# Seeing With Headlights Gene Farber, Consultant 

NHTSA Workshop on Headlamp Safety Metrics Washington, DC July 13, 2004,
"You can't see worth a damn at night" (Paul Olson)

- Day/night illumination ratio: $10^{5}$
- Pedestrian visibility distance night: 150-250 feet day: 1000's of feet
- Distance required to respond and stop from 55 mph: 265 feet


## Night drivers have little time to

 respond to obstacles- Best data on PRT gives means of 1.1 to 1.5 seconds
- $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile values of 1.3 to 1.8 seconds.
- Glance durations can range from 0.5 to 1.5 seconds (IP tasks, mirror looks, looks to the side, etc.)
- Effective response latency of 2.5 or more sec


## Over-driving Headlights

- Headlamps provide enough light for primary driving task of lane-keeping
- Low visibility obstacles are extremely rare and thus not expected
- Driver's (and pedestrians) over-estimate visibility
- Result: we over-drive headlights WRT obstacles but not lane-keeping.


## PCDETECT

- Headlamp seeing distance program developed at Ford
- Based on Blackwell data and formulations
- Estimates seeing distance as influenced by human, environmental and lighting parameters
- Validated in early 70's in field studies

| Base Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Headlamps | Taurus low beams |
| Headlamp height | Two feet above ground |
| Headlamp aim | Correct |
| Glare from opposing cars | None |
| Driver age \& contrast <br> sensitivity | 35,50 th Percentile |
| Alertness | "Normal" |
| Target type, size | Pedestrian, 5.8-feet tall |

## Base Conditions

| Target location | 2 feet right of right lane <br> edge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Target reflectance | $8 \%$ |
| Pavement reflectance | $6 \%$ |
| Ambient illumination | 0.001 FL |
| Road type | Two 12-foot lanes |
| Geometry | Straight and level |
| Windshield transmittance | $80 \%$ |

## Luminance



| Headlamp Intensity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| Headlamp <br> Intensity <br> (\%) | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 |  |  |  |  |


| Target Location |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| Target Location | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 |
| Right Edgeline + 6 feet |  |  |  |  |
| Right Edgeline + 2 feet |  |  |  |  |
| Right Edgeline |  |  |  |  |
| Center of Right Lane |  |  |  |  |
| On Road Centerline |  |  |  |  |
| Center of Left Lane |  |  |  |  |
| Left Edge of Left Lane |  |  |  |  |


| Target Size |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| Target Size <br> Pedestrian <br> Height <br> (feet) | 100 | 125 | 150 | 175 |
| 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 |  |  |  |  |


| Driver Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| Driver <br> Age | 50 |  | 100 | 150 |


| Contrast Sensitivity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| Contrast <br> Sensitivity <br> (Percentile | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| 5th |  |  |  |  |
| 15th |  |  |  |  |
| 50th |  |  |  |  |
| 85th |  |  |  |  |
| 95th |  |  |  |  |


| Target Reflectance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | 0 | 100 | 200 | 300 |
| 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 12.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.0 |  |  |  |  |

## Headlamp Misaim

|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vertical <br> Misaim <br> (Degrees) | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| +1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| +0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| -0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| -1.0 |  |  |  |  |




| Opposing Glare <br> Road Width Effects |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Seeing Distance (feet) |  |  |  |
| Lamp <br> Condition | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 |
| Low Beam <br> 2-lane Road <br> Low Beams <br> 4-lane Road <br> High Beam <br> 2-lane Road <br> High Beam <br> 4-lane Road |  |  |  |  |

## Effect of Glare Source Distance



## Closing thoughts

- High beams are better than low beams for pedestrian detection (but not all that much better).
- High beam glare is much worse than low beam glare.
- Even with high beams, drivers have little time to respond to pedestrians.


## Closing thoughts

- Need a systems approach for evaluation
- Need to consider behavioral factors.
- Need to consider driver comfort:

Pedestrian at risk extremely rare event Always-on high beams a constant irritation

- Alternatives:

Better signaling and marking Smarter headlights

