Paraperonospora apiculata sp. nov.

Ovidiu Constantinescu

Botanical Museum, Uppsala University, Villavägen 6, S-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden

Constantinescu, O. (1996): $Paraperonospora\ apiculata\ sp.\ nov.$ – Sydowia 48 (1): $105{-}110.$

 $\label{eq:paraperonospora} Paraperonospora\ apiculata\ {\rm sp.\ nov.},\ {\rm parasitic\ on}\ Galatella\ ({\rm Asteraceae})\ {\rm collected}\ {\rm from\ Kazakhstan},\ {\rm is\ described\ and\ illustrated}.\ {\rm A\ key\ for\ the\ identification\ of\ } Paraperonospora\ {\rm species\ is\ provided}.$

Keywords: Galatella, Oomycota, Peronosporales.

During the examination of herbarium specimens of *Plasmopara* preserved in Herb. LEP, a specimen labelled *Plasmopara halstedii* (Farlow) Berl. & De Toni parasitic on *Galatella punctata* (Wald. & Kit.) Nees was discovered. This specimen turned out to be an undescribed species of the genus *Paraperonospora* Constant., which is described here.

Paraperonospora apiculata Constant., sp. nov. - Figs 1-4.

Species sporis ovoideis, longioribus (>40 $\mu m),$ apice elongato et apiculato, a congeneribus diversa.

Etymology. – In reference to the apiculate tip of the conidia.

The attacked leaves are slightly paler that the healthy ones. – Down hypophyllous, greyish, rather scarce, consisting of scattered conidiophores. – Conidiophores colourless, stout, straight, 240–360 μm long; basal vesicle bulbous, up to 20 μm wide, rarely not differentiated; trunk 140–230 μm long, gradually broadening upwards, rarely of more or less uniform width, 6–13 wide at the base, 13–22 μm wide below the first branch; callose plugs absent; upper part of the conidiophore dichotomous, trichotomous or irregularly branched in two or three stages; primary branches 33–80 μm long, secondary branches 30–55 μm long, both distally broadened, more or less constricted at the base and lacking callose plugs; conidium bearing branchlets 2–4 at the end of each branch, arising from a slightly swollen base, long–conical, 10–18 μm long, 3–5 wide at the base, 1–2 μm wide just below the round, sometimes slightly inflated tip. –

Spores colourless, ovoid to ellipsoidal, $(38-)45.4\pm2.93(-51)$ µm long, $(21-)25.4\pm2.11(-30)$ µm wide, length/width ratio $(1.52-)1.8\pm0.13(-2.08)$ (n = 57), broadest part sub-median in almost all conidia, base round, tip elongate to apiculate, very rarely rounded, wall ca 0.5 µm thick; pedicel cylindrical to short-conical, 1.5-3 µm long. – Oogonia globose to irregular, brownish, (37-)45(-55) µm diam, with smooth, 1.5 µm thick wall. – Oospores plerotic or aplerotic, yellowish, globose, 30-35 µm diam, with smooth, 4-5 µm thick wall.

Holotype. – on *Galatella punctata* (Wald. & Kit.) Nees, Kazakhstan, Tselinograd Prov., Tselinograd Distr., on the valley of the river Kon, 17 June 1914, coll. S.S. Ganeshin, det. O. Constantinescu (LEP).

There are eight known species of *Paraperonospora* (Constantinescu, 1989). *P. apiculata* can easily be distinguished from the described species by its ovoid, much longer conidia (mostly over 40 µm long), with elongate and apiculate tips. The conidia are somewhat reminiscent of those of *P. sulphurea* (Gäum.) Constant., but in the latter they are much shorter (mostly 32–37 µm long) and yellowish. The conidia of *P. apiculata* show no vestigial dehiscence apparatus, as described in *P. chrysanthemi–coronarii* (Sawada) Constant. and *P. leptosperma* (de Bary) Constant. (Constantinescu, 1989), and at germination they produce a germ tube (Fig. 2).

Key to species of Paraperonospora

[partially based on Constantinescu (1989)]

1	Tip of ultimate branchlets swollen to 3–4 μm $$\sim$$ 2 Tip of ultimate branchlets blunt, rounded or only slightly enlarged $$\sim$$ 4
2 2	Conidia greyish brown
3	Most conidia 35–40 µm long
4	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
5 5	Most conidia 32–37 µm long
6 6	Broadest part of conidia supramedian





Fig. 1. – Paraperonospora apiculata from the holotype. – Bar = 80 μm for conidiophores, and 20 μm for conidia, ultimate branchlets, and oogonium with oospore.

7 Most conidia 35–45 μm long, l/b ratio ca. 2 P. artemisiae-biennis
7 Conidia shorter, l/b ratio <2 8
8 Conidia mostly 25–30 μm long P. minor
8 Conidia mostly 30–40 μm long P. leptosperma

A downy mildew of Galatella dahurica DC from Kirgizstan was described under Peronospora pospelovii Gaponenko (1972). The conidia were described as brownish and 15.9-31.9 x 14.5-21.7 um in size. Gaponenko stated that in the type collection only conidia were present. Consequently, his description was completed from collector's (A. G. Pospelov) notes attached to the specimen, where the size of the conidia was given as 32-48 x 16-25.6 um. Only Novotel'nova & Pystina (1985) mentioned *Peronospora pospelovii* afterwards, giving a different size of the conidia [15.9-32(-48) x 14.5-25.6 µm], which is actually a combination of Pospelov and Gaponenko's figures. Constantinescu (1989), having no access to the type specimen. considered the presence of a *Peronospora* on a member of the tribe Astereae as improbable. When the type later became available, it proved to contain only Aspergillus (Constantinescu, 1991). It is most likely that the conidia described by Pospelov in his notes were actually belonging to Paraperonospora apiculata, but that subsequent investigations of the specimen caused the loss of sporulating structures, a rather common hazard in specimens of downy mildews.

Species of Paraperonospora are typically parasitic on hosts belonging to the tribe Anthemideae of the Asteraceae family (Constantinescu, 1989). The known exceptions are P. leptosperma (De Bary) Constant., on cultivated Dimorphotheca aurantiaca DC (tribe Calenduleae), and P. sulphurea (Gäum.) Constant., on Helichrysum bracteatum (Went.) Andrews and on H. orientale (L.) Gaertner (tribe Gnaphalieae). These exceptions are most probably due to accidental infections. The presence of Paraperonospora on Galatella punctata (tribe Astereae) appears thus rather uncommon. Unfortunately, like in most of the specimens of plant parasitic fungi, the identity of the host is difficult to endorse in the absence of flowers. The host is undoubtedly an asteraceous plant. The comparison of the leaves (including sections) to those from herbarium specimens confirms its affinity to Galatella. Galatella punctata is either treated as synonym of Aster sedifolius L. (Nyárády, 1965; Merxmüller & al., 1976) or considered a separate taxon (Tsvelev, 1959). Even the delimitation between the genera Galatella and Aster, particularly in Euroasiatic taxa, is difficult (Tsvelev, 1959; Tamamshyan, 1959). In the most recent treatment of the Asteraceae (Bremer, 1994), however, Galatella is retained as a distinct genus.



Figs. 2-4. – Paraperonospora apiculata from the holotype. – 2. Conidia; arrow shows a germ tube. – 3. Oogonium with oospore. – 4. Conidiophores Bars = $20~\mu m$.

Another species of Galatella, G. fastigiiformis Novopokr., as well as species belonging to related genera, such as Aster altaicus Willd., Aster alpinus L. and Heteropappus canescens (Nees) Novopokr., are parasitized by Plasmopara asterea Novot. (unpubl. data). It is likely that species parasitized by Paraperonospora belong to Galatella s.str., whereas species parasitized by Plasmopara asterea may be better placed in Aster. This fungus-host relationship, however, should be backed by more collections and better identified hosts. My attempts to find this fungus in the collections of Aster and Galatella at S and UPS herbaria were fruitless.

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to the Directors and Curators of Herb. LEP for the loan of the type specimen, of Herb. S and UPS for permission to examine specimens in their keeping, and to Mrs Ulla-Britt Sahlström for printing the photographs. The Swedish Natural Science Council provided financial support within the project BU 06546-303 'Taxonomy and Phylogeny of Peronosporales'.

References

- Bremer, K. (1994). Asteraceae. Cladistics & Classification. Timber Press, Portland, 752 pp.
- Constantinescu, O. (1989). Peronospora complex on Compositae. Sydowia 41: 79–107.
- ---- (1991). An annotated list of Peronospora names. Thunbergia 15: 1-110.
- Gaponenko, N. I. (1972). Semeistvo Peronosporaceae Srednei Azii i Yuzhnogo Kazakhstana. Fan, Tashkent, 342 pp.
- Merxmüller, H., A. Schreiber & P. F. Yeo (1976). Aster L. In: Tutin, T. G., V. H. Heywood & al. Flora Europaea. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 112–116.
- Novotel'nova, N. S. & K. A. Pystina (1985). Flora Sporovykh Rastenii SSSR. Tom 11. Griby (3). Peronosporales. – Nauka, Leningrad, 363 pp.
- Nyárády, E. I. (1965). Flora Republicii Populare Române. Acad. Rep. Pop. Române, Bucuresti.
- Tamamshyan, S.G. (1959). Genus Aster L. In: Shishkin, B. K. (ed.). Flora S.S.S.R. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Moskva, Leningrad: 77–110.
- Tsvelev, N.N. (1959). Genus Galatella Cass. In: Shishkin, B.K. (ed.). Flora S.S.S.R. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Moskva, Leningrad: 138–172.

(Manuscript accepted 16th November 1995)

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Sydowia

Jahr/Year: 1996

Band/Volume: 48

Autor(en)/Author(s): Constantinesco Ovidiu

Artikel/Article: Praperonospora apiculata sp. nov.. 105-110