

# An Application of Mixture Oil of *Syzygium Aromaticum*(Clove) and *Anethum Graveolens* (Dill or Sowa) As An Alternative of Salphos For Food Seed Storage of Chickpea

Dr.Narendra Kumar (✉ [narendra.microbiology@rediffmail.com](mailto:narendra.microbiology@rediffmail.com))

AMITY UNIVERSITY HARYANA MANESAR-122413,GURGAON,HARYANA,INDIA

PROF SM PAUL KHURANA

AMITY UNIVERSITY HARYANA MANESAR-122413,GURGAON,HARYANA,INDIA

VN PANDEY

Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gorakhpur University

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Anethum graveolens*)*Aspergillus flavus*, *A.niger*, *Fusariumoxysporum*, MIC, shelf life of chickpea seed

**Posted Date:** February 15th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-182116/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

## Abstract

Mycological investigations of 25 seed samples of stored chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) from grocery stores of Gurgaon and Gorakhpur revealed occurrence of seventeen fungal species belonging to genus viz., *Alternaria*, *Aspergillus*, *Chaetomium*, *Colletotrichum*, *Curvularia*, *Fusarium*, *Penicillium*, *Rhizopus*, *Rhizoctonia*, and *Sclerotium*. In these *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* had dominance in terms of per cent occurrence. Only one species of Bruchid (*Callosobruchus chinensis* L.) occurred in all the 25 samples. The biodeterioration of seeds inoculated with fungi: *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum* and the insect – *C. chinensis*, revealed their role in seed deterioration. For chickpea food seed protection essential oils were extracted from edible commodity (Lavang (clove bud) and sowa leaf). Lavang oil registered highest antifungal activity inhibiting (100%) mycelial growth of fungi, viz. species *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* at 300ppm but was fungicidal at 400ppm. Sowa oil showed complete inhibition at 400ppm and was fungicidal at 500ppm. While mixture of both the oils showed complete inhibition (100%) and fungicidal action at 400ppm against the dominant fungi. The oils showed 100% insect repellent activity and were found fungicidal at 0.02ml dose and also insecticidal. The mixture of oils was fungicidal at 0.02ml dose.

The mixture of oils showed a broad antifungal spectrum at 500ppm while only 70-93% inhibitory activity at 300ppm. The oils' mixture's activity was not affected by temp, storage and autoclaving up to 150 days. Oils physico-chemical properties were studied. GC-MS analysis of Lavang oil depicted major components: 75.63 % eugenol

while sowa leaf oil had 25.14% apiole. Formulation of Mixture of oils was more effective showing complete seed protection i.e. no growth of fungi and insects upto 150 days storage than salphos (150 days). While salphos controlled only maximum three fungi (*A. terreus*, *C. dematium*, *F. moniliforme*). The formulated oils mixture did not have any adverse effect on the chickpea seeds and increased their shelf life.

## Introduction

The chickpea or chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*) is the most important and versatile legume, from Fabaceae, subfamily Faboideae. It is locally known as gram or Bengal gram or garbanzo. This has high nutritional value. Dried chickpea seeds have 61% carbohydrate, (17–22%) proteins and 6% fat by weight. It is one of the earliest cultivated legumes. Its history is 7500-year-old, as the remains have been found in the Middle East. Chickpea is a key ingredient in hummus and chana masala. This is ground into flour to make falafel. It is also used in salads, soups and stews, curry and other food items like roasted/baked channa.

Chick pea is typically stored for six to eight months after harvest. But more than 90 % of farmers do not take any precautions to protect it. Its proper storage enables farmers to earn high profit margins. Most farmers sell their chick pea seeds in village/local and urban markets. Approx. 20-30 % of the stored chick pea food seeds get deteriorated by fungi and insects. Then on scientific storage of chick pea in rural areas leads to heavy losses of chickpea by fungi and insects. But detailed studies on such deterioration of stored chickpea food seeds have not been made so far. The chickpea seeds in the storage condition become more susceptible to fungal infection resulting in the lowering in seed germination and deterioration in storage. A damaged seed produces abnormal seedlings. Thus farmers are advised to use pathogen free healthy seeds to overcome the losses in productivity. But without testing for seed health, it is not possible to detect % healthy seeds to ensure better productivity.

A seed borne pathogen whether present internally or externally or associated with the seed as contaminant may result seed rot, seed necrosis, seed abortion, reduction or elimination of germination capacity thereby affecting of seed quality. This also causes seedling damage which produce crop diseases at later stages of plant growth through local or systemic infection<sup>1</sup>. The base of total food grain production in a country depends much on quality of the seeds. Seed health has an important role in successful cultivation and yield exploitation of a crop species. Some synthetic fungitoxic chemicals are used to control mycotoxin producing fungal infection but they also have their side effects<sup>2-3</sup>.

The synthetic preservatives because of carcinogenic effects are getting lesser preference so alternate for farmers is to shift on aromatic plants having of essential oil which have potent pesticidal potential. So potential of mixture essential oils is the need of time as single oil is not enough to protect pests. So if Exploiting synergies of several compounds may be a solution to handle this problem. But little is known on interactions that leads to additive, synergistic or even antagonistic effects<sup>4</sup>.

**Lavang** botanically known as *Syzygium aromaticum* L are flower buds of aromatic nature. This have large leaves bearing of crimson flowers can be grouped in terminal clusters. This reaches up to 8-12 meters. Flower buds initially looks a pale hue turning gradually in green, then to bright red when ready for harvest. Chemically Clove have eugenol, eugenyl acetate, caryophyllene  $\alpha$ -humulene,  $\beta$ -elemene, ledol and  $\alpha$ -cadinene<sup>5</sup>.

**Dill** botanically called as *Anethum graveolens* L locally known as sowais. This is an annual herb used as vegetable belongs to celery family Apiaceae used. This grows up to 40–60 cm having of slender hollow stems. Entire herb including stem, leaf and fruit are may be considered for flavoring of food and beverages. Used in cosmetics and in various medicinal preparations. This have antimicrobial, anti-oxidative and antispasmodic activities<sup>6,7</sup>. Sowa leaf contains o-cymene, apiole,  $\alpha$ -thujene, exo-2-hydroxycineol, limonene,  $\beta$ -phellandrene, dithydroumbellulone and 3-isopropyl-4-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol myristicine<sup>8</sup>.

So mycological analysis of stored chickpea food seeds were conducted in order to find out the dominant fungal species and insects responsible for its biodeterioration. The essential oil extracted from Lavang and sowa leaf locally available was evaluated for its fugitoxicity and repellent activity. Its chemical analysis were done. Experiments conducted for its MIC, nature, spectrum and storage. The efficacy of mixed oils (Lavang and sowa leaf) was compared with commercial pesticides Salphos for chickpea food seed protection up to 150 days of storage.

## Materials And Methods

### Collection of chickpea food seed samples and Mycological analysis

Samples of chickpea food seed kept in storage (6 to 8 months) were collected from 25 sites (10 grocery stores + 15 farmer's stores) of Gorakhpur (UP) and Gurgaon (Haryana) in presterilized polyethylene bags of one litre. The mycological flora identification analyses of chickpea food seeds were conducted both through agar plate<sup>9</sup> using Czapek dox agar medium (each containing 15ml medium) and standard blotter<sup>10</sup> techniques. About 100 seeds were equidistantly placed on Czapek dox agar medium in separate Petri plates in agar plate technique. Each contained 5 seeds. In blotter test, seeds were similarly plated on three layered moistened blotter pads in sterilized Petri plates. These assay plates were then incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  °C and observed daily from 7 to 15 days for observing growth of fungal colonies. Fungal colonies on the seeds were isolated and subcultured. The microscopic and macroscopic observations were taken and identified with the help of available literature<sup>11,12,13,14</sup> as well as by comparing their morphological and cultural characteristics with authentic cultures maintained in Mycology Lab, Dept of Botany, University of Gorakhpur and AIB, Amity University Haryana. For detecting the internal seed borne pathogens, chickpea seeds were first surface sterilized with aqueous 0.1% mercuric chloride for two minutes. They were then washed with double distilled water and then subjected to agar plate and standard blotter techniques for isolation of the fungi. Each fungal species (%) associated with seeds samples of chickpea was estimated.

### Culturing Insect- *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.)

This was done from infested stored chickpea seeds collected from twenty five places of Uttar Pradesh, India and identified<sup>15,16</sup>. This was maintained in newly harvested chickpea seeds incubated at laboratory ( $28 \pm 2$  °C temperature) in darkness to obtain same aged insects. No insecticide treatment was given.

### Evaluation of deterioration caused by dominant fungi and insect

For studying effect of dominant fungal species viz., *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* causing deterioration parameters viz., respect to weight loss, seed germination, carbohydrate and protein content was evaluated. This was conducted by taking local variety of freshly harvested sterilized chickpea seeds in containers of presterilized polyethylene bags that was having of (250g seeds/bag). This was inoculated by two discs (7 mm diam) of these dominant different fungal species separately. Similarly 6 insect – *Callosobruchus chinensis* were taken and inoculated in presterilized polyethylene bags separately. The insect inoculated chickpea seed samples were incubated for storage up to 21 days in laboratory conditions at room temperature. The Experiments were conducted twice and each trial was having five replicates.

The deterioration caused by fungi/insect in terms of carbohydrate content in chickpea seed were studied following Anthrone method<sup>17</sup>. The Carbohydrates were dehydrated through Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for forming furfural. Furfural then condenses with anthrone (10-Keto-9, 10 dihydro anthracene) to form a blue-green coloured complex. This was measured through calorimeter at 630 nm. The protein content estimation was done following Lowry *et al.*<sup>18</sup> by taking bovine serum albumin as standard. The optical density of each chickpea seed sample was taken at 650 nm.

### Extraction of essential oil from Lavang-*Syzygium aromaticum* (Myrtaceae) and leaves of sowa (*Anethum graveolens* L. (dill) and Fumigant fungitoxicity testing against fungi

The essential oil was extracted from 200 g dried and ground Lavang, and 1000g chopped leaves of sowa (*Anethum graveolens*) through hydrodistillation in 2 litre water in Clevenger's apparatus at  $90 \pm 2$  °C up to 5-9 hours separately. The isolated essential oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate separately. This was stored at 4 °C under sterile condition for further use. For developing fungitoxic fumigant activity of clove buds-*S. aromaticum* (Myrtaceae) and leaves of sowa (*Anethum graveolens* L. (dill) *Apiaceae* against fungal species were evaluated separately through Inverted petri plate technique<sup>19</sup> at 500ppm (0.025ml).

### Physicochemical properties of Lavang and sowa oil

The oil was characterized by determination of Specific gravity, Refractive index, Optical rotation, Acid value, Ester value, Saponification value and Solubility characteristic following the methods of Langenau<sup>20</sup>.

### GC-MS Investigations

Here required amount (0.1 µl) of essential oil of Lavang and dill leaf were taken for analysis of GC and GC-MS analysis separately. The GC was framed of an Agilent Technology 6890 N gas chromatograph data handling system. This having equipped by a split-splitless injector. The split ratio taken was 50 : 1. This fitted with a FID (flame ionization detector) by using N<sub>2</sub> being a carrier gas that was in a flow rate 1 ml · min<sup>-1</sup>. The column taken was (30 m × 0.32 mm, 0.25 µm film thickness) HP-5 capillary column. The temperature taken for the study was: starting temperature of 60°C (hold – 2 min) programmed at a rate of 3°C · min<sup>-1</sup> to a final temperature of 220°C (hold – 5 min). Temperatures of the injector and flame ionization detector (FID) were kept at 210°C and 250°C respectively. The identification of Compound was carried out by calculating their Kovats indices<sup>21</sup> and by doing comparison of mass spectra which is reported in the literature<sup>22</sup> and that is present in database of GC-MS computer (NIST 98 and Wiley-5).

### **Fungitoxic and insect repellent investigations of essential oil of Lavang and sowa leaf**

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Lavang and sowa leaf essential oil was investigated following poisoned food technique<sup>23</sup>. Here various concentration of the Lavang and sowa leaf essential oil which covered from 200 to 800 ppm were prepared. This was done through dissolving requisite amount of oil in 0.5 ml acetone and then mixing with 9.5 ml Czapek-dox agar medium separately. For control sets Petridishes with acetone and medium not having of Lavang and sowa leaf essential oil were taken. The Fungal discs of dominant fungal species (5 mm diam) which was taken from seven days old culture (periphery region) of each of test fungi viz., *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* were inoculated aseptically at treatment and control sets each separately. All the sets were kept for 6 days at 28 ± 2 °C. The Diameters of fungal colony of control and treatment sets of *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* were taken separately in mutually perpendicular directions on the 7<sup>th</sup> day. The Experiments were done twice. This was having of 3 replicates. The repellent activity of the essential oil of Lavang and sowa leaf against insect-*Callosobruchus chinensis* was done following the method of Tripathi and Kumar<sup>24</sup> with slight modification.

To find out nature of antifungal properties of the Lavang and sowa leaf oil treated fungal disc of *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* that was showing complete inhibition of mycelial growth upto 7<sup>th</sup> day were taken. This were washed with sterile water. This was placed again on fresh solidified medium for taking an observation of revival of mycelial growth. The fungitoxic spectrum of essential oil of Lavang, sowa leaf and mixture oil (1:1 ratio) were investigated on various fungi isolated from seeds samples of chickpea. The effect of autoclaving and storage on the fungitoxicity of Lavang and sowa leaf oil and mixture oil was determined<sup>25</sup>. Each experiment was repeated twice and had 3 replicates.

### **Formulation of mixture oil (*Syzygium aromaticum* (Myrtaceae) and leaves of sowa (*Anethum graveolens* L.) and Comparison of efficacy with synthetic fumigant-Salphos**

As the cost of 100 g Lavang is Rs299 which is high for farmers and cost of sowa leaf is Rs.10-15/kg (even free in our farmers field), so for developing low cost formulation mixture of Lavang and sowa leaf oil in 1:5 ratio was prepared. For this 1 ml of Lavang oil was taken in clean (ed) sterilized test tubes and added 5 ml of sowa leaf essential oil.

The chickpea fresh seeds locally available were collected. The seeds were sun dried for keeping in presterilized airtight tin containers. For testing in vivo preservative potential method of Kumar<sup>25</sup> were followed with slight modification. For this mixture oil, **salphos (Fig 3)** (Aluminium phosphide) tin containers and polyethylene bags were taken. Tin containers and polyethylene bags farmers are using in this area for chickpea storage. Since mixture oil are in liquid form 200 g of chick pea seeds were taken in 250 ml size tin containers and polyethylene bags. For chickpea seeds treating did preparation of two doses of oil mixture firstly by taking 1000 ppm (0.50 ml) and another 1500 ppm (0.76 ml) in tin containers and polyethylene bags of 250 ml capacity having of 200 g seeds separately. The sterile cotton swabs weighing 0.50 g by soaking of oil doses were wrapped in sterilized muslin cloth weighing of 0.75 g were placed at mid point of each container of chickpea seeds. Similarly, 200 g samples of chickpea seeds were treated with salphos from 1000 ppm (0.50 g) or 1500 ppm (0.76 g) of tablet in 250 ml containers. These were stored in a cabinet for 150 days in the laboratory at room temperature. Each set was having of five replicates. Fungal investigations on seeds were carried out by agar plate technique of Muskett<sup>9</sup> (1948) and the standard blotter technique<sup>10</sup> of De Tempe (1953). The insects were examined by hand lens.

In negative control sets, the seeds were dressed with requisite amount of acetone in place of the mixture oil (Lavang and sowa leaf oil) and salphos. The tin and polyethylene containers were sealed airtight. These were incubated at room temperature at 75 ± 5% humidity. Observations for presence/absence of mycoflora were made after 25 days observed upto 150 days separately. After 150 days of storage, germination tests were done by taking 100 seeds randomly from each test lot. This then was aseptically placed in presterilized petridishes containing three layers of moistened blotting paper. These were moistened with sterile water at 3 day intervals. All sets were incubated at 28 ± 2 °C in a dark chamber and germination was assessed from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> day.

## **Results And Discussion**

To examine the storage losses, 25 samples of chick pea seeds were collected from grocery stores of Gurgaon and Gorakhpur for examination of associated fungi(**Fig.1**) and insects. Seventeen fungal species viz., *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *A. ochraceus*, *A. oryzae*, *A. terreus*, *A. sydowi*, *Chaetomium globosum*, *Colletotrichum dematium*, *Curvularialunata*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, *Penicillium italicum*, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Sclerotium rolfsii* were found to be associated in stored chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seeds on the basis of microscopic and macroscopic observations. The agar plate method of study revealed presence of 17 fungi while blotter had 13 fungal species. In these *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* had dominance in terms of per cent occurrence showing 55.3,50.4,40.1.0% on blotter and 51.0,52.0,50.0 % in agar plates, respectively(**Table 1**).

The insect analysis revealed presence of only one species of Bruchid (*Callosobruchus chinensis* L) in all the 25 samples(**Fig.2**). The adult beetle is chocolate coloured, oval shaped, 3 - 4 mm long. The body is pointed towards front. More attacks were observed during July-August in the stores. This showed feeding by making a small hole. There was presence of white eggs in infested seeds on the surface and round exit holes with the 'flap' of seed coat.

Time to time fungal species were observed by various workers viz., Nine fungal species - *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Curvularialunata* [*Cochliobolus lunatus*], *Fusarium moniliforme* [*Gibberella moniliformis*], *Helminthosporium sativum* [*Cochliobolus sativum*], *Mucor* sp., *Penicillium notatum* and *Rhizopus nigricans* [*R. stolonifer*] from Kumarganj, Faizabad India <sup>26</sup>(Singh *et al.*,2005); thirty fungal species were isolated from these seeds and most abundant among these, were *Alternaria alternata*, *Chaetomium* spp., *Penicillium citrinum*, *Aspergillus niger*, *A. flavus*, *Rhizopus nigricans*, *Fusarium oxysporum* <sup>27</sup>(Zaidi and Pathak,2013); many fungal species viz. *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus terreus*, *A. flavus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger*, *Botrytis* sp., *Cladosporium*, *Curvularialunata*, *Fusarium solani*, *F. moniliforme*, *F. oxysporum*, *Macrophominaphaseolina*, *Penicillium notatum*, *Rhizoctonia* sp. and *Rhizopus nigricans* <sup>28</sup>(Ghangoaker and Kshirsagar ,2013); seven fungal species such as *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *A. fumigatus*, *Curvularialunata*, *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Rhizoctonia solani* <sup>29</sup>(Singh, 2014); Thirteen different fungi like *Actinomucor repens*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger*, *A. ochraceus*, *Cladosporium* sp., *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Fusarium* sp., *Mucor varians*, *Penicillium notatum*, *Phomaherbarum*, *Rhizopus stolonifer* <sup>30</sup>(Sontakke and Hedawoo ,2014); *Aspergillus niger* (83%), *Fusarium solani* (79%), *Aspergillus flavus* (75%), *Alternaria alternata* (60%) and *Aspergillus nidulans* (57%). Minimum seed borne fungi were reported to be *Rhizopus stolonifer*, and *Fusarium oxysporum* <sup>31</sup>(Padmaja *et al.*,2015); 14 fungi comprising 12 genera namely *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus* sp, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Botryti cinerea*, *Curvularialunata*, *Chaetomium globosum*, *Cladosporium* sp., *Colletotrichum dematium*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Macrophominaphaseolina*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Rhizopus stolonifer* and *Stemphylium sarciniforme* <sup>32</sup>(Hossain *et al.*,2018); Nine fungal species namely *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissl., *Aspergillus flavus* Link, *Aspergillus niger* van Tieghem, *Aspergillus oryzae* (Ahlburg) E. Cohn, *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht. Emend. Snyder & Hansen, *Macrophominaphaseolina* (Tassi) Goid., *Mucor* sp., *Penicillium italicum* Wehmer and *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc <sup>33</sup>(Javid *et al.*,2019), *A. flavus*, *A. fumigatus* and *A. niger*, *Drechslera tetramera*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Rhizopus stolonifer* <sup>34</sup>. The fungal species viz., *Chaetomium globosum*, *Colletotrichum dematium*, *Penicillium italicum*, *Sclerotium rolfsii* (could) did not grow on blotters. A study <sup>26</sup>(Singh *et al.*,2005) revealed that *P. notatum* developed on agar plate only <sup>26</sup>(Singh *et al.*,2005). This may be because of fungal nutritional requirements. The no of fungal species were reduced in surface sterilized seeds which indicates that many of the fungi were located on seed coat. The sterile chick pea seeds with 0.1% Mercuric chloride projected less per cent occurrence of fungal colonies(**Table 1**).

The seed mycoflora devalue the seed quality, reduce its nutritional value and cause a germination failure of the seedlings and of the crop raised from such infected seeds <sup>27</sup>(Zaidi and Pathak,2013). Based on the data in the **Table 2**, *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum* and the insect species – *C. chinensis* played an important role in weight loss, seed germination, carbohydrate and protein content. *A. flavus* inoculated seeds showed 50%, *A. niger* 53%, *F. oxysporum* 40% while insect inoculated 39% while control set without fungus or insect inoculation showed 90-98% seed germination. The seeds inoculated with fungi/insects showed 30.1,29.4,28.7,27.4 % carbohydrate content while control set showed 61%. It is evident from **Table 2** that fungal/insect inoculated chickpea seeds after 21 days of storage had 10.3,9.3,10.4,8.5% protein content while control seeds were having 20% protein content. On account of wide occurrence and their pathogenicity these were selected as test organisms.

Storage conditions and containers are significant in preservation and maintenance of healthy seed during post harvest period <sup>35</sup>(Kandhare,2018). The variation in fungal species may be due to different isolation periods and different storage containers. The isolated species in present investigation are different may be due to different climatic conditions.

The yield of essential oil by hydrodistillation of Lavang(clove bud) was 13.57 % while the leaves of *A. graveolens* L. was 1.05% respectively. Fumigant fungitoxic action study of Both oils at 500 ppm(0.025ml) concentration showed 100% inhibition against *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* separately.

It has been extensively reported that plant based essential oils are alternative to synthetic pesticides since they are known to have minimal environmental impact and danger to consumers <sup>36-38</sup>(Alpa *et al.*, 2010; Katochet *et al.*,2012, Sharma *et al.*,2016).

For prescription an appropriate dose for the determination of MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) is necessary. In the study, *Lavang oil* displayed highest antifungal activity against *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and completely inhibited mycelial growth (100%) of fungal species at 300 ppm and found fungicidal at 400 ppm. Sowa oil showed complete inhibition at 400 ppm and found fungicidal at 500 ppm. While mixture oil showed complete inhibition (100%) and fungicidal action at 400 ppm against dominant fungi- *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* (Table 3). Both Lavang and sowa oil showed 100 per cent repellent activity at 0.01 ml dose. This was also cidal at 0.02 ml dose against test insect- *Callosobruchus chinensis*. Mixture of both oils was cidal at 0.02 ml dose.

The study on mixture of oils for antifungal spectrum revealed it knocked all seventeen fungi (*A. alternata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *A. ochraceus*, *A. oryzae*, *A. terreus*, *A. sydowi*, *Chaetomium globosum*, *Colletotrichum dematium*, *Curvularia lunata*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, *Penicillium italicum*, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*) at 500 ppm concentration. It showed 70-93% inhibitory activity against all 17 fungi at 300 ppm isolated from chickpea seeds (Table 4). The oil mixture's activity was not affected by autoclaving at 15 lb/psi at 120° C. It (safely) inhibited fungal growth even after storage of oil up to 150 days (Table 5).

In this study, *Lavang oil* showed MIC 300 ppm, Sowa oil 400 ppm while the mixture had 400 ppm against *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum*. Previous studies depicted that there is a marked variation in the MIC of different plant oils at different concentrations against *A. niger* viz., *Ocimum adscendens* at 200 ppm<sup>39</sup> (Asthana and Singh, 1981); *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merril and Perry 200 ppm<sup>40</sup> (Khan, 1993); *Cedrus deodara* at 1000 ppm and *Trachyspermum ammi* at 500 ppm<sup>41</sup> (Singh and Tripathi, 1999); *Adhatoda vasica* at 500 ppm<sup>42</sup> (Kumar, 2014). *Cuminum cyminum* at 400 ppm<sup>25</sup> (Kumar, 2016); *Tinospora cordifolia* at 400 ppm<sup>43</sup> (Kumar et al., 2020). The differences in the MIC of various plant oils may be due to their being different in chemical constituents or other chemical or physical parameters.

A fungicide must retain its fungitoxicity even after autoclaving<sup>44</sup> (Wellman, 1967). The fungitoxicity of oil mixture (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) retained the fungitoxicity (Table 4) even after autoclaving (15 lbs/psi) like that of *Ageratum conyzoides*<sup>45</sup> (Dixit et al., 1995); *Nardostachys jatamansi*<sup>46</sup> (Mishra et al., 1995); *Adhatoda vasica* oil<sup>42</sup> (Kumar, 2014); *Cuminum cyminum*<sup>25</sup> (Kumar, 2016) and *Tinospora cordifolia*<sup>47</sup> (Kumar et al., 2020). This quality of oil will facilitate the isolation of their constituents in active state. It is also evident that a fungicide must be able to retain its activity for a long storage period. The fungitoxic factor in the oil of *Adenocalyma allicea* was lost within 21 days of storage<sup>48</sup> (Chaturvedi, 1979) while retained for longer periods in the oils of *Ageratum conyzoides*<sup>45</sup> (Dixit et al., 1995); *Trachyspermum ammi*<sup>41</sup> (Singh and Tripathi, 1999); *Adhatoda vasica*<sup>42</sup> (Kumar, 2014); *Cuminum cyminum*<sup>25</sup> (Kumar, 2016) and *Tinospora cordifolia*<sup>47</sup> (Kumar, 2017). The antifungal toxicity of mixture oil (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) was not affected by storage up to 150 days. It may be suggested that the oil mixture (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) can be safely stored for long periods (150 days) without having any adverse effect on toxicity.

The physicochemical properties of the **Lavang and sowa leaf oil** are recorded in Table 6. GC-MS analysis of Lavang oil revealed major components viz., 75.63% eugenol, 12.67% caryophyllene, 8.34% eugenyl acetate and 1.63%  $\alpha$ -humulene while the minor components were 0.03%  $\beta$ -elemene, 0.06%  $\alpha$ -cadinene and 0.07% ledol. The GC-MS analysis of sowa leaf oil showed presence of major constituents viz., 25.14% apiole, 12.79% o-cymene, 15.67%  $\alpha$ -thujene, 7.13%  $\beta$ -phellandrene while minor components viz., 4.87% exo-2-hydroxycineol, 4.13% limonene, 2.83% 3-isopropyl-4-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol, 2.14% myristicine (2.16%) and 2.13% dithyroumbell the oil alone.

The study also showed that mixture oil (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) was more effective than sulphos. It is evident from Table 7, that sulphos controlled a maximum of 3 fungi while the oil mixture showed complete seed protection showing growth of no fungi and insect- *Callosobruchus chinensis* even after 150 days of storage. It shows its potential efficacy as the Seed Protectant as it is able to protect all 17 fungi (Table 7). Hence it appears that mixture oil (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) increases the chickpea seeds shelf life. The seeds which served as control, showed proliferation of all 17 fungal species after 150 days of storage. Our study revealed that mixture oil (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) was more fungitoxic than sulphos used in our experiments. No insect species was observed in the oil mixture treated seeds in both containers while it was present in the sulphos treated and control sets. The antifungal and insect repellent property of this mixture oil (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) suggests to exploit it as an ideal protectant of chickpea seeds during storage.

After 150 days of storage, germination tests revealed 90-95% seed germination in oils mixture's treated sets, sulphos treated sets revealed 60-65% while control set revealed 50-55% seed germination from seeds of both containers. The mixture oil showed no adverse effect on seedling growth and general health and morphology of plants. Thus, the mixture oil (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) showed great potential as protective agent for chickpea seeds against spoilage by fungi and insects during storage.

## Conclusion

Our study revealed that oil mixture (**Lavang and sowa leaf oil**) was more fungitoxic than tested synthetic pesticides- Sulphos. It completely protected from Bruchid (*Callosobruchus chinensis*). This indicates its possibility of its exploitation in the form of seed protectant of chickpea food seeds for storage. Lavang and sowa is an indigenous plant. It grows luxuriantly. Essential oil can be easily made available upon extraction and formulations can be prepared. It will be a renewable source of fungitoxicant and bruchid repellent which will be keeping chickpea food seeds without damage. There will be protection of edible food by edible oils without any toxic effect on human and environment will remain safe.

# Declarations

## Conflict of interest statement

We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgment

Authors are thankful to the Amity University Haryana authorities and Head, Dept of Botany DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur for the facilities and constant encouragement.

# References

1. Cram, M and Fraedrich S. Seed diseases and seedborne pathogens of North America. *Tree Planters' Notes* 53 (2): 35-44(2010).
2. Singh YP. and Sumbali G. Efficacy of leaf extracts and essential oil of some plant species against *Penicillium expansum* rot of apples. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **15**: 135-139 (2007)
3. Sahani, R.K. and Saxena A.R. Fungitoxic properties of medicinal and aromatic plants against *Fusarium oxysporum* spp. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **17**: 146-148(2009).
4. Hyldgaard M, Mygdal T., Meyer RL. Essential oils in food preservation: mode of action, synergies, and interactions with food matrix components. *Front. Microbio.* 3:12(2012)
5. Amelia B, Saepudin, E., Cahyana, AH., Rahayu, DU., Sulistyoningrum AS and Haib, J. GC-MS analysis of clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*) bud essential oil from Java and Manado. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1862: 030082(2017).
6. Baslas BK and Baslas, RK. Chemical Studies into the Essential Oils from the Plants of *Anethum graveolens* & *Anethum sowa* (Dill Oils). *Indian Perfumer*, **15**: 27-29(1971).
7. Woolf, A. Essential Oil Poisoning. *J. Clinical Toxicol.*, **37**: 721-727 (1999).
8. Vats N and Pandey R. Analysis of oil extracted from *Anethum sowa*. *Int. J. Chem. Sci.*: 10(4): 2010-2014 (2012).
9. Muskett, A.E. Technique for the examination of seed for the presence of seed borne fungi. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.*, **30**: 74-83(1948).
10. De Tempe, J. The blotter method of seed health testing. *Proc. Int. Seed test Assocn.*, **28**: 133-151(1953).
11. Raper, KB. and Thom, C.A. Manual of the *Penicillia*. The Williams & Wilkins Company, Baltimore(1949).
12. Raper, KB. and Fennell, DI. The Genus *Aspergillus*. Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, 686 p. (1965).
13. Ellis, MB. Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England, 608p. (1971).
14. Ellis MB. More Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes. CAB International Mycological Institute, Kew, UK. 507 p. (1976).
15. Kingsolver JM. *Handbook of the Bruchidae of the United States and Canada* USDA, Technical Bulletin 1912 (2 vols.) (2004).
16. Bousquet Y., Bouchard P, Davies AE., Sikes DS. Checklist of beetles (Coleoptera) of Canada and Alaska. Second edition. *ZooKeys*, 360: 1–402(2013).
17. Hedge, JE and Hofreiter, BT. In: Carbohydrate Chemistry **17**(Eds Whistler R L and Be Miller, J N) Academic Press New York (1962).
18. Lowry OH., Rozenbrough NJ., Farr AL., Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 93: 265(1951).
19. Bocher, O.E. Antibiotics. In modern method of plant analysis Vol. III (Peach K and Tracey M.V. eds.) Springer Verlag Berlin p.651(1938).
20. Langenau, EE. The examination and analysis of essential oils; synthetics and isolates. In: Guenther, E. (Ed.), The Essential Oils, vol. 1. Krieger Publishing Co., Huntington, New York, pp. 227-348(1948).
21. Davies NW. Gas chromatographic retention indices of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes on methyl silicone on Carbowax 20M phases. *Journal of Chromatography* 503: 1–24(1990).
22. Adams RP. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy. Allured Publishing Inc., Carol Stream, IL, USA, 469 pp(1995).
23. Grover, RK., Moore, JD. Toximetric studies of fungicides against brown rot organism *Sclerotinia fructicola* and *S. laxa*. *Phytopathology*, **52**: 876-880(1962).
24. Tripathi NN and Kumar N. *Putranjiva oil* – Promising herbal preservative for peanut during storage". *Journal of stored Products Research*, **43** : 435-442(2007).
25. Kumar N. Fumigant efficacy of *Cumin* essential oil on fungi and insect infesting *Pisum sativum* L. during storage. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, **24**(1): 196-201(2016).

26. Singh K., Singh, AK., Singh, RP. Detection of seed mycoflora of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 13:167-171(2005).
27. Zaidi, RK. and Pathak, N. Evaluation of seed infection of fungi in Chickpea. *e-Journal of Science & Technology*, 8 (2): 27-36(2013).
28. Ghangaokar NM, Kshirsagar AD. Study of seed borne fungi of different legumes. *Trends in Life Sciences*, 2(1): 32-35(2013).
29. Singh VK. Detection of mycoflora associated with *Cicer arietinum* seeds by agar plate method with PDA. *Weekly Science Research Journal*, 1(30): 1-4(2014).
30. Sontakke NR and Hedawoo GB . Mycoflora associated with seeds of chickpea. *Int. J. of Life Sciences, Special Issue A2*: 27-30(2014).
31. Padmaja MC, Andoji YS , Kambl SS. Detection of seed borne mycoflora from different categories of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) L. *RJLBPCS* 1(4):203-205(2015).
32. Hossain, M., Imran, M., Bhuiyan, K., Habib, M., & Ikram, M. Prevalence of Seed Borne Fungi Associated with Chickpea Seeds and Biological and Chemical Control of *Fusarium oxysporum* Causing Wilt Disease. *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources*, 10(2): 45-54(2018).
33. Javaid, Arshad, Khan, Iqra . Mycoflora associated with seeds of different varieties of chickpea and its effect on germination and radicle growth. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*. 16: 175-179(2019).
34. Kandhare AS . The Common Mycoflora in Four Legumes Seeds and their Effects on Seedling Vigor index Kandhare Ashok Sadhu. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*; 215-219(2020).
35. Kandhare AS. Post harvest preservation in different containers, pathogenesis and management of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seed health. *Biodiversity Int J*. 2(6):562-565(2018).
36. Nabil E. El-Wakeil. Botanical Pesticides and Their Mode of Action . *Gesunde Pflanzen* 65:125–149(2013).
37. Katoch, R., Thakur, M. and Paul, Y.S . Antifungal activity of the essential oils of *Chromolaena adenophorum*, *Ageratum conyzoides* and *Lantana camara*. *Indian Phytopath.* 65(4): 409-411(2012).
38. Sharma M, Sharma PC , Kaundal K and Sharma H. Antifungal activity assessment of essential oil of bitter apricot (*Prunus armeniaca*) kernels. *Indian Phytopath* 69 (3) : 290-293(2016).
39. Asthana, A and Singh, A.K. Fungitoxic properties of essential oil of *Ocimum adscendens*. *Journal of Indian Botanical Society Supplement* , 60;13(1981).
40. Khan, S.A. Control of fungal and insect deterioration of blackgram during storage by some higher plants. *PhD thesis Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, India*(1993).
41. Singh, J and Tripathi, NN. Inhibition of storage fungi of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L) by some essential oils. *Flavour Fragrance J.* 14;1-4(1999).
42. Kumar N . *Adhatoda vasica* leaf oil-a potential fumigant preservative for groundnut during storage. *Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* , 1(6): 392-401(2014).
43. Kumar, N., Khurana SM Paul and Pandey VN. Antifungal activity of essential oil of *Tinospora cordifolia* against storage fungi of wheat . *Journal Medicinal Plants* 12:150-157(2020).
44. Wellman, R.H. Commercial development of fungicides. In: Plant pathology Problem and Progress Eds Holtan *et al.*, 1908-1958. *Indian University Press, Allahabad, India*(1967).
45. Dixit, SN, Chandra, H, Tiwari, R and Dixit, V. Development of botanical fungicide against blue mould of mandarins. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 31(2); 165-172(1995).
46. Mishra D., Chaturvedi, R.V and Tripathi, S.C. The fungitoxic effect of the essential oil of the herb *Nardostachys jatamansi* D.C. *Tropical Agri*, 72(1); 48-52(1995).
47. Kumar N. *In vivo* preservative potential of neem Giloy-*Tinospora cordifolia* (willd.) Miers ex hook. F. and thoms leaf essential oil for groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) seeds. *Int J Pharm Sci Res* ., 8(10): 4291-97(1997).
48. Chaturvedi, R. Evaluation of higher plants for their fungitoxicity against *Helminthosporium oryzae*. *Ph.D Thesis Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, India*(1979).

## Tables

**Table 1. Mycological analysis of stored food seeds of chickpea**



Fungi recorded	Blotter method		Agar plate method	
	US	SS	US	SS
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.) Keissler	4.1±0.05	2.0±0.03	4.1±0.03	-
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link	55.3±0.08	20.1±0.09	51.0±0.16	24.9±0.06
<i>A. niger</i> van Tieghem	50.4±0.27	23.7±0.08	52.0±0.26	27.7±0.03
<i>A. ochraceus</i> Wilhelm	13.0±0.17	4.5±0.03	12.0±0.25	6.7±0.13
<i>A.oryzae</i> (Ahlburg) E. Cohn	3.0±0.06	-	3.1±0.05	-
<i>A. terreus</i> Thom	11.0±0.17	3.5±0.17	20.6±0.27	5.7±0.17
<i>A. sydowi</i> (Bainier and Sartory) Thom and Church	4.3±0.07	2.1±0.06	6.0±0.05	1.0±0.04
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i> Kunze	-	-	16.0±0.05	1.0±0.04
<i>Colletotrichum dematium</i> (Pers.) Grove	-	-	6.0±0.04	1.0±0.03
<i>Curvularialunata</i> (Wakker) Boedijn	4.1±0.05	2.1±0.04	6.0±0.03	1.0±0.04
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> Sheldon	3.2±0.01	3.0±0.02	4.1±0.09	-
<i>F. oxysporum</i> von Schlechtendal	40.1±0.31	17.6±0.26	50.0±0.31	27.7±0.25
<i>F. solani</i> (Mart.) Sacc.	2.1±0.04	2.3±0.06	3.1±0.05	1.3±0.05
<i>Penicillium italicum</i> Wehmer	-	-	2.2±0.04	-
<i>Rhizopus stolonife</i> Vuillemin	3.3±0.03	-	0.2±0.01	-
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> J.G. Kühn	4.2±0.07	2.1±0.06	6.0±0.05	1.0±0.04
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> Sacc.	-	-	6.2±0.03	2.0±0.03

-:Absence of fungal species;US:Unsterilized seeds; SS:Sterilized seeds

\*Values given are mean of three replicates;SD=Standard Deviation

**Table 2. Evaluation of effect of Fungal/insect species on chickpea seeds after 21 days storage**

Fungus/Insect species	Weight loss(g)		Germination(%)		Carbohydrate(%)?		Protein (%)	
	C	T	C	T	C	T	C	T
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	-	0.189	95	50	61	30.1	20	10.3
<i>A. niger</i>	-	0.183	98	53	61	29.4	20	9.3
<i>F.oxysporum</i>	-	0.181	90	40	61	28.7	20	10.4
<i>Callosobruchus chinensis</i>	-	0.185	96	39	61	27.4	20	8.5

C:Control;T:Treatment; - Nil

**Table 3. Minimum Inhibition Concentration (MIC) of *Lavang*, *sowalea*foil and mixture oil**

Oil concentration (ppm)	Percent inhibition of fungal species by oil/mixture oil								
	Lavang oil			Sowa oil			Mixed Lavang and sowa oils		
	<i>A. flavus</i>	<i>A. niger</i>	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	<i>A. flavus</i>	<i>A. niger</i>	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	<i>A. Flavus</i>	<i>A. niger</i>	<i>F. oxysporum</i>
100	60±0.11	62±0.10	65±0.10	30±0.10	31±0.11	32±0.12	60±0.10	62±0.10	58±0.11
200	70±0.11	72±0.10	82±0.10	39±0.10	38±0.11	42±0.12	82±0.10	82±0.10	83±0.11
300	100±0.14	100±0.13	100±0.15	70±0.14	75±0.14	72±0.13	92±0.14	91±0.14	93±0.13
400	100*±0.21	100*±0.22	100*±0.23	100±0.21	100±0.20	100±0.22	100*±0.21	100*±0.22	100*±0.21
500	100*±0.11	100*±0.12	100*±0.10	100*±0.11	100*±0.12	100*±0.10	100*±0.12	100*±0.11	100*±0.13
600	100*±0.08	100*±0.07	100*±0.09	100*±0.07	100*±0.06	100*±0.09	100*±0.08	100*±0.07	100*±0.09

\*Fungicidal

**Table 4. Fungitoxic spectrum of mixture oil (Lavang and sowa leaf oil in 1:1 ratio) at different concentrations against fungi isolated from stored chickpea seeds**

Fungal species	Per cent inhibition of mycelial growth of isolated fungi (%)		
	300ppm	500ppm	700ppm
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	74.1±0.10	100.3±0.11	100.0±0.12
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	92±0.14	100±0.12	100±0.11
<i>A. niger</i>	91±0.14	100.0±0.16	100±0.14
<i>A. ochraceous</i>	84.4±0.13	100.0±0.13	100.0±0.12
<i>A. oryzae</i>	71.1±0.23	100.0±0.16	100.0±0.18
<i>A. terreus</i>	77.7±0.12	100.0±0.16	100.0±0.07
<i>A. sydowi</i>	70.1±0.13	100.0±0.16	100.0±0.21
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i>	85.1±0.19	100.0±0.12	100.0±0.17
<i>Colletotrichum dematium</i>	77.1±0.10	100.0±0.12	100.0±0.13
<i>Curvularialunata</i>	74.1±0.14	100.0±0.15	100.0±0.18
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	71.0±0.23	100.0±0.14	100.0±0.19
<i>F. oxysporum</i>	93±0.13	100 ±0.13	100.0±0.23
<i>F. solani</i>	83.1±0.13	100.0±0.15	100.0±0.16
<i>Penicillium italicum</i>	84.4±0.10	100.0±0.13	94.3±0.14
<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	74.1±0.11	100.2±0.12	100.0±0.14
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	84.1±0.10	100.0±0.13	100.0±0.14
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>	87.1±0.10	100.0±0.16	100.0±0.17

\*Values given are mean of three replicates;SD=Standard Deviation

**Table 5. Effect of physical factors on the fungitoxicity of mixture oil (Lavang and sowa leaf oil)**

Applied physical factors	Per cent inhibition of mycelial (%) growth at its MIC
Autoclaving (15lbs/psi at 120°C) For 15 min	100±0.19
Storage time(in days)	
25	100±0.11
50	100±0.13
75	100±0.14
100	100±0.22
125	100±0.21
150	100±0.23

Table 6. Physico-chemical characteristics of extracted oil through hydrodistillation

Name of oil	Specific gravity	Refractive index	Optical rotation	Acid value	Ester value	Saponification value	Solubility characteristic
Lavang (bud) oil	1.053± 0.0010	1.539± 0.0013	<b>1°64' ± 1°10'</b>	3.941± 0.21	37.89± 0.30	41.09± 2.1	<b>Found soluble in 2 volume of 70% alcohol</b>
Sowa leaf oil	0.9233 ± 0.0012	1.4606 ± 0.0002	+9.342 ± 2.0	15.64 ± 0.12	13.5± 0.12	182.25 ± 2.3	Insoluble in water, but miscible in alcohol on warming

\*Values given are mean of three replicates;SD=Standard Deviation

Table 7. Mycological analysis of 150 days stored chickpea seeds treated with mixture oil(Lavang and sowa leaf oil ) and salphos

Fungi recorded	Mixture oil		Salphos	
	US	SS	US	SS
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	-	-	5.1±0.07	-
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	-	-	16.1±0.04	-
<i>A. niger</i>	-	-	17.0±0.13	4.5±0.11
<i>A. ochraceous</i>	-	-	19.0±0.21	3.7±0.04
<i>A. oryzae</i>	-	-	9.0±0.01	4.5±0.05
<i>A. terreus</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>A. sydowi</i>	-	-	7.6±0.12	2.7±0.08
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i>	-	-	14.7±0.07	1.5±0.01
<i>Colletotrichum dematium</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>Curvularialunata</i>	-	-	1.0±0.24	5.4±0.07
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>F. oxysporum</i>	-	-	11.3±0.02	1.0±0.07
<i>F. solani</i>	-	-	8.3±0.11	0.3±0.06
<i>Penicillium italicum</i>	-	-	7.3±0.11	1.3±0.06
<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-	-	5.1±0.04	-
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	-	-	9.0±0.01	0.5±0.01
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>	-	-	9.3±0.11	0.7±0.03

-:Absence of fungal species;US:Unsterilized seeds; SS:Sterilized seeds

\*Values given are mean of three replicates;SD=Standard Deviation

## Figures



Figure 1

A look at fungi appearing on the chickpea seeds



Figure 2

A look on chickpea seed deterioration by insect



Figure 3

Salphos