## Dimorphism of sporangia in Albuginaceae (Chromista, Peronosporomycetes)

O. Constantinescu<sup>1</sup> & M. Thines<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Botany Section, Museum of Evolution, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 16, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden <sup>2</sup> Institute of Botany, University of Hohenheim, Garbenstrasse 30, D-70599 Stuttgart, Germany

Constantinescu O. & Thines M. (2006) Dimorphism of sporangia in Albuginaceae (Chromista, Peronosporomycetes). – Sydowia 58 (2): 178 – 190.

By using light- and scanning electron microscopy, the dimorphism of sporangia in Albuginaceae is demonstrated in 220 specimens of *Albugo, Pustula* and *Wilsoniana*, parasitic on plants belonging to 13 families. The presence of two kinds of sporangia is due to the sporangiogenesis and considered to be present in all representatives of the Albuginaceae. Primary and secondary sporangia are the term recommended to be used for these dissemination organs.

Key words: Albugo, morphology, sporangiogenesis, Pustula, Wilsoniana.

The Albuginaceae, a group of plant parasitic, fungus-like organisms traditionally restricted to the genus *Albugo* (Pers.) Roussel (*Cystopus* Lév.), but to which *Pustula* Thines and *Wilsoniana* Thines were recently added (Thines & Spring 2005), differs from other Peronosporomycetes mainly by the sub epidermal location of the unbranched sporangiophores, and basipetal sporangiogenesis resulting in chains of sporangia.

A feature only occasionally described is the presence of two kinds of sporangia. Tulasne (1854) was apparently the first to report this character in *Wilsoniana portulacae* (DC. ex Duby) Thines and, to a lesser extent, in *Albugo candida* (Pers.) Roussel. He also provided a detailed account of sporangiogenesis in *W. portulacae*. In the first taxonomic account of Peronosporales, de Bary (1863) mentioned the presence of one kind of sporangium in *A. candida* and closely related *A. capparidis* (de Bary) Ciferri, and two kinds in the remaining four species known at that time [*W. bliti* (Biv.) Thines, *W. portulacae*, *Pustula spinulosa* (de Bary) Thines, and *P. tragopogonis* (Pers.) Thines]. Zalewski (1883) commented upon the sporangiogenesis, particularly the blastic formation of sporangia. He described eight species, of which two were new, but omitted to specify any dimorphism. Berlese & De Toni (1888) and Berlese (1898, 1901) provided descriptions for 14 species. Of these, monomorphic sporangia were mentioned in four species, dimorphic in five, and for another five species it is unclear whether they were considered mono- or dimorphic. Wilson (1907) provided a key for the identification of 13 species of which only eight were described in detail. He considered that sporangia are not dimorphic in *A. candida, A. ipomoeae-panduratae* (Schwein.) Swingle, and *A. occidentalis* G.W. Wilson, that the terminal (i.e. primary) sporangia only differ in size in *A. platensis* (Speg.) Swingle, *P. tragopogonis, W. bliti,* and *W. portulacae,* and that only in *A. lepigoni* they are truly dimorphic (dissimilar). Wilson (1908) also described larger (in *A. trianthemae* G.W. Wilson) or smaller (in *A. froelichiae* (G.W. Wilson) Sacc. & Trotter) terminal sporangia.

In describing the sporangiogenesis in *Albugo*, Gäumann (1928: 78) stated that the "first conidium in a chain" (i.e. primary sporangium) is larger and has uniformly thick wall. In the description of Cystopus (Albugo) tilleae Lagerh. (Patouillard & Lagerheim 1891) and A. chardoni G.W. Weston (Weston 1930) the dissimilarity of sporangia is clearly indicated in the descriptions. Jaczewski & Jaczewski (1931) treated eight species of which A. candida was described as having monomorphic sporangia, four dimorphic, and for three species only secondary sporangia were described. Of 12 species described and illustrated by Ito (1936) only three [A. lepigoni (de Bary) Kuntze, A. molluginis S. Ito, and W. achyranthis (Henn.) Thines] were considered as having dissimilar sporangia. Biga (1955) provided numerous measurements of sporangia size, in what was the most comprehensive compilation of the genus at the time, but did not indicate which type of sporangia have been measured. In publications where aspects other than the taxonomy were presented, but in which the sporangia were the main or at least one of the objects of study, the type of sporangia was not specified (Eberhardt 1904, Tsang 1929). More recent studies on Peronosporomycetes simply ignored this character (Waterhouse 1973, Shaw 1981, Saharan & Verma 1992, Dick 2001a, 2001b). The dimorphism was also ignored in monographs (Ananthanarayanan 1964, Jörstad 1964, Zhang & Wang 1998), in studies on germination (Melhus 1911, Bartaria & Verma 2001), cytology (Dangeard 1901, Stevens 1940, Safeeulla & Thirumalachar 1951, 1953, Berlin & Bowen 1964), and ultrastructure (Tewari et al. 1980), with the notable exception of Khan (1976).

Moreover, in studies dedicated to the genus *Albugo* (Săvulescu 1946) or to *A. candida* (Togashi *et al.* 1930, Togashi & Shibasaki 1934, Mäkinen & Hietajärvi 1965, Lakra & Saharan 1989), in which extensive measurements of sporangia were performed, the authors failed to specify which type of sporangia were measured.

Although Tulasne (1854) mentioned the dimorphism of sporangia in *A. candida*, albeit to a lesser degree compared to

*W. portulacae*, subsequent authors either did not specify this character (Mukerji 1975a), or claimed that no dimorphism is present in the former species (Bary 1863, Berlese & De Toni 1888, Fischer 1892, Berlese 1898, 1901, Jaczewski 1901, Wilson 1907, Wakefield 1927, Jaczewski & Jaczewski 1931, Ito 1936, Săvulescu 1946, Baker 1955).

During studies on various members of Peronosporomycetes, we remarked that the morphology of sporangia in Albuginaceae, as revealed in LM and SEM, is more complex than previously thought. The aim of this study is to clarify some details of the sporangial morphology, the extent of sporangial dimorphism distribution within Albuginaceae, to explain the cause of this phenomenon, and why this feature was sometimes overlooked or misunderstood.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Specimens

Some 220 specimens of Albuginaceae parasitic on plants belonging to 13 families were examined (complete list at UPS) but only those that were used for illustration in this study are included in Table 1.

## Light microscopy and SEM

For LM examination, pustules, particularly young ones, were moistened with 70% alcohol under a dissecting microscope. Using a scalpel, the top portion of the pustule was cut parallel to the leaf surface, turned upside down and placed in a drop of 60% lactic acid in distilled water. The slide was warmed up on a smoothing iron, and covered with a cover slip. The examination of slides was performed with either a Diaplan or Laborlux K (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) microscope, and pictures were taken with a Nikon Coolpix 4500 digital camera attached to the first microscope. Line drawings were made with the aid of a Leitz drawing tube, attached on the above mentioned microscopes, using a  $100 \times$ oil immersion objective, at a final  $2000 \times$  enlargement.

## Scanning electron microscopy

For SEM, small pustules or fractions of a pustule were cut from the herbarium specimens and glued on aluminium object holders, the pustule oriented face down. Afterwards, the leaf tissue was broken away using a needle to open the pustule. The object holder was then held close to vertical and hit against a hard surface to liberate the secondary sporangia. Subsequently, the samples were desiccated for two days, sputtered and examined as described previously (Thines & Spring 2005).

Fungus	Host	Collection	Figure No
Albugo candida	Capsella bursa- pastoris	Germany, Stetten, Airfield, Jun 2002, M. Thines (HUH)	15, 20
Albugo candida	C. bursa-pastoris	Hungary, 1884 (Linhart – Fungi hung. 391 a in UPS)	1, 3
Albugo candida	C. bursa-pastoris	Finland, Turku, Muhkuri, 20 Jun 1935, L. A. Kari (UPS)	2
Albugo ipomoeae- aquaticae	Ipomoea aquatica	China, Yunnan, S of Jinghong, 3 Sep 2004, M. Thines (HUH)	14, 19
Albugo ipomoeae- panduratae	Ipomoea hederacea	USA, KS, Belvue, 28 Sep 1906, E. Bartholomew (UPS)	8
Albugo lepigoni	Spergularia marina	Finland, Brännboda, Järnskär, 18 Jun 1968, H. Roivanen (UPS)	7
Pustula spinulosa	Cirsium arvense	Germany, Bayern, Bayreuth, A. Walther (UPS)	2, 4
Pustula tragopogonis	Helianthus annuus	Germany, Esslingen, Ober- esslingen, 10 Oct 2004, M. Thines (HUH)	11, 16
Pustula tragopogonis	Tragopogon porrifolius	Sweden, Uppsala, Botanical Garden, 1 Sep 1933, J. Ax. Nannfeldt (UPS)	9
Wilsoniana bliti	Amaranthus blitoides var. reverchonii	Romania, Mamaia, 15 Aug 1991, G. Negrean & M. Costea (BUCM 121331)	5
Wilsoniana bliti	Amaranthus blitum	Italy, 1873 (Saccardo – Mycoth. veneta 66 in UPS)	10
Wilsoniana bliti	Amaranthus cruentus	Germany, Baden, Krotzingen, Jul 2002, M. Thines (HUH)	13, 18
Wilsoniana portulacae	Portulaca oleracea	China, Yunnan, S of Jinghong, 3 Sep 2004, M. Thines (HUH)	12, 17

 ${\bf Tab. 1.-List}$  of specimens used to depict the primary and secondary sporangia in Albuginales

## Abbreviations and acronyms


Abbreviation and/or acronyms of herbaria are those from Holmgren & Holmgren (1998), most fungal names are from Choi & Priest (1995) and Thines & Spring (2005), and plant names from The International Plant Names Index (2004); published on the Internet http://www.ipni.org [accessed 2006]. The authorities of both fungi and plants are available at the mentioned sites. The following acronyms of generic names and sporangia are used throughout the text: A. = *Albugo*, P. = *Pustula*, W. = *Wilsoniana*, Psp = primary sporangia, and Ssp = secondary sporangia.

#### Results

In every examined specimen two types of sporangia were detected: primary, first formed (Psp) and secondary, subsequently formed (Ssp) sporangia. The Psp are smaller or larger than the Ssp, and their wall is  $1-3 \mu m$  thick and occasionally yellowish (Figs. 1-20). The Psp are mostly apparent when attached to, and usually flattened against the lower side of the host's epidermis (Figs. 1, 2). In plan view they appear more or less circular (Figs. 3, 4, 6-10, 12, 14) or, due to mutual pressure, polyangular (Figs. 1, 2, 11, 13). Their walls appear more or less uniformly thick. In lateral view, the walls are often of variable thickness, i.e. lesser thickening of a small or larger portion of the basal part (Figs. 7, 9, 10).

The structures in the centre of Psp, as seen in Figs. 10, 12, and 13, are part of the 'disjunctor' or 'suspensor' that connects the sporangia in chains. In some Psp the disjunctor continues to be attached to the lower wall after sporangial release and disintegration of the chains. This morphological structure was noticed already by Berkeley (1848), illustrated long ago by Tulasne (1854) and Mangin (1891), and recently in both LM and SEM by Mims & Richardson (2003).


In Ssp the shape, as well as the wall structure, shows more variation. These sporangia may be almost globose in *P. tragopogonis* (Fig. 9), oblong in A. ipomoeae-panduratae (Fig. 8), or obovoidal with only slightly (A. eurotiae) or distinctly truncate (A. lepigoni, W. bliti Figs. 7, 10) base. The wall may be uniformly, ca. 0.4 – 0.5 µm thin in A. lepigoni (Fig. 7) ca. 0.7 µm in A. trianthemae, or evenly ca 1.5 – 2 µm thick as in A. ipomoeae-panduratae (Fig. 8). In A. candida (Figs. 3, 6), A. capparidis, and to a lesser degree in W. portulacae, the basal and lateral portions of Ssp wall are more or less thicker than the apical part. In many species the wall of Ssp shows a thickening, mostly as an equatorial ring (Figs. 4, 5, 9, 10). In lateral view, the ring appears as a very slight thickening of the wall, which is characteristic for Wilsoniana (Figs. 5, 10) or lenticular, which is typical for *Pustula* (Fig. 9). It seems that the equatorial thickening of the wall confers more rigidity to the sporangium. In dehydrated, collapsed sporangia, both the apical and the basal part of the sporangial wall collapse, but the equatorial ring will stay rigid (Fig. 16).




Figs. 1–5. Primary and secondary sporangia in various Albuginaceae. 1. Primary sporangia agglomerated and partially deformed by mutual pressure, on the inner face of the epidermis in *Albugo candida*. 2. Idem in *Pustula spinulosa*. 3–5. Primary (thick wall) and secondary (thin wall) sporangia in *A. candida* (3), *P. spinulosa* (4), and *Wilsoniana bliti* (5). Bars = 10  $\mu$ m. Sources indicated in Table 1.

#### Discussion

The mode of sporangiogenesis in Albuginaceae, i.e. basipetal succession from the top of the sporangiophore, was first described by Tulasne (1854). This process is similar to one type of blastic conidiogenesis known in some anamorphic fungi (Subramanian 1971, Cole & Samson 1979 and references herein). The first sporangium (Psp) is formed holoblastically, the (thick) wall of the sporangiophore taking part in the formation of the sporangium wall. The subsequent sporangia (Ssp) are formed presumably enteroblastically, their (thin) wall being newly formed. As a result, the first formed sporangium has a thick wall, especially in the apical region, whereas the subsequent ones have a thin wall. Ultrastructural details of the sporangiogenesis in *A. candida* are presented by Soylu *et al.* (2003: Fig. 2, d) where not



Figs. 6 - 10. Primary (left) and secondary (right) sporangia in various Albuginaceae.
6. Albugo candida. 7. A. lepigoni. 8. A. ipomoeae-panduratae. 9. Pustula tragopogonis. 10. Wilsoniana bliti. Secondary sporangia in 7, 9, 10 in plan view (round, symmetrical with uniform wall thickness) and side view (asymmetrical, with thinner wall at the base). Sources indicated in Table 1.



Figs. 11 – 20. Primary (upper row) and secondary (lower row) sporangia in various Albuginaceae as seen in SEM. 11, 16. Pustula tragopogonis. 12, 17. Wilsoniana portulacae. 13, 18. W. bliti. 14, 19. Albugo ipomoeae-aquaticae. 15, 20. A. candida. Sources indicated in Tab. 1.

185

only two sporangiophores bearing thin-walled Ssp are seen, but also a thick-walled Psp detached from the chain. Although the blastic mode of sporangiogenesis in *Albugo* was not questioned, the percurrent character of this process, claimed by Hughes (1971) and Thakur (1977) was contested by Khan (1977). Irrespective whether or not the percurrent character of the process is accepted, the dimorphism of these sporangia is the result of the sporangiogenesis. This type of sporangiogenesis is common to all Albuginaceae. Consequently, all species possess two kinds of sporangia.

The wall of Psp is definitely thicker than in the Ssp. Nevertheless, it should be taken into account that, particularly when Psp are flattened against the host epidermis, what appears as a single wall is in fact the image of a folded wall. This might be less obvious in light microscopy (Figs. 1-5) but is clearly visible in SEM (Figs. 12, 14).

According to Tulasne (1854), a sporangiophore produces 6-8 sporangia. This results in a Psp/Ssp ratio of 1/5 to 1/7. This is one of the reasons why the Psp are more rarely seen and reported. In addition, because Psp often remain attached to the lower side of the epidermis, a feature again firstly reported by Tulasne (1854). As the slides are usually made from free sporangia after the epidermis is removed, the chance of finding Psp in a microscopic slide is rather low. Due to the predominance of Ssp, it is likely that most studies in which the type of sporangia was not specified were based on the observation of Ssp.

## Terminology

Several pairs of terms have been used to differentiate the first vs. subsequently formed sporangia (sometimes called conidia) in Albuginaceae: some/others (Tulasne 1854), terminal/others (Bary 1863, Wakefield 1927, Baker 1955), first/subsequent (Zalewski 1883), terminal/unnamed (or lower) (Wilson 1907), first (apical) or buffer cells/others (Gäumann & Dodge 1928, Gäumann 1949), terminal/later (Weston 1930), apical/basal (Biga 1955), terminal/lower (Mukerji 1975b), terminal/subterminal (Waterhouse 1975), terminal/normal (Choi & Priest 1995), distal/proximal (maturing) (Khan 1976), etc. In most cases the terms used refer to the position of sporangium in a chain, i.e. terminal, apical, vs. basal, lower, and subterminal, etc. In fact, the arrangement of sporangia in chains is rarely maintained and observed when microscopic slides are made. Even more rarely the terminal/first formed sporangium continues to be attached to the chain. The position of a sporangium in the chain correlates with the time when it was produced. Therefore, we consider that primary vs. secondary sporangia are more appropriate terms for the two types of sporangia.

## Function of the sporangia

Not only the morphological dimorphism but also a functional dissimilarity between the two kinds of sporangia was reported. Tulasne (1854) was apparently the first to claim that the germination by production of zoospores occurs only in Ssp. De Bary (1863) stated that at germination the Psp either produce a tube, or are sterile, whereas the Ssp produce zoospores. Palm (1932) showed that in P. tragopogonis, W. bliti, and W. portulacae, sporangia produce germ tubes inside the pustules. He concluded that this type of germination occurs more often than previously reported, but omitted to specify on which kind of sporangia his studies were based upon. Palm (1932) also reviewed the divergences on this matter as expressed in various plant pathology books. According to Edie & Ho (1970), in A. ipomoeae-aquaticae the type of germination is temperaturedependent. Jaczewski & Jaczewski (1931) described the Psp in A. lepigoni, P. tragopogonis, W. bliti, and W. portulacae as sterile. Gäumann & Dodge (1928: 78) and Gäumann (1949: 60) claimed that the Psp, called ",buffer cells", are probably not germinating and serve only to lift the epidermis. Gäumann's findings were supported by Khan (1976) who observed some minor differences in the ultrastructure or cell organelles of Psp, compared to the Ssp., in A. candida. Interestingly, he did not comment upon the wall thickness difference.

## Conclusions

Although the dimorphism of sporangia in Albuginaceae has been observed for about 150 years, uncertainty about its presence, morphological features, and ontogeny still exist. Contrary to previous knowledge, we demonstrate that dimorphism is present in all representatives of the Albuginaceae. We also show that the dimorphism is due to the sporangiogenesis. The recommended terms to be used are the ontogenetic ones, namely primary and secondary sporangia.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Directors and Curators of herbaria GZU, L, LE, LPS, PAD, S, and UPS for permission to examine specimens in their holdings and to Vadim Mel'nik, Maiko Nakamura and Mihai-Daniel Frumuşelu for help in translating some text from Russian and Japanese. Otmar Spring is gratefully acknowledged for permission to study the Albuginaceae in his collection, and Annerose Heller for allowing access to her facilities for conducting the SEM investigations. ©Verlag Ferdinand Berger & Söhne Ges.m.b.H., Horn, Austria, download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

#### References

- Ananthanarayanan S. (1964) The genus Albugo in India. Journal of the University of Poona, Sci. Sec. 26: 113 122.
- Baker S.D. (1955) The genus Albugo in New Zealand. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 82: 987 – 993.
- Bartaria A.M., Verma P.R. (2001) Effect of temperature on viability of zoosporangia of Albugo candida. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology **31**: 236 237.
- Bary A. de (1863) Recherches sur le développement de quelques champignons parasites. Annales des Sciences naturelles. Botanique Sér. 4 20: 5 148.
- Berkeley M.J. (1848) On the white rust of cabbages. Journal of the Royal Horticultural Society 3: 265 – 271.

Berlese A. N. (1898) Icones Fungorum. Phycomycetes. Fasc. I. Padua.

- Berlese A. N. (1901) Saggio di una Monografia delle Peronosporacee. Part 4. *Rivista di patologia vegetale (Pavia)* **9:** 1 126.
- Berlese A. N., De Toni J. B. (1888) Peronosporaceae. In: Sylloge Fungorum. (ed. Saccardo P. A.), Padua: 233 – 264.
- Berlin J. D., Bowen C. C. (1964) Centrioles in the fungus Albugo candida. American Journal of Botany 51: 650 – 652.
- Biga M.L.B. (1955) Riesaminatione delle specie del genere *Albugo* in base alla morfologia dei conidi. *Sydowia* **9**: 339 358.
- Choi D., Priest M.J. (1995) A key to the genus Albugo. Mycotaxon 53: 261 272.
- Cole G.T., Samson R.A. (1979) Patterns and Development in Conidial Fungi. Pitman, London, San Francisco, Melbourne.
- Dangeard P.A. (1901) Note sur la structure du sporange chez le *Cystopus* tragopogonis Persoon. Le Botaniste 7: 279 – 281.
- Dick M.W. (2001a) Straminipilous Fungi: Systematics of the Peronosporomycetes, including accounts of the marine straminipilous protists, the plasmodiophorids and similar organisms. Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Dick M.W. (2001b) The Peronosporomycetes. In: The Mycota, Vol. VII, Part A, Systematics and Evolution (eds. McLaughlin D.J., McLaughlin E., Lemke P.A.), Springer, Berlin: 39 – 72.
- Eberhardt A. (1904) Contribution à l'étude de Cystopus candidus Lév. Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie, Parasitenkunde, Infektionskrankheiten und Hygiene. Abt. 2 12: 235 – 249, 426 – 439, 614 – 631, 714 – 725.
- Edie H. H., Ho B. W. C. (1970) Factors affecting sporangial germination in Albugo ipomoeae-aquaticae. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 55: 205-216.
- Fischer A. (1892) Phycomycetes. In: Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Oesterreich und der Schweiz. 1(IV). (eds. Fischer A., Hauck F., Limpricht G., Luerssen Ch., Richter P., Winter G.), E. Kumer, Leipzig: 383-490.
- Gäumann E. (1949) Die Pilze. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- Gäumann E., Dodge C.W. (1928) *Comparative Morphology of Fungi*. McGraw-Hill, New York and London.
- Holmgren P.K., Holmgren N.H. (1998) onwards (continously updated) *Index Herbariorum*. New York Botanical Garden. <u>http://sciweb.nybg.org/science2/</u> <u>IndexHerbariorum.asp.</u>
- Hughes S.J. (1971) Percurrent proliferations in fungi, algae, and mosses. Canadian Journal of Botany 49: 215 231.
- Ito S. (1936) Mycological flora of Japan. I. Phycomycetes. Yokendo, Tokyo. In Japanese.
- Jaczewski A. A. (1901) Mikologicheskaya flora Evropejskoj i Aziatskoj Rossii. Tom pervyj. Peronosporovye. [Mycological Flora of European and Asiatic Russia. Vol. 1. Peronosporales] Moskva.

- Jaczewski A.A., Jaczewski P.A. (1931) Opredelitel' Gribov. Sovershennye Griby (diploidnye stadii). Tom I. Fikomitsety. [Identification Book of Fungi. Vol. I. Phycomycetes] 3 Gosudarstvennoe izdatel'stvo sel'skokhozyajstennoj i kolkhozno-kooperativnoj literatury, Moskva-Leningrad.
- Jörstad I. (1964) The phycomycetous genera *Albugo, Bremia, Plasmopara* and *Pseudoperonospora* in Norway, with an appendix containing unpublished finds of *Peronospora. Nytt Magasin for Botanikk* **11**: 47–82.
- Khan S. R. (1976) Ultrastructural changes in maturing sporangia of *Albugo candida*. Annals of Botany, N. S. **40**: 1285 – 1292.
- Khan S. R. (1977) Light and electron microscopic observations of sporangium formation in Albugo candida (Peronosporales; Oomycetes). Canadian Journal of Botany 55: 730 – 739.
- Lakra B.S., Saharan G.S. (1989) Morphological and pathological variations in Albugo candida associated with Brassica species. Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 18: 149–156.
- Mäkinen Y., Hietajärvi L. (1965) On Finnish micromycetes. 5. Albugo candida in Finland, with special reference to the variation in the size of the conidia. Annales Botanici Fennici 2: 33 – 46.
- Mangin L. (1891) Sur la désarticulation des conidies chez les Péronosporées. Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France 38: 176 – 184, 232 – 236.
- Melhus I.E. (1911) Experiments on spore germination and infection in certain species of Oomycetes. Research Bulletin, University of Wisconsin Agricultural Experiment Station 15: 25 – 91.
- Mims C. W., Richardson E. A. (2003) Ultrastructure of the zoosporangia of *Albugo ipomoeae-panduratae* as revealed by conventional chemical fixation and high pressure freezing followed by freeze substitution. *Mycologia* **95**: 1-10.
- Mukerji K.G. (1975a) Albugo candida. CMI Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria. No. 460. Comonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew.
- Mukerji K. G. (1975b) Albugo tragopogonis. CMI Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria. No. 458. Comonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew.
- Palm B.T. (1932) Biological notes on Albugo. Annales Mycologici 30: 421-426.
- Patouillard N., Lagerheim G. (1891) Champignons de l'Équateur. Bulletin de la Société Mycologique de France 7: 158 184.
- Safeeulla K. M., Thirumalachar M. J. (1951) A morphological and cytological study of *Cystopus* on *Mollugo cerviana*. *Phytomorphology* **1**: 212 – 215.
- Safeeulla K. M., Thirumalachar M. J. (1953) Morphological and cytological studies in Albugo species in Ipomoea aquatica and Merremia emarginata. Cellule 55: 225 – 232.
- Saharan G.S., Verma P.R. (1992) White Rusts. A Review of Economically Important Species. International Development Research Centre, Ottawa.
- Săvulescu O. (1946) Study of the species of Cystopus Lév. from Europe, with special attention to the species found in Romania. PhD thesis, University of Bucuresti. In Romanian.
- Shaw C.G. (1981) Taxonomy and evolution. In: The Downy Mildews. (ed. Spencer D. M.), Academic Press, London: 17 – 29.
- Soylu S., Keshavarzi M., Brown I., Mansfield J.W. (2003) Ultrastructural characterisation of interactions between Arabidopsis thaliana and Albugo candida. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 63: 201 – 211.
- Stevens R.B. (1940) Certain nuclear phenomena in Albugo portulacae. Mycologia **32:** 46 51.
- Subramanian C.V. (1971) The Phialide. In: Taxonomy of Fungi Imperfecti. (ed. Kendrick B.), University of Toronto Press, Toronto and Buffalo: 92 – 119.

- Tewari J.P., Skoropad W.P., Malhotra S.K. (1980) Multilamellar surface layer of the cell wall of Albugo candida and Phycomyces blakesleeanus. Journal of Bacteriology 142: 689 – 693.
- Thakur S.B. (1977) Percurrent proliferation of sporangiophores in the genus *Albugo. Mycologia* **69:** 637 – 641.
- Thines M., Spring O. (2005) A revision of Albugo (Chromista, Peronosporomycetes).  $Mycotaxon \ 92: 443-458.$
- Togashi K., Shibasaki Y. (1934) Biometrical and biological studies of Albugo candida (Pers.) O. Kuntze in connection with its specialization. Bulletin of the Imperial College of Agriculture and Forestry, Morioka 18: 1 – 88.
- Togashi K., Shibasaki Y., Kwanno Y. (1930) [Morphological studies of Albugo candida, the casual fungus of the white rust of cruciferous plants]. Agriculture and Horticulture 5: 859 – 882. In Japanese.
- Tsang K. C. (1929) Recherches cytologiques sur la famille des Péronosporées. Étude spéciale de la reproduction sexuelle. *Le Botaniste* **21**: 1 129.
- Tulasne L.R. (1854) Second mémoire sur les Urédinées et les Ustilaginées. Annales des Sciences naturelles. Botanique Sér. 4 2: 77 – 196.
- Wakefield E. M. (1927) The genus Cystopus in South Africa. Bothalia 2: 242 246.
- Waterhouse G.M. (1973) Peronosporales. In: The Fungi. An Advanced Treatise. (eds. Ainsworth G.C., Sparrow F.K., Sussman A.S.), Academic Press, New York & London: 165 – 183.
- Waterhouse G.M. (1975) Species of Albugo on Aizoaceae. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 65: 504 – 507.
- Weston W.H. (1930) Phycomycetes in Chardon, C.E. & Toro, R.A., Mycological explorations of Colombia. Journal of the Department of Agriculture of Porto Rico 14: 195 – 226.
- Wilson G.W. (1907) Studies in North American Peronosporales. I. The genus Albugo. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 34: 61 – 84.
- Wilson G.W. (1908) Studies in North American Peronosporales. III. New or noteworthy species. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 35: 361 – 365.
- Zalewski A. (1883) Zur Kenntnis der Gattung Cystopus Lév. Botanisches Centralblatt 15: 215 224.
- Zhang Z., Wang Y. (1998) Albugo. In: Flora Fungorum Sinicorum. Vol. 6. Peronosporales. (ed. Yu Y.), Science Press, Beijing: 392 – 432. In Chinese.

(Manuscript accepted 4 Oct 2006; Corresponding Editor: R. Pöder)

# **ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at**

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Sydowia

Jahr/Year: 2006

Band/Volume: 58

Autor(en)/Author(s): Constantinescu Ovidiu, Thines Marco

Artikel/Article: <u>Dimorphism of sporangia in Albuginaceae (Chromista,</u> <u>Peronosporomycetes). 178-190</u>