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#### Abstract

The Podostemaceae are highly enigmatic plants which are restricted to submerged river-rock habitats. The availability of new material of nine taxa from continental Africa prompted this new study. Five species belonging to the genera Dicraeanthus, Leiothylax, Letestuella, Macropodiella, and Stonesia and another four species of the large genera Inversodicraea sensu stricto and Ledermanniella sensu stricto have been analysed for the first time. New anatomical and developmental data are described and illustrated by use of microtome sections and scanning electron microscopy. In parallel, phylogenetic analyses of all available sequence data of African Podostemaceae have been conducted using three plastid markers (matK, trnDtrnT, rpoB-trnC). Inversodicraea cf. bosii appears basal within the continental African clade. The remaining taxa are distributed in three, rather poorly supported, major clades which are consistent with their morphology: (1) the Inversodicraea clade is characterised by stem scales and contains members of the former Ledermanniella subg. Phyllosoma with either pollen-monads or dyads; (2) the Ledermanni-ella-Monad group consisting of Leiothylax, Letestuella, Macropodiella, Stonesia, and Ledermanniella species-all


[^0]taxa without stem scales but showing pollen as single grains, with Monandriella linearifolia being basal to this clade; (3) the Ledermanniella-Dyad clade including Djinga, Dicraeanthus, and Ledermanniella species without stem scales but with pollen dyads. To reduce the polyphyly of Ledermanniella sensu lato (i.e. sensu C. Cusset) we propose restricting Ledermanniella to the species of the former subgenus Ledermanniella, resurrecting Monandriella as monotypic genus, and accepting the genus name Inversodicraea for members of Ledermanniella subg. Phyllosoma.
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## Introduction

The Podostemaceae ("river-weeds", including Tristichaceae of some authors; Malpighiales) are the largest family of strictly aquatic angiosperms (Cook and Rutishauser 2007). Molecular analyses indicate that Podostemaceae belong to the Malpighiales clade in the eurosids I group (Gustafsson et al. 2002; APG II 2003; Korotkova et al. 2009; Wurdack and Davis 2009) and might date back to the Campanian, about 76 mya (Davis et al. 2005). Three subfamilies can be recognised: Tristichoideae and Weddellinoideae as the two smaller podostemaceous subfamilies, and as third subfamily the large and morphologically distinct Podostemoideae with 42 genera and ca. 270 spp. (Engler 1928; Kita and Kato 2001; Cook and Rutishauser 2007).

In the past few years there has been a study focus on African, including Madagascan, Podostemoideae (Moline
et al. 2007; Kita et al. 2008). They are classified in 16 genera and ca. 82 species. Most of these inconspicuous water plants are restricted to a single river or waterfall (Grob et al. 2007; Ameka et al. 2009). Many of the genera and species in Africa occur in Cameroon and Gabon (Engler 1928; Cusset 1987; Cook and Rutishauser 2007; Ghogue et al. 2009).

The genera present in this region are, among others, Dicraeanthus, Djinga, Inversodicraea, Ledermanniella, Leiothylax, Letestuella, Macropodiella, Monandriella, and Stonesia. Some of them, however, expand their range outside of Cameroon and Gabon (Table 1). Identification keys, drawings and short descriptions of all African Podostemaceae are provided in Rutishauser et al. (2007), in addition to photographs of selected specimens. Molecular data of African Podostemaceae have been analysed by, e.g., Kita et al. (2005), Moline et al. (2007), and Pfeifer et al. (2009).

Moline et al. (2007) used matK sequence and morphological data for eight African Podostemaceae species of the genera Dicraeanthus, Djinga, and Ledermanniella sensu lato (incl. Inversodicraea). Accordingly, all podostemoids studied from continental Africa form a clade that is sister to the Madagascan genera Endocaulos and Thelethylax. Moline et al. (2007) have already tentatively suggested revisions of the generic delimitations in the African taxa. For example, Ledermanniella sensu lato (incl. Inversodicraea and Monandriella), as described by Cusset (1974, 1984a, b), the largest podostemoid genus in Africa with ca. 46 spp., turned out to be an artificial and somewhat awkward genus. Its two subgenera Ledermanniella and Phyllosoma (as proposed by Cusset 1984a, b) were distinguishable by the absence versus presence of stem scales in addition to the usually compound foliage leaves (Moline et al. 2007; Rutishauser et al. 2007). Before Cusset's revision (1974, 1984a, b) most Ledermanniella spp. belonged to the genus Inversodicraea Engler ex R.E. Fries. Among the species known before Cusset and included in this study (Tables 1, 4), Ledermanniella bifurcata, L. bowlingii, L. cristata, L. ledermannii, and L. pusilla were members of Inversodicraea, whereas Ledermanniella (with L. linearifolia), and Monandriella (with M. linearifolia, syn. Ledermanniella monandra) were monotypic genera.

The availability of new material of these rarely collected plants prompted our new analysis. Since the study by Moline et al. (2007), material of five additional species from small genera such as Dicraeanthus zehnderi, Leiothylax quangensis, Letestuella tisserantii, Macropodiella heteromorpha, and Stonesia ghoguei became available to us and has been analysed here. Four species from the large genus Ledermanniella sensu lato (incl. Inversodicraea, Monandriella) were also added: Inversodicraea cf. annithomae and I. cristata, Ledermanniella pusilla, and

Monandriella linearifolia (syn. L. monandra). Moreover, we extended the number of chloroplast molecular markers, by sequencing $\operatorname{mat} \mathrm{K}, \operatorname{trn} \mathrm{D}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}$, and $\operatorname{rpo} \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{C}$ for most of the taxa.

The Podostemaceae show many morphological peculiarities making this family a worthwhile, but often problematic study object. Some features may be seen as adaptations to seasonally submerged river rocks with flowering in air possible for only a short period after the rainy period. Many Podostemaceae have a flattened photosynthetic body which adheres to a hard substrate. It has been called a "thallus" because the conventional demarcation into stem, leaf, and root is often not obvious. Various botanists (Schnell 1998; Sehgal et al. 2002, 2007) regard this vegetative body as a unique architectural type. In contrast, we here adopt the classical root-shoot model (CRS model) with its structural categories roots, shoots (including stems and leaves), as already proposed by, e.g., Warming (1881, 1891, 1899), Jäger-Zürn (2000), and Cook and Rutishauser (2007). The term "stem" is applied to a cylindrical or slightly flattened photosynthetic body that develops exogenous leaves. We use the term "root" for a slightly or strongly flattened photosynthetic body when adhesive hairs and endogenous shoot buds are developed but no exogenous leaves.

The objectives of this study were:
1 To perform a molecular phylogenetic analysis of African podostemoids and to include morphological data across nine genera and 17 species.
2 To describe morphological idiosyncrasies of African Podostemoideae and to plot them on to the molecular tree in order to describe their evolutionary history. Special emphasis will be given to the infraspecific variability of stem scale morphology because this feature is traditionally taken as very important for species delimitation in Inversodicraea (syn. Ledermanniella subg. Phyllosoma). To better visualise morphological differences, members of four genera (Dicraeanthus, Inversodicraea, Leiothylax, and Letestuella) will be described and illustrated using microtome sections and scanning electron microscopy.
3 To solve the mismatch at the generic rank in African Podostemaceae-Podostemoideae.

## Methods

## Taxon sampling

The objective of the sampling was to include representatives of important groups of African Podostemaceae to infer their infrageneric relationships. Information on the
Table 1 Vouchers, distribution and EMBL numbers of the selected taxa

|  | Voucher | Origin | Distribution | EMBL acc. no. matK | EMBL acc. no. $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{D}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}$ | EMBL acc. no. rpoB-trnC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dicraeanthus africanus Engler | Ghogue GHO-1413 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon | DQ168442 | FM877851 | FM877824 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Dicraeanthus zehnderi H. Hess (accession 1) | Ghogue GHO-1650 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon (S) | FM877834 | FM877843 | FM877812 | This study |
| Dicraeanthus zehnderi H. Hess (accession 2) | Ghogue GHO-1651 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | idem | FM877836 | - | FM877816 | This study |
| Djinga felicis C. Cusset (accession 1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ghogue et al. GAR-021020-08 } \\ {[=\text { "GAR-08"] (YA, Z/ZT) }} \end{gathered}$ | Cameroon | Cameroon (NW) | DQ168433 | FM877852 | FM877825 | This study |
| Djinga felicis C. Cusset (accession 2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ghogue et al. GAR-021021-09 } \\ \text { [="GAR-09"] (YA, Z/ZT) } \end{gathered}$ | Cameroon | Cameroon (NW) | DQ168434 | - | FM877826 | This study |
| Inversodicraea cf. annithomae (C. Cusset) R.Rutish. and Thiv, comb.nov. | Ghogue et al. GAHR-23 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon (S) | - | FM877846 | FM877814 | This study |
| Inversodicraea cf. bosii (C. Cusset) R.Rutish. and Thiv, comb.nov. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ghogue et al. GAR-021018-01 } \\ & {[=" \text { GAR-01"] (YA, Z/ZT) }} \end{aligned}$ | Cameroon | Cameroon (S) | DQ168431 | FM877855 | FM877831 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Inversodicraea cristata <br> Engler (accession 1) | Ghogue GHO-1659 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Angola, Central African Republic, Gabon, Cameroon | FM877837 | - | FM877817 | This study |
| Inversodicraea cristata Engler (accession 2) | Ghogue GHO-1664 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | idem | FM877838 | - | FM877820 | This study |
| Inversodicraea cristata <br> Engler (accession 3) | Ghogue GHO-1666 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | idem | FM877839 | FM877850 | FM877822 | This study |
| Inversodicraea ledermannii Engler (accession 1) | Ghogue et al. GAR-021018-02 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Angola, Gabon, Congo, Ivory Coast, Cameroon | DQ168432 | FM877856 | FM877832 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Inversodicraea ledermannii <br> Engler (accession 2) | Ghogue GHO-1414 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | idem | DQ168442 | FM877857 | FM877833 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Ledermanniella bifurcata (Engler) <br> C. Cusset | Ghogue GHO-1597 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon, Congo, Gabon | DQ168439 | - | FM877827 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Ledermanniella bowlingii (J.B. Hall) <br> C. Cusset | Ameka and Rutishauser AR-021010 (GC, Z/ZT) | Ghana | Ghana | DQ168429 | FM877853 | FM877828 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Ledermanniella letouzeyi C. Cusset | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ghogue et al. GAR-021023-12 } \\ {[=" G A R-12 "] \text { (YA, Z/ZT) }} \end{gathered}$ | Cameroon | Cameroon (SW) | DQ168435 | - | FM877829 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Ledermanniella linearifolia Engler (accession 1) | Ghogue GHO-1415 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon (S) | DQ168437 | FM877854 | FM877830 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Ledermanniella linearifolia Engler (accession 2) | Ghogue et al. GAHR-36 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | idem | - | FM877848 | FM877815 | Moline et al. (2007) |
| Ledermanniella pusilla (Warming) <br> C. Cusset | Ghogue et al. GAHR-17 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon (S), Gabon, Congo | - | FM877845 | - | This study |

Table 1 continued

|  | Voucher | Origin | Distribution | EMBL acc. no. matK | EMBL acc. no. $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{D}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}$ | EMBL acc. no. rpoB-trnC | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leiothylax quangensis (Engler) Warming | Ghogue GHO-1667 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon, Congo | FM877842 | - | FM877823 | This study |
| Letestuella tisserantii G. Taylor | Ghogue GHO-1660 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Western to Southern Africa: <br> Angola, Benin, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Ivory Coast, Mali, Namibia | FM877840 | - | FM877818 | This study |
| Macropodiella heteromorpha (Baillon) C. Cusset | Ghogue et al. GAHR-24 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Gabon, Ivory Coast | - | FM877847 | - | This study |
| Monandriella linearifolia Engler | Ghogue GHO-1663 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon, Sierra Leone Cameroon (S), Gabon, Congo | - | - | FM877819 | This study |
| Podostemum ceratophyllum Michx. | Philbrick and Novelo CTP 4615 | USA (E) | Eastern North America, Dominican Republic, Honduras | DQ168440 | FM877844 | FM877813 | Moline et al. (2006) |
| Stonesia ghoguei E. Pfeifer and Rutishauser | Ghogue GHO-1665 (YA, Z/ZT) | Cameroon | Cameroon | FM877841 | FM877849 | FM877821 | This study |

[^1]selected taxa is given in Table 1: Dicraeanthus africanus, D. zehnderi, Djinga felicis, Inversodicraea cf. annithomae, I. cf. bosii, I. cristata, I. ledermannii, Ledermanniella bifurcata, L. bowlingii, L. letouzeyi, L. linearifolia, L. pusilla, Monandriella linearifolia, Leiothylax quangensis, Letestuella tisserantii, Macropodiella heteromorpha, and Stonesia ghoguei. The monophyly of this African group has been tested by including matK data of all Podostemaceae taxa as used by Moline et al. (2007). Accordingly, the African clade received a bootstrap support of $91 \%$ (not shown). Because of availability, Podostemum ceratophyllum from Eastern USA was sequenced as outgroup for all three markers (Philbrick and Novelo 2004). Herbarium specimens, silica-gel dried samples (stems and/or roots) for molecular studies, and ethanol ( $70 \%$ )-fixed samples for morphological studies were collected for each species. Vouchers and wet plant material are housed in the National Herbarium of Cameroon (YA), the Herbarium of Zurich Universities (Z/ZT), and (for L. bowlingii) also the Ghana Herbarium (GC). Identification of taxa was not always easy. Two species of Inversodicraea could not be identified without doubt: Inversodicraea cf. bosii (closely resembling I. ledermannii) and Inversodicraea cf. annithomae (perhaps conspecific with Ledermanniella ntemensis Y.Kita, Koi, Rutish and M.Kato). We included from three taxa (Dicraeanthus zehnderi, Djinga felicis, and Inversodicraea ledermannii) two somewhat polymorphic accessions each, and from Inversodicraea cristata three accessions that finally turned out to belong to the same species (for D. felicis and I. ledermannii see Moline et al. 2007).

We sequenced three chloroplast markers for most of the African Podostemaceae in our analysis. Despite intensive, repeated efforts, unsuccessful amplifications led to a high proportion of $18.8 \%$ of missing data in the matrices (rpoB: $22 / 24$, matK: $19 / 24$, trn L intron: $15 / 24$ sequences available; Table 1).

Laboratory
For scanning electron microscopy the dissected plant parts were critical-point dried and sputter coated with gold. The electron micrographs were taken with a Jeol scanning electron microscope at 20 kV . For microtome sections, specimens were embedded in Kulzer's Technovit (2hydroxyethyl methacrylate), and sectioned with a Microm HM 355 rotary microtome and conventional microtome knife types C and D. The mostly $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick sections were stained with ruthenium red and toluidine blue.

DNA extractions, amplifications, and cycle sequencing followed the procedures described in Moline et al. (2007). The primers used were: trnL intron: $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{UAA}} \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{TabC})$ and $t r n \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{UAA}} \mathrm{R}$ (TabD; Taberlet et al. 1991); rpoB: rpoB and
$t r n \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{GCA}} \mathrm{R}$ (Shaw et al. 2005), matK: Pod2Rm, Pod5Fm, Pod6Rm, Pod8Fm, Pod41Fm, PodAFm, and Podnew1Rm (Moline et al. 2007). EMBL accessions are given in Table 1.

Data analyses
The data matrix included 24 terminal taxa comprising 17 African species and $P$. ceratophyllum as outgroup, which is closely related to the African, Madagascan, and Asian members of Podostemoideae (Kita and Kato 2001; Moline et al. 2007). Sequences were aligned using ClustalX (Higgins and Sharp 1988) with the default settings and then manually adjusted in MacClade version 4.05 (Maddison and Maddison 2002). The aligned data set is available as online supplement. There are no conflicting nodes in the analyses of the individual data sets (not shown) with bootstrap values above $70 \%$ (Mason-Gamer and Kellogg 1996). Wiens (2006) basically recommended the inclusion of missing data rather than excluding taxa or genes from phylogenetic analyses. Therefore, we followed the total evidence approach and combined the three data sets into a single large one using a super matrix approach (Sanderson et al. 1998; Bininda-Emonds 2004; de Queiroz and Gatesy 2007). The manageable number of taxa enabled a maximum likelihood (ML, Felsenstein 1981) analysis to be performed using PAUP. ML combines the advantages of model-based methods for processing probabilities of data, and extensive tree searches, and often produces similar results to Bayesian inference or maximum parsimony (Leaché and Reeder 2002; Sober 2004). To determine the nucleotide substitution model that best fits the data, the hierarchical likelihood ratio test (AIC) was used in Modeltest3.0 (Posada and Crandall 1998). ML analyses specifying the GTR $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ model were implemented as heuristic searches with a 100 random-addition-sequence, TBR, and steepest descent options. The same options were used for ML bootstrap analyses ( 100 replicates).

For character optimization, 23 morphological characters were listed in Appendix 1 and coded in Table 2. The analysis was carried out using Mesquite 1.12 (Maddison and Maddison 2007) applying the options "trace all characters" and "parsimony ancestral states reconstruction". The ancestral character states were reconstructed on nodes of the ML tree (Fig. 6) and are listed in Table 3.

## Results: molecular data

The selected optimum model of sequence evolution for this combined data set was the general time reversible ( $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ ) model (Rodriguez et al. 1990): unequal base frequencies $(\mathrm{A}=0.3613, \mathrm{C}=0.1406, \mathrm{G}=0.1181$, $\mathrm{T}=0.3800$ ) and six substitution types (A/C: $0.9246, \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{G}$ :
1.2361, A/T: 0.3773, C/G: 1.2094, C/T: 1.0104) gamma distribution of rates among sites with alpha shape parameter 0.8496 , proportion of invariable sites 0.3963 . The analysis using these values yielded one optimum ML tree with a log-likelihood score of $-\ln L=9484.50$ (Fig. 6).

There are three weakly supported groups (clades to some degree) in continental Africa:
1 the Inversodicraea group (abbreviated as In in Fig. 6), with three Inversodicraea species (I. cf. annithomae, I. cristata, I. ledermannii) forming a clade and the fourth Inversodicraea species (i.e. I. cf. bosii) being sister to all taxa studied from continental Africa;
2 the second group has pollen monads (i.e. single pollen) and is referred to as the Ledermanniella-Monad group (LM). It appears paraphyletic and consists of a clade with Ledermanniella bifurcata in addition to members of the genera Leiothylax, Letestuella, Macropodiella, and Stonesia, with M. linearifolia being basal to the clade; and
3 the remaining taxa show pollen dyads and accordingly, form the Ledermanniella-Dyad group (LD). It is actually a weakly supported clade. Besides the Ghanaian endemic Ledermanniella bowlingii there are three other species of subg. Ledermanniella, i.e. L. letouzeyi, L. linearifolia and L. pusilla. Moreover, all species of the genera Dicraeanthus and Djinga belong to this group.

## Results: vegetative structures

Leaf architecture

Leaves may be petiolate with a blade forked several times into narrow segments, e.g. in Dicraeanthus zehnderi and Inversodicraea cristata. The petioles of I. cristata are nearly cylindrical (Fig. 1a, d) whereas the terminal leaf segments are slightly flattened with chloroplasts restricted to the epidermis (Fig. 1f). Other African Podostemoideae such as Ledermanniella linearifolia and Leiothylax quangensis have entire linear or only once-forked leaves. Several African Podostemoideae have stipules which are subulate lateral appendages of the leaf base. For example, D. zehnderi (Fig. 3a-c) and L. quangensis (Fig. 4e) have stipules attached to the leaf base. In members of Inversodicraea (e.g. I. cristata) it is not obvious if there are stipules or not, because the stem is covered with many stipule-like scales in addition to the petiolate and compound leaves (Fig. 1a, b). Filled with silica bodies (Fig. 1c, e) these stem scales protect the shoots in the rushing water. The stem scales outnumber the compound leaves up to 20 times (Fig. 1d). The compound leaves contain rudimentary vascular bundles in the terminal segments. Inversodicraea cristata is usually characterised by cristate stem scales with
Table 2 Morphological data matrix (adapted from Moline et al. 2007)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dicraeanthus africanus | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | (01) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Dicraeanthus zehnderi | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (12) | 1 | (01) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Djinga felicis | 1 | 0 | (01) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | (01) | 1 | (01) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Inversodicraea cf. annithomae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (01) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inversodicraea cf. bosii | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inversodicraea cristata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (01) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inversodicraea ledermannii | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ledermanniella bifurcata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (01) | (01) | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ledermanniella bowlingii | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | (01) | (01) | 2 | (01) | (01) | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ledermanniella letouzeyi | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Ledermanniella linearifolia | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | (01) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Ledermanniella pusilla | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (01) | (01) | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Leiothylax quangensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (01) | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Letestuella tisserantii | (01) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (01) | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Macropodiella heteromorpha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Monandriella linearifolia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Podostemum ceratophyllum | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Stonesia ghoguei | 1 | 0 | (01) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | (01) | (12) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | (01) | 0 | 1 |

 states are defined in Appendix 1
Table 3 Results of the character optimisation using Mesquite

| Character | Nodes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | c | $d$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ | $i$ | $j$ | $k$ | $l$ | $m$ | $n$ | $o$ | $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $s$ | $t$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0,1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0 | 1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0 | 0,1 | 0 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,1 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

[^2]Fig. 1 Inversodicraea cristata (syn. Ledermanniella cristata) GHO 1659, 1664, 1666. Vegetative structures.
$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ Shoot portion with stem covered by $3-5$-dentate scales, seen from two opposite sides, $L$ stalk (petiole) of foliage leaf, $B$ lateral shoot bud on dorsal side of leaf (L) base. Scale bars 1 mm . c Longitudinal section of shoot tip, stem with two leaf stalks ( $L$ ) and two shoot buds (B). Arrowheads point to stem scales. Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. d Cross-section of shoot slightly above shoot apex, with two leaf stalks ( $L$ ), next younger leaf marked with white asterisk. Note presence of several stem scales, outer ones containing dark silica bodies. Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. e Cross-section of nearly mature stem $(X)$ containing two vascular bundles. Note lateral shoot bud (B). Arrowheads point to stem scales with dark silica bodies. Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. f Fully grown segments of forked leaf blade (cross-sections).
Epidermis with chloroplasts, vascular strands inconspicuous. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. g Ribbonlike root ( $R$, seen in crosssection) with endogenous outgrowth of lateral root ( $R^{\prime}$, shown as longitudinal section). Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

five to seven teeth. However, a closer look reveals that the scales of I. cristata are more polymorphic. Scales along vegetative stem portions are broad (triangular) and provided with five to seven (rarely up to 10) teeth (Fig. 1a, b). Next to the terminal flowers, however, the stem scales of I. cristata are subulate with one or two teeth only (Fig. 2b). The uppermost foliage leaves are reduced to filamentous structures with a few forks. The uppermost stem scales and associated leaf bases are fused to some degree, forming a cup that surrounds and protects the floral buds, at least their basal portions (Fig. 2a, b).

Infraspecific variation of shoot size

Shoot length can vary substantially within one and the same species. For example, Dicraeanthus zehnderi usually has root-born shoots $10-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ long which are branched several times. However, there are also very short shoots with two leaves and a single terminal flower (not yet
described in the taxonomic literature). Other podostemoids such as Leiothylax quangensis also differ strongly in the length of root-born shoots. The longest shoots are branched and up to 30 cm long, whereas others are 2 cm or less. Tiny shoots are reduced to flower buds, which are sessile on the upper root surface.

## Shoot branching

Only a few African podostemoids show axillary branching as typical for most other angiosperms. For example, single flowers seem to occupy the leaf axils of long shoots in Letestuella tisserantii. Also vegetative lateral buds of Letestuella arise in leaf axils, or seemingly so (Fig. 5g).

In many African podostemoids stem branching is associated with double-sheathed leaves which occur toward the shoot tip after the formation of a set of normal, i.e. singlesheathed leaves. Double-sheathed leaves subtend two shoot buds, one on the left (ventral) side and one on the right


Fig. 2 Inversodicraea cristata (syn. Ledermanniella cristata) GHO1659, 1664, 1666. Reproductive structures. a Shoot tip with two flowers, flower at left anthetic, flower at right in bud covered with unruptured spathella $(F c)$. A androecium consisting of two stamens on common stalk (andropodium). $G$ ovary with short stalk and two thread-like stigma lobes. $T$ linear tepal. Scale bar 1 mm . b Another shoot tip with two flowers, flower at left with ruptured spathella (Fc) and elongated pedicel $(F p)$, flower at right in bud inside unruptured spathella $(F c)$. Stem scales $(S)$ below spathellas entire or with a few teeth, forming transitional forms to forked leaves (L). Scale bar
(dorsal) side of their base, as shown in a longitudinal section of a branching shoot tip of Inversodicraea cristata (Fig. 1c). The two buds on opposite sides of a doublesheathed leaf may differ substantially in their size. For example, the double-sheathed leaf (L) of I. cristata shown in Fig. 1a, b appears as lateral appendage of an elongated stem which is covered with cristate scales. The dorsal side of the leaf base (i.e. its "dorsal sheath") is occupied by a
$500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. c Dehisced anther with endothecium consisting of partially lignified cells, pollen in monads. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. d Nearly mature unilocular capsule with three ribs per valve and two rib-like dehiscence lines (asterisks). Note lack of septum. Free placenta surrounded by ovules. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. e Wall portion of mature capsule, with two fibre-rich ribs (arrowheads). The capsule wall consists of two partially lignified inner cell layers and 2 or 3 outer layers without cell wall thickenings. Scale bar $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. f Ovule in cross-section, with outer integument (Io), inner integument (Ii) and nucellus ( $N$ ). Scale bar $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
globular shoot bud (B) that seems to rest (Fig. 1b). In other samples of I. cristata the shoots (or shoot buds) in both sheaths of a double-sheathed leaf are more equal in size. A similar branching type is found in Dicraeanthus zehnderi where the leaves of long ("main") shoots arise in a distichous pattern, or nearly so. Each leaf (i.e. L6-L8 in Fig. 3a, b) is again a double-sheathed leaf with the ventral sheath provided with two lateral stipules. On the dorsal side

Fig. 3 Dicraeanthus zehnderi GHO1651. Vegetative and reproductive structures.
$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ Overview and close-up of vegetative shoot tip with leaf stalk (LO) and two young forked leaves (L7, L8). Arrowheads point to lateral stipules of leaves L7-L9. Asterisks indicate pockets (surrounded by minute scales) where leaves ( $L^{\prime}$ ) and (later) also flowers will grow out. Scale bars 1 mm and $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. c Shoot tip with leaves $(L)$ and two flower buds inside spathellas $(F c)$. Note lateral stipules attached to leaf bases. Scale bar 1 mm . d Ovary tip with two beak-like stigmas in longitudinal section of preanthetic flower. Scale bar $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. e Cross-section of flower bud inside spathella ( $F c$ ). $F p$ pedicel, $A$ two anthers, $G$ ovary. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. f Pollen dyad. Scale bar $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. g Polar view of pollen. Note tricolpate pattern. Scale bar $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. h Cross-section of crustose root (i.e. very broad dorsiventral ribbon). Asterisk indicates adhesive hairs on lower side. Arrowheads point to rudimentary vascular tissue layer inside root, fragmented into single strands. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

of the leaf bases we find two or three subulate stipules which belong to the "dorsal sheath" of a double-sheathed leaf (Fig. 3b, marked with asterisks). The ventral sheath again faces the main shoot (or a terminal flower) whereas the "dorsal sheath" subtends an inconspicuous shoot bud with outgrowing tiny leaves ( $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ in Fig. 3a).

Stems in some African podostemoids such as Letestuella quangensis tend to be slightly flattened rather than being
cylindrical or terete. Moreover, the vascular stem tissue is reduced and may consist of three to five rudimentary bundles arranged in a bow (Fig. 4 g ). Short shoots that occur along long shoots may consist of a sessile flower and one leaf (Fig. 4d). Two consecutive stem cross-sections at and below leaf insertion show the insertion of a short lateral shoot (SVS) in a non-axillary position on the right flank rather than on the dorsal side of the leaf base

Fig. 4 Leiothylax quangensis GHO1667. Vegetative and reproductive structures. a Crosssection of flower bud inside spathella (Fc). Fp pedicel, $P$ free placenta in unilocular ovary, anthers not present at this level. Scale bar $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
b Dehiscence area (asterisk) of nearly mature unilocular capsule with ovule (crosssection). Inner epidermis ( $E$ ) cells as transversal fibres, hypodermal $(H)$ cells as longitudinal fibres. Scale bar $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. c Young globular ovary (longitudinal section), hanging upside down inside spathella ( $F c$ ). Note placenta $(P)$. Other abbreviations as above. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. d Cross-section of stem $(X)$ with leaf $(L)$ and adjacent flower bud in spathella ( $F c$, cut longitudinally). Note single stamen $(A)$ above and strongly inclined ovary $(G)$ below. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. e, f Two consecutive cross-sections of stem $(X)$ at and below leaf insertion, respectively. Note insertion of short vegetative shoot (SVS) in non-axillary position on the right flank and slightly below insertion of leaf (L). Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. g Same stem as above. Cross-section below leaf insertion. Note broadened shape with discrete strands of rudimentary vascular tissue (arrowheads). Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

(Fig. $4 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ ). The leaf L is again a double-sheathed leaf with the ventral (=main) sheath facing towards the stem whereas its "dorsal sheath" (including additional shoot bud) is displaced towards one leaf flank.

## Root architecture

Narrow ribbon-like roots about two to four times as wide as thick are found in various African podostemoids. In Inversodicraea cristata the root ribbons form endogenous buds which turn into lateral roots (Fig. 1g). Much broader ribbons and crusts are found in, e.g., Dicraeanthus
(Fig. 3h) and Letestuella (Fig. 5h). The dorsiventrally flattened crustose roots of, e.g., D. zehnderi are fixed to the rock by adhesive hairs. These crustose roots have a layer of vascular tissue or isolated vascular strands lacking obvious xylem and phloem, in contrast to a central cylinder as typical for roots in most other angiosperms (Fig. 3h). In the latter species and in Leiothylax it is difficult to distinguish the root tissue from the disk-like holdfast that surrounds the base of an established stem as its adhesive foot. Crustose roots give rise to daughter roots (lobes) exogenously while root-born shoots start as endogenous buds and break through the upper surface of the crustose roots (Fig. 5h).


Fig. 5 Letestuella tisserantii GHO1660. Vegetative and reproductive structures. a Two flowers, flower at left in bud with spathella (Fc) partially removed. Note single stamen ( $A$ ), accompanied by two thread-like tepals $(T)$. Flower at right anthetic with globular ovary $(G)$, topped by two club-shaped stigma lobes; stamen dropped except for filament. Arrowhead points to position of twin tepals. Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. b Flower bud completely covered by spathella (Fc). Scale bar $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. c Longitudinal section of young flower bud inside spathella $(F c)$. Note short pedicel $(F p)$ carrying single stamen $(A)$ and gynoecium ( $G$ ) in oblique position. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. d Immature capsule with indistinct broad ribs. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. e Mature

## Results: reproductive structures

## Spathella as tubular or sac-like cover of floral buds

All Podostemoideae are characterised by a tubular or saclike cover ("spathella") that protects each floral bud. In African podostemoids such as Leiothylax and Letestuella these spathellas have a slightly pointed tip (Fig. 5b, c). The spathellas consist of ca. four cell layers and lack any vascular tissue. The outer spathella epidermis is papillate in, e.g., Dicraeanthus zehnderi (Fig. 3c, e) and smooth in
capsule dehisced into two valves. Note free central placenta covered by ca. 50 ovules. Scale bar $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. f Portion of capsule wall (crosssection). Arrowhead points to indistinct broad rib. Capsule wall with transversal fibres of inner epidermis $(E)$ and longitudinal fibres of hypodermis $(H)$. Scale bar $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. g Cross-section of stem $(X)$ with axillary shoot bud (B) subtended by leaf (L). Scale bar $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. h Portion of crustose root ( $R$, shown as cross-section) and basal portion of prominent root-borne stem ( $X$, longitudinal section). Arrowhead points to endogenous shoot bud arising inside root tissue. Scale bar 1 mm
other taxa. The spathella ruptures in order to release the flower before anthesis (Fig. 2a).

## Position of flower bud inside spathella

There is one flower per spathella, as typical for most podostemoid genera worldwide (Cook and Rutishauser 2007). Most African members have flower buds in an upside-down position inside the spathellas. For example, Leiothylax quangensis has inverted flower buds before anthesis. Thus, the closed spathella contains an elongate
floral stalk (pedicel) with a hanging ovary, i.e. the two stigmas are pointing downwards (Fig. 4c). Similarly, the flower buds of all species of Dicraeanthus, Inversodicraea and Ledermanniella have an inverted position inside the spathella. Thus, both pedicel and ovary are observable in mid-level sections through spathellas (Figs. 3e, 4a). African Podostemoideae start with an erect to inclined floral bud position during early development, as shown for Leiothylax quangensis (Fig. 4d). The flower bud of most African podostemoids then turns to an upside-down position by continued pedicel elongation inside the enlarging spathella. Before anthesis the spathella ruptures and delivers the flower that soon becomes upright or nearly so on the elongating pedicel, as shown for I. cristata (Fig. 2a, b). Only a few African Podostemoideae have upright or slightly inclined ovaries throughout their whole development. For example, Letestuella tisserantii has an oblique ovary position (Fig. 5c). After spathella rupture the inclined ovary may become completely upright (Fig. 5a).

Flower structure
Various African members have a gynophore, i.e. a gynoecium stalk in addition to a floral stalk (e.g. Leiothylax, Fig. 4c). The androecium of African podostemoids consists either of a single stamen (Fig. 5a) or of a Y-shaped structure with a common foot (andropodium) carrying 2 (rarely 3) stamens (Fig. 2a). There are exceptions, for example Letestuella, which switch between flowers with a single stamen (Fig. 5a) and flowers with two stamens on an andropodium within one and the same plant. There are usually two subulate appendages ("tepals") on either side of the androecium (Figs. 2a, 5a). Exceptionally, there may be a twin of tepals on one side, i.e. three tepals in total for a single flower, as observed once in Letestuella (Fig. 5a).

There are usually two stigmas overtopping the ovary in Podostemoideae, according to the two carpels involved in ovary formation. Most podostemoids have two free, linear stigmas, as typical for nearly all Ledermanniella spp. (Fig. 2a). Peculiar stigma shapes are found in Dicraeanthus and Letestuella. The stigmas are prominent and horn-like in Dicraeanthus (Fig. 3d) whereas they are short and clubshaped in Letestuella (Fig. 5a).

All African taxa covered by this study have unilocular ovaries lacking a septum (Figs. 4a, 5e). The ovules are borne on a slightly inflated central placenta that is connected with the ovary tip and the ovary base (Fig. 4c). The ovules are bitegmic and tenuinucellate (Figs. 2f, 4b).

Pollen: monads versus dyads
As usual for most Podostemoideae the pollen of African members is tricolpate (Fig. 3g). African Podostemoideae
have monads or dyads, depending on the group. For example, monads are character for I. cristata (Fig. 2c), whereas Dicraenthus (Fig. 3f) has dyads, i.e. pollen grains that are dispersed in pairs. Loose dyads that easily decay into monads are found in Djinga felicis and Stonesia ghoguei.

Capsules: ribbed versus smooth
The ovary walls of all Podostemaceae have transversally elongate fibres in the inner epidermis and longitudinally elongate fibers in the hypodermis (Figs. 2d, e, 4a-c, 5f). The ovaries in African podostemoids can be globular as in Leiothylax (Fig. 4c) and Letestuella (Fig. 5a). Or they are ellipsoidal to spindle-shaped as in Inversodicraea cristata (Fig. 2a). The ovaries of anthetic flowers of most Podostemoideae do not have ribs. During capsule maturation after anthesis some podostemoids (including all members of Ledermanniella) acquire prominent ribs. These ribs of some groups become clearly visible on shrinking or shedding of the parenchyma of the young capsule wall. For example, Inversodicraea cristata has a nearly smooth ovary during anthesis (Fig. 2a), but a strongly ribbed capsule afterwards (Fig. 2d). Mature capsules are smooth (i.e. without ribs) in Leiothylax quangensis (Fig. 4a-c). Only slightly ribbed capsules are found in Letestuella tisserantii (Fig. 5d-f).

## Discussion

## Molecular phylogeny

Overall, our ML tree (Fig. 6) does not conflict with the clades found in the analysis by Moline et al. (2007), but is able to attribute our newly collected taxa to particular groups. In general, however, many clades show only low bootstrap values, which might be caused by the high proportion of missing data hampering our phylogenetic conclusions. Still, several nodes gain additional support by morphological evidence. An expanded phylogenetic analysis indicated a monophyletic group of all continental African Podostemoideae included here being sister to the two Madagascan genera Endocaulos and Thelethylax (Pfeifer et al. 2009, unpublished data), a clade characterised in some members by endogenous formation of flowers along stems, because of dedifferentiation of cortex tissue, and epiphyllous flowers. These are architectural peculiarities restricted to African podostemoids (Moline et al. 2007; Rutishauser et al. 2008; Pfeifer et al. 2009). In general, the groupings correlate with Ledermanniella subg. Phyllosoma, now attributed to Inversodicraea, and groups with pollen monads and dyads, respectively. Within the African clade, Inversodicraea cf. bosii occupies the most basal position, being sister to the lineage including the remaining taxa. Such a

Fig. 6 Phylogram of the maximum likelihood analysis of PodostemaceaePodostemoideae from continental Africa, based on three plastid markers (matK, $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{D}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}, r p o \mathrm{~B}-\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{C})$. Bootstrap support is indicated above branches. Podostemum ceratophyllum from eastern North America serves as outgroup. There are three (partly paraphyletic) groups: Inversodicraea (In) group, with stem scales; LedermanniellaMonad ( $L M$ ) group, pollen as single grains (monads), without stem scales; and Ledermanniella-Dyad (LD) group, pollen dispersed in pairs (dyads), without stem scales. The distribution areas of the included species are given in Table 1. Lowercase letters refer to nodes in Table 3

relationship, however, may be questioned because of high morphological similarities between Inversodicraea cf. bosii and I. ledermannii and preliminary molecular phylogenetic studies by Kita et al. $(2005,2008)$, where I. cf. bosii occupies a basal position within the Inversodicraea clade. The presence of stem scales for this entire group as proposed by Cusset (1984a) may be a further argument to consider the inclusion of $I$. cf. bosii. Another critical point in our tree may be the intermediate position of Monandriella between the Inversodicraea and the Ledermanniella-Monad group, making the latter group paraphyletic with regard to Leder-manniella-Dyad taxa and therefore suggesting a secondary evolution of pollen dyads. At this point we leave it open whether such a scenario, supported by the presence of shared exogenous root branching as homoplastic synapomorphy, or inclusion of Monandriella into the LM group is favoured. Despite bootstrap support $<50 \%$, the LD group consists of taxa with pollen dyads only, which may be seen as substantial morphological support. Within this clade, the

Dicraenthus africanus-D. zehnderi lineage appears best supported by their typical stigma lobes (Tables 3, 5).

The need for a taxonomic rearrangement with splitting of the large and artificial genus Ledermanniella as defined by Cusset $(1974,1984 a, 1987)$ is obvious. Irrespective of whether Inversodicraea (formerly Ledermanniella subg. Phyllosoma), the Ledermanniella-Monad group, and the Ledermanniella-Dyad group are monophyletic or possibly paraphyletic and weakly supported, the polyphyly of Ledermanniella sensu lato in its present (i.e. Cusset's) circumscription is well documented. Hence, some groups appear more natural on returning to genera names used before 1974 (Tables 4, 5). Thus, we advocate:
1 resurrecting Monandriella Engler $(1926,1928)$ as a monotypic genus, with M. linearifolia Engler (syn. L. monandra);

2 restricting the genus name Inversodicraea Engler ex R.E. Fries (1914) to all members of the "scaly" Ledermanniella subg. Phyllosoma.

Because of the described morphological and molecular evidence we apply the same concept to Inversodicraea bosii. We further advocate:
3 accepting the genus name Ledermanniella Engler (1909) for all species of the former subgenus Ledermanniella, except for $L$. monandra (see above).

These proposals for the taxonomic changes are summarised in Table 4, including all authorities. A morphological comparison of the studied genera (including the resurrected genera Inversodicraea and Monandriella) is given in Table 5. Thus, we solved, at least to some extent, the unsatisfactory situation of the generic classification. Still, Ledermanniella sensu stricto remains polyphyletic because L. bifurcata, L. bowlingii, L. letouzeyi, L. linearifolia, and L. pusilla are scattered across the Ledermanni-ella-Monad and Ledermanniella-Dyad groups. Future taxonomic changes should be implemented as soon as more data confirm our results.

Morphological characters and hypotheses about their evolutionary history by plotting them on to the molecular cladogram

Morphological evolution in African podostemoids and the meaning of morphological data for taxonomy in this group will be discussed below. The genera involved are listed in Table 5, covering the most pertinent morphological characters for their delimitation (Fig. 6; Tables 2, 3).

- Pollen monads versus dyads (=character 3 in Appendix 1, Tables 2, 3) Most Podostemoideae genera are characterised by monads or dyads (Cook and Rutishauser 2007). This indicates that monad versus dyad is useful as a diagnostic character. As typical for all Asian and many American podostemoids, the tricolpate pollen of several African genera (including Ledermanniella pro parte, Saxicolella, Thelethylax) are in firm (i.e. nondecaying) dyads (Bezuidenhout 1964; Ameka et al. 2002, 2003; Jäger-Zürn et al. 2006; Grob et al. 2007; Moline et al. 2007). In Ledermanniella s.str. and in Inversodicraea, there are taxa characterised by dyads and taxa lacking them. Our new molecular data indicate that in Ledermanniella s.str.there is a weakly supported clade, i.e. the Ledermanniella-Monad (LM) group, with pollen as single grains (monads). The Lederman-niella-Dyad (LD) group, however, consists of taxa with pollen dispersed in dyads. Moreover, it seems that monad genera such as Leiothylax, Letestuella, Macropodiella, and $S$. ghoguei (with a few dyads in addition to the usual monads) belong to the LM group, whereas the dyad genus Dicraeanthus, and Djinga felicis (with a few monads in addition to the usual dyads) are part of the LD group (Ghogue et al. 2009; Pfeifer et al. 2009).
- Position of flower buds in spathella (=character 4 in Appendix 1, Tables 2, 3) Flower position (or, more exactly, ovary position) inside the spathella is an important character distinguishing the African and Madagascan podostemoid genera and species from other groups (Cusset 1987; Cook and Rutishauser 2007; Grob et al. 2007; Moline et al. 2007; Rutishauser et al. 2007). All podostemoid members in America and Australasia have erect (or only slightly inclined) flower buds inside the spathella. This pattern is found (as reversal) in a few podostemoid species from Africa and Madagascar, e.g. in Djinga and Letestuella (Cook and Rutishauser 2007; Ghogue et al. 2009). Most African Podostemoideae have inverted flower buds inside the spathellas (Ameka et al. 2003), a character state which is found at all internal nodes except for Djinga (Table 3). Thus, completely or partially inverted flower buds are a unique feature (synapomorphy) of several African and Madagascan Podostemoideae. It seems that podostemoids with inverted flowers evolved only once from podostemoids with erect flowers (Moline et al. 2007). The African genus Saxicolella (with upright flower buds) is not included in this analysis. Preliminary molecular data, however, indicate a basal position of Saxicolella with regard to all African Podostemoideae; thus Saxicolella may have retained erect floral buds as plesiomorphic character state (Ameka et al. 2002; Kelly et al. 2009).
- Ribbed versus smooth capsules (=characters 8 and 9 in Appendix 1, Tables 2, 3) Mature capsules of African podostemoids are ellipsoid to spindle-shaped or (rarely) globose. They are smooth or more often ribbed. Most African taxa have three ribs per valve, running the entire length of the capsule. The dominance of three ribs per valve can be clearly interpreted as a plesiomorphic character state of African Podostemaceae (Table 3). Only Endocaulos, Paleodicraeia, and Stonesia are known to have an increased rib number per valve, with some but not all of the five to seven ribs running along the entire length of the capsule valve (Pfeifer et al. 2009).
- Shoots with non-axillary branching and doublesheathed leaves (=character 13 in Appendix 1, Tables $2,3)$ Axillary branching as typical for most angiosperms occurs only rarely in Podostemoideae, e.g. in Letestuella tisserantii and Saxicolella submersa from Africa (Ameka et al. 2002). In many Podostemoideae from Africa and America (e.g. Ledermanniella bowlingii, Podostemum ceratophyllum) there are leaves with two sheaths that are inserted laterally and opposite each other, which is the predominant character in our group. Such leaves have been called double-sheathed or "dithecous" by Warming (e.g. 1891) and others
Table 4 Proposal to split Cusset's artificial genus Ledermanniella using synonyms and genera names established before 1974

| Nomenclature according to Cusset (1974, 1984a, b) and Lebrun and Stork (1991) | Names and synonyms in use before 1974, mainly according to Engler (1928) | Proposal for revision and resurrection of genera and species names based on molecular phylogenetic analyses and morphological studies (presented in Fig. 6; for genera see Table 5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ledermanniella Engler subgenus Ledermanniella | Inversodicraea Engler ex R.E. Fries pro parte, also Ledermanniella Engler and Monandriella Engler | Ledermanniella Engler, revised in order to circumscribe Cusset's subgenus Ledermanniella only; Monandriella Engler as resurrected genus |
| Ledermanniella linearifolia Engler ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ledermanniella linearifolia Engler | L. linearifolia is type species of genus Ledermanniella (see Table 5) |
| Ledermanniella monandra C. Cusset | Monandriella linearifolia Engler | Monandriella linearifolia Engler (see Table 5) |
| Ledermanniella Engler subgenus Phyllosoma <br> C. Cusset | Inversodicraea Engler ex R.E. Fries pro parte | Inversodicraea Engler ex R.E. Fries |
| Ledermanniella annithomae C. Cusset | None | Inversodicraea annithomae (C. Cusset) R.Rutish. and Thiv, comb.nov. $\equiv$ Ledermanniella annithomae C. Cusset (1984) Bull Mus Natl Hist Nat B Adansonia Sér 5 (4):377 <br> Type: Hallé and LeThomas 206, Gabon (holo- P!) |
| Ledermanniella bosii C. Cusset | None | Inversodicraea bosii (C. Cusset) R.Rutish. and Thiv, comb.nov. $\equiv$ Ledermanniella bosii C. Cusset (1984) Bull Mus Natl Hist Nat B Adansonia Sér 5 (4):385 <br> Type: Bos 3592, Cameroon (holo-WAG!, iso- K! P! YA!) |
| Ledermanniella cristata (Engler) C. Cusset | Inversodicraea cristata Engler | Inversodicraea cristata Engler |
| Ledermanniella ledermannii (Engler) C. Cusset | Inversodicraea ledermannii (Engler) Engler | Inversodicraea ledermannii (Engler) Engler |

 with Inversodicraea. This proposal reduces the number of polyphyletic (paraphyletic) occurrence of Ledermanniella spp. to a minimum (see molecular data in Fig. 6)
 genus Ledermanniella until new molecular data enables clarification of their topology
Table 5 Genera of African Podostemoideae, as used and resurrected in this study

| Genera and species number (approx.) | Synonyms in Lebrun and Stork (1991) | Type species | Diagnostic characters (apomorphies or reversals, see Table 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dicraeanthus Engler (2 spp.) | - | Dicraeanthus africanus Engler | Stigma lobes prominent, conical (horn-like); pollen in dyads [L] |
| Djinga C. Cusset (1 sp.) | - | Djinga felicis C. Cusset | Oblique-inclined ovary position in spathella; capsule subglobose with three ribs per valve; pollen mainly in dyads [L] |
| Inversodicraea sensu stricto, as revised in this paper ( 20 spp .) | Ledermanniella Engler subgenus Phyllosoma C. Cusset | Inversodicraea tenax Engler ex R.E. Fries | Stem scales present in addition to usually compound (forked) foliage leaves |
| Ledermanniella sensu stricto, as revised in this paper ( 26 spp .) | Ledermanniella Engler subgenus Ledermanniella | Ledermanniella linearifolia Engler | [Unifying morphological features are lacking in this still artificial genus; most features may be seen as synapomorphic or even plesiomorphic character states as typical for most African genera studied so far, see text] |
| Leiothylax Warming (3 spp.) | - | Leiothylax quangensis (Engler) Warming | Globose capsule without ribs, i.e. with smooth capsule wall; gynophore much longer than ovary and capsule; pollen as monads [L] |
| Letestuella G. Taylor (1 sp.) | - | Letestuella tisserantii G. Taylor | Stigma lobes club-shaped; subglobose capsule with smooth wall or inconspicuous broad ribs; pollen as monads [L] |
| Macropodiella Engler (6 spp.) | - | Macropodiella heteromorpha (Baillon) C. Cusset | Stigma lobes branched (multilobed); ovary (capsule) laterally flattened; pollen as monads [L] |
| Monandriella Engler (1 sp.) | Ledermanniella Engler pro parte (Cusset 1974, 1984b) | Monandriella linearifolia Engler (syn. Ledermanniella monandra C. Cusset) | One stamen only [L]; pollen as monads [L]; foliage leaves entire with broad stipulate sheath and linear blade [L]; stem lacking, i.e. leaves in root-borne rosettes [L] |
| Stonesia G. Taylor (4 or 5 spp .) | - | Stonesia heterospathella G. Taylor | Ovary septum may be present; 5-7 ribs per capsule valve in addition to dehiscence lines; pollen mainly as monads [L]; flower buds with endogenous origin in stem cortex [L]; epiphyllous flowers [L] |

Most important diagnostic features are mentioned for each genus. For authorities of Inversodicraea, Ledermanniella, and Monandriella see Table 4. [L] indicates those characters which can be also found in species of Ledermanniella sensu stricto. Not mentioned in Table 5 are plesiomorphic or synapomorphic character states typical for most African genera studied so far (see Table 3). Some of these are: stem scales (in addition to compound foliage leaves) lacking; root cap lacking (present in few basal African genera not included in Table 5); inverted (hanging) ovary position; ovary (capsule) spindle-shaped to ellipsoidal (i.e. at least twice as long as thick); ovary (capsule) unilocular, i.e. septum lacking; three ribs per capsule valve (in addition to dehiscence lines); stigma lobes linear
(Moline et al. 2006, 2007). The occurrence of doublesheathed leaves among conventional (i.e. singlesheathed) leaves allows the stem to branch by a peculiar process that may be called "bifurcation". As long as a stem forms single-sheathed leaves, it grows in a monopodial manner. A double-sheathed leaf then appears in a terminal (or nearly terminal) position giving rise to new shoot modules (daughter shoots) in each sheath (as shown for Inversodicraea cristata, Fig. 1c). Imaichi (2007) observed double-sheathed leaves in Dicraeanthus africanus inside the lateral short shoots whereas the long shoots (with lengths up to 30 cm ) are unbranched and lack double-sheathed leaves.

- Flower position along shoots (=character 14 in Appendix 1, Tables 2, 3) Flowers in non-American Podostemoideae are either solitary in terminal position of short shoots, which do not contain double-sheathed leaves (e.g. Saxicolella amicorum, Thelethylax spp., and most Asian members), or they occur in clusters, positionally associated with double-sheathed leaves, in addition to leaves (bracts) with one sheath, as in species of the African genera Dicraeanthus, Inversodicraea, Ledermanniella, Saxicolella, Sphaerothylax, besides Zeylanidium subulatum as Asian exception (Jäger-Zürn 1999, 2000; Ameka et al. 2002, 2003; Imaichi et al. 2005; Moline et al. 2007). Positional association of flowers with double-sheathed leaves is also known from American podostemoids which are basal to all Asian, Madagascan, and African members of this subfamily (Rutishauser and Grubert 2000). It seems that various African podostemoids that switched to endogenous formation of flower buds from inside the stem cortex lack double-sheathed leaves. Within our group, an endogenous origin of flowers in the stem cortex evolved once in the Ledermanniella-Dyad group, but seems to be lost in Ledermanniella linearifolia. Endogenous floral bud formation along the stem was found in L. letouzeyi and Stonesia ghoguei (Moline et al. 2007; Rutishauser et al. 2007; Pfeifer et al. 2009).
- Stem scales (=characters 19 and 20 in Appendix 1, Tables 2, 3) All members of Inversodicraea (ca. 20 spp.) are characterised by stem scales with entire or toothed margins (Cusset 1984a, 1987). These stem scales contain many silica bodies and may serve as exoskeleton. They resemble the scaly leaves of the Brazilian podostemoid Diamantina and the stem scales of Weddellina, which forms its own subfamily (Rutishauser et al. 2005; Koi and Kato 2007). The stem scales in members of Inversodicraea are usually much smaller and more numerous than the compound leaves, which are also present. Compound leaves are
arranged in a distichous or helical pattern, whereas stem scales are inserted irregularly around the stem. Scale morphology is traditionally taken as important to distinguish the species of Inversodicraea (Cusset 1984a, 1987; Rutishauser et al. 2007). Scale morphology, however, may vary substantially within a species. For example, scales of I. ledermannii are tridentate, more rarely entire or quadridentate (Moline et al. 2007). Inversodicraea cf. annithomae has elliptical to oboval scales. Their margin is smooth or slightly to strongly dentate with up to ten teeth (Cusset 1984a). The scales of I. cristata are even more polymorphic. Scales along vegetative stem portions are triangular and provided with several teeth. Next to the terminal flowers, however, the stem scales of I. cristata are more similar to those of I. ledermannii, being subulate with one or two teeth only.
- Ribbon-like to crustose roots (=characters 21-23 in Appendix 1, Tables 2, 3) Roots with their tips covered by a cap (calyptra) are found in the outgroup taxa such as Podostemum ceratophyllum from North America (Philbrick and Novelo 2004; Moline et al. 2006; JägerZürn 2008). Most African Podostemoideae, however, lack root-caps completely (for Saxicolella and Thelethylax as exceptions, see Ameka et al. 2002, Grob et al. 2007). Cap-less ribbon-like roots (width usually 6 mm or less) are found in many African and Madagascan Podostemaceae, e.g. Ledermanniella bowlingii (Ameka et al. 2003) and Inversodicraea ledermannii (Moline et al. 2007). They show endogenous formation of both lateral roots and shoots along the margins. In various African members there are crustose roots (width $>10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with endogenous shoots arising from the upper surface. Crustose roots branch into daughter (or lateral) lobes by exogenous branching. Such lobed crusts occur in some species of Dicraeanthus, Inversodicraea, Ledermanniella, Macropodiella, and Sphaerothylax (Rutishauser et al. 2007). Crustose roots may have evolved once or twice within African podostemoids from groups having ribbon-like roots (Moline et al. 2007). In our phylogeny they probably evolved in the core Ledermanniella-Monad and the Ledermanniella-Dyad group (node $h$ in Fig. 6; Table 3), although with some plasticity. The broad root ribbons ( 6 mm wide or more) with their exogenous lobes of Djinga felicis (Ghogue et al. 2009) and Stonesia ghoguei (Pfeifer et al. 2009) may be viewed as intermediates between narrow ribbons and broad crusts. According to Koi et al. (2006), who studied Asian podostemoids, crustose roots evolved as homoplasies twice (e.g. Hydrobryum spp., Zeylanidium olivaceum) from Asian members with narrow ribbonlike roots.


## Conclusions and outlook

Our results from analysis of new material of rarely collected African Podostemaceae reveal the morphological differentiation and interpret the enigmatic features in a phylogenetic framework. Similar to Moline et al. (2007) we infer that the generic delimitations in some African taxa require revision. In a first step we implemented some taxonomic rearrangements, while others are awaiting new molecular data. The inclusion of additional molecular markers and additional African and non-African members of Podostemoideae in molecular analyses is upcoming (cf. Kita et al. 2005, 2008; Kelly et al. 2009; Brad Ruhfel, in preparation). As far as we are aware, African Podostemaceae occupy either very restricted areas or are widespread. New field collections of African Podostemaceae are needed to evaluate which of the "local species" (e.g. Dicraeanthus zehnderi, Inversodicraea annithomae, I. bosii) belong to polymorphic but more widespread taxa. Morphological and developmental analyses of various African podostemoids are on the way (Konrad Huber, in preparation). These studies will promote our knowledge of this interesting plant group by combining anatomical data and molecular systematics. There is an ongoing multidisciplinary project on Podostemaceae in Cameroon (Ghogue et al. 2009), including botanical fieldwork, ethnobotanical surveys, and studies on biogeography and conservation biology.
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## Appendix 1: Morphological characters

Morphological characters and characters states used for African Podostemaceae modified and supplemented after Moline et al. (2007) and Philbrick and Novelo (2004).

1. Maximum stamen number: $0=$ two (or three but never more), $1=$ one.
2. Third tepal on andropodium: $0=$ absent, $1=$ present.
3. Pollen union: $0=$ monads, $1=$ dyads, write ( 01 ) if loose dyads present or a mixture of monads to dyads with a ratio from 1:4 to 4:1 (as found in Djinga and Stonesia pro parte).
4. Ovary position of flower buds in spathella: $0=$ erect, $1=$ oblique-inclined, $\quad 2=$ inverted (hanging). Important here is the maximum degree of floral
inversion in the spathella. Certain African taxa with completely inverted floral buds such as Inversodicraea ledermannii (Moline et al. 2007) show partial reversal before anthesis to an oblique-inclined position inside the elongating but still closed spathella.
5. Ovary and capsule shape: $0=$ spindle-shaped (fusiform) to obovoid and ellipsoidal (at least twice as long as thick), but not flattened, $1=$ globose to subglobose (less than twice as long as thick), ellipsoidal and laterally flattened.
6. Gynophore: $0=$ absent, $1=$ present (at least $1 / 6$ of ovary length).
7. Shape of stigma lobes: $0=$ each lobe entire, linear, $1=$ lobes branched (multilobed), $2=$ each lobe entire, conical (as typical for Dicraeanthus), $3=$ each lobe entire, club-shaped.
8. Ribs of mature capsule: $0=$ absent, $1=$ present.
9. Number of ribs per capsule valve: $0=$ none observable, $1=$ three ribs per valve, $2=$ five or more ribs per valve.
10. Septa in ovary and capsule: $0=$ absent (unilocular ovary), $1=$ present (bilocular or trilocular ovary).
11. Valve symmetry: $0=$ two equal valves (isolobous), $1=$ two unequal valves, one persistent.
12. Vegetative stem length: $0=$ lacking or $<1 \mathrm{~cm}$, $1=1-10 \mathrm{~cm}, 2=10-30 \mathrm{~cm}$.
13. Double-sheathed leaves: $0=$ absent (or not yet observed), $1=$ present.
14. Flower bud origin along stem: $0=$ exogenous, usually protected by leaf sheaths in addition to spathella, $1=$ endogenous origin in stem cortex (with or without preformed keyholes). Use (01) when intermediacy obvious, as in Djinga.
15. Epiphylly: Additional flowers arising from the clefts of (on) forked leaves: $0=$ no epiphyllous flowers observable, $1=$ epiphyllous flowers usually observable (as in Ledermanniella letouzeyi and Stonesia ghoguei), (01 if epiphyllous flowers only rarely seen such as in special form of $L$. bowlingii, shown in Ameka et al. 2009).
16. Maximum leaf length: $0=<1 \mathrm{~cm}, 1=1-5 \mathrm{~cm}$, $2=$ always $>6 \mathrm{~cm}$ (often $>20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).
17. Blade division of foliage leaves: $0=$ forked (at least once, usually several times), $1=$ simple and usually filamentous.
18. Stipules: $0=$ absent (sheath only), $1=$ present (any type, usually two in lateral position, some leaves within same shoot may lack them).
19. Stem scales in addition to foliage leaves (as typical for Inversodicraea): $0=$ absent, $1=$ present.
20. Shape of stem scales (if present at all): $0=$ subulate (entire or with 1 or 2 lateral teeth), $1=$ broad and
dentate to cristate (with up to 10 teeth). Notice: I. cristata has subulate stem scales just below flowers (Fig. 2b).
21. Root shape: $0=$ narrow to broad ribbons with outgrowth of endogenous shoots (flowers) only along flanks, $1=$ crustose (disk-like) with outgrowth of endogenous shoots (flowers) on upper surface.
22. Root cap: $0=$ absent, $1=$ present (at least rudimentary).
23. Root branching: $0=$ endogenous, $1=$ exogenous outgrowth of daughter roots (lobes).
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