthus scutellarioides | Plectranthus scutellarioides | Plectranthus scutellarioides | Ocimum basilicum | Ocimum basilicum | Lamium purpureum |
| Acc. no. | BPI 893223 (Type) | BPI 893222 (Paratype) | HOH, HUH 946 | HOH, HUH 770 (Type) | FR-0162878 | FR-0162882 |
| Ultimate branchlets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Curved to sub-straight | Curved to sub-straight | Curved | Curved | Curved | Sub-straight |
| Length (longer) | (8.2-)12.7-15.6-17.2(- <br> 27.4) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8.0-) 14.3-17.6-18.8(- \\ & 36.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (6.4-)10.0-13.4-17.0(- } \\ & 26.0) ~ \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (13.0-) 18.0-20.6-26.0(- \\ & 31.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | (8.9-)16.2-18.0-19.9(28.2) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | (6.1-)10.6-12.3-14.3(- <br> 18.6) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |
| Length (shorter) | $\begin{aligned} & (4.2-) 7.9-9.2-10.3(- \\ & 14.9) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | (4.2-)7.7-9.8-10.9(-25.7) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | (5.1-)5.4-7.7-8.9(-15.0) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $(3.8-) 7.7-9.8-10.0(-15.0)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (5.2-) 7.5-9.1-10.5(- \\ & 14.7) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (5.0-) 6.9-8.3-9.5(-13.6) \\ & \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Longer/shorter ratio | $\begin{aligned} & (1.01-) 1.53-1.72- \\ & 1.83(-3.12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.96-) 1.69-1.84-1.96(- \\ & 2.75) \end{aligned}$ | (1.3-)1.6-1.88-2.2(-3.5) | $\begin{aligned} & (1.30-) 1.80-2.29-2.70(- \\ & 4.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.28-) 1.88-2.00-2.12(- \\ & 3.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.05-) 1.36-1.50-1.59(- \\ & 2.44) \end{aligned}$ |
| Shape of tips of ultimate branchlets | Acute to subacute, sometimes rounded | Acute to subacute, sometimes rounded | Acute to subacute | Acute to subacute | Acute to subacute | Obtuse to subacute |
| Conidia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Ellipsoid to rounded | Ellipsoid to rounded | Ellipsoid | Ovoid | Ovoid | Ovoid to broadly ellipsoid |
| Colour | Light brown | Light Brown | Brown | Dark brown to olive | Dark brown to olive | Light brownish to greyish |
| Base | Rounded | Rounded | Often rounded, sometimes narrowed | Rounded | Rounded | Rounded |
| Length | $\begin{aligned} & (14.6-) 17.9-19.9- \\ & 21.4(-27.3) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (20.6-) 24.0-25.3-26.5(- \\ & 31.7) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (22.0-)24.0-26.3-28.0(- } \\ & 32.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (24.0-) 29.0-30.8-33.0(- \\ & 36.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (22.6-) 25.9-26.9-27.9(- \\ & 30.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (20.3-) 22.3-23.4-24.0(- \\ & 27.3) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Width | $\begin{aligned} & (12.8-) 15.9-17.8- \\ & 18.9(-24.9) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (18.5-) 20.9-22.0-22.8(- \\ & 27.3) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (18.0-)20.0-21.3-23.0(- } \\ & 24.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (20.0-) 23.0-24.0-26.0(- \\ & 29.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (18.4-) 21.9-22.5-23.2(- \\ & 26.1) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (17.0-) 19.1-19.7-20.3(- \\ & 22.6) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Length/width ratio | $\begin{aligned} & (1.02-) 1.08-1.13- \\ & 1.16(-1.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.06-) 1.12-1.16-1.18(- \\ & 1.33) \end{aligned}$ | (1.1-)1.2-1.24-1.3(-1.5) | $\begin{aligned} & (1.10-) 1.20-1.29-1.40(- \\ & 1.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.05-) 1.16-1.19-1.23(- \\ & 1.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.06-) 1.16-1.19-1.21(- \\ & 1.33) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pedicel | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Mostly absent, rarely with a scar |
| Wall ornamentation | Obscure | Obscure |  |  | Obscure | Obscure |
| Haustoria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Not seen | Not seen | Not seen <br> (Thines et al. 2009) | Not seen <br> (Thines et al. 2009) | Pyriform to globose | Pyriform to globose |

Table 4. Comparison of morphological features of Peronospora spp. parasitic to Salvia spp. and Glechoma hederacea.

| Fungus | Pe. choii | Pe. choii | Pe. salviae-officinalis | Pe. salviae-officinalis | Pe. salviae-officinalis | Pe. swinglei | Pe. glechomae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Host | Salvia pratensis | Salvia pratensis | Salvia sclarea | Salvia officinalis | Salvia officinalis | Salvia reflexa | Glechoma hederacea |
| Acc. no. | GLM-F117784 (Type) | GLM-F117783 (Paratype) | GLM-F117789 | GLM-F117795 | HOH: HUH 961 (Type) | FH 00079723 (Type) | GLM 73803 |
| Ultimate branchlets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Slightly curved to substraight | Slightly curved to substraight | Slightly curved to substraight | Slightly curved to substraight | Slightly curved to substraight | Sub-straight to straight | Slightly curved |
| Length (longer) | $\begin{aligned} & (7.5-) 11.8-13.3- \\ & 14.7(-22.1) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (7.2-)11.9-14.0-15.3(- } \\ & 25.2) ~ \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (8.8-)12.1-14.0-15.8(- } \\ & 21.4) ~ \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | (7.3-)12.2-14.2-15.6(-22.2) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8.0-) 10.3-12.7- \\ & 15.0(-17.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8.8-) 9.9-11.6- \\ & 13.4(-15.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (7.9-) 12.1-13.8- \\ & 15.2(-22.6) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Length (shorter) | $\begin{aligned} & (4.4-) 6.5-7.5-8.4(- \\ & 11.7) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $(4.9-) 7.3-8.3-8.9(-14.8)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (4.9-) 6.8-7.9-8.8(- \\ & 12.8) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $(4.1-) 7.0-8.0-8.8(-13.0) \mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (5.0-) 6.2-7.8-9.4(- \\ & 10.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (4.5-) 5.7-7.1-8.6(- \\ & 10.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (4.9-)6.7-7.6-8.3(- } \\ & 11.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Longer/shorter ratio | $\begin{aligned} & (1.18-) 1.63-1.78- \\ & 1.91(-2.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.11-) 1.57-1.71-1.81(- \\ & 2.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.22-) 1.67-1.81- \\ & 1.91(-2.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.25-) 1.63-1.80-1.92(- \\ & 2.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.25-) 1.33-1.66- \\ & 1.99(-2.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.18-) 1.4-1.67- \\ & 1.93(-2.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.32-) 1.64-1.83- \\ & 1.97(-2.67) \end{aligned}$ |
| Shape of tips of ultimate branchlets | Rounded to subacute | Rounded to subacute | Obtuse to subacute | Subacute | Subacute | Obtuse to subacute | Pointed and rounded, sometimes acute |
| Conidia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Ovoid to ellipsoid | Ovoid to ellipsoid | Ellipsoid to broadly ellipsoid | Ellipsoid to broadly ellipsoid | Ellipsoid to broadly ellipsoid | Broadly ellipsoid to tear-shaped | Broadly ellipsoid |
| Colour | Light greyish to pale brownish | Light greyish to pale brownish | Light brownish to greyish | Light brownish to greyish | Light brownish to greyish | Brownish | Brownish |
| Base | Rounded | Rounded | Rounded | Rounded | Rounded | Often narrowing | Rounded |
| Length | $\begin{aligned} & (18.3-) 20.2-21.0- \\ & 21.5(-25.3) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (18.0-) 21.6-22.3-23.6(- \\ & 25.7) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (17.8-) 20.6-21.5- \\ & 22.4(-26.6) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (17.5-) 19.4-19.9-20.4(- \\ & 23.1) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (18.0-) 19.5-21.1- \\ & 22.7(-25.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (20.0-) 22.0-23.6- \\ & 25.3(-27.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (19.0-) 21.8-22.6- \\ & 23.4(-26.0) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Width | $\begin{aligned} & (15.7-) 17.8-18.3- \\ & 18.6(-21.8) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (15.1-) 18.5-19.2-20.0(- \\ & 22.2) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (16.0-) 17.6-18.4- \\ & 19.2(-21.6) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (15.3-) 16.9-17.4-17.7(- \\ & \text { 19.7) } \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (16.3-) 16.8-18.0- \\ & 19.1(-22.3) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (17.5-) 19.2-20.6- \\ & 21.9(-22.5) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (13.9-) 16.5-17.2- \\ & 18.0(-20.4) \mu \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Length/width ratio | $\begin{aligned} & (1.04-) 1.11-1.15- \\ & 1.17(-1.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.05-) 1.14-1.16-1.19(- \\ & 1.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.04-) 1.13-1.16- \\ & 1.19(-1.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.06-) 1.12-1.15-1.17(- \\ & 1.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.04-) 1.10-1.17- \\ & 1.25(-1.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.02-) 1.10-1.15- \\ & 1.20(-1.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.24-) 1.26-1.31- \\ & 1.34(-1.46) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pedicel | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent, rarely with a scar | Absent |
| Wall ornamentation | Obscure | Obscure | Obscure | Obscure | Obscure | Prominent | Obscure |
| Haustoria |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Ellipsoid-pyriform | Ellipsoid-pyriform | Not seen | Ellipsoid-pyriform to globose | Globose to lobate <br> (Thines et al. 2009) | Not seen <br> (Thines et al. 2009) | Not seen |

All measurements given in the form (minimum-) border of $30 \%$ - mean - border of $30 \%$ (maximum).

Typus: Germany, Baden-Wuerttemberg, Ladenburg, Waldpark ( $49^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 15.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 8^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 04.2 \mathrm{E}$ ), on living leaves of Salvia pratensis,

30 Apr. 2016, M. Hoffmeister (holotype GLM-F117783).


Fig. 2. A-I. Peronospora salvia-pratensis on Salvia pratensis. J-Q. Peronospora glechomae on Glechoma hederacea. A, Q. Conidiophore. B-E, J-M. Conidia. F-I, N-P. Ultimate branchlets. Scale bars: A = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{P}=20 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{Q}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Habitat: On living leaves of Salvia pratensis (Lamiaceae).

Straminipila, Peronosporomycetes, Peronosporales, Peronosporaceae. Hyphae intercellular, haustoria intracellular, mostly limited to one haustorium per host cell, lobate to globose. Down dainty floccose, whitish to cream. Conidiophores emerging from stomata, hyaline, slender, length overall 185-541 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; trunk erect, straight or slightly curved, 85-380 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, 8-14 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide below the first branch, basal end not differentiated to slightly swollen, 7-12 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide at the base, callose plugs absent; branching
monopodial to subdichotomous, branched 4-6(-7) times, branches slightly curved, arborescent. Ultimate branchlets slightly curved to almost straight, obtuse, in pairs with different lengths, the longer being usually (7.5-)11.8-13.3-14.7(-22.1) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, the shorter (4.4-)6.5-7.5-8.4(-11.7) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, longer/shorter branch ratio (1.18-)1.63-1.78-1.91(-2.66). Conidia light greyish to pale brownish, ovoidal to ellipsoidal, (18.3-)20.2-21.0-21.5(-25.3) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, (15.7-)17.8-18.3-18.6(-21.8) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ broad, length/breadth ratio (1.04-)1.11-1.15-1.17(-1.25), tip and base rounded; wall ornamentation obscure; pedicel absent. Oospores not seen.


Fig. 3. A-G. Peronospora salviae-officinalis on Salvia sclarea. H-K. Peronospora salviae-officinalis on S. officinalis. L-O. Peronospora lamii on Lamium purpureum. A, E, H. Conidiophores. B-D, J-N. Conidia. F, G, I, O. Ultimate branchlets. Scale bars: A = 200 $\mu \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{O}=20 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{E}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{H}=$ $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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Fig. 4. Phylogenetic reconstruction in MP inferred from a cox2 alignment. MP, ME and ML bootstrap support values above $50 \%$, and a posteriori probabilities above 0.9 are given at first, second, third, and fourth positions at the branches, respectively. A minus sign denotes lacking support for the present or an alternate topology. No conflicting support was observed.

Additional materials examined: Germany, Lower Saxony, Evessen, quarry ( $52^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 53.9^{\prime \prime N} 10^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 19.8^{\prime \prime E}$ ), 21 Jul. 2017, M. Hoffmeister \& W. Maier (GLM-F117785); Rhineland-Palatinate, Mainz, Botanical Garden ( $49^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 28.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 8^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 27.8^{\prime \prime}$ ), 27 Apr. 2018 (DE-O-MJG-200809901/1), M. Hoffmeister (GLM-F117786); Lower Saxony, Braunschweig ( $52^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $10^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 04.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 2 May 2018, M. Hoffmeister (GLM-F117784); Baden-Württemberg, Mannheim, Dossenwald ( $49^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 34.8^{\prime \prime N} 8^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 29.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 10 May 2018, M. Hoffmeister (GLM-F117787); Baden-Wuerttemberg, Nussloch, meadow near quarry ( $49^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 01.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 8^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 01.5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 13 May 2018, M. Hoffmeister (GLM-F117788).

Notes: Infected leaves show discoloured, yellowed and chlorotic to necrotic, polyangular, clearly vein-limited spots, as seen from the upper surface. On the lower surface of the leaves a pale brown down of conidiophores with conidia is formed in the lesions, which darkens with age.

## DISCUSSION

Even though more than 400 species have already been described in Peronosporaceae, the vast majority of species in this genus remains to be discovered (Thines \& Choi 2016). Especially the


Fig. 5. Phylogenetic reconstruction in MP inferred from the concatenated alignment of six genes (ITS, ef1a, hsp90, $\beta$ tub, cox1, cox2). MP, ME and ML bootstrap support values above $50 \%$, and a posteriori probabilities above 0.9 are given at first, second, third, and fourth positions at the branches, respectively. A minus sign denotes lacking support for the present or an alternate topology.
downy mildews of Fabaceae (Garcia-Blazquez et al. 2008) and Amaranthaceae (Choi et al. 2015a) seem to be highly diverse, but also for the Lamiaceae, several dozens of hosts have been reported (Constantinescu 1991, Dick 2001). Considering the high degree of host specialisation of members of the genus Peronospora (Thines \& Choi 2016), it seems likely that this family harbours several undescribed downy mildew agents. Within Lamiaceae, the tribe Mentheae contains several Peronospora species occurring on culinary herbs and medicinal plants (Dick 2001). Two species belonging to the Peronospora belbahrii species complex, Pe. belbahrii and Pe. salviae-officinalis, have proven to be particularly destructive as emerging pathogens in basil and common sage production, respectively. In this study phylogenetic analyses of downy mildews on Lamiaceae were performed using six loci. The combined use of nuclear and mitochondrial gene regions resulted in generally highly-resolved clades and no supported discordance between mitochondrial and nuclear loci was observed, which is in line with previous studies (Choi \& Thines 2015, Choi et al. 2015a), and in contrast to the findings of a recent study on Peronosporaceae (Bourret et al. 2018). As previously shown, ITS data were highly similar for closely related species of Peronospora (Thines et al. 2009, Voglmayr et al. 2014, Choi et al. 2015b). In contrast, cox2 resolved most of the lineages that were found by the six-gene
phylogeny and thus qualified as a suitable barcoding marker for Peronospora species (Choi et al. 2015b). In addition, DNA extracted from older fungarium samples can be successfully used for amplification of the cox2 gene (Telle \& Thines 2008, Choi et al. 2015b). The cox1, ef1a, hsp90 and $\beta$-tubulin genes also performed well in terms of phylogenetic resolution, and, after primer optimisation (Table 2), could also be amplified reliably.

In the present study, it was shown that the Peronospora species on Pl. scutellarioides and Pe. belbahrii can be reliably distinguished by differences in conidial shape, size and colouration, as well as by the shape of the ultimate branchlets of the conidiophores. In addition, phylogenetic analyses using four nuclear and two mitochondrial gene regions clearly resolved the downy mildew affecting PI. scutellarioides as a highly supported monophyletic group, and, thus, it is described as Pe. choii in this study. The downy mildew disease of coleus had initially been lumped within Pe. lamii (Daughtrey et al. 2006, Palmateer et al. 2008), but was then relegated to Peronospora belbahrii s. I. (Thines et al. 2009). In that study it was already suggested that it might represent a species of its own, which is confirmed by the present study. It can therefore be assumed that in nature coleus downy mildew does not serve as inoculum source for basil downy mildew and vice versa although limited artificial
infection of basil by Pe. choii had been demonstrated (Palmateer et al. 2008). This is in line with infection studies of other downy mildews in which broader potential host ranges than commonly present in nature could be observed under laboratory conditions (e.g. Runge \& Thines 2008, Runge et al. 2012). Considering this and the fact that Pe. choii was so far only reported for Japan (Ito et al. 2015), UK (Denton et al. 2015), the USA (Daughtrey et al. 2006, Palmateer et al. 2008), and recently from Brazil (Gorayeb et al. 2019), but it is not yet as widely distributed as Pe. belbahrii, quarantine measures might still be useful to prevent the further spread of this disease throughout the world.

Based on phylogenetic inferences the downy mildews parasitizing S. sclarea and S. pratensis, respectively, were clearly distinct from Pe. Iamii, but also from Pe. swinglei. Phylogenetic as well as the morphological investigations strongly support that the downy mildew on S. sclarea is conspecific with Pe. salviaeofficinalis, thus this host has to be added to the host range of this species.

Salvia sclarea and S. officinalis are closely related and also have an overlapping natural geographical distribution. Whether one of the two host species was initially colonised by a host jump from the other host can only be speculated at this stage.

From a phytopathological point of view, the results from this study showed that the wild sage species $S$. pratensis most likely does not play any role as primary inoculum for downy mildew epidemics in cultivated common sage as it only seems to host a specific downy mildew species. In contrast, clary sage, which is closely related to common sage and is also cultivated as a medicinal plant, likely acts as alternative host for Pe. salviae-officinalis and is a potential inoculum source for the dissemination of this disease.

The Peronospora accessions from S. pratensis are very closely related to Pe. glechomae and together they form a sister group to Peronospora salviae-officinalis. The morphological and molecular phylogenetic differences between the samples of Peronospora found on meadow sage and those from Pe. glechomae are subtle. Nevertheless, is seems justified to consider the downy mildew on S. pratensis as a species of its own, Pe. salviae-pratensis, and not as conspecific with Pe. glechomae, described from Glechoma hederacea (Oescu \& Radulescu 1939). Interestingly, Pe. glechomae was reported only a few times since it was first described as a new species from Romania (Oescu \& Radulescu 1939, Müller \& Kokes 2008). Despite significant efforts we could not find Pe. glechomae over a period of three years, whereas downy mildew on S. pratensis was easily found at different locations in Germany where S. pratensis populations were screened. This is in line with the numerous reports of downy mildew on meadow sage by other authors (Gaponenko 1972, Preece 2002, Dudka et al. 2004, Brandenburger \& Hagedorn 2006, Mulenko et al. 2008, Müller \& Kokes 2008). In contrast to the rare observations of downy mildew on ground ivy, the host plant itself is a very frequent perennial Lamiaceae, naturally distributed over large parts of Europe and west-northern Asia, and has also been introduced into North America (Meusel 1994). Considering that the sister group-relationship of the other sage-downy mildew accessions included in the multilocus analyses received maximum support in all analyses, it could be speculated that Pe. glechomae in fact is an incidental host and the few collections resulted from accidental observations of rare host jumps of a downy mildew species originating from meadow sage, for which the original host has not been included in molecular phylogenies, so far. It is also noteworthy that Pe. salviae-pratensis accessions from
S. pratensis formed two distinct clades. It will be interesting to see, if with the addition of more specimens from S. pratensis this separation would still be found, suggesting independently evolved populations now both being present in Europe, similar to the situation in Pseudoperonospora cubensis (Runge et al. 2011), or if intermediate lineages will be observed, which would be suggestive of a diversified gene pool, similar to the situation observed in Albugo candida (Ploch et al. 2010). In any case, it seems that the Pe. belbahrii species complex is still in the phase of active radiation, rendering the discovery of new hosts for some of the species likely, especially if outside their native ranges (Thines 2019).
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